# DENJOY-CARLEMAN DIFFERENTIABLE PERTURBATION OF POLYNOMIALS AND UNBOUNDED OPERATORS 

ANDREAS KRIEGL, PETER W. MICHOR, AND ARMIN RAINER


#### Abstract

Let $t \mapsto A(t)$ for $t \in T$ be a $C^{M}$-mapping with values unbounded operators with compact resolvents and common domain of definition which are self-adjoint or normal. Here $C^{M}$ stands for $C^{\omega}$ (real analytic), a quasianalytic or non-quasianalytic Denjoy-Carleman class, $C^{\infty}$, or a Hölder continuity class $C^{0, \alpha}$. The parameter domain $T$ is either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ or an infinite dimensional convenient vector space. We prove and review results on $C^{M}$-dependence on $t$ of the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $A(t)$.


Theorem. Let $t \mapsto A(t)$ for $t \in T$ be a parameterized family of unbounded operators in a Hilbert space $H$ with common domain of definition and with compact resolvent. If $t \in T=\mathbb{R}$ and all $A(t)$ are self-adjoint then the following holds:
(A) If $A(t)$ is real analytic in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of $A(t)$ can be parameterized real analytically in $t$.
(B) If $A(t)$ is quasianalytic of class $C^{Q}$ in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of $A(t)$ can be parameterized $C^{Q}$ in $t$.
(C) If $A(t)$ is non-quasianalytic of class $C^{L}$ in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and if no two different continuously parameterized eigenvalues (e.g., ordered by size) meet of infinite order at any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of $A(t)$ can be parameterized $C^{L}$ in $t$.
(D) If $A(t)$ is $C^{\infty}$ in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and if no two different continuously parameterized eigenvalues meet of infinite order at any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of $A(t)$ can be parameterized $C^{\infty}$ in $t$.
(E) If $A(t)$ is $C^{\infty}$ in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then the eigenvalues of $A(t)$ can be parameterized twice differentiably in $t$.
(F) If $A(t)$ is $C^{1, \alpha}$ in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ for some $\alpha>0$, then the eigenvalues of $A(t)$ can be parameterized $C^{1}$ in $t$.
If $t \in T=\mathbb{R}$ and all $A(t)$ are normal then the following holds:
(G) If $A(t)$ is real analytic in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then for each $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and for each eigenvalue $z_{0}$ of $A\left(t_{0}\right)$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that the eigenvalues near $z_{0}$ of $A\left(t_{0} \pm s^{N}\right)$ and their eigenvectors can be parameterized real analytically in $s$ near $s=0$.
(H) If $A(t)$ is quasianalytic of class $C^{Q}$ in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then for each $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and for each eigenvalue $z_{0}$ of $A\left(t_{0}\right)$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that the eigenvalues near $z_{0}$ of $A\left(t_{0} \pm s^{N}\right)$ and their eigenvectors can be parameterized $C^{Q}$ in $s$ near $s=0$.
(I) If $A(t)$ is non-quasianalytic of class $C^{L}$ in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then for each $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and for each eigenvalue $z_{0}$ of $A\left(t_{0}\right)$ at which no two of the different continuously
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parameterized eigenvalues (which is always possible by [12, II 5.2]) meet of infinite order, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that the eigenvalues near $z_{0}$ of $A\left(t_{0} \pm s^{N}\right)$ and their eigenvectors can be parameterized $C^{L}$ in $s$ near $s=0$.
(J) If $A(t)$ is $C^{\infty}$ in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then for each $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and for each eigenvalue $z_{0}$ of $A\left(t_{0}\right)$ at which no two of the different continuously parameterized eigenvalues meet of infinite order, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that the eigenvalues near $z_{0}$ of $A\left(t_{0} \pm s^{N}\right)$ and their eigenvectors can be parameterized $C^{\infty}$ in $s$ near $s=0$.
