

## DISSERTATION

Titel der Dissertation

Sobolev metrics on shape space of surfaces

Verfasser

Philipp Harms

angestrebter akademischer Grad

Doktor der Naturwissenschaften (Dr. rer. nat.)

Wien, Oktober 2010

Studienkennzahl It. Studienblatt:A 091 405Dissertationsgebiet It. Studienblatt:MathematikBetreuer:Ao. Univ.-Prof. Dr. Peter W. Michor

ii



In nova fert animus mutatas dicere formas corpora; di, coeptis (nam vos mutastis et illas) adspirate meis primaque ab origine mundi ad mea perpetuum deducite tempora carmen!

\*The persistence of memory by Salvador Dali. Picture taken from http://en.wikipedia. org/wiki/File:The\_Persistence\_of\_Memory.jpg, November 2010. <sup>†</sup>Proemium of Ovid's metamorphoses.

iv

ABSTRACT. Many procedures in science, engineering and medicine produce data in the form of geometric shapes. Mathematically, a shape can be modeled as an un-parameterized immersed sub-manifold, which is the notion of shape used here. Endowing shape space with a Riemannian metric opens up the world of Riemannian differential geometry with geodesics, gradient flows and curvature. Unfortunately, the simplest such metric induces vanishing path-length distance on shape space. This discovery by Michor and Mumford was the starting point to a quest for stronger, meaningful metrics that should be able to distinguish salient features of the shapes. Sobolev metrics are a very promising approach to that. They come in two flavors: Outer metrics which are induced from metrics on the diffeomorphism group of ambient space, and inner metrics which are defined intrinsically to the shape. In this work, Sobolev inner metrics are developed and treated in a very general setting. There are no restrictions on the dimension of the immersed space or of the ambient space, and ambient space is not required to be flat. It is shown that the path-length distance induced by Sobolev inner metrics does not vanish. The geodesic equation and the conserved quantities arising from the symmetries are calculated, and well-posedness of the geodesic equation is proven. Finally examples of numerical solutions to the geodesic equation are presented.

vi

ACKNOWLEDGMENT. I am very thankful for the support I have received from so many sides during my time as a Ph.D. student. First of all I want to thank my very dear advisor Peter W. Michor. I would also like to thank Alain Troué, Bianca Falcidieno, Silvia Biasotti, Darryl Holm and Andrea Mennucci who have invited me to research visits at their institutes; David Mumford, Stefan Haller, Johannes Wallner and Hermann Schichl who have helped me in mathematical questions; Peter Gruber and Josef Teichmann for their ongoing caring interest in my mathematical and personal welfare; Andreas Kriegl, Dietrich Burde, Armin Rainer and Andreas Nemeth for their merry company at so many meals and coffee breaks; Martin Bauer for bearing with me during all those years as friends and colleagues; my friends, siblings and parents for being such good friends, siblings and parents! viii

## Contents

Pr	Preface v						
	Abst	ract	v				
	Ackr	nowledgment	vii				
	Cont	ents	vii				
1	Introduction						
	1.1	The Riemannian setting for shape analysis	1				
	1.2	Related work	2				
	1.3	Inner versus outer metrics	2				
	1.4	Where this work comes from	4				
	1.5	Content of this work $\ldots \ldots \ldots$	5				
	1.6	Contributions of this work.	6				
<b>2</b>	Not	ation	7				
	2.1	Basic assumptions and conventions	7				
	2.2	Tensor bundles and tensor fields	8				
	2.3	Metric on tensor spaces	8				
	2.4	Traces	9				
	2.5	Volume density	9				
	2.6	Metric on tensor fields	9				
	2.7	Covariant derivative	10				
	2.8	Swapping covariant derivatives	11				
	2.9	Second and higher covariant derivatives	11				
	2.10	Adjoint of the covariant derivative $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	11				

	2.11	Laplacian	12
	2.12	Normal bundle	12
	2.13	Second fundamental form and Weingarten mapping	13
3	Shai	De space	15
0	3.1	Convenient calculus	15
	3.2	Manifolds of immersions and embeddings	17
	3.3	Bundles of multilinear maps over immersions	18
	3.4	Diffeomorphism group	10
	3.5	Riemannian metrics on immersions	10
	3.6	Covariant derivative $\nabla^{\overline{g}}$ on immersions	20
	3.7	Metric gradients	20 20
	3.8	Ceedesic equation on immersions	20 91
	3.0	Geodesic equation on immersions	21 99
	3.10	Conserved momenta	22
	3 11	Shape space	20 25
	3.12	Riemannian submersions and readesics	20 25
	3.13	Riemannian metrics on shape space	20 26
	3.14	Ceodesic equation on shape space	20 26
	3.14	Geodesic equation on shape space	20 28
	3.16	Inner versus outer metrics	20 20
	0.10		20
4	Vari	ational formulas	31
	4.1	Paths of immersions	31
	4.2	Directional derivatives of functions	32
	4.3	Setting for first variations	32
	4.4	Variation of equivariant tensor fields $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	32
	4.5	Variation of the metric	33
	4.6	Variation of the inverse of the metric $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	33
	4.7	Variation of the volume density $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	34
	4.8	Variation of the covariant derivative	35
	4.9	Variation of the Laplacian	35

<b>5</b>	Sob	blev-type metrics	39
	5.1	Invariance of $P$ under reparametrizations $\ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	39
	5.2	The adjoint of $\nabla P$	40
	5.3	Metric gradients	41
	5.4	Geodesic equation	42
	5.5	Geodesic equation	43
	5.6	Well-posedness of the geodesic equation	43
	5.7	Momentum mappings	47
	5.8	Horizontal bundle	48
	5.9	Horizontal curves	49
	5.10	Geodesic equation	50
	5.11	Geodesic equation	50
6	Geo	desic distance on shape space	53
	6.1	Geodesic distance on shape space	53
	6.2	Vanishing geodesic distance	54
	6.3	Area swept out	57
	6.4	First area swept out bound	57
	6.5	Lipschitz continuity of $\sqrt{Vol}$	58
	6.6	Non-vanishing geodesic distance	58
7	Sob	blev metrics induced by the Laplacian	61
	7.1	Other choices for $P$	61
	7.2	Adjoint of $\nabla P$	62
	7.3	Geodesic equations and conserved momentum	65
8	Surf	aces in $n$ -space	67
	8.1	Geodesic equation	67
	8.2	Additional conserved momenta	69
	8.3	Fréchet distance and Finsler metric	69
	8.4	Sobolev versus Fréchet distance	70
	8.5	Concentric spheres	71

xi

xii	CONTENTS
9 Diffeomorphism groups	73
10 Numerical results	75
Appendix	81
Bibliography	81
Zusammenfassung	85
Curriculum Vitae	

### Chapter 1

### Introduction

#### 1.1 The Riemannian setting for shape analysis

From very early on, shapes spaces have been analyzed in a Riemannian setting. This is also the setting that has been adopted in this work. The Riemannian setting is well-suited to shape analysis for several reasons.

- It formalizes an *intuitive notion of similarity* of shapes: Shapes that differ only by a small *deformation* are similar to each other. To compare shapes, it is thus necessary to measure deformations. This is exactly what is accomplished by a Riemannian metric. A Riemannian metric measures continuous deformations of shapes, that is, paths in shape space.
- Riemannian metrics on shape space have been used successfully in *computer vision* for a long time, often without any mention of the underlying metric. Gradient flows for shape smoothing are an example. An underlying metric is needed for the definition of a gradient. Often, the metric that has been used implicitly was the  $L^2$ -metric that has however turned out to be too weak.
- The exponential map that is induced by a Riemannian metric permits to *linearize shape space*: When shapes are represented as initial velocities of geodesics connecting them to a fixed reference shape, one effectively works in the linear tangent space over the reference shape. Curvature will play an essential role in quantifying the deviation of curved shape space from its linearized approximation.
- The linearization of shape space by the exponential map allows to do *statistics* on shape space.

A disadvantage of the Riemannian approach is that shapes can be compared with each other only when there is a deformation between them.

#### 1.2 Related work

In mathematics and computer vision, shapes have been represented in many ways. Point clouds, meshes, level-sets, graphs of a function, currents and measures are but some of the possibilities. Furthermore, the resulting shape spaces have been endowed with many different metrics. Approaches found in the literature include:

- Inner metrics on shape space of unparametrized immersions. These metrics are induced from metrics on parametrized immersions. Since this is the approach studied in this work, more references will be given later.
- Outer metrics on various shape spaces (images, embedded surfaces, landmarks, measures and currents) that the diffeomorphism group of ambient space is acting on. See for example [6, 11, 39, 40, 16, 33, 26, 24].
- Metamorphosis metrics. See for example [47, 25].
- The Wasserstein metric or Monge-Kantorovic metric on shape space of probability measures. See for example [2, 3, 13, 12].
- The Weil-Peterson metric on shape space of planar curves. See for example [42, 43, 30].
- Current metrics. See for example [48, 19, 20].

More references can be found in the review papers [1, 4, 14, 31, 41, 49].

#### **1.3** Inner versus outer metrics

*Outer metrics* measure how much ambient space has to be deformed in order to yield the desired deformation of the shape. This concept has been introduced by the Scottish biologist, mathematician and classics scholar d'Arcy Thompson in 1942, already. As Thompson declared in the epilogue of his book "On Growth and Form" [45], his aim was to show that "a certain mathematical aspect of morphology is essential to (the) proper study of Growth and Form". In the chapter "On the comparison of related forms" of this book, Thompson pictures transformations of the ambient space by a Cartesian grid. A transformation of the ambient space and the grid then results in a deformation of the embedded shape, too. An example is given in figure 1.1.

Thompson's notion of shape transformation and the concept of outer metrics is fundamentally different from the notion of shape transformation that underlies this work. In this work, so called *inner metrics* are treated. Inner metrics measure how much the shape itself is deformed. A deformation of the shape does not carry with it a deformation of the ambient space. The ambient space is fixed, and the shape moves within it. This is illustrated in figure 1.2.

Riemannian metrics on shape space measure *infinitesimal deformations*. An infinitesimal deformation of ambient space is a vector field on ambient space and



Figure 1.1: Transformation of the ambient space carrying with it a transformation from human skull to chimpanzee and baboon. From [45, p. 318–319].



Figure 1.2: The same transformation as in figure 1.1, but only the shape is transformed, not the ambient space. Modification of [45, p. 318–319].

could be pictured as a small arrow attached to every point in ambient space. This is the kind of deformation that is measured by outer metrics. In contrast to this, an infinitesimal deformation of the shape itself is a (normal or horizontal) vector field along the shape. It could be pictured as a small arrow attached to every point of the shape. Figure 1.3 illustrates the two kinds of deformation.



Figure 1.3: Infinitesimal transformation of ambient space (left) as measured by an outer metric and infinitesimal transformation of the shape itself (right) as measured by an inner metric.

The deformations that are measured by inner metrics have much smaller (lower dimensional) support than those measured by outer metrics. This is an advantage in *numerics*. A disadvantage is that the differential operator governing an inner metric usually depends on the shape, which is not the case for outer metrics.

Section 3.16 explains how the difference between inner and outer metrics becomes manifest in the mathematical theory. Sections 3.3 and 3.13 explain in mathematical terms what an infinitesimal deformation of a shape is.

#### 1.4 Where this work comes from

This work continues the study of *inner metrics* on shape space of *unparametrized immersions* of a fixed manifold M into a Riemannian manifold N. M fixes the topology of the shapes. N is the ambient space, and it is endowed with a fixed metric. For example, M could be a simple geometric object like a sphere, and N could be some  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

It came as a big surprise when Michor and Mumford found out in [38, 37] that the simplest and most natural Riemannian metric on this shape space (the  $L^2$ metric) induces vanishing geodesic distance on shape space. More precisely the result is that any two shapes can be deformed into each other by a deformation that is arbitrarily small when measured with respect to this metric. The result also holds for  $L^2$ -metrics on diffeomorphism groups and for outer  $L^2$ -metrics on shape space. The discovery of this degeneracy was the starting point to a quest for stronger metrics. These metrics should be able to distinguish salient features of the shapes. The meaning of salient of course depends on the application.

One approach to strengthen the  $L^2$  inner metric is by weighting it by a

function depending on the mean curvature and/or the volume [38, 37, 7, 9, 10]. Such metrics have been called *almost local metrics*.

Another approach, and the approach taken in this work, is to incorporate derivatives of the deformation vector fields in the definition of the metric. This yields *Sobolev inner metrics* on shape space. Sobolev inner metrics have first been defined on shape space of planar curves [39, 51, 52, 46, 32]. In this work and in [8], these metrics are generalized to higher dimensional shape spaces and to a possibly curved ambient space.

Some parts of this work have been written in collaboration with Martin Bauer and can also be found in his thesis [10]. This concerns mainly sections 2, 3 and 4 covering a lot of background material.

#### 1.5 Content of this work

This work progresses from a very general setting to a specific one in three steps. In the beginning, a framework for general inner metrics is developed. Then the general concepts carry over to more and more specific inner metrics.

- First, shape space is endowed with a *general inner metric*, i.e with a metric that is induced from a metric on the space of immersions, but that is unspecified otherwise. It is shown how various versions of the geodesic equation can be expressed using gradients of the metric with respect to itself and how conserved quantities arise from symmetries. (This is section 3.)
- Then it is assumed that the inner metric is defined via an elliptic pseudodifferential operator. Such a metric will be called a *Sobolev-type metric*. The geodesic equation is formulated in terms of the operator, and existence of horizontal paths of immersions within each equivalence class of paths is proven. (This is section 5.) Then estimates on the path-length distance are derived. Most importantly it is shown that when the operator involves high enough powers of the Laplacian, then the metric does not have the degeneracy of the  $L^2$ -metric. (This is section 6.)
- Motivated by the previous results it is assumed that the elliptic pseudodifferential operator is given by the *Laplacian* and powers of it. Again, the geodesic equation is derived. The formulas that are obtained are ready to be implemented numerically. (This is section 7.)

