Finite and Infinite Dimensional Complex Geometry and Representation Theory

## THE GENERALIZED CAYLEY MAP FROM A LIE GROUP TO ITS LIE ALGEBRA

## Peter W. Michor

This talk is mainly based on the paper [5].

Let  $\pi : G \to \operatorname{End}(V)$  be an infinitesimally faithful complex representation of a connected Lie group G. Consider  $(A, B) \mapsto \operatorname{tr}(AB)$  on  $\operatorname{End}(V)$  and suppose that it is non-degenerate on the linear subspace  $\pi'(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \operatorname{End}(V)$ . Then the orthogonal projection  $\operatorname{pr}_{\pi} : \operatorname{End}(V) \to \pi'(\mathfrak{g})$  is defined:

$$G \xrightarrow{\text{representation } \pi} \operatorname{End}(V)$$

$$\Phi_{\pi} \left| \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Cayley map} & \operatorname{pr}_{\pi} \\ \vdots & \operatorname{infinites. repr. } \pi' \\ \mathfrak{g} \end{array} \right| \text{ orthoproj.} \qquad \qquad \Psi_{\pi}(g) = \Psi(g)$$

$$:= \det(d\Phi(g))$$

The Cayley mapping  $\Phi$  has the following simple properties:

- (1)  $\Phi(bxb^{-1}) = \mathrm{Ad}_b(\Phi(x)).$
- (2) We have  $\Phi(g) \in \operatorname{Cent}(\mathfrak{g}^g) \subset Z_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{g}^g)$ .
- (3)  $d\Phi(e): \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$  is the identity mapping.
- (4)  $H \subset G$  be a Cartan subgroup with Cartan algebra  $\mathfrak{h} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\Phi(H) \subset \mathfrak{h}$ .
- (5) For the character  $\chi_{\pi}(g) = \operatorname{tr}(\pi(g))$  of  $\pi$  we have  $d\chi_{\pi}(g)(T_e(\mu_q)X) = \operatorname{tr}(\pi'(\Phi_{\pi}(g))\pi'(X))$

Further results are:

• Let  $\pi : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(V)$  be a representation admitting a Cayley mapping. Let  $H = (\bigcap_{a \in A} G^a)_o = (G^A)_o \subseteq G$  be a subgroup which is the connected centralizer of a subset  $A \subseteq G$  and suppose that H is itself reductive. Then  $\pi | H : H \to \operatorname{End}(V)$  admits a Cayley mapping and  $\Phi_{\pi} | H = \Phi_{\pi | H} : H \to \mathfrak{h}$ .

• Let G be a semisimple real or complex Lie group, let  $\pi : G \to \operatorname{Aut}(V)$  be an infinitesimally effective representation. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$  be the decomposition into the simple ideals  $\mathfrak{g}_i$ . Let  $G_1, \ldots, G_k$  be the corresponding connected subgroups of G. Then  $\Phi_{\pi}|_{G_i} = \Phi_{\pi|_{G_i}}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ .

• G a simple Lie group, for direct sum and tensor product representations

$$\Phi_{\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2}(g) = \frac{j_{\pi_1}}{j_{\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2}} \Phi_{\pi_1}(g) + \frac{j_{\pi_2}}{j_{\pi_1 \oplus \pi_2}} \Phi_{\pi_2}(g) \in \mathfrak{g}.$$
  
$$\Phi_{\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2}(g) = \frac{j_{\pi_1} \chi_{\pi_2}(g)}{j_{\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2}} \Phi_{\pi_1}(g) + \frac{\chi_{\pi_1}(g) j_{\pi_2}}{j_{\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2}} \Phi_{\pi_2}(g) \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

**Results for algebraic groups.** Now let G be a reductive complex algebraic group and  $\pi$  a rational representation. We have  $A(\mathfrak{g}) = A(\mathfrak{g})^G \otimes \operatorname{Harm}(\mathfrak{g})$  by [Kostant, 1963], where  $\operatorname{Harm}(\mathfrak{g})$  is the space of all regular functions killed by all invariant differential operators with constant coefficients. We define  $\operatorname{Harm}_{\pi}(G) := \Phi_{\pi}^*(\operatorname{Harm}(\mathfrak{g}))$ . It is a G-module.