(K) If $A(t)$ is $C^{\infty}$ in $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then for each $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}$ and for each eigenvalue $z_{0}$ of $A\left(t_{0}\right)$ at which no two of the different continuously parameterized eigenvalues meet of infinite order, the eigenvalues near $z_{0}$ of $A(t)$ and their eigenvectors can be parameterized by absolutely continuous functions in $t$ near $t=t_{0}$.
If $t \in T=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and all $A(t)$ are normal then the following holds:
( L ) If $A(t)$ is real analytic or quasianalytic of class $C^{Q}$ in $t \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then for each $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for each eigenvalue $z_{0}$ of $A\left(t_{0}\right)$, there exist a neighborhood $D$ of $z_{0}$ in $\mathbb{C}$, a neighborhood $W$ of $t_{0}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and a finite covering $\left\{\pi_{k}: U_{k} \rightarrow W\right\}$ of $W$, where each $\pi_{k}$ is a composite of finitely many mappings each of which is either a local blow-up along a real analytic or $C^{Q}$ submanifold or a local power substitution, such that the eigenvalues of $A\left(\pi_{k}(s)\right), s \in U_{k}$, in $D$ and the corresponding eigenvectors can be parameterized real analytically or $C^{Q}$ in s. If $A$ is self-adjoint, then we do not need power substitutions.
(M) If $A(t)$ is real analytic or quasianalytic of class $C^{Q}$ in $t \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then for each $t_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for each eigenvalue $z_{0}$ of $A\left(t_{0}\right)$, there exist a neighborhood $D$ of $z_{0}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ and a neighborhood $W$ of $t_{0}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ such that the eigenvalues of $A(t), t \in W$, in $D$ and the corresponding eigenvectors can be parameterized by functions which are special functions of bounded variation (SBV), see 9 or [3], in $t$.
If $t \in T \subseteq E$, a $c^{\infty}$-open subset in a finite or infinite dimensional convenient vector space then the following holds:
(N) For $0<\alpha \leq 1$, if $A(t)$ is $C^{0, \alpha}$ (Hölder continuous of exponent $\alpha$ ) in $t \in T$ and all $A(t)$ are self-adjoint, then the eigenvalues of $A(t)$ can be parameterized $C^{0, \alpha}$ in $t$.
(O) For $0<\alpha \leq 1$, if $A(t)$ is $C^{0, \alpha}$ in $t \in T$ and all $A(t)$ are normal, then we have: For each $t_{0} \in T$ and each eigenvalue $z_{0}$ of $A\left(t_{0}\right)$ consider a simple closed $C^{1}$-curve $\gamma$ in the resolvent set of $A\left(t_{0}\right)$ enclosing only $z_{0}$ among all eigenvalues of $A\left(t_{0}\right)$. Then for $t$ near $t_{0}$ in the $c^{\infty}$-topology on $T$, no eigenvalue of $A(t)$ lies on $\gamma$. Let $\lambda(t)=\left(\lambda_{1}(t), \ldots, \lambda_{N}(t)\right)$ be the $N$-tuple of all eigenvalues (repeated according to their multiplicity) of $A(t)$ inside of $\gamma$. Then $t \mapsto \lambda(t)$ is $C^{0, \alpha}$ for $t$ near $t_{0}$ with respect to the non-separating metric

$$
d(\lambda, \mu)=\min _{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{N}} \max _{1 \leq i \leq N}\left|\lambda_{i}-\mu_{\sigma(i)}\right|
$$

on the space of $N$-tuples.
Part (A) is due to Rellich [22] in 1942, see also [4] and [12, VII 3.9]. Part (D) has been proved in [2, 7.8], see also [13, 50.16], in 1997, which contains also a different proof of (A). (E) and (F) have been proved in [14] in 2003. (G) was proved in [19, 7.1]; it can be proved as (H) with some obvious changes, but it is not a special case since $C^{\omega}$ does not correspond to a sequence which is an $\mathcal{L}$-intersection (see 'definitions and remarks' below and [17]). (J) and (K) were proved in [19, 7.1]. (N) was proved in [15].