The remaining sections cover the following material:

• Section 2 treats some *differential geometry of surfaces* that is needed throughout this work. It is also a good reference for the notation that is used. The biggest emphasis is on a rigorous treatment of the covariant derivative. Some material like the adjoint covariant derivative is not found in standard text books.

- Section 4 contains formulas for the *variation* of the metric, volume form, covariant derivative and Laplacian with respect to the immersion inducing them. These formulas are used extensively later.
- Section 8 covers the special case of *flat ambient space*. The geodesic equation is simplified and conserved momenta for the Euclidean motion group are calculated. Sobolev-type metrics are compared to the Fréchet metric which is available in flat ambient space.
- Section 9 treats *diffeomorphism groups* of compact manifolds as a special case of the theory that has been developed so far.
- In section 10 it is shown in some examples that the geodesic equation on shape space can be solved *numerically*.

#### 1.6 Contributions of this work.

- This work is the first to treat Sobolev inner metrics on spaces of immersed surfaces and on higher dimensional shape spaces.
- It contains the first description of how the geodesic equation can be formulated in terms of gradients of the metric with respect to itself when the ambient space is not flat. To achieve this, a covariant derivative on some bundles over immersions is defined. This covariant derivative is induced from the Levi-Civita covariant derivative on ambient space.
- The geodesic equation is formulated in terms of this covariant derivative. Well-posedness of the geodesic equation is shown under some regularity assumptions that are verified for Sobolev metrics. Well-posedness also follows for the geodesic equation on diffeomorphism groups, where this result has not yet been obtained in that full generality.
- To derive the geodesic equation, a variational formula for the Laplacian operator is developed. The variation is taken with respect to the metric on the manifold where the Laplacian is defined. This metric in turn depends on the immersion inducing it.
- It is shown that Sobolev inner metrics separate points in shape space when the order of the differential operator governing the metric is high enough. (The metric needs to be as least as strong as the  $H^1$ -metric.) Thus Sobolev inner metrics overcome the degeneracy of the  $L^2$ -metric.
- The path-length distance of Sobolev inner metrics is compared to the Fréchet distance. It would be desirable to bound Féchet distance by some Sobolev distance. This however remains an open problem.
- Finally it is demonstrated in some examples that the geodesic equation for the  $H^1$ -metric on shape space of surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  can be solved numerically.

### Chapter 2

## Differential geometry of surfaces and notation

In this section the differential geometric tools that are needed to deal with immersed surfaces are presented and developed. The most important point is a rigorous treatment of the covariant derivative and related concepts.

The notation of [36] is used. Some of the definitions can also be found in [27]. A similar exposition in the same notation is [7, 8]. This section has been written in collaboration with Martin Bauer and is the same as section 1.1 of his Ph.D. thesis [10], up to slight modifications.

#### 2.1 Basic assumptions and conventions

**Assumption.** It is always assumed that M and N are connected manifolds of finite dimensions m and n, respectively. Furthermore it is assumed that M is compact, and that N is endowed with a Riemannian metric  $\overline{g}$ .

In this work, *immersions* of M into N will be treated, i.e. smooth functions  $M \to N$  with injective tangent mapping at every point. The set of all such immersions will be denoted by Imm(M, N). It is clear that only the case  $\dim(M) \leq \dim(N)$  is of interest since otherwise Imm(M, N) would be empty.

Immersions or paths of immersions are usually denoted by f. Vector fields on Imm(M, N) or vector fields along f will be called h, k, m, for example. Subscripts like  $f_t = \partial_t f = \partial f / \partial t$  denote differentiation with respect to the indicated variable, but subscripts are also used to indicate the foot point of a tensor field.

#### 2.2 Tensor bundles and tensor fields

The tensor bundles

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T^r_sM & T^r_sM\otimes f^*TN \\ & & \downarrow \\ M & M \end{array}$$

will be used. Here  $T_s^r M$  denotes the bundle of  $\binom{r}{s}$ -tensors on M, i.e.

$$T_s^r M = \bigotimes^r TM \otimes \bigotimes^s T^* M,$$

and  $f^*TN$  is the pullback of the bundle TN via f, see [36, section 17.5]. A *tensor field* is a section of a tensor bundle. Generally, when E is a bundle, the space of its sections will be denoted by  $\Gamma(E)$ .

To clarify the notation that will be used later, some examples of tensor bundles and tensor fields are given now.  $S^kT^*M = L^k_{\text{sym}}(TM;\mathbb{R})$  and  $\Lambda^kT^*M = L^k_{\text{alt}}(TM;\mathbb{R})$  are the bundles of symmetric and alternating  $\binom{0}{k}$ -tensors, respectively.  $\Omega^k(M) = \Gamma(\Lambda^kT^*M)$  is the space of differential forms,  $\mathfrak{X}(M) = \Gamma(TM)$  is the space of vector fields, and

$$\Gamma(f^*TN) \cong \left\{ h \in C^{\infty}(M, TN) : \pi_N \circ h = f \right\}$$

is the space of vector fields along f.

#### 2.3 Metric on tensor spaces

Let  $\overline{g} \in \Gamma(S^2_{>0}T^*N)$  denote a fixed Riemannian metric on N. The *metric* induced on M by  $f \in \text{Imm}(M, N)$  is the pullback metric

$$g = f^*\overline{g} \in \Gamma(S^2_{>0}T^*M), \qquad g(X,Y) = (f^*\overline{g})(X,Y) = \overline{g}(Tf.X,Tf.Y),$$

where X, Y are vector fields on M. The dependence of g on the immersion f should be kept in mind. Let

$$\flat = \check{g} : TM \to T^*M \text{ and } \sharp = \check{g}^{-1} : T^*M \to TM.$$

g can be extended to the cotangent bundle  $T^*M = T_1^0M$  by setting

$$g^{-1}(\alpha,\beta) = g_1^0(\alpha,\beta) = \alpha(\beta^{\sharp})$$

for  $\alpha, \beta \in T^*M$ . The product metric

$$g_s^r = \bigotimes^r g \otimes \bigotimes^s g^{-1}$$

extends g to all tensor spaces  $T_s^r M$ , and  $g_s^r \otimes \overline{g}$  yields a metric on  $T_s^r M \otimes f^* T N$ .

2.4. TRACES

#### 2.4 Traces

The *trace* contracts pairs of vectors and co-vectors in a tensor product:

Tr: 
$$T^*M \otimes TM = L(TM, TM) \to M \times \mathbb{R}$$

A special case of this is the operator  $i_X$  inserting a vector X into a co-vector or into a covariant factor of a tensor product. The inverse of the metric g can be used to define a trace

$$\operatorname{Tr}^g: T^*M \otimes T^*M \to M \times \mathbb{R}$$

contracting pairs of co-vecors. Note that  $Tr^g$  depends on the metric whereas Tr does not. The following lemma will be useful in many calculations:

#### Lemma.

 $g_2^0(B,C) = \operatorname{Tr}(g^{-1}Bg^{-1}C) \quad for \ B, C \in T_2^0M \ if \ B \ or \ C \ is \ symmetric.$ 

(In the expression under the trace, B and C are seen maps  $TM \to T^*M$ .)

*Proof.* Express everything in a local coordinate system  $u^1, \ldots u^m$  of M.

$$g_{2}^{0}(B,C) = g_{2}^{0} \Big( \sum_{ik} B_{ik} du^{i} \otimes du^{k}, \sum_{jl} C_{jl} du^{j} \otimes du^{l} \Big)$$
$$= \sum_{ijkl} g^{ij} B_{ik} g^{kl} C_{jl} = \sum_{ijkl} g^{ji} B_{ik} g^{kl} C_{lj} = \operatorname{Tr}(g^{-1} B g^{-1} C)$$

Note that only the symmetry of C has been used.

#### 2.5 Volume density

Let Vol(M) be the *density bundle* over M, see [36, section 10.2]. The *volume density* on M induced by  $f \in Imm(M, N)$  is

$$\operatorname{vol}(g) = \operatorname{vol}(f^*\overline{g}) \in \Gamma(\operatorname{Vol}(M)).$$

The *volume* of the immersion is given by

$$\operatorname{Vol}(f) = \int_M \operatorname{vol}(f^*\overline{g}) = \int_M \operatorname{vol}(g).$$

The integral is well-defined since M is compact. If M is oriented the volume density may be identified with a differential form.

#### 2.6 Metric on tensor fields

A *metric on a space of tensor fields* is defined by integrating the appropriate metric on the tensor space with respect to the volume density:

$$\widetilde{g_s^r}(B,C) = \int_M g_s^r \big(B(x),C(x)\big) \mathrm{vol}(g)(x)$$

for  $B, C \in \Gamma(T_s^r M)$ , and

$$\widetilde{g_s^r\otimes \overline{g}}(B,C) = \int_M g_s^r\otimes \overline{g}\big(B(x),C(x)\big) \mathrm{vol}(g)(x)$$

for  $B, C \in \Gamma(T_s^r M \otimes f^*TN), f \in \text{Imm}(M, N)$ . The integrals are well-defined because M is compact.

#### 2.7 Covariant derivative

Covariant derivatives on vector bundles as explained in [36, sections 19.12, 22.9] will be used. Let  $\nabla^g, \nabla^{\overline{g}}$  be the *Levi-Civita covariant derivatives* on (M, g) and  $(N, \overline{g})$ , respectively. For any manifold Q and vector field X on Q, one has

$$\begin{split} \nabla^g_X : C^\infty(Q,TM) &\to C^\infty(Q,TM), & h \mapsto \nabla^g_X h \\ \nabla^{\overline{g}}_X : C^\infty(Q,TN) &\to C^\infty(Q,TN), & h \mapsto \nabla^{\overline{g}}_X h. \end{split}$$

Usually the symbol  $\nabla$  will be used for all covariant derivatives. It should be kept in mind that  $\nabla^g$  depends on the metric  $g = f^* \overline{g}$  and therefore also on the immersion f. The following properties hold [36, section 22.9]:

- 1.  $\nabla_X$  respects base points, i.e.  $\pi \circ \nabla_X h = \pi \circ h$ , where  $\pi$  is the projection of the tangent space onto the base manifold.
- 2.  $\nabla_X h$  is  $C^{\infty}$ -linear in X. So for a tangent vector  $X_x \in T_x Q$ ,  $\nabla_{X_x} h$  makes sense and equals  $(\nabla_X h)(x)$ .
- 3.  $\nabla_X h$  is  $\mathbb{R}$ -linear in h.
- 4.  $\nabla_X(a.h) = da(X).h + a.\nabla_X h$  for  $a \in C^{\infty}(Q)$ , the derivation property of  $\nabla_X$ .
- 5. For any manifold  $\widetilde{Q}$  and smooth mapping  $q : \widetilde{Q} \to Q$  and  $Y_y \in T_y \widetilde{Q}$  one has  $\nabla_{Tq,Y_y} h = \nabla_{Y_y} (h \circ q)$ . If  $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(Q_1)$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$  are q-related, then  $\nabla_Y (h \circ q) = (\nabla_X h) \circ q$ .

The two covariant derivatives  $\nabla_X^g$  and  $\nabla_X^{\overline{g}}$  can be combined to yield a covariant derivative  $\nabla_X$  acting on  $C^{\infty}(Q, T_s^r M \otimes TN)$  by additionally requiring the following properties [36, section 22.12]:

- 6.  $\nabla_X$  respects the spaces  $C^{\infty}(Q, T_s^r M \otimes TN)$ .
- 7.  $\nabla_X(h \otimes k) = (\nabla_X h) \otimes k + A \otimes (\nabla_X k)$ , a derivation with respect to the tensor product.
- 8.  $\nabla_X$  commutes with any kind of contraction (see [36, section 8.18]). A special case of this is

$$\nabla_X(\alpha(Y)) = (\nabla_X \alpha)(Y) + \alpha(\nabla_X Y) \quad \text{for } \alpha \otimes Y : N \to T_1^1 M.$$

Property (1) is important because it implies that  $\nabla_X$  respects spaces of sections of bundles. For example, for Q = M and  $f \in C^{\infty}(M, N)$ , one gets

$$\nabla_X: \Gamma(T^r_s M \otimes f^*TN) \to \Gamma(T^r_s M \otimes f^*TN).$$

#### 2.8 Swapping covariant derivatives

Some formulas allowing to swap covariant derivatives will be used repeatedly. Let f be an immersion, h a vector field along f and X, Y vector fields on M. Since  $\nabla$  is torsion-free, one has [36, section 22.10]:

(1) 
$$\nabla_X T f. Y - \nabla_Y T f. X - T f. [X, Y] = \operatorname{Tor}(T f. X, T f. Y) = 0.$$

Furthermore one has [36, section 24.5]:

(2) 
$$\nabla_X \nabla_Y h - \nabla_Y \nabla_X h - \nabla_{[X,Y]} h = R^{\overline{g}} \circ (Tf.X, Tf.Y)h,$$

where  $R^{\overline{g}} \in \Omega^2(N; L(TN, TN))$  is the Riemann curvature tensor of  $(N, \overline{g})$ .