• For the localization at  $\Psi$  we have  $A(G)_{\Psi} = A(G)_{\Psi}^G \otimes \operatorname{Harm}_{\pi}(G)$ . Moreover, we have  $A(G) = A(G)^G \otimes \operatorname{Harm}_{\pi}(G)$  if and only if  $\Phi : G \to \mathfrak{g}$  maps regular orbits in G to regular orbits in  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

• If  $\Phi(e) = 0 \in \mathfrak{g}$  then for the *G*-equivariant extension of the rational function fields  $\Phi^* : Q(\mathfrak{g}) \to Q(G)$  the degrees satisfy  $[Q(G) : Q(\mathfrak{g})] = [Q(G)^G : Q(\mathfrak{g})^G]$ .

• Let  $a \in G$  be regular. Assume that  $d\Phi(a)$  is invertible. Then  $\Phi$  restricts to an isomorphism  $\Phi : \overline{\operatorname{Conj}_G(a)} \to \overline{\operatorname{Ad}_G(\Phi(a))}$  of affine varieties.

• Let  $a \in G$ . Then for the semisimple parts we have  $\Phi(a_s) = \Phi(a)_s$  and  $\Phi(a) = \Phi(a_s) + \Phi(a)_n \in \mathfrak{g}^a$  is the Jordan decomposition.

• Let G be a connected reductive complex algebraic group and let  $\Phi: G \to \mathfrak{g}$  be the Cayley mapping of a rational representation with  $\Phi(e) = 0$ . Then  $\Phi: G_{\text{pos}} \to \mathfrak{g}_{\text{real}}$  is bijective and a fiber respecting isomorphism of real algebraic varieties, where  $G_{\text{pos}}$  is the set of all  $a \in G$  whose semisimple part has positive eigenvalues, and  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{real}}$  is the set of all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  whose semisimple part has only real eigenvalues.

**Relation to the classical Cayley mapping.** Let  $T : \text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C}) \to SO(n, \mathbb{C})$  be the double cover. We consider the spin representation  $\text{Spin} : \text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C}) \to \text{Aut}(S_n)$ . • There is a choice of the sign of the square root so that  $\chi(g) := \sqrt{\det(1 + T(g))}$ satisfies

$$\Phi_{\mathrm{Spin}}(g) = -\frac{2}{2^{n/2}} \,\chi(g) \,\Gamma(T(g)) \in \mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C}).$$

for all  $g \in \text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C})$ . Moreover,  $\chi \in A(\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C}))$  and we have for the rational function fields

$$Q(\operatorname{Spin}(n))^{\operatorname{Spin}(n)} = Q(\mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C}))^{\operatorname{Spin}(n)}[\chi],$$
$$Q(\operatorname{Spin}(n)) = Q(\mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C}))[\chi].$$

Thus the generalized Cayley mapping  $\Phi_{\text{Spin}}$ :  $\text{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C}) \to \mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C})$  factors to the classical Cayley transform  $\Gamma : SO(n, \mathbb{C})^* \to \text{Lie} \operatorname{Spin}(n, \mathbb{C})^{(*)}$ , up to multiplication by a function, via the natural identifications.