The purpose of this paper is to prove the remaining parts $(\mathrm{B}),(\mathrm{C}),(\mathrm{H}),(\mathrm{I}),(\mathrm{L})$, $(\mathrm{M})$, and ( O ).
Definitions and remarks. Let $M=\left(M_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}=\mathbb{N} \geq 0}$ be an increasing sequence $\left(M_{k+1} \geq M_{k}\right)$ of positive real numbers with $M_{0}=\overline{1}$. Let $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be open. We denote by $C^{M}(U)$ the set of all $f \in C^{\infty}(U)$ such that, for each compact $K \subseteq U$, there exist positive constants $C$ and $\rho$ such that

$$
\left|\partial^{\alpha} f(x)\right| \leq C \rho^{|\alpha|}|\alpha|!M_{|\alpha|} \quad \text { for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{n} \text { and } x \in K
$$

The set $C^{M}(U)$ is a Denjoy-Carleman class of functions on $U$. If $M_{k}=1$, for all $k$, then $C^{M}(U)$ coincides with the ring $C^{\omega}(U)$ of real analytic functions on $U$. In general, $C^{\omega}(U) \subseteq C^{M}(U) \subseteq C^{\infty}(U)$.

Throughout this paper $Q=\left(Q_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence as above which is log-convex (i.e., $Q_{k}^{2} \leq Q_{k-1} Q_{k+1}$ for all $k$ ), derivation closed (i.e., $\sup _{k}\left(Q_{k+1} / Q_{k}\right)^{1 / k}<$ $\infty$ ), quasianalytic (i.e., $\sum_{k}\left(k!Q_{k}\right)^{-1 / k}=\infty$ ), and which is also an $\mathcal{L}$ intersection. We say that $Q$ is an $\mathcal{L}$-intersection if $C^{Q}=\bigcap\left\{C^{N}\right.$ : $N$ non-quasianalytic, log-convex, $N \geq Q\}$. Moreover, $L=\left(L_{k}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence as above which is log-convex, derivation closed, and non-quasianalytic. Then $C^{Q}$ and $C^{L}$ are closed under composition and allow for the implicit function theorem. See [17] or [16] and references therein.

That $A(t)$ is a real analytic, $C^{M}$ (where $M$ is either $Q$ or $L$ ), $C^{\infty}$, or $C^{k, \alpha}$ family of unbounded operators means the following: There is a dense subspace $V$ of the Hilbert space $H$ such that $V$ is the domain of definition of each $A(t)$, and such that $A(t)^{*}=A(t)$ in the self-adjoint case, or $A(t)$ has closed graph and $A(t) A(t)^{*}=A(t)^{*} A(t)$ wherever defined in the normal case. Moreover, we require that $t \mapsto\langle A(t) u, v\rangle$ is of the respective differentiability class for each $u \in V$ and $v \in H$. From now on we treat only $C^{M}=C^{\omega}, C^{M}$ for $M=Q, M=L$, and $C^{M}=C^{0, \alpha}$.

This implies that $t \mapsto A(t) u$ is of the same class $C^{M}(T, H)$ (where $T$ is either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ) or is in $C^{0, \alpha}(T, H)$ (if $T$ is a convenient vector space) for each $u \in V$ by [13, 2.14.4, 10.3] for $C^{\omega}$, by [16, 3.1, 3.3, 3.5] for $M=L$, by [17, 1.10, 2.1, 2.3] for $M=Q$, and by [13, 2.3], [11, 2.6.2] or [10, 4.14.4] for $C^{0, \alpha}$ because $C^{0, \alpha}$ can be described by boundedness conditions only and for these the uniform boundedness principle is valid.

A sequence of functions $\lambda_{i}$ is said to parameterize the eigenvalues, if for each $z \in \mathbb{C}$ the cardinality $\left|\left\{i: \lambda_{i}(t)=z\right\}\right|$ equals the multiplicity of $z$ as eigenvalue of $A(t)$.