These formulas also hold when  $f : \mathbb{R} \times M \to N$  is a path of immersions,  $h : \mathbb{R} \times M \to TN$  is a vector field along f and the vector fields are vector fields on  $\mathbb{R} \times M$ . A case of special importance is when one of the vector fields is  $(\partial_t, 0_M)$  and the other  $(0_{\mathbb{R}}, Y)$ , where Y is a vector field on M. Since the Lie bracket of these vector fields vanishes, (1) and (2) yield

(3) 
$$\nabla_{(\partial_t, 0_M)} Tf.(0_{\mathbb{R}}, Y) - \nabla_{(0_{\mathbb{R}}, Y)} Tf.(\partial_t, 0_M) = 0$$

and

(4) 
$$\nabla_{(\partial_t, 0_M)} \nabla_{(0_{\mathbb{R}}, Y)} h - \nabla_{(0_{\mathbb{R}}, Y)} \nabla_{(\partial_t, 0_M)} h = R^{\overline{g}} \big( Tf.(\partial_t, 0_M), Tf.(0_{\mathbb{R}}, Y) \big) h.$$

#### 2.9 Second and higher covariant derivatives

When the covariant derivative is seen as a mapping

$$\nabla: \Gamma(T^r_sM) \to \Gamma(T^r_{s+1}M) \quad \text{or} \quad \nabla: \Gamma(T^r_sM \otimes f^*TN) \to \Gamma(T^r_{s+1}M \otimes f^*TN),$$

then the second covariant derivative is simply  $\nabla \nabla = \nabla^2$ . Since the covariant derivative commutes with contractions,  $\nabla^2$  can be expressed as

$$\nabla_{X,Y}^2 := \iota_Y \iota_X \nabla^2 = \iota_Y \nabla_X \nabla = \nabla_X \nabla_Y - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M).$$

Higher covariant derivates are defined accordingly as  $\nabla^k, k \ge 0$ .

#### 2.10 Adjoint of the covariant derivative

The covariant derivative

$$\nabla: \Gamma(T_s^r M) \to \Gamma(T_{s+1}^r M)$$

admits an *adjoint* 

$$\nabla^*: \Gamma(T^r_{s+1}M) \to \Gamma(T^r_sM)$$

with respect to the metric  $\tilde{g}$ , i.e.:

$$\widetilde{g_{s+1}^r}(\nabla B,C) = \widetilde{g_s^r}(B,\nabla^*C).$$

In the same way,  $\nabla^*$  can be defined when  $\nabla$  is acting on  $\Gamma(T_s^r M \otimes f^*TN)$ . In either case it is given by

$$\nabla^* B = -\operatorname{Tr}^g(\nabla B),$$

where the trace is contracting the first two tensor slots of  $\nabla B$ . This formula will be proven now:

*Proof.* The result holds for decomposable tensor fields  $\beta \otimes B \in \Gamma(T_{s+1}^r M)$  since

$$\begin{split} \widetilde{g_s^r} \left( \nabla^* (\beta \otimes B), C \right) &= \widetilde{g_{s+1}^r} \left( \beta \otimes B, \nabla C \right) = \widetilde{g_s^r} \left( B, \nabla_{\beta^\sharp} C \right) \\ &= \int_M \mathcal{L}_{\beta^\sharp} g_s^r (B, C) \operatorname{vol}(g) - \int_M g_s^r (\nabla_{\beta^\sharp} B, C) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &= \int_M -g_s^r (B, C) \mathcal{L}_{\beta^\sharp} \operatorname{vol}(g) - \int_M g_s^r (\operatorname{Tr}^g (\beta \otimes \nabla B), C) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &= \widetilde{g_s^r} \left( -\operatorname{div}(\beta^\sharp) B - \operatorname{Tr}^g (\beta \otimes \nabla B), C \right) \\ &= \widetilde{g_s^r} \left( -\operatorname{div}(\beta^\sharp) B + \operatorname{Tr}^g ((\nabla\beta) \otimes B) - \operatorname{Tr}^g (\nabla(\beta \otimes B)), C \right) \\ &= \widetilde{g_s^r} \left( -\operatorname{div}(\beta^\sharp) B + \operatorname{Tr}^g (\nabla\beta) B - \operatorname{Tr}^g (\nabla(\beta \otimes B)), C \right) \\ &= \widetilde{g_s^r} \left( 0 - \operatorname{Tr}^g (\nabla(\beta \otimes B)), C \right) \end{split}$$

Here it has been used that  $\nabla_X g = 0$ , that  $\nabla_X$  commutes with any kind of contraction and acts as a derivation on tensor products [36, section 22.12] and that  $\operatorname{div}(X) = \operatorname{Tr}(\nabla X)$  for all vector fields X [36, section 25.12]. To prove the result for  $\beta \otimes B \in \Gamma(T_{s+1}^r M \otimes f^*TN)$  one simply has to replace  $g_s^r$  by  $g_s^r \otimes \overline{g}$ .  $\Box$ 

#### 2.11 Laplacian

The definition of the Laplacian used in this work is the *Bochner-Laplacian*. It can act on all tensor fields B and is defined as

$$\Delta B = \nabla^* \nabla B = -\operatorname{Tr}^g(\nabla^2 B).$$

#### 2.12 Normal bundle

The normal bundle Nor(f) of an immersion f is a sub-bundle of  $f^*TN$  whose fibers consist of all vectors that are orthogonal to the image of f:

$$\operatorname{Nor}(f)_x = \left\{ Y \in T_{f(x)}N : \forall X \in T_xM : \overline{g}(Y, Tf.X) = 0 \right\}.$$

If  $\dim(M) = \dim(N)$  then the fibers of the normal bundle are but the zero vector. Any vector field h along  $f \in \text{Imm}$  can be decomposed uniquely into parts *tangential* and *normal* to f as

$$h = Tf.h^{\top} + h^{\perp},$$

where  $h^{\top}$  is a vector field on M and  $h^{\perp}$  is a section of the normal bundle Nor(f).

# 2.13 Second fundamental form and Weingarten mapping

Let X and Y be vector fields on M. Then the covariant derivative  $\nabla_X T f. Y$  splits into tangential and a normal parts as

$$\nabla_X T f.Y = T f. (\nabla_X T f.Y)^\top + (\nabla_X T f.Y)^\perp = T f. \nabla_X Y + S(X,Y).$$

S is the second fundamental form of f. It is a symmetric bilinear form with values in the normal bundle of f. When Tf is seen as a section of  $T^*M \otimes f^*TN$  one has  $S = \nabla Tf$  since

 $S(X,Y) = \nabla_X T f \cdot Y - T f \cdot \nabla_X Y = (\nabla T f)(X,Y).$ 

The trace of S is the vector valued mean curvature  $\operatorname{Tr}^{g}(S) \in \Gamma(\operatorname{Nor}(f))$ .

CHAPTER 2. NOTATION

### Chapter 3

### Shape space

Briefly said, in this work the word shape means an *unparametrized surface*. (The term surface is used regardless of whether it has dimension two or not.) This section is about the infinite dimensional space of all shapes. First an overview of the differential calculus that is used is presented. Then some spaces of parametrized and unparametrized surfaces are described, and it is shown how to define Riemannian metrics on them. The geodesic equation and conserved quantities arising from symmetries are derived.

The agenda that is set out in this section will be pursued in section 5 when the arbitrary metric is replaced by a Sobolev-type metric involving a pseudodifferential operator and later in section 7 when the pseudo-differential operator is replaced by an operator involving powers of the Laplacian.

This section is common work with Martin Bauer and can also be found in section 1.2 of his Ph.D. thesis [10].

#### 3.1 Convenient calculus

The differential calculus used in this work is *convenient calculus* [29]. The overview of convenient calculus presented here is taken from [35, Appendix A]. Convenient calculus is a generalization of differential calculus to spaces beyond Banach and Fréchet spaces. Its most useful property for this work is that the *exponential law* holds without any restriction:

$$C^{\infty}(E \times F, G) \cong C^{\infty}(E, C^{\infty}(F, G))$$

for convenient vector spaces E, F, G and a natural convenient vector space structure on  $C^{\infty}(F, G)$ . As a consequence variational calculus simply works: For example, a smooth curve in  $C^{\infty}(M, N)$  can equivalently be seen as a smooth mapping  $M \times \mathbb{R} \to N$ . The main difficulty in the convenient setting is that the composition of linear mappings stops being jointly continuous at the level of Banach spaces for any compatible topology. Let E be a locally convex vector space. A curve  $c : \mathbb{R} \to E$  is called smooth or  $C^{\infty}$  if all derivatives exist and are continuous - this is a concept without problems. Let  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, E)$  be the space of smooth functions. It can be shown that  $C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, E)$  does not depend on the locally convex topology of E, but only on its associated bornology (system of bounded sets).

E is said to be a *convenient vector space* if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied (called  $c^{\infty}$ -completeness):

- 1. For any  $c \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, E)$  the (Riemann-) integral  $\int_0^1 c(t)dt$  exists in E.
- 2. A curve  $c : \mathbb{R} \to E$  is smooth if and only if  $\lambda \circ c$  is smooth for all  $\lambda \in E'$ , where E' is the dual consisting of all continuous linear functionals on E.
- 3. Any Mackey-Cauchy-sequence (i. e.  $t_{nm}(x_n x_m) \to 0$  for some  $t_{nm} \to \infty$ in  $\mathbb{R}$ ) converges in E. This is visibly a weak completeness requirement.

The final topology with respect to all smooth curves is called the  $c^{\infty}$ -topology on E, which then is denoted by  $c^{\infty}E$ . For Fréchet spaces it coincides with the given locally convex topology, but on the space  $\mathcal{D}$  of test functions with compact support on  $\mathbb{R}$  it is strictly finer.

Let E and F be locally convex vector spaces, and let  $U \subset E$  be  $c^{\infty}$ -open. A mapping  $f : U \to F$  is called *smooth* or  $C^{\infty}$ , if  $f \circ c \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, F)$  for all  $c \in C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, U)$ . The notion of smooth mappings carries over to mappings between *convenient manifolds*, which are manifolds modeled on  $c^{\infty}$ -open subsets of convenient vector spaces.

**Theorem.** The main properties of smooth calculus are the following.

- For mappings on Fréchet spaces this notion of smoothness coincides with all other reasonable definitions. Even on R<sup>2</sup> this is non-trivial.
- 2. Multilinear mappings are smooth if and only if they are bounded.
- 3. If  $f : E \supseteq U \to F$  is smooth then the derivative  $df : U \times E \to F$  is smooth, and also  $df : U \to L(E, F)$  is smooth where L(E, F) denotes the space of all bounded linear mappings with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets.
- 4. The chain rule holds.
- 5. The space  $C^{\infty}(U, F)$  is again a convenient vector space where the structure is given by the obvious injection

$$C^\infty(U,F)\to \prod_{c\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},U)}C^\infty(\mathbb{R},F)\to \prod_{c\in C^\infty(\mathbb{R},U),\lambda\in F'}C^\infty(\mathbb{R},\mathbb{R}).$$

6. The exponential law holds:

$$C^{\infty}(U, C^{\infty}(V, G)) \cong C^{\infty}(U \times V, G)$$

is a linear diffeomorphism of convenient vector spaces. Note that this is the main assumption of variational calculus. 7. A linear mapping  $f : E \to C^{\infty}(V, G)$  is smooth (bounded) if and only if  $E \xrightarrow{f} C^{\infty}(V, G) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_{v}} G$  is smooth for each  $v \in V$ . This is called the smooth uniform boundedness theorem and it is quite applicable.

Proofs of these statements can be found in [29].

#### **3.2** Manifolds of immersions and embeddings

What has sloppily been called a *parametrized surface* will now be turned into a rigorous definition. Mathematically, parametrized surfaces will be modeled as immersions or embeddings of one manifold into another. Immersions and embeddings are called parametrized since a change in their parametrization (i.e. applying a diffeomorphism on the domain of the function) results in a different object. The following sets of functions will be important:

(1)  $\operatorname{Emb}(M, N) \subset \operatorname{Imm}_{f}(M, N) \subset \operatorname{Imm}(M, N) \subset C^{\infty}(M, N).$ 

 $C^{\infty}(M, N)$  is the set of smooth functions from M to N.  $\operatorname{Imm}(M, N)$  is the set of all *immersions* of M into N, i.e. all functions  $f \in C^{\infty}(M, N)$  such that  $T_x f$  is injective for all  $x \in M$ .  $\operatorname{Imm}_f(M, N)$  is the set of all *free immersions*. An immersion f is called free if the diffeomorphism group of M acts freely on it, i.e.  $f \circ \varphi = f$  implies  $\varphi = \operatorname{Id}_M$  for all  $\varphi \in \operatorname{Diff}(M)$ .  $\operatorname{Emb}(M, N)$  is the set of all *embeddings* of M into N, i.e. all immersions f that are a homeomorphism onto their image.

The following lemma from [17, 1.3 and 1.4] gives sufficient conditions for an immersion to be free. In particular it implies that every embedding is free.

**Lemma.** If  $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$  has a fixed point and if  $f \circ \varphi = f$  for some immersion f, then  $\varphi = \text{Id}_M$ .

If for an immersion f there is a point  $x \in f(M)$  with only one preimage then f is free.

Since M is compact by assumption (see section 2.1) it follows that  $C^{\infty}(M, N)$  is a *Fréchet manifold* [29, section 42.3]. All inclusions in (1) are inclusions of open subsets: Imm(M, N) is open in  $C^{\infty}(M, N)$  since the condition that the differential is injective at every point is an open condition on the one-jet of f [34, section 5.1].  $\text{Imm}_f(M, N)$  is open in Imm(M, N) by [17, theorem 1.5]. Emb(M, N) is open in  $\text{Imm}_f(M, N)$  by [29, theorem 44.1]. Therefore all function spaces in (1) are Fréchet manifolds as well.