**Relation to Poisson structures.** For a representation  $\pi$  of a Lie group G we can try to pull back the Poisson structure on  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  via the derivative of the character  $d\chi_{\pi}: G \to \mathfrak{g}^*$ . This pullback is a rational Poisson structure on G which in fact is an integrable Dirac structure in the sense of [1], [2], [3]. Let us explain this a little:

Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension m. A *Dirac structure* on M is a vector subbundle  $D \subset TM \times_M T^*M$  with the following two properties:

- (1) Each fiber  $D_x$  is maximally isotropic with respect to the metric of signature (m, m) on  $TM \times_M T^*M$  given by  $\langle (X, \alpha), (X', \alpha') \rangle_+ = \alpha(X') + \alpha'(X)$ . So D is of fiber dimension m.
- (2) The space of sections of D is closed under the non-skew-symmetric version of the Courant-bracket  $[(X, \alpha), (X', \alpha')] = ([X, X'], \mathcal{L}_X \alpha' i_{X'} d\alpha).$

Natural examples of Dirac structures are the following: Symplectic structures  $\omega$  on M, where  $D = D^{\omega} = \{(X, \omega(X)) : X \in TM\}$  is just the graph of  $\omega : TM \to T^*M$ ; these are precisely the Dirac structures D with  $TM \cap D = \{0\}$ . Poisson structures P on M where  $D = D^P = \{(P(\alpha), \alpha) : \alpha \in T^*M\}$  is the graph of  $P : T^*M \to TM$ ; these are precisely the Dirac structures D which are transversal to  $T^*M$ .

Given a Dirac structure D on M we consider its range  $R(D) = \operatorname{pr}_{TM}(D) = \{X \in TM : (X, \alpha) \in D \text{ for some } \alpha \in T^*M\}$ . There is a skew symmetric 2-form  $\Theta_D$  on R(D) which is given by  $\Theta_D(X, X') = \alpha(X')$  where  $\alpha \in T^*M$  is such that  $(X, \alpha) \in D$ . The range R(D) is an integrable distribution of non-constant rank in the sense of Stefan and Sussmann, see [4], so M is foliated into maximal integral submanifolds L of R(D) of varying dimension, which are all initial submanifolds. The form  $\Theta_D$  induces a closed 2-form on each leaf L and  $(L, \Theta_D)$  is thus a presymplectic manifold ( $\Theta_D$  might be degenerate on L). If the Dirac structure corresponds to a Poisson structure then the  $(L, \Theta_D)$  are exactly the symplectic leaves of the Poisson structure.

The main advantage of Dirac structures is that one can apply arbitrary push forwards and pull backs to them. So if  $f: N \to M$  is a smooth mapping and  $D_M$ is a Dirac structure on M then the pull back is defined by  $f^*D_M = \{(X, f^*\alpha) \in TN \times_N T^*N : (Tf.X, \alpha) \in D_M\}$ . Likewise the push forward of a Dirac structure  $D_N$  on N is given by  $f_*D_N = \{(Tf.X, \alpha) \in TM \times_M T^*M : (X, f^*\alpha) \in D_N\}$ .

## References

- [1] Courant, T., Dirac manifolds, Trans. AMS **319** (1990), 631–661.
- Bursztyn, H.; Radko, O., Gauge equivalence of Dirac structures, Ann. Inst. Fourier 53 (2003), 309–337.
- [3] Bursztyn, H.; Crainic, M.; Weinstein, A.; Zhu, C., Integration of twisted Dirac brackets, Duke Math. J. 123 (2004), 549–607.
- Kolář, Ivan; Slovák, Jan; Michor, Peter W., Natural operations in differential geometry, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1993.
- [5] Kostant, Bert; Michor, Peter W., The generalized Cayley map from an algebraic group to its Lie algebra, The orbit method in geometry and physics: In honor of A. A. Kirillov. (Duval, Guieu, Ovsienko, eds.), Progress in Mathematics 213, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003, pp. 259–296, arXiv:math.RT/0109066.

INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, NORDBERGSTRASSE 15, A-1090 WIEN, Austria; *and:* Erwin Schrödinger Institut für Mathematische Physik, Boltzman-Ngasse 9, A-1090 Wien, Austria

*E-mail address*: Peter.Michor@esi.ac.at