Let $X$ be a $C^{\omega}$ or $C^{Q}$ manifold. A local blow-up $\Phi$ over an open subset $U$ of $X$ means the composition $\Phi=\iota \circ \varphi$ of a blow-up $\varphi: U^{\prime} \rightarrow U$ with center a $C^{\omega}$ or $C^{Q}$ submanifold and of the inclusion $\iota: U \rightarrow X$. A local power substitution is a mapping $\Psi: V \rightarrow X$ of the form $\Psi=\iota \circ \psi$, where $\iota: W \rightarrow X$ is the inclusion of a coordinate chart $W$ of $X$ and $\psi: V \rightarrow W$ is given by

$$
\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{q}\right)=\left((-1)^{\epsilon_{1}} x_{1}^{\gamma_{1}}, \ldots,(-1)^{\epsilon_{q}} x_{q}^{\gamma_{q}}\right)
$$

for some $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{q}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{N}_{>0}\right)^{q}$ and all $\epsilon=\left(\epsilon_{1}, \ldots, \epsilon_{q}\right) \in\{0,1\}^{q}$, where $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{q}$ denote the coordinates of $W$ (and $\left.q=\operatorname{dim} X\right)$.

This paper became possible only after some of the results of 16 and 17] were proved, in particular the uniform boundedness principles. The wish to prove the results of this paper was the main motivation for us to work on [16] and [17].

Applications. For brevity we confine ourselves to $C^{Q}$; the same applies to $C^{\omega}$. Let $X$ be a compact $C^{Q}$ manifold and let $t \mapsto g_{t}$ be a $C^{Q}$-curve of $C^{Q}$ Riemannian metrics on $X$. Then we get the corresponding $C^{Q}$ curve $t \mapsto \Delta\left(g_{t}\right)$ of LaplaceBeltrami operators on $L^{2}(X)$. By theorem (B) the eigenvalues and eigenvectors
can be arranged $C^{Q}$ in $t$. By [1], the eigenfunctions are also $C^{Q}$ as functions on $X$ (at least for those $C^{Q}$ which can be described by a weight function, see [7]). Question: Are the eigenvectors viewed as eigenfunctions then also in $C^{Q}(X \times \mathbb{R})$ ?

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded region in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $C^{Q}$ boundary, and let $H(t)=-\Delta+V(t)$ be a $C^{Q}$-curve of Schrödinger operators with varying $C^{Q}$ potential and Dirichlet boundary conditions. Then the eigenvalues and eigenvectors can be arranged $C^{Q}$ in $t$. Question: Are the eigenvectors viewed as eigenfunctions then also in $C^{Q}(\Omega \times \mathbb{R})$ ?

Example. This is an elaboration of [2, 7.4] and [14, Example]. Let $S(2)$ be the vector space of all symmetric real $(2 \times 2)$-matrices. We use the $C^{L}$-curve lemma [16, 3.6] or [17, 2.5]: For each $L$, there exist sequences $\mu_{n} \rightarrow \infty, t_{n} \rightarrow t_{\infty}, s_{n}>0$ in $\mathbb{R}$ with the following property: For $\mu_{n}$-converging sequences $A_{n}, B_{n} \in S(2)$, i.e., $\mu_{n} A_{n}$ and $\mu_{n} B_{n}$ are bounded in $S(2)$, there exists a curve $A \in C^{L}(\mathbb{R}, S(2))$ such that $A\left(t_{n}+t\right)=A_{n}+t B_{n}$ for $|t| \leq s_{n}$.