When it is clear that M and N are the domain and target of the mappings, the abbreviations  $\text{Emb}, \text{Imm}_f, \text{Imm}$  will be used. In most cases, immersions will be used since this is the most general setting. Working with free immersions instead of immersions makes a difference in section 3.11, and working with embeddings instead of immersions makes a difference in section 6.1. The tangent and cotangent space to Imm are treated in the next section.

#### 3.3 Bundles of multilinear maps over immersions

Consider the following *natural bundles of k-multilinear mappings*:



These bundles are isomorphic to the bundles



where  $\bigotimes$  denotes the  $c^{\infty}$ -completed bornological tensor product of locally convex vector spaces [29, section 5.7, section 4.29]. Note that L(TImm; TImm) is not isomorphic to  $T^*\text{Imm} \otimes T\text{Imm}$  since the latter bundle corresponds to multilinear mappings with finite rank.

It is worth to write down more explicitly what some of these bundles of multilinear mappings are. The *tangent space to* Imm is given by

$$T_{f}\operatorname{Imm} = C_{f}^{\infty}(M, TN) := \left\{ h \in C^{\infty}(M, TN) : \pi_{N} \circ h = f \right\},$$
  
$$T\operatorname{Imm} = C_{\operatorname{Imm}}^{\infty}(M, TN) := \left\{ h \in C^{\infty}(M, TN) : \pi_{N} \circ h \in \operatorname{Imm} \right\}.$$

Thus  $T_f$ Imm is the space of vector fields along the immersion f. Now the cotangent space to Imm will be described. The symbol  $\widehat{\otimes}_{C^{\infty}(M)}$  means that the tensor product is taken over the algebra  $C^{\infty}(M)$ .

$$T_f^* \text{Imm} = L(T_f \text{Imm}; \mathbb{R}) = C_f^{\infty}(M, TN)' = C^{\infty}(M)' \widehat{\otimes}_{C^{\infty}(M)} C_f^{\infty}(M, T^*N)$$
$$T^* \text{Imm} = L(T \text{Imm}; \mathbb{R}) = C^{\infty}(M)' \widehat{\otimes}_{C^{\infty}(M)} C_{\text{Imm}}^{\infty}(M, T^*N)$$

The bundle  $L^2_{\text{sym}}(T\text{Imm};\mathbb{R})$  is of interest for the definition of a Riemannian metric on Imm. (The subscripts sym and alt indicate symmetric and alternating multilinear maps, respectively.) Letting  $\otimes_S$  denotes the symmetric tensor product and  $\widehat{\otimes}_S$  the  $c^{\infty}$ -completed bornological symmetric tensor product, one has

$$L^{2}_{\text{sym}}(T_{f}\text{Imm};\mathbb{R}) = (T_{f}\text{Imm}\widehat{\otimes}_{S} T_{f}\text{Imm})' = (C^{\infty}_{f}(M,TN)\widehat{\otimes}_{S} C^{\infty}_{f}(M,TN))'$$
$$= (C^{\infty}_{f}(M,TN \otimes_{S} TN))'$$
$$= C^{\infty}(M)'\widehat{\otimes}_{C^{\infty}(M)}C^{\infty}_{f}(M,T^{*}N \otimes_{S} T^{*}N)$$
$$L^{2}_{\text{sym}}(T\text{Imm};\mathbb{R}) = C^{\infty}(M)'\widehat{\otimes}_{C^{\infty}(M)}C^{\infty}_{\text{Imm}}(M,T^{*}N \otimes_{S} T^{*}N)$$

#### 3.4 Diffeomorphism group

The following result is taken from [29, section 43.1] with slight simplifications due to the compactness of M.

**Theorem.** For a smooth compact manifold M the group Diff(M) of all smooth diffeomorphisms of M is an open submanifold of  $C^{\infty}(M, M)$ . Composition and inversion are smooth. The Lie algebra of the smooth infinite dimensional Lie group Diff(M) is the convenient vector space  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  of all smooth vector fields on M, equipped with the negative of the usual Lie bracket. Diff(M) is a regular Lie group in the sense that the right evolution

$$\operatorname{evol}^r : C^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}, \mathfrak{X}(M)) \to \operatorname{Diff}(M)$$

as defined in [29, section 38.4] exists and is smooth. The exponential mapping

 $\exp: \mathfrak{X}(M) \to \operatorname{Diff}(M)$ 

is the flow mapping to time 1, and it is smooth.

The diffeomorphism group Diff(M) acts smoothly on  $C^{\infty}(M, N)$  and its subspaces  $\text{Imm}, \text{Imm}_f$  and Emb by composition from the right. For Imm, the action is given by the mapping

 $\operatorname{Imm}(M,N)\times\operatorname{Diff}(M)\to\operatorname{Imm}(M,N),\qquad (f,\varphi)\mapsto r(f,\varphi)=r^\varphi(f)=f\circ\varphi.$ 

The tangent prolongation of this group action is given by the mapping

 $T\mathrm{Imm}(M,N)\times\mathrm{Diff}(M)\to T\mathrm{Imm}(M,N),\qquad (h,\varphi)\mapsto Tr^\varphi(h)=h\circ\varphi.$ 

#### 3.5 Riemannian metrics on immersions

A Riemannian metric G on Imm is a section of the bundle

 $L^2_{\text{sym}}(T\text{Imm};\mathbb{R})$ 

such that at every  $f \in \text{Imm}, G_f$  is a symmetric positive definite bilinear mapping

 $G_f: T_f \operatorname{Imm} \times T_f \operatorname{Imm} \to \mathbb{R}.$ 

Each metric is *weak* in the sense that  $G_f$ , seen as a mapping

 $G_f: T_f \operatorname{Imm} \to T_f^* \operatorname{Imm}$ 

is injective. (But it can never be surjective.)

**Assumption.** It will always be assumed that the metric G is compatible with the action of Diff(M) on Imm(M, N) in the sense that the group action is given by isometries.

This means that  $G = (r^{\varphi})^* G$  for all  $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$ , where  $r^{\varphi}$  denotes the right action of  $\varphi$  on Imm that was described in section 3.4. This condition can be spelled out in more details using the definition of  $r^{\varphi}$  as follows:

$$G_f(h,k) = \left( (r^{\varphi})^* G \right)(h,k) = G_{r^{\varphi}(f)} \left( Tr^{\varphi}(h), Tr^{\varphi}(k) \right) = G_{f \circ \varphi}(h \circ \varphi, k \circ \varphi).$$

#### **3.6** Covariant derivative $\nabla^{\overline{g}}$ on immersions

The covariant derivative  $\nabla^{\overline{g}}$  defined in section 2.7 induces a *covariant derivative* over immersions as follows. Let Q be a smooth manifold. Then one identifies

$$h \in C^{\infty}(Q, TImm(M, N))$$
 and  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(Q)$ 

with

$$h^{\wedge} \in C^{\infty}(Q \times M, TN)$$
 and  $(X, 0_M) \in \mathfrak{X}(Q \times M).$ 

As described in section 2.7 one has the covariant derivative

$$\nabla^{\overline{g}}_{(X,0_M)}h^{\wedge} \in C^{\infty}(Q \times M, TN).$$

Thus one can define

$$\nabla_X h = \left(\nabla_{(X,0_M)}^{\overline{g}} h^{\wedge}\right)^{\vee} \in C^{\infty}(Q, T\mathrm{Imm}(M,N)).$$

This covariant derivative is torsion-free by section 2.8, formula (1). It respects the metric  $\overline{g}$  but in general does not respect G.

It is helpful to point out some special cases of how this construction can be used. The case  $Q = \mathbb{R}$  will be important to formulate the geodesic equation. The expression that will be of interest in the formulation of the geodesic equation is  $\nabla_{\partial_t} f_t$ , which is well-defined when  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Imm}$  is a path of immersions and  $f_t : \mathbb{R} \to T\text{Imm}$  is its velocity.

Another case of interest is Q = Imm. Let  $h, k, m \in \mathfrak{X}(\text{Imm})$ . Then the covariant derivative  $\nabla_m h$  is well-defined and tensorial in m. Requiring  $\nabla_m$  to respect the grading of the spaces of multilinear maps, to act as a derivation on products and to commute with compositions of multilinear maps, one obtains as in section 2.7 a covariant derivative  $\nabla_m$  acting on all mappings into the natural bundles of multilinear mappings over Imm. In particular,  $\nabla_m P$  and  $\nabla_m G$  are well-defined for

$$P \in \Gamma(L(T\operatorname{Imm}; T\operatorname{Imm})), \quad G \in \Gamma(L^2_{\operatorname{sym}}(T\operatorname{Imm}; \mathbb{R}))$$

by the usual formulas

$$(\nabla_m P)(h) = \nabla_m (P(h)) - P(\nabla_m h),$$
  
$$(\nabla_m G)(h,k) = \nabla_m (G(h,k)) - G(\nabla_m h,k) - G(h,\nabla_m k).$$

#### 3.7 Metric gradients

The metric gradients  $H, K \in \Gamma(L^2(T\mathrm{Imm}; T\mathrm{Imm}))$  are uniquely defined by the equation

$$(\nabla_m G)(h,k) = G(K(h,m),k) = G(m,H(h,k)),$$

where h, k, m are vector fields on Imm and the covariant derivative of the metric tensor G is defined as in the previous section. (This is a generalization of the definition used in [39] that allows for a curved ambient space  $N \neq \mathbb{R}^n$ .)

Existence of H, K has to proven case by case for each metric G, usually by partial integration. For Sobolev metrics, this will be proven in sections 7.2 and 7.3.

**Assumption.** Nevertheless it will be assumed for now that the metric gradients H, K exist.

#### **3.8** Geodesic equation on immersions

**Theorem.** Given H, K as defined in the previous section and  $\nabla$  as defined in section 3.6, the geodesic equation reads as

$$\nabla_{\partial_t} f_t = \frac{1}{2} H_f(f_t, f_t) - K_f(f_t, f_t)$$

This is the same result as in [39, section 2.4], but in a more general setting.

*Proof.* Let  $f: (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \times [0, 1] \times M \to N$  be a one-parameter family of curves of immersions with fixed endpoints. The variational parameter will be denoted by  $s \in (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon)$  and the time-parameter by  $t \in [0, 1]$ . In the following calculation, let  $G_f$  denote G composed with f, i.e.

$$G_f : \mathbb{R} \to \operatorname{Imm} \to L^2_{\operatorname{sym}}(T\operatorname{Imm}; \mathbb{R}).$$

Remember that the covariant derivative on Imm that has been introduced in section 3.6 is torsion-free so that one has

$$\nabla_{\partial_t} f_s - \nabla_{\partial_s} f_t = T f_{\cdot}[\partial_t, \partial_s] + \operatorname{Tor}(f_t, f_s) = 0.$$

Thus the first variation of the energy of the curves is

$$\begin{split} \partial_s \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 G_f(f_t, f_t) dt &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\nabla_{\partial_s} G_f)(f_t, f_t) + \int_0^1 G_f(\nabla_{\partial_s} f_t, f_t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\nabla_{f_s} G)(f_t, f_t) + \int_0^1 G_f(\nabla_{\partial_t} f_s, f_t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 (\nabla_{f_s} G)(f_t, f_t) dt + \int_0^1 \partial_t G_f(f_s, f_t) dt \\ &- \int_0^1 (\nabla_{f_t} G)(f_s, f_t) dt - \int_0^1 G_f(f_s, \nabla_{\partial_t} f_t) dt \\ &= \int_0^1 G\Big(f_s, \frac{1}{2} H(f_t, f_t) + 0 - K(f_t, f_t) - \nabla_{\partial_t} f_t\Big) dt. \end{split}$$

If  $f(0, \cdot, \cdot)$  is energy-minimizing, then one has at s = 0 that

$$\frac{1}{2}H(f_t, f_t) - K(f_t, f_t) - \nabla_{\partial_t} f_t = 0.$$

#### 3.9 Geodesic equation on immersions in terms of the momentum

In the previous section the geodesic equation for the velocity  $f_t$  has been derived. In many applications it is more convenient to formulate the geodesic equation as an equation for the momentum  $G(f_t, \cdot) \in T_f^*$ Imm.  $G(f_t, \cdot)$  is an element of the *smooth cotangent bundle*, also called *smooth dual*, which is given by

$$G(T\mathrm{Imm}) := \coprod_{f \in \mathrm{Imm}} G_f(T_f\mathrm{Imm}) = \coprod_{f \in \mathrm{Imm}} \{G_f(h, \cdot) : h \in T_f\mathrm{Imm}\} \subset T^*\mathrm{Imm}.$$

It is strictly smaller than  $T^*$ Imm since at every  $f \in$  Imm the metric  $G_f$ :  $T_f$ Imm  $\to T_f^*$ Imm is injective but not surjective. It is called smooth since it does not contain distributional sections of  $f^*TN$ , whereas  $T_f^*$ Imm does.

**Theorem.** The geodesic equation for the momentum  $p \in T^*$ Imm is given by

$$\begin{cases} p = G(f_t, \cdot) \\ \nabla_{\partial_t} p = \frac{1}{2} G_f (H(f_t, f_t), \cdot), \end{cases}$$

where H is the metric gradient defined in section 3.7 and  $\nabla$  is the covariant derivative action on mappings into  $T^*$ Imm as defined in section 3.6.

*Proof.* Let  $G_f$  denote G composed with the path  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Imm}$ , i.e.

$$G_f : \mathbb{R} \to \operatorname{Imm} \to L^2_{\operatorname{sym}}(T\operatorname{Imm}; \mathbb{R}).$$

Then one has

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\partial_t} p &= \nabla_{\partial_t} \Big( G_f(f_t, \cdot) \Big) = (\nabla_{\partial_t} G_f)(f_t, \cdot) + G_f(\nabla_{\partial_t} f_t, \cdot) \\ &= (\nabla_{f_t} G)(f_t, \cdot) + G_f \Big( \frac{1}{2} H(f_t, f_t) - K(f_t, f_t), \cdot \Big) \\ &= G_f \Big( K(f_t, f_t), \cdot \Big) + G_f \Big( \frac{1}{2} H(f_t, f_t) - K(f_t, f_t), \cdot \Big) \end{aligned}$$

This equation is equivalent to *Hamilton's equation* restricted to the smooth cotangent bundle:

$$\begin{cases} p = G(f_t, \cdot) \\ p_t = (\operatorname{grad}^{\omega} E)(p) \end{cases}$$

Here  $\omega$  denotes the restriction of the canonical symplectic form on  $T^*$ Imm to the smooth cotangent bundle and E is the Hamiltonian

$$E: G(TImm) \to \mathbb{R}, \quad E(p) = G^{-1}(p,p)$$

which is only defined on the smooth cotangent bundle.

#### 3.10 Conserved momenta

This section describes how a group acting on Imm by isometries defines a *momentum mapping* that is conserved along geodesics in Imm. It is similar to [7, section 4]. A more detailed treatment and proofs can be found in [39].

Consider an infinite dimensional regular Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a right action  $g \mapsto r^g$  of this group on Imm. Let Imm be endowed with a Riemannian metric G. The basic assumption (assumption 3.5) is that the action is by isometries:

$$G = (r^g)^* G$$
, i.e.  $G_f(h,k) = G_{r^g(f)} (T_f(r^g)h, T_f(r^g)k).$ 

Denote by  $\mathfrak{X}(\text{Imm})$  the set of vector fields on Imm. Then the group action can be specified by the fundamental vector field mapping  $\zeta : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{X}(\text{Imm})$ , which will be a bounded Lie algebra homomorphism. The fundamental vector field  $\zeta_X, X \in \mathfrak{g}$  is the infinitesimal action in the sense:

$$\zeta_X(f) = \partial_t|_0 r^{\exp(tX)}(f).$$

The key to the Hamiltonian approach is to write the infinitesimal action as a Hamiltonian vector field, i.e. as the  $\omega$ -gradient of some function. This function will be called the *momentum map*.  $\omega$  is a two-form on TImm,

$$\omega \in \Gamma(L^2_{\rm alt}(TT\rm{Imm};\mathbb{R}))$$

that is obtained as the pullback of the canonical symplectic form on  $T^*{\rm Imm}$  via the metric

$$G: TImm \to T^*Imm.$$

The  $\omega$ -gradient is defined by the relation

$$\operatorname{grad}^{\omega} f \in \mathfrak{X}(T\operatorname{Imm}), \qquad \omega(\operatorname{grad}^{\omega} f, \cdot) = df,$$

where f is a smooth function on TImm. Not all functions have an  $\omega$ -gradient because

 $\omega:TT\mathrm{Imm}\to T^*T\mathrm{Imm}$ 

is injective, but not surjective. The set of functions that have a smooth  $\omega$ -gradient are denoted by

$$C^{\infty}_{\omega}(T\mathrm{Imm},\mathbb{R}) \subset C^{\infty}(T\mathrm{Imm},\mathbb{R}).$$

The momentum map is defined as

$$j: \mathfrak{g} \to C^{\infty}(T\mathrm{Imm}, \mathbb{R}), \quad j_X(h_f) = G_f(\zeta_X(f), h_f)$$

and it is verified that it has the desired properties: Assuming that the metric gradients H, K exist (assumption 3.7), it can be proven that

$$j_X \in C^{\infty}_{\omega}(T\mathrm{Imm}, \mathbb{R})$$
 and  $\mathrm{grad}^{\omega}(j_X) = \zeta_X$ .

Thus the momentum map fits into the following commutative diagram of Lie algebras:

$$H^{0}(T\operatorname{Imm}) \xrightarrow{i} C^{\infty}_{\omega}(T\operatorname{Imm}, \mathbb{R}) \xrightarrow{\operatorname{grad}^{\omega}} \mathfrak{X}(T\operatorname{Imm}, \omega) \xrightarrow{\omega} H^{1}(T\operatorname{Imm})$$

Here  $\mathfrak{X}(T\operatorname{Imm}, \omega)$  is the space of vector fields on  $T\operatorname{Imm}$  whose flow leaves  $\omega$  fixed. All arrows in this diagram are homomorphism of Lie algebras. The sequence at the top is exact when it is extended by zeros on the left and right end.

By *Emmy Noether's theorem*, the momentum mapping is constant along any geodesic  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Imm}$ . Thus for any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  one has that

$$j_X(f_t) = G_f(\zeta_X(f), f_t)$$
 is constant in t.

Now several group actions on Imm will be considered, and the corresponding conserved momenta will be calculated.

• Consider the smooth right action of the group Diff(M) on Imm(M, N) given by composition from the right:

$$f \mapsto f \circ \varphi \quad \text{for} \quad \varphi \in \text{Diff}(M).$$

This action is isometric by assumption, see section 3.5. For  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  the fundamental vector field is given by

$$\zeta_X(f) = \partial_t|_0(f \circ \operatorname{Fl}_t^X) = Tf \circ X$$

where  $\operatorname{Fl}_t^X$  denotes the flow of X. The reparametrization momentum, for any vector field X on M is thus  $G_f(Tf \circ X, h_f)$ . Assuming that the metric is reparametrization invariant, it follows that along any geodesic  $f(t, \cdot)$ , the expression  $G_f(Tf \circ X, f_t)$  is constant for all X.

For a flat ambient space  $N=\mathbb{R}^n$  the following group actions can be consider in addition:

• The left action of the Euclidean motion group  $\mathbb{R}^n\rtimes SO(n)$  on  $\mathrm{Imm}(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$  given by

 $f \mapsto A + Bf$  for  $(A, B) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times SO(n)$ .

The fundamental vector field mapping is

$$\zeta_{(A,X)}(f) = A + Xf$$
 for  $(A,X) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathfrak{so}(n)$ .

The linear momentum is thus  $G_f(A, h), A \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and if the metric is translation invariant,  $G_f(A, f_t)$  will be constant along geodesics for every  $A \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . The angular momentum is similarly  $G_f(X.f, h), X \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$  and if the metric is rotation invariant, then  $G_f(X.f, f_t)$  will be constant along geodesics for each  $X \in \mathfrak{so}(n)$ .

• The action of the scaling group of  $\mathbb{R}$  given by  $f \mapsto e^r f$ , with fundamental vector field  $\zeta_a(f) = a.f$ . If the metric is scale invariant, then the scaling momentum  $G_f(f, f_t)$  will be constant along geodesics.
#### 3.11 Shape space

Diff(M) acts smoothly on  $C^{\infty}(M, N)$  and its subsets Imm, Imm<sub>f</sub> and Emb by composition from the right. Shape space is defined as the orbit space with respect to this action. That means that in shape space, two mappings that differ only in their parametrization will be regarded the same.

**Theorem.** Let M be compact and of dimension  $\leq n$ . Then  $\text{Imm}_f(M, N)$  is the total space of a smooth principal fiber bundle with structure group Diff(M), whose base manifold is a Hausdorf smooth Fréchet manifold denoted by

$$B_{i,f}(M,N) = \operatorname{Imm}_f(M,N) / \operatorname{Diff}(M).$$

The same result holds for the open subset  $\operatorname{Emb}(M, N) \subset \operatorname{Imm}_f(M, N)$ . The corresponding base space is denoted by

$$B_e(M, N) = \operatorname{Emb}(M, N) / \operatorname{Diff}(M).$$

However, the space

$$B_i(M, N) = \operatorname{Imm}(M, N) / \operatorname{Diff}(M)$$

is not a smooth manifold, but has singularities of orbifold type: Locally, it looks like a finite dimensional orbifold times an infinite dimensional Fréchet space.

The proofs for free and non-free immersions can be found in [17] and the one for embeddings in [29, section 44.1].

As with immersions and embeddings, the notation  $B_{i,f}$ ,  $B_i$ ,  $B_e$  will be used when it is clear that M and N are the domain and target of the mappings.

## 3.12 Riemannian submersions and geodesics

The way to induce a Riemannian metric on shape space is to use the concept of a *Riemannian submersion*. This section explains in general terms what a Riemannian submersion is and how horizontal geodesics in the top space correspond nicely to geodesics in the quotient space. The definitions and results of this section are taken from [36, section 26].

Let  $\pi: E \to B$  be a submersion of smooth manifolds, that is,  $T\pi: TE \to TB$  is surjective. Then

$$V = V(\pi) := \ker(T\pi) \subset TE$$

is called the *vertical subbundle*. If E carries a Riemannian metric G, then one can go on to define the *horizontal subbundle* as the G-orthogonal complement of V:

Hor = Hor
$$(\pi, G) := V(\pi)^{\perp} \subset TE$$
.

Now any vector  $X \in TE$  can be decomposed uniquely in vertical and horizontal components as

$$X = X^{\text{ver}} + X^{\text{hor}}.$$

This definition extends to the cotangent bundle as follows: An element of  $T^*E$  is called horizontal when it annihilates all vertical vectors, and vertical when it annihilates all horizontal vectors.

In the setting described so far, the mapping

 $T_x \pi|_{\operatorname{Hor}_x} : \operatorname{Hor}_x \to T_{\pi(x)} B$ 

is an isomorphism of vector spaces for all  $x \in E$ . If both  $(E, G_E)$  and  $(B, G_B)$  are Riemannian manifolds and if this mapping is an isometry for all  $x \in E$ , then  $\pi$  will be called a *Riemannian submersion*.

**Theorem.** Consider a Riemannian submersion  $\pi : E \to B$ , and let  $c : [0,1] \to E$  be a geodesic in E.

- 1. If c'(t) is horizontal at one t, then it is horizontal at all t.
- 2. If c'(t) is horizontal then  $\pi \circ c$  is a geodesic in B.
- 3. If every curve in B can be lifted to a horizontal curve in E, then there is a one-to-one correspondence between curves in B and horizontal curves in E. This implies that instead of solving the geodesic equation on B one can equivalently solve the equation for horizontal geodesics in E.

See [36, section 26] for the proof.

#### 3.13 Riemannian metrics on shape space

Now the previous chapter is applied to the submersion  $\pi$ : Imm  $\rightarrow B_i$ :

**Theorem.** Given a Diff(M)-invariant Riemannian metric on Imm, there is a unique Riemannian metric on the quotient space  $B_i$  such that the quotient map  $\pi : \text{Imm} \to B_i$  is a Riemannian submersion.

One also gets a description of the tangent space to shape space: When  $f \in \text{Imm}$ , then  $T_{\pi(f)}B_i$  is isometric to the horizontal bundle at f. The horizontal bundle depends on the definition of the metric. For the  $H^0$ -metric, it consists of vector fields along f that are everywhere normal to f, see section 5.8.

**Assumption.** It will always be assumed that a Diff(M)-invariant Riemannian metric on the manifold of immersions is given, and that shape space is endowed with the unique Riemannian metric turning the projection into a Riemannian submersion.

#### 3.14 Geodesic equation on shape space

Theorem 3.12 applied to the Riemannian submersion  $\pi$ : Imm  $\rightarrow B_i$  yields:

**Theorem.** Assuming that every curve in  $B_i$  can be lifted to a horizontal curve in Imm, the geodesic equation on shape space is equivalent to

(1) 
$$\begin{cases} f_t = f_t^{\text{hor}} \in \text{Hor} \\ (\nabla_{\partial_t} f_t)^{\text{hor}} = \left(\frac{1}{2}H(f_t, f_t) - K(f_t, f_t)\right)^{\text{hor}}, \end{cases}$$

where f is a horizontal curve in Imm, where H, K are the metric gradients defined in section 3.7 and where  $\nabla$  is the covariant derivative defined in section 3.6.

*Proof.* Theorem 3.12 states that the geodesic equation on shape space is equivalent to the horizontal geodesic equation on Imm which is given by

(2) 
$$\begin{cases} f_t = f_t^{\text{hor}} \\ \nabla_{\partial_t} f_t = \frac{1}{2} H_f(f_t, f_t) - K_f(f_t, f_t) \end{cases}$$

Clearly (2) implies (1). To prove the converse it remains to show that

$$(\nabla_{\partial_t} f_t)^{\text{vert}} = \left(\frac{1}{2}H(f_t, f_t) - K(f_t, f_t)\right)^{\text{vert}}$$

As the following proof shows, this is a consequence of the conservation of the momentum along f and of the invariance of the metric under Diff(M).