Choose a sequence $\nu_{n}$ of reals satisfying $\mu_{n} \nu_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $\left(\nu_{n}\right)^{n} \leq s_{n}$ for all $n$ and use the $C^{L}$-curve lemma for

$$
A_{n}:=\left(\nu_{n}\right)^{n+1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad B_{n}:=\nu_{n}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The eigenvalues of $A_{n}+t B_{n}$ and their derivatives are

$$
\lambda_{n}(t)= \pm \nu_{n} \sqrt{\left(\nu_{n}\right)^{2 n}+t^{2}}, \quad \lambda_{n}^{\prime}(t)= \pm \frac{\nu_{n} t}{\sqrt{\left(\nu_{n}\right)^{2 n}+t^{2}}}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\lambda^{\prime}\left(t_{n}+\left(\nu_{n}\right)^{n}\right)-\lambda^{\prime}\left(t_{n}\right)}{\left(\left(\nu_{n}\right)^{n}\right)^{\alpha}} & =\frac{\lambda_{n}^{\prime}\left(\left(\nu_{n}\right)^{n}\right)-\lambda_{n}^{\prime}(0)}{\left(\nu_{n}\right)^{n \alpha}}= \pm \frac{\nu_{n}}{\left(\nu_{n}\right)^{n \alpha} \sqrt{2}} \\
& = \pm \frac{\left(\nu_{n}\right)^{1-n \alpha}}{\sqrt{2}} \rightarrow \infty \text { for } \alpha>0
\end{aligned}
$$

So the condition (in (C), (D), (I), (J), and (K)) that no two different continuously parameterized eigenvalues meet of infinite order cannot be dropped. By [2, 2.1], we may always find a twice differentiable square root of a non-negative smooth function, so that the eigenvalues $\lambda$ are functions which are twice differentiable but not $C^{1, \alpha}$ for any $\alpha>0$.

Note that the normed eigenvectors cannot be chosen continuously in this example (see also example [21, §2]). Namely, we have

$$
A\left(t_{n}\right)=\left(\nu_{n}\right)^{n+1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right), \quad A\left(t_{n}+\left(\nu_{n}\right)^{n}\right)=\left(\nu_{n}\right)^{n+1}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Resolvent Lemma. Let $C^{M}$ be any of $C^{\omega}, C^{Q}, C^{L}, C^{\infty}$, or $C^{0, \alpha}$, and let $A(t)$ be normal. If $A$ is $C^{M}$ then the resolvent $(t, z) \mapsto(A(t)-z)^{-1} \in L(H, H)$ is $C^{M}$ on its natural domain, the global resolvent set

$$
\{(t, z) \in T \times \mathbb{C}:(A(t)-z): V \rightarrow H \text { is invertible }\}
$$

which is open (and even connected).
Proof. By definition the function $t \mapsto\langle A(t) v, u\rangle$ is of class $C^{M}$ for each $v \in V$ and $u \in H$. We may conclude that the mapping $t \mapsto A(t) v$ is of class $C^{M}$ into $H$ as follows: For $C^{M}=C^{\infty}$ we use [13, 2.14.4]. For $C^{M}=C^{\omega}$ we use in addition [13, 10.3]. For $C^{M}=C^{Q}$ or $C^{M}=C^{L}$ we use [17, 2.1] and/or [16, 3.3] where we replace $\mathbb{R}$ by $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For $C^{M}=C^{0, \alpha}$ we use [13, 2.3], [11, 2.6.2], or [10, 4.1.14] because $C^{0, \alpha}$ can be described by boundedness conditions only and for these the uniform boundedness principle is valid.

For each $t$ consider the norm $\|u\|_{t}^{2}:=\|u\|^{2}+\|A(t) u\|^{2}$ on $V$. Since $A(t)$ is closed, $\left(V,\| \|_{t}\right)$ is again a Hilbert space with inner product $\langle u, v\rangle_{t}:=\langle u, v\rangle+$ $\langle A(t) u, A(t) v\rangle$.
(1) Claim (see [2, in the proof of 7.8], [13, in the proof of 50.16], or [14, Claim 1]). All these norms $\left\|\|_{t}\right.$ on $V$ are equivalent, locally uniformly in $t$. We then equip $V$ with one of the equivalent Hilbert norms, say $\left\|\|_{0}\right.$.