Recall the infinitesimal action of Diff(M) on Imm(M, N). For any  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  it is given by the fundamental vector field

$$\zeta_X \in \mathfrak{X}(\operatorname{Imm}), \qquad \zeta_X(f) = \partial_s|_0 r(f, \exp(sX)) = \partial_s|_0 (f \circ Fl_t^X) = Tf.X.$$

Here r is the right action of Diff(M) on Imm(M, N) defined in section 3.4. When  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Imm}$  is a curve of immersions, one obtains a two-parameter family of immersions

$$g: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \to \text{Imm}, \qquad g(s,t) = r(f(t), \exp(sX))$$

that satisfies

$$\nabla_{\partial_t} Tg.\partial_s = \nabla_{\partial_s} Tg.\partial_t + Tg.[\partial_t, \partial_s] + \operatorname{Tor}(Tg.\partial_t, Tg.\partial_s)$$
$$= \nabla_{\partial_s} T(r^{\exp(sX)})f_t + 0 + 0$$

since  $\nabla$  is torsion-free. This implies

$$\nabla_{\partial_t}\zeta_X(f) = \nabla_{\partial_t}Tg.\partial_s|_0 = \nabla_{\partial_s|_0}T(r^{\exp(sX)})f_t.$$

 $\zeta_X(f)$  is vertical and  $f_t$  is horizontal by assumption. Thus the momentum

mapping  $G_f(\zeta_X(f), f_t)$  is constant and equals zero. Its derivative is

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \partial_t \Big( G_f \big( \zeta_X(f), f_t \big) \Big) \\ &= (\nabla_{\partial_t} G_f) \big( \zeta_X(f), f_t \big) + G_f \big( \nabla_{\partial_t} \zeta_X(f), f_t \big) + G_f \big( \zeta_X(f), \nabla_{\partial_t} f_t \big) \\ &= (\nabla_{f_t} G) \big( \zeta_X(f), f_t \big) + G_f \Big( \nabla_{\partial_s \mid_0} T \big( r^{\exp(sX)} \big) f_t, f_t \Big) + G_f \big( \zeta_X(f), \nabla_{\partial_t} f_t \big) \\ &= G_f \big( K_f(f_t, f_t) + \nabla_{\partial_t} f_t, \zeta_X(f) \big) \\ &+ G_{r^{\exp(sX)}f} \Big( \nabla_{\partial_s} T \big( r^{\exp(sX)} \big) f_t, T \big( r^{\exp(sX)} \big) f_t \Big) \Big|_{s=0} \\ &= G_f \big( K_f(f_t, f_t) + \nabla_{\partial_t} f_t, \zeta_X(f) \big) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \partial_s \big|_0 \Big( G_{r^{\exp(s.X)}f} \Big( T \big( r^{\exp(sX)} \big) f_t, T \big( r^{\exp(s.X)} \big) f_t \Big) \Big) \Big|_{s=0} \\ &= G_f \big( K_f(f_t, f_t) + \nabla_{\partial_t} f_t, \zeta_X(f) \big) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \partial_s \big|_0 \big( G_f(f_t, f_t) \big) - \frac{1}{2} \big( \nabla_{\zeta_X(f)} G \big) \big( f_t, f_t \big) \\ &= G_f \Big( K_f(f_t, f_t) + \nabla_{\partial_t} f_t + 0 - \frac{1}{2} H_f(f_t, f_t), \zeta_X(f) \Big) \end{aligned}$$

Any vertical tangent vector to f is of the form  $\zeta_X(f)$  for some  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ . Therefore

$$0 = \left(\nabla_{\partial_t} f_t - \frac{1}{2} H_f(f_t, f_t) + K_f(f_t, f_t)\right)^{\text{vert}}.$$

It will be shown in section 5.9 that curves in  $B_i$  can be lifted to horizontal curves in Imm for the very general class of Sobolev type metrics. Thus all assumptions and conclusions of the theorem hold.

# 3.15 Geodesic equation on shape space in terms of the momentum

As in the previous section, theorem 3.12 will be applied to the Riemannian submersion  $\pi$ : Imm  $\rightarrow B_i$ . But this time, the formulation of the geodesic equation in terms of the momentum will be used, see section 3.9. As will be seen in section 5.11, this is the most convenient formulation of the geodesic equation for Sobolev-type metrics.

**Theorem.** Assuming that every curve in  $B_i$  can be lifted to a horizontal curve in Imm, the geodesic equation on shape space is equivalent to the set of equations

$$\begin{cases} p = G_f(f_t, \cdot) \in \operatorname{Hor} \subset T^*\operatorname{Imm}, \\ (\nabla_{\partial_t} p)^{\operatorname{hor}} = \frac{1}{2}G_f(H(f_t, f_t), \cdot)^{\operatorname{hor}}. \end{cases}$$

Here f is a curve in Imm, H is the metric gradient defined in section 3.7, and  $\nabla$  is the covariant derivative defined in section 3.6. f is horizontal because p is horizontal.

## 3.16 Inner versus outer metrics

There are two similar yet different approaches on how to define a Riemannian metric on shape space.

The metrics on shape space presented in this work are induced by metrics on Imm(M, N). One might call them *inner metrics* since they are defined intrinsically to M. Intuitively, these metrics can be seen as describing a deformable material that the shape itself is made of.

In contrast to these metrics, there are also metrics that are induced from metrics on Diff(N) by the same construction of Riemannian submersions. (The widely used LDDMM algorithm is based on such a metric.) The differential operator governing these metrics is defined on all of N, even outside of the shape. When the shape is deformed, the surrounding ambient space is deformed with it. Intuitively, such metrics can be seen as describing some deformable material that the ambient space is made of. Therefore one might call them *outer metrics*.

The following diagram illustrates both approaches. Metrics are defined on one of the top spaces and induced on the corresponding space below by the construction of Riemannian submersions.



CHAPTER 3. SHAPE SPACE

# Chapter 4

# Variational formulas

Recall that many operators like

 $g = f^* \overline{g}, \quad S = S^f, \quad \operatorname{vol}(g), \quad \nabla = \nabla^g, \quad \Delta = \Delta^g, \quad \dots$ 

implicitly depend on the immersion f. In this section their derivative with respect to f which is called their *first variation* will be calculated. These formulas will be used to calculate the metric gradients that are needed for the geodesic equation.

This section is based on [7, 8]. Some of the formulas can be found in [15, 37, 50]. The presentation is similar to [10], and some of the variational formulas are the same.

## 4.1 Paths of immersions

All of the differential-geometric concepts introduced in section 2 can be recast for a path of immersions instead of a fixed immersion. This allows to study variations of immersions. So let  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \text{Imm}(M, N)$  be a path of immersions. By convenient calculus [29], f can equivalently be seen as  $f : \mathbb{R} \times M \to N$  such that  $f(t, \cdot)$  is an immersion for each t. The bundles over M can be replaced by bundles over  $\mathbb{R} \times M$ :

$\operatorname{pr}_2^* T_s^r M$	$\operatorname{pr}_2^* T^r_s M \otimes f^* T N$	$\operatorname{Nor}(f)$
$\downarrow$	$\checkmark$	Ý
$\mathbb{R} \times M$	$\mathbb{R}  imes M$	$\mathbb{R} \times M$

Here  $\operatorname{pr}_2$  denotes the projection  $\operatorname{pr}_2 : \mathbb{R} \times M \to M$ . The covariant derivative  $\nabla_Z h$  is now defined for vector fields Z on  $\mathbb{R} \times M$  and sections h of the above bundles. The vector fields  $(\partial_t, 0_M)$  and  $(0_{\mathbb{R}}, X)$ , where X is a vector field on M, are of special importance. In later sections they will be identified with  $\partial_t$  and X whenever this does not pose any problems. Let

$$\operatorname{ins}_t : M \to \mathbb{R} \times M, \qquad x \mapsto (t, x).$$

Then by property 5 from section 2.7 one has for vector fields X, Y on M

$$\nabla_X Tf(t, \cdot).Y = \nabla_X T(f \circ \operatorname{ins}_t) \circ Y = \nabla_X Tf \circ T \operatorname{ins}_t \circ Y$$
  
=  $\nabla_X Tf \circ (0_{\mathbb{R}}, Y) \circ \operatorname{ins}_t = \nabla_T \operatorname{ins}_t \circ_X Tf \circ (0_{\mathbb{R}}, Y)$   
=  $(\nabla_{(0_{\mathbb{R}}, X)} Tf \circ (0_{\mathbb{R}}, Y)) \circ \operatorname{ins}_t.$ 

This shows that one can recover the static situation at t by using vector fields on  $\mathbb{R} \times M$  with vanishing  $\mathbb{R}$ -component and evaluating at t.

# 4.2 Directional derivatives of functions

The following ways to denote directional derivatives of functions will be used, in particular in infinite dimensions. Given a function F(x, y) for instance,

 $D_{(x,h)}F$  will be written as a shorthand for  $\partial_t|_0F(x+th,y)$ .

Here (x, h) in the subscript denotes the tangent vector with foot point x and direction h. If F takes values in some linear space, this linear space and its tangent space will be identified.

## 4.3 Setting for first variations

In all of this chapter, let f be an immersion and  $f_t \in T_f$ Imm a tangent vector to f. The reason for calling the tangent vector  $f_t$  is that in calculations it will often be the derivative of a curve of immersions through f. Using the same symbol f for the fixed immersion and for the path of immersions through it, one has in fact that

$$D_{(f,f_t)}F = \partial_t F(f(t)).$$

## 4.4 Variation of equivariant tensor fields

Let the smooth mapping  $F : \text{Imm}(M, N) \to \Gamma(T_s^r M)$  take values in some space of tensor fields over M, or more generally in any natural bundle over M, see [28].

**Lemma.** If F is equivariant with respect to pullbacks by diffeomorphisms of M, *i.e.* 

$$F(f) = (\varphi^* F)(f) = \varphi^* \left( F\left((\varphi^{-1})^* f\right) \right)$$

for all  $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$  and  $f \in \text{Imm}(M, N)$ , then the tangential variation of F is its Lie-derivative:

$$D_{(f,Tf,f_t^{\top})}F = \partial_t|_0 F\left(f \circ Fl_t^{f_t^{\top}}\right) = \partial_t|_0 F\left((Fl_t^{f_t^{\top}})^*f\right)$$
$$= \partial_t|_0 \left(Fl_t^{f_t^{\top}}\right)^* \left(F(f)\right) = \mathcal{L}_{f_t^{\top}}\left(F(f)\right).$$

This allows us to calculate the tangential variation of the pullback metric and the volume density, for example.

## 4.5 Variation of the metric

Lemma. The differential of the pullback metric

$$\begin{cases} \text{Imm} & \to & \Gamma(S^2_{>0}T^*M), \\ f & \mapsto & g = f^*\overline{g} \end{cases}$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned} D_{(f,f_t)}g &= 2\operatorname{Sym}\overline{g}(\nabla f_t, Tf) = -2\overline{g}(f_t^{\perp}, S) + 2\operatorname{Sym}\nabla(f_t^{\top})^{\flat} \\ &= -2\overline{g}(f_t^{\perp}, S) + \mathcal{L}_{f_t^{\top}}g. \end{aligned}$$

Here Sym denotes the symmetric part of the tensor field C of type  $\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0\\2\end{smallmatrix}\right)$  given by

$$(\operatorname{Sym}(C))(X,Y) := \frac{1}{2}(C(X,Y) + C(Y,X)).$$

*Proof.* Let  $f : \mathbb{R} \times M \to N$  be a path of immersions. Swapping covariant derivatives as in section 2.8, formula (3) one gets

$$\partial_t \big( g(X,Y) \big) = \partial_t \big( \overline{g}(Tf.X,Tf.Y) \big) = \overline{g}(\nabla_{\partial_t} Tf.X,Tf.Y) + \overline{g}(Tf.X,\nabla_{\partial_t} Tf.Y) \\ = \overline{g}(\nabla_X f_t,Tf.Y) + \overline{g}(Tf.X,\nabla_Y f_t) = \big( 2 \operatorname{Sym} \overline{g}(\nabla f_t,Tf) \big) (X,Y).$$

Splitting  $f_t$  into its normal and tangential part yields

$$\begin{split} 2\operatorname{Sym} \overline{g}(\nabla f_t, Tf) &= 2\operatorname{Sym} \overline{g}(\nabla f_t^{\perp} + \nabla Tf.f_t^{\top}, Tf) \\ &= -2\operatorname{Sym} \overline{g}(f_t^{\perp}, \nabla Tf) + 2\operatorname{Sym} g(\nabla f_t^{\top}, \cdot) \\ &= -2\overline{g}(f_t^{\perp}, S) + 2\operatorname{Sym} \nabla (f_t^{\top})^{\flat}. \end{split}$$

Finally the relation

$$D_{(f,Tf\cdot f_t^{\top})}g = 2\operatorname{Sym}\nabla(f_t^{\top})^{\flat} = \mathcal{L}_{f_t^{\top}}g$$

follows either from the equivariance of g with respect to pullbacks by diffeomorphisms (see section 4.4) or directly from

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_X g)(Y,Z) &= \mathcal{L}_X \big( g(Y,Z) \big) - g(\mathcal{L}_X Y,Z) - g(Y,\mathcal{L}_X Z) \\ &= \nabla_X \big( g(Y,Z) \big) - g(\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X,Z) - g(Y,\nabla_X Z - \nabla_Z X) \\ &= g(\nabla_Y X,Z) + g(Y,\nabla_Z X) = (\nabla_Y X)^{\flat}(Z) + (\nabla_Z X)^{\flat}(Y) \\ &= (\nabla_Y X^{\flat})(Z) + (\nabla_Z X^{\flat})(Y) = 2 \operatorname{Sym} \big( \nabla(X^{\flat}) \big)(Y,Z). \end{aligned}$$

# 4.6 Variation of the inverse of the metric

Lemma. The differential of the inverse of the pullback metric

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rrl} \mathrm{Imm} & \to & \Gamma \big( L(T^*M,TM) \big) \\ f & \mapsto & g^{-1} = (f^*\overline{g})^{-1} \end{array} \right.$$

is given by

$$D_{(f,f_t)}g^{-1} = D_{(f,f_t)}(f^*\overline{g})^{-1} = 2\overline{g}(f_t^{\perp},g^{-1}Sg^{-1}) + \mathcal{L}_{f_t^{\top}}(g^{-1})$$