We reduce this to $C^{0, \alpha}$. Namely, note first that $A(t):\left(V,\|\quad\|_{s}\right) \rightarrow H$ is bounded since the graph of $A(t)$ is closed in $H \times H$, contained in $V \times H$ and thus also closed in $\left(V,\| \|_{s}\right) \times H$. For fixed $u, v \in V$, the function $t \mapsto\langle u, v\rangle_{t}=\langle u, v\rangle+\langle A(t) u, A(t) v\rangle$ is $C^{0, \alpha}$ since so is $t \mapsto A(t) u$. By the multilinear uniform boundedness principle ([13, 5.18] or [11, 3.7.4]) the mapping $t \mapsto\langle\quad, \quad\rangle_{t}$ is $C^{0, \alpha}$ into the space of bounded sesquilinear forms on $\left(V,\| \|_{s}\right)$ for each fixed $s$. Thus the inverse image of $\langle\quad, \quad\rangle_{s}+\frac{1}{2}$ (unit ball) in $L\left(\overline{\left(V,\| \|_{s}\right)} \oplus\left(V,\|\quad\|_{s}\right) ; \mathbb{C}\right)$ is a $c^{\infty}$-open neighborhood $U$ of $s$ in $T$. Thus $\sqrt{1 / 2}\|u\|_{s} \leq\|u\|_{t} \leq \sqrt{3 / 2}\|u\|_{s}$ for all $t \in U$, i.e., all Hilbert norms \| $\|_{t}$ are locally uniformly equivalent, and claim (1) follows.

By the linear uniform boundedness theorem we see that $t \mapsto A(t)$ is in $C^{M}(T, L(V, H))$ as follows (here it suffices to use a set of linear functionals which together recognize bounded sets instead of the whole dual): For $C^{M}=C^{\infty}$ we use [13, 1.7, 2.14.3]. For $C^{M}=C^{\omega}$ we use in addition [13, 9.4]. For $C^{M}=C^{Q}$ or $C^{M}=C^{L}$ we use [17, 2.2,2.3] and/or [16, 3.5] where we replace $\mathbb{R}$ by $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For $C^{M}=C^{0, \alpha}$ see above.

If for some $(t, z) \in T \times \mathbb{C}$ the bounded operator $A(t)-z: V \rightarrow H$ is invertible, then this is true locally with respect to the $c^{\infty}$-topology on the product which is the product topology by [13, 4.16], and $(t, z) \mapsto(A(t)-z)^{-1}: H \rightarrow V$ is $C^{M}$, by the chain rule, since inversion is real analytic on the Banach space $L(V, H)$.

Note that $(A(t)-z)^{-1}: H \rightarrow H$ is a compact operator for some (equivalently any) $(t, z)$ if and only if the inclusion $i: V \rightarrow H$ is compact, since $i=(A(t)-z)^{-1} \circ$ $(A(t)-z): V \rightarrow H \rightarrow H$.
Polynomial proposition. Let $P$ be a curve of polynomials

$$
P(t)(x)=x^{n}-a_{1}(t) x^{n-1}+\cdots+(-1)^{n} a_{n}(t), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}
$$

(a) If $P$ is hyperbolic (i.e., all roots of $P(t)$ are real for each fixed $t$ ) and if the coefficient functions $a_{i}$ are all $C^{Q}$ then there exist $C^{Q}$ functions $\lambda_{i}$ which parameterize all roots.
(b) If $P$ is hyperbolic, the coefficient functions $a_{i}$ are $C^{L}$, and no two of the different continuously arranged roots (e.g., ordered by size) meet of infinite order, then there exist $C^{L}$ functions $\lambda_{i}$ which parameterize all roots.
(c) If the coefficient functions $a_{i}$ are $C^{Q}$, then for each $t_{0}$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that the roots of $s \mapsto P\left(t_{0} \pm s^{N}\right)$ can be parameterized $C^{Q}$ in $s$ for $s$ near 0 .
(d) If the coefficient functions $a_{i}$ are $C^{L}$ and no two of the different continuously arranged roots (by [12, II 5.2]) meet of infinite order, then for each $t_{0}$ there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that the roots of $s \mapsto P\left(t_{0} \pm s^{N}\right)$ can be parameterized $C^{L}$ in s for s near 0 .
All $C^{Q}$ or $C^{L}$ solutions differ by permutations.
The proof of parts (a) and (b) is exactly as in [2] where the corresponding results were proven for $C^{\infty}$ instead of $C^{L}$, and for $C^{\omega}$ instead of $C^{Q}$. For this we need only the following properties of $C^{Q}$ and $C^{L}$ :

- They allow for the implicit function theorem (for [2, 3.3]).
- They contain $C^{\omega}$ and are closed under composition (for [2, 3.4]).
- They are derivation closed (for [2, 3.7]).

Part (a) is also in [8, 7.6] which follows [2]. It also follows from the multidimensional version [20, 6.10] since blow-ups in dimension 1 are trivial. The proofs of parts (c) and (d) are exactly as in [19, 3.2] where the corresponding result was proven for $C^{\omega}$ instead of $C^{Q}$, and for $C^{\infty}$ instead of $C^{L}$, if none of the different roots meet of infinite order. For these we need the properties of $C^{Q}$ and $C^{L}$ listed above.

Matrix proposition. Let $A(t)$ for $t \in T$ be a family of $(N \times N)$-matrices.
(e) If $T=\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto A(t)$ is a $C^{Q}$-curve of Hermitian matrices, then the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors can be chosen $C^{Q}$.
(f) If $T=\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto A(t)$ is a $C^{L}$-curve of Hermitian matrices such that no two different continuously arranged eigenvalues meet of infinite order, then the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors can be chosen $C^{L}$.
(g) If $T=\mathbb{R} \ni t \mapsto A(t)$ is a $C^{L}$-curve of normal matrices such that no two different continuously arranged eigenvalues meet of infinite order, then for each $t_{0}$ there exists $N_{1} \in \mathbb{N}_{>0}$ such that the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $s \mapsto A\left(t_{0} \pm s^{N_{1}}\right)$ can be parameterized $C^{L}$ in $s$ for $s$ near 0 .
(h) Let $T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be open and let $T \ni t \mapsto A(t)$ be a $C^{\omega}$ or $C^{Q}$-mapping of normal matrices. Let $K \subseteq T$ be compact. Then there exist a neighborhood $W$ of $K$, and a finite covering $\left\{\pi_{k}: U_{k} \rightarrow W\right\}$ of $W$, where each $\pi_{k}$ is a composite of finitely many mappings each of which is either a local blowup along a $C^{\omega}$ or $C^{Q}$ submanifold or a local power substitution, such that the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors of $A\left(\pi_{k}(s)\right)$ can be chosen $C^{\omega}$ or $C^{Q}$ in $s$. Consequently, the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of $A(t)$ are locally special functions of bounded variation (SBV). If $A$ is a family of Hermitian matrices, then we do not need power substitutions.
The proof of the matrix proposition in case (e) and (f) is exactly as in [2, 7.6], using the polynomial proposition and properties of $C^{Q}$ and $C^{L}$. Item (g) is exactly as in [19, 6.2], using the polynomial proposition and properties of $C^{L}$. Item (h) is proved in [20, 9.1, 9.6], see also 18.
Proof of the theorem. We have to prove parts (B), (C), (H), (I), (L), (M), and (O). So let $C^{M}$ be any of $C^{\omega}, C^{Q}, C^{L}$, or $C^{0, \alpha}$, and let $A(t)$ be normal. Let $z$ be an eigenvalue of $A\left(t_{0}\right)$ of multiplicity $N$. We choose a simple closed $C^{1}$ curve $\gamma$ in the resolvent set of $A\left(t_{0}\right)$ for fixed $t_{0}$ enclosing only $z$ among all eigenvalues of $A\left(t_{0}\right)$. Since the global resolvent set is open, see the resolvent lemma, no eigenvalue of $A(t)$ lies on $\gamma$, for $t$ near $t_{0}$. By the resolvent lemma, $A: T \rightarrow L\left(\left(V,\| \|_{0}\right), H\right)$ is $C^{M}$, thus also