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \partial_t g^{-1} &= -g^{-1}(\partial_t g)g^{-1} = -g^{-1} \big( -2\overline{g}(f_t^{\perp}, S) + \mathcal{L}_{f_t^{\top}}g \big)g^{-1} \\ &= 2g^{-1}\overline{g}(f_t^{\perp}, S)g^{-1} - g^{-1}(\mathcal{L}_{f_t^{\top}}g)g^{-1} = 2\overline{g}(f_t^{\perp}, g^{-1}Sg^{-1}) + \mathcal{L}_{f_t^{\top}}(g^{-1}) \Box \end{split}$$

# 4.7 Variation of the volume density

Lemma. The differential of the volume density

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{Imm} & \to & \mathrm{Vol}(M), \\ f & \mapsto & \mathrm{vol}(g) = \mathrm{vol}(f^*\overline{g}) \end{array} \right.$$

is given by

$$D_{(f,f_t)}\operatorname{vol}(g) = \operatorname{Tr}^g \left( \overline{g}(\nabla f_t, Tf) \right) \operatorname{vol}(g) = \left( \operatorname{div}^g(f_t^{\top}) - \overline{g}(f_t^{\perp}, \operatorname{Tr}^g(S)) \right) \operatorname{vol}(g).$$

*Proof.* Let  $g(t) \in \Gamma(S^2_{>0}T^*M)$  be any curve of Riemannian metrics. Then

$$\partial_t \operatorname{vol}(g) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(g^{-1} \cdot \partial_t g) \operatorname{vol}(g)$$

This follows from the formula for  $\operatorname{vol}(g)$  in a local oriented chart  $(u^1, \dots u^m)$  on M:

$$\partial_t \operatorname{vol}(g) = \partial_t \sqrt{\det((g_{ij})_{ij})} \, du^1 \wedge \dots \wedge du^m$$
  
=  $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\det((g_{ij})_{ij})}} \operatorname{Tr}(\operatorname{adj}(g)\partial_t g) \, du^1 \wedge \dots \wedge du^m$   
=  $\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\det((g_{ij})_{ij})}} \operatorname{Tr}(\det((g_{ij})_{ij})g^{-1}\partial_t g) \, du^1 \wedge \dots \wedge du^m$   
=  $\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(g^{-1}.\partial_t g)\operatorname{vol}(g)$ 

Now one can set  $g = f^* \overline{g}$  and plug in the formula

$$\partial_t g = \partial_t (f^* \overline{g}) = 2 \operatorname{Sym} \overline{g}(\nabla f_t, Tf)$$

from 4.5. This immediately proves the first formula:

$$\partial_t \operatorname{vol}(g) = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr} \left( g^{-1} \cdot 2 \operatorname{Sym} \overline{g}(\nabla f_t, Tf) \right) = \operatorname{Tr}^g \left( \overline{g}(\nabla f_t, Tf) \right).$$

Expanding this further yields the second formula:

$$\partial_{t} \operatorname{vol}(g) = \operatorname{Tr}^{g} \left( \nabla \overline{g}(f_{t}, Tf) - \overline{g}(f_{t}, \nabla Tf) \right)$$
  
=  $\operatorname{Tr}^{g} \left( \nabla \overline{g}(f_{t}, Tf) - \overline{g}(f_{t}, S) \right) = -\nabla^{*} \overline{g}(f_{t}, Tf) - \overline{g}(f_{t}, \operatorname{Tr}^{g}(S))$   
=  $-\nabla^{*} \left( (f_{t}^{\top})^{\flat} \right) - \overline{g}(f_{t}^{\perp}, \operatorname{Tr}^{g}(S)) = \operatorname{div}(f_{t}^{\top}) - \overline{g}(f_{t}^{\perp}, \operatorname{Tr}^{g}(S)).$ 

Here it has been used that

$$\nabla T f = S$$
 and  $\operatorname{div}(f_t^{\top}) = \operatorname{Tr}(\nabla f_t^{\top}) = \operatorname{Tr}^g\left((\nabla f_t^{\top})^{\flat}\right) = -\nabla^*\left((f_t^{\top})^{\flat}\right).$ 

Note that by 4.4, the formula for the tangential variation would have followed also from the equivariance of the volume form with respect to pullbacks by diffeomorphisms.  $\hfill\square$ 

34

#### 4.8 Variation of the covariant derivative

In this section, let  $\nabla = \nabla^g = \nabla^{f^*\overline{g}}$  be the Levi-Civita covariant derivative acting on vector fields on M. Since any two covariant derivatives on M differ by a tensor field, the first variation of  $\nabla^{f^*\overline{g}}$  is tensorial. It is given by the tensor field  $D_{(f,f_t)} \nabla^{f^*\overline{g}} \in \Gamma(T_2^1 M)$ .

**Lemma.** The tensor field  $D_{(f,f_t)} \nabla^{f^* \overline{g}}$  is determined by the following relation holding for vector fields X, Y, Z on M:

$$g\big((D_{(f,f_t)}\nabla)(X,Y),Z\big) = \frac{1}{2}(\nabla D_{(f,f_t)}g)\big(X \otimes Y \otimes Z + Y \otimes X \otimes Z - Z \otimes X \otimes Y\big)$$

*Proof.* The defining formula for the covariant derivative is

$$g(\nabla_X Y, Z) = \frac{1}{2} \Big[ Xg(Y, Z) + Yg(Z, X) - Zg(X, Y) \\ - g(X, [Y, Z]) + g(Y, [Z, X]) + g(Z, [X, Y]) \Big].$$

Taking the derivative  $D_{(f,f_t)}$  yields

$$\begin{aligned} &(D_{(f,f_t)}g)(\nabla_X Y,Z) + g\big((D_{(f,f_t)}\nabla)(X,Y),Z\big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Big[ X\big((D_{(f,f_t)}g)(Y,Z)\big) + Y\big((D_{(f,f_t)}g)(Z,X)\big) - Z\big((D_{(f,f_t)}g)(X,Y)\big) \\ &- (D_{(f,f_t)}g)(X,[Y,Z]) + (D_{(f,f_t)}g)(Y,[Z,X]) + (D_{(f,f_t)}g)(Z,[X,Y]) \Big]. \end{aligned}$$

Then the result follows by replacing all Lie brackets in the above formula by covariant derivatives using  $[X, Y] = \nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X$  and by expanding all terms of the form  $X((D_{(f,f_t}g)(Y,Z)))$  using

$$X((D_{(f,f_t)}g)(Y,Z)) = (\nabla_X D_{(f,f_t)}g)(Y,Z) + (D_{(f,f_t)}g)(\nabla_X Y,Z) + (D_{(f,f_t)}g)(Y,\nabla_X Z). \square$$

## 4.9 Variation of the Laplacian

The Laplacian as defined in section 2.11 can be seen as a smooth section of the bundle L(TImm; TImm) over Imm since for every  $f \in \text{Imm}$  it is a mapping

$$\Delta^{f^*\overline{g}}: T_f \operatorname{Imm} \to T_f \operatorname{Imm}.$$

The right way to define a first variation is to use the covariant derivative defined in section 3.6.

**Lemma.** For  $\Delta \in \Gamma(L(T\operatorname{Imm}; T\operatorname{Imm}))$ ,  $f \in \operatorname{Imm}$  and  $h \in T_f\operatorname{Imm}$  one has

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{f_t} \Delta)(h) &= \operatorname{Tr} \left( g^{-1} \cdot (D_{(f,f_t)}g) \cdot g^{-1} \nabla^2 h \right) - \nabla_{\left( \nabla^* (D_{(f,f_t)}g) + \frac{1}{2}d \operatorname{Tr}^g (D_{(f,f_t)}g) \right)^{\sharp}} h \\ &+ \nabla^* \left( R^{\overline{g}}(f_t, Tf)h \right) - \operatorname{Tr}^g \left( R^{\overline{g}}(f_t, Tf) \nabla h \right). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* Let f be a curve of immersions and h a vector field along f. One has

 $\Delta: \mathrm{Imm} \to L(T\mathrm{Imm}; T\mathrm{Imm}), \quad \Delta \circ f = \Delta^{f^*\overline{g}}: \mathbb{R} \to \mathrm{Imm} \to L(T\mathrm{Imm}; T\mathrm{Imm}).$ 

Using property 2.7.5 one gets

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{f_t} \Delta)(h) &= \left( \nabla_{\partial_t} (\Delta \circ f) \right)(h) = \nabla_{\partial_t} \Delta h - \Delta \nabla_{\partial_t} h \\ &= -\nabla_{\partial_t} \operatorname{Tr}^g(\nabla^2 h) - \Delta \nabla_{\partial_t} h \\ &= \operatorname{Tr} \left( g^{-1} (D_{(f,f_t)} g) g^{-1} \nabla^2 h \right) - \operatorname{Tr}^g (\nabla_{\partial_t} \nabla^2 h) - \Delta (\nabla_{\partial_t} h). \end{aligned}$$

The term  $\operatorname{Tr}^{g}(\nabla_{\partial_{t}}\nabla^{2}h)$  will be treated further. Let X, Y be vector fields on M that are constant in time. When they are seen as vector fields on  $\mathbb{R} \times M$  then  $\nabla_{\partial_{t}}X = \nabla_{\partial_{t}}Y = 0$ . Using the formulas from section 2.8 to swap covariant derivatives one gets

$$\begin{split} (\nabla_{\partial_t} \nabla^2 h)(X,Y) &= \nabla_{\partial_t} (\nabla_X \nabla_Y h - \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} h) \\ &= \nabla_X \nabla_{\partial_t} \nabla_Y h + R^{\overline{g}} (f_t,Tf.X) \nabla_Y h - \nabla_{\partial_t} \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} h \\ &= \nabla_X \nabla_Y \nabla_{\partial_t} h + \nabla_X \left( R^{\overline{g}} (f_t,Tf.Y) h \right) + R^{\overline{g}} (f_t,Tf.X) \nabla_Y h \\ &- \nabla_{\nabla_X Y} \nabla_{\partial_t} h - \nabla_{[\partial_t,\nabla_X Y]} h - R^{\overline{g}} (f_t,Tf.\nabla_X Y) h. \end{split}$$

The Lie bracket is

$$[\partial_t, \nabla_X^{f^*\overline{g}}Y] = (D_{(f,f_t)}\nabla)(X,Y)$$

since (now without the slight abuse of notation)

$$\begin{split} [(\partial_t, 0_M), (0_{\mathbb{R}}, \nabla_X^{f^*\overline{g}}Y)] &= \partial_s|_0 \ TFl_{-s}^{(\partial_t, 0_M)} \circ \nabla_X Y \circ Fl_s^{(\partial_t, 0_M)} \\ &= \big(0_{\mathbb{R}}, (D_{(f, f_t)} \nabla)(X, Y)\big). \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} (\nabla_{\partial_t} \nabla^2 h)(X,Y) &= \\ &= (\nabla^2 \nabla_{\partial_t} h)(X,Y) + \nabla_X \left( R^{\overline{g}}(f_t,Tf.Y)h \right) + R^{\overline{g}}(f_t,Tf.X) \nabla_Y h \\ &\quad - \nabla_{(D_{(f,f_t)} \nabla)(X,Y)} h - R^{\overline{g}}(f_t,Tf.\nabla_X Y)h \\ &= (\nabla^2 \nabla_{\partial_t} h)(X,Y) + (\nabla_{Tf.X} R^{\overline{g}})(f_t,Tf.Y)h + R^{\overline{g}}(\nabla_X f_t,Tf.Y)h \\ &\quad + R^{\overline{g}}(f_t,\nabla_X Tf.Y)h + R^{\overline{g}}(f_t,Tf.Y) \nabla_X h + R^{\overline{g}}(f_t,Tf.X) \nabla_Y h \\ &\quad - \nabla_{(D_{(f,f_t)} \nabla)(X,Y)} h - R^{\overline{g}}(f_t,Tf.Y)h \\ &\quad + R^{\overline{g}}(f_t,(\nabla Tf)(X,Y))h + R^{\overline{g}}(f_t,Tf.Y)h + R^{\overline{g}}(\nabla_X f_t,Tf.Y)h \\ &\quad + R^{\overline{g}}(f_t,(\nabla Tf)(X,Y))h + R^{\overline{g}}(f_t,Tf.Y) \nabla_X h + R^{\overline{g}}(f_t,Tf.X) \nabla_Y h \\ &\quad - \nabla_{(D_{(f,f_t)} \nabla)(X,Y)}h \\ &= (\nabla^2 \nabla_{\partial_t} h)(X,Y) + \nabla_X \left( R^{\overline{g}}(f_t,Tf.Y)h \right) + R^{\overline{g}}(f_t,Tf.X) \nabla_Y h \\ &\quad - \nabla_{(D_{(f,f_t)} \nabla)(X,Y)}h \end{split}$$

Putting together all terms one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{f_t} \Delta)(h) &= \operatorname{Tr} \left( g^{-1} (D_{(f,f_t)} g) g^{-1} \nabla^2 h \right) - \operatorname{Tr}^g \left( \nabla \left( R^{\overline{g}}(f_t, Tf) h \right) \right) \\ &- \operatorname{Tr}^g \left( R^{\overline{g}}(f_t, Tf) \nabla h \right) + \nabla_{\operatorname{Tr}^g(D_{(f,f_t)} \nabla)} h \\ &= \operatorname{Tr} \left( g^{-1} (D_{(f,f_t)} g) g^{-1} \nabla^2 h \right) + \nabla^* \left( R^{\overline{g}}(f_t, Tf) h \right) \\ &- \operatorname{Tr}^g \left( R^{\overline{g}}(f_t, Tf) \nabla h \right) + \nabla_{\operatorname{Tr}^g(D_{(f,f_t)} \nabla)} h. \end{aligned}$$