$$
t \mapsto-\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\gamma}(A(t)-z)^{-1} d z=: P(t, \gamma)=P(t)
$$

is a $C^{M}$ mapping. Each $P(t)$ is a projection, namely onto the direct sum of all eigenspaces corresponding to eigenvalues of $A(t)$ in the interior of $\gamma$, with finite rank. Thus the rank must be constant: It is easy to see that the (finite) rank cannot fall locally, and it cannot increase, since the distance in $L(H, H)$ of $P(t)$ to the subset of operators of $\operatorname{rank} \leq N=\operatorname{rank}\left(P\left(t_{0}\right)\right)$ is continuous in $t$ and is either 0 or 1 .

So for $t$ in a neighborhood $U$ of $t_{0}$ there are equally many eigenvalues in the interior of $\gamma$, and we may call them $\lambda_{i}(t)$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$ (repeated with multiplicity).

Now we consider the family of $N$-dimensional complex vector spaces $t \mapsto$ $P(t) H \subseteq H$, for $t \in U$. They form a $C^{M}$ Hermitian vector subbundle over $U$ of $U \times H \rightarrow U$ : For given $t$, choose $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{N} \in H$ such that the $P(t) v_{i}$ are linearly independent and thus span $P(t) H$. This remains true locally in $t$. Now we use the Gram Schmidt orthonormalization procedure (which is $C^{\omega}$ ) for the $P(t) v_{i}$ to obtain a local orthonormal $C^{M}$ frame of the bundle.

Now $A(t)$ maps $P(t) H$ to itself; in a $C^{M}$ local frame it is given by a normal $(N \times N)$-matrix parameterized $C^{M}$ by $t \in U$.

Now all local assertions of the theorem follow:
(B) Use the matrix proposition, part (e).
(C) Use the matrix proposition, part (f).
(H) Use the matrix proposition, part (h), and note that in dimension 1 blowups are trivial.
(I) Use the matrix proposition, part (g).
$(\mathrm{L}, \mathrm{M})$ Use the matrix proposition, part (h), for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
(O) We use the following

Result.(6, [5, VII.4.1]) Let $A, B$ be normal $(N \times N)$-matrices and let $\lambda_{i}(A)$ and $\lambda_{i}(B)$ for $i=1, \ldots, N$ denote the respective eigenvalues. Then

$$
\min _{\sigma \in \mathcal{S}_{N}} \max _{j}\left|\lambda_{j}(A)-\lambda_{\sigma(j)}(B)\right| \leq C\|A-B\|
$$

for a universal constant $C$ with $1<C<3$. Here $\|\|$ is the operator norm.
Finally, it remains to extend the local choices to global ones for the cases (B) and (C) only. There $t \mapsto A(t)$ is $C^{Q}$ or $C^{L}$, respectively, which imply both $C^{\infty}$, and no two different eigenvalues meet of infinite order. So we may apply [2, 7.8] (in fact we need only the end of the proof) to conclude that the eigenvalues can be chosen $C^{\infty}$ on $T=\mathbb{R}$, uniquely up to a global permutation. By the local result above they are then $C^{Q}$ or $C^{L}$. The same proof then gives us, for each eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}: T \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with generic multiplicity $N$, a unique $N$-dimensional smooth vector subbundle of $\mathbb{R} \times H$ whose fiber over $t$ consists of eigenvectors for the eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}(t)$. In fact this vector bundle is $C^{Q}$ or $C^{L}$ by the local result above, namely the matrix proposition, part (e) or (f), respectively.
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