It remains to calculate  $\operatorname{Tr}^g(D_{(f,f_t)}\nabla)$ . Using the variational formula for  $\nabla$  from section 4.8 one gets for any vector field Z and a g-orthonormal frame  $s_i$ 

$$\begin{split} g\big(\operatorname{Tr}^{g}(D_{(f,f_{t})}\nabla), Z\big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i} (\nabla D_{(f,f_{t})}g) \big(s_{i} \otimes s_{i} \otimes Z + s_{i} \otimes s_{i} \otimes Z - Z \otimes s_{i} \otimes s_{i}\big) \\ &= - \big(\nabla^{*}(D_{(f,f_{t})}g)\big)(Z) - \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Tr}^{g}(\nabla_{Z}D_{(f,f_{t})}g) \\ &= - \big(\nabla^{*}(D_{(f,f_{t})}g)\big)(Z) - \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{Z}\operatorname{Tr}^{g}(D_{(f,f_{t})}g) \\ &= - \Big(\nabla^{*}(D_{(f,f_{t})}g) + \frac{1}{2}d\operatorname{Tr}^{g}(D_{(f,f_{t})}g)\Big)(Z) \\ &= -g\Big(\big(\nabla^{*}(D_{(f,f_{t})}g) + \frac{1}{2}d\operatorname{Tr}^{g}(D_{(f,f_{t})}g)\big)^{\sharp}, Z\Big). \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\operatorname{Tr}^{g}(D_{(f,f_{t})}\nabla) = -\left(\nabla^{*}(D_{(f,f_{t})}g) + \frac{1}{2}d\operatorname{Tr}^{g}(D_{(f,f_{t})}g)\right)^{\sharp}.$$

# Chapter 5

# Sobolev-type metrics

**Assumption.** Let P be a smooth section of the bundle L(TImm; TImm) over Imm such that at every  $f \in Imm$  the operator

$$P_f: T_f \operatorname{Imm} \to T_f \operatorname{Imm}$$

is an elliptic pseudo differential operator that is positive and symmetric with respect to the  $H^0$ -metric on Imm,

$$H_f^0(h,k) = \int_M \overline{g}(h,k) \operatorname{vol}(g).$$

Then P induces a metric on the set of immersions, namely

$$G_f^P(h,k) = \int_M \overline{g}(P_f h,k) \operatorname{vol}(g) \text{ for } f \in \operatorname{Imm}, \quad h,k \in T_f\operatorname{Imm}.$$

In this section, the geodesic equation on Imm and  $B_i$  for the  $G^P$ -metric will be calculated in terms of the operator P and it will be proven that it is well-posed under some assumptions.

This section is based on [8, section 4].

# 5.1 Invariance of *P* under reparametrizations

**Assumption.** It will be assumed that P is invariant under the action of the reparametrization group Diff(M) acting on Imm(M, N), i.e.

$$P = (r^{\varphi})^* P \qquad for \ all \ \varphi \in \operatorname{Diff}(M).$$

For any  $f \in \text{Imm}$  and  $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$  this means

$$P_f = (T_f r^{\varphi})^{-1} \circ P_{f \circ \varphi} \circ T_f r^{\varphi}.$$

Applied to  $h \in T_f$ Imm this means

$$P_f(h) \circ \varphi = P_{f \circ \varphi}(h \circ \varphi).$$

The invariance of P implies that the induced metric  $G^P$  is invariant under the action of Diff(M), too. Therefore it induces a unique metric on  $B_i$  as explained in section 3.13

# **5.2** The adjoint of $\nabla P$

The following construction is needed to express the metric gradient H which is part of the geodesic equation.  $H_f$  arises from the metric  $G_f$  by differentiating it with respect to its foot point  $f \in \text{Imm}$ . Since G is defined via the operator P, one also needs to differentiate  $P_f$  with respect to its foot point. As for the metric, this is accomplished by the covariant derivate. For  $P \in \Gamma(L(T\text{Imm}; T\text{Imm}))$ and  $m \in T\text{Imm}$  one has

$$\nabla_m P \in \Gamma(L(T\operatorname{Imm}; T\operatorname{Imm})), \quad \nabla P \in \Gamma(L(T^2\operatorname{Imm}; T\operatorname{Imm})).$$

See section 3.6 for more details.

Assumption. It is assumed that there exists a smooth adjoint

$$\operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P) \in \Gamma(L^2(T\operatorname{Imm}; T\operatorname{Imm}))$$

of  $\nabla P$  in the following sense:

$$\int_{M} \overline{g} \big( (\nabla_m P)h, k \big) \operatorname{vol}(g) = \int_{M} \overline{g} \big( m, \operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(h, k) \big) \operatorname{vol}(g).$$

The existence of the adjoint needs to be checked in each specific example, usually by partial integration. For the operator  $P = 1 + A\Delta^p$ , the existence of the adjoint will be proven and explicit formulas will be calculated in sections 7.2 and 7.3.

**Lemma.** If the adjoint of  $\nabla P$  exists, then its tangential part is determined by the invariance of P with respect to reparametrizations:

$$\operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(h,k)^{\top} = \left(\overline{g}(\nabla Ph,k) - \overline{g}(\nabla h,Pk)\right)^{\sharp}$$
$$= \operatorname{grad}^{g} \overline{g}(Ph,k) - \left(\overline{g}(Ph,\nabla k) + \overline{g}(\nabla h,Pk)\right)^{\sharp}$$

for  $f \in \text{Imm}, h, k \in T_f \text{Imm}$ .

*Proof.* Let X be a vector field on M. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{Tf.X}P)(h) &= (\nabla_{\partial_t|_0}P_{f\circ Fl_t^X})(h\circ Fl_0^A) \\ &= \nabla_{\partial_t|_0} \left( P_{f\circ Fl_t^X}(h\circ Fl_t^X) \right) - P_{f\circ Fl_0^X} \left( \nabla_{\partial_t|_0}(h\circ Fl_t^X) \right) \\ &= \nabla_{\partial_t|_0} \left( P_f(h)\circ Fl_t^X \right) - P_f \left( \nabla_{\partial_t|_0}(h\circ Fl_t^X) \right) \\ &= \nabla_X \left( P_f(h) \right) - P_f \left( \nabla_X h \right) \end{aligned}$$

#### 5.3. METRIC GRADIENTS

Therefore one has for  $m, h, k \in T_f$ Imm that

$$\begin{split} \int_{M} g\left(m^{\top}, \operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(h, k)^{\top}\right) \operatorname{vol}(g) &= \int_{M} \overline{g}\left(Tf.m^{\top}, \operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(h, k)\right) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &= \int_{M} \overline{g}\left((\nabla_{Tf.m^{\top}} P)h, k\right) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &= \int_{M} \overline{g}\left(\nabla_{m^{\top}} (Ph) - P(\nabla_{m^{\top}} h), k\right) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &= \int_{M} \left(\overline{g}(\nabla_{m^{\top}} Ph, k) - \overline{g}(\nabla_{m^{\top}} h, Pk)\right) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &= \int_{M} g\left(m^{\top}, \left(\overline{g}(\nabla Ph, k) - \overline{g}(\nabla h, Pk)\right)^{\sharp}\right) \operatorname{vol}(g). \end{split}$$

# 5.3 Metric gradients

As explained in section 3.8, the geodesic equation can be expressed in terms of the metric gradients H and K. These gradients will be computed now.

**Lemma.** If  $\operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)$  exists, then also H and K exist and are given by

$$K_f(h,m) = P_f^{-1} \Big( (\nabla_m P)h + \operatorname{Tr}^g \big( \overline{g}(\nabla m, Tf) \big).Ph \Big)$$
$$H_f(h,k) = P_f^{-1} \Big( \operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(h,k)^{\perp} - Tf. \big( \overline{g}(Ph,\nabla k) + \overline{g}(\nabla h, Pk) \big)^{\sharp} - \overline{g}(Ph,k).\operatorname{Tr}^g(S) \Big).$$

*Proof.* For vector fields m, h, k on Imm one has

$$(\nabla_{m}G^{P})(h,k) = D_{(f,m)} \int_{M} \overline{g}(Ph,k) \operatorname{vol}(g) - \int_{M} \overline{g}(P(\nabla_{m}h),k) \operatorname{vol}(g) - \int_{M} \overline{g}(Ph,\nabla_{m}k) \operatorname{vol}(g) = \int_{M} D_{(f,m)}\overline{g}(Ph,k) \operatorname{vol}(g) + \int_{M} \overline{g}(Ph,k) D_{(f,m)} \operatorname{vol}(g) - \int_{M} \overline{g}(P(\nabla_{m}h),k) \operatorname{vol}(g) - \int_{M} \overline{g}(Ph,\nabla_{m}k) \operatorname{vol}(g) = \int_{M} \overline{g}(\nabla_{m}(Ph),k) \operatorname{vol}(g) + \int_{M} \overline{g}(Ph,\nabla_{m}k) \operatorname{vol}(g) + \int_{M} \overline{g}(Ph,k) D_{(f,m)} \operatorname{vol}(g) - \int_{M} \overline{g}(P(\nabla_{m}h),k) \operatorname{vol}(g) - \int_{M} \overline{g}(Ph,\nabla_{m}k) \operatorname{vol}(g) = \int_{M} \overline{g}((\nabla_{m}P)h,k) \operatorname{vol}(g) + \int_{M} \overline{g}(Ph,k) D_{(f,m)} \operatorname{vol}(g)$$

One immediately gets the K-gradient by plugging in the variational formula 4.7 for the volume form:

$$K_f(h,m) = P_f^{-1} \Big( (\nabla_m P)h + \operatorname{Tr}^g \big(\overline{g}(\nabla m, Tf)\big).Ph \Big).$$

To calculate the H-gradient, one rewrites equation (1) using the definition of the adjoint:

$$(\nabla_m G^P)(h,k) = \int_M \overline{g}(m, \operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(h,k)) \operatorname{vol}(g) + \int_M \overline{g}(Ph,k) D_{(f,m)} \operatorname{vol}(g).$$

Now the second summand is treated further using again the variational formula of the volume density from section 4.7:

$$\begin{split} \int_{M} \overline{g}(Ph,k) D_{(f,m)} \operatorname{vol}(g) &= \int_{M} \overline{g}(Ph,k) \operatorname{Tr}^{g} \left( \overline{g}(\nabla m,Tf) \right) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &= \int_{M} \overline{g}(Ph,k) \operatorname{Tr}^{g} \left( \nabla \overline{g}(m,Tf) - \overline{g}(m,\nabla Tf) \right) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &= \int_{M} \overline{g}(Ph,k) \Big( - \nabla^{*} \overline{g}(m,Tf) - \overline{g}(m,\operatorname{Tr}^{g}(S)) \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &= - \int_{M} g_{1}^{0} \Big( \nabla \overline{g}(Ph,k), \overline{g}(m,Tf) \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) - \int_{M} \overline{g}(Ph,k) \overline{g}(m,\operatorname{Tr}^{g}(S)) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &= \int_{M} \overline{g}(m,-Tf,\operatorname{grad}^{g} \overline{g}(Ph,k) - \overline{g}(Ph,k) \operatorname{Tr}^{g}(S)) \operatorname{vol}(g) \end{split}$$

Collecting terms one gets that

$$\begin{split} G_f^P(H_f(h,k),m) &= (\nabla_m G^P)(h,k) \\ &= \int_M \overline{g}\big(m, \operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(h,k) - Tf. \operatorname{grad}^g \overline{g}(Ph,k) - \overline{g}(Ph,k) \operatorname{Tr}^g(S)\big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \end{split}$$

Thus the H-gradient is given by

$$H_f(h,k) = P^{-1} \Big( \operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(h,k) - Tf.\operatorname{grad}^g \overline{g}(Ph,k) - \overline{g}(Ph,k).\operatorname{Tr}^g(S) \Big)$$

The highest order term  $\operatorname{grad}^{g} \overline{g}(Ph, k)$  cancels out when taking into account the formula for the tangential part of the adjoint from section 5.2:

$$H_f(h,k) = P^{-1} \Big( \operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(h,k)^{\perp} - Tf. \big(\overline{g}(Ph,\nabla k) + \overline{g}(\nabla h,Pk)\big)^{\sharp} \\ - \overline{g}(Ph,k). \operatorname{Tr}^g(S) \Big). \qquad \Box$$

# 5.4 Geodesic equation on immersions

The geodesic equation for a general metric on  $\mathrm{Imm}(M,N)$  has been calculated in section 3.8 and reads as

$$\nabla_{\partial_t} f_t = \frac{1}{2} H_f(f_t, f_t) - K_f(f_t, f_t).$$

Plugging in the formulas for  ${\cal H}, {\cal K}$  derived in the last section yields the following theorem.

**Theorem.** The geodesic equation for a Sobolev-type metric  $G^P$  on immersions is given by

$$\nabla_{\partial_t} f_t = \frac{1}{2} P^{-1} \Big( \operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(f_t, f_t)^{\perp} - 2.T f_{\cdot} \overline{g}(Pf_t, \nabla f_t)^{\sharp} - \overline{g}(Pf_t, f_t).\operatorname{Tr}^g(S) \Big) \\ - P^{-1} \Big( (\nabla_{f_t} P) f_t + \operatorname{Tr}^g \big( \overline{g}(\nabla f_t, Tf) \big) Pf_t \Big).$$

# 5.5 Geodesic equation on immersions in terms of the momentum

The geodesic equation in terms of the momentum has been calculated in section 3.9 for a general metric on immersions. For a Sobolev-type metric  $G^P$ , the momentum  $G^P(f_t, \cdot)$  takes the form

$$p = Pf_t \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g) : \mathbb{R} \to T^*\operatorname{Imm}$$

since all other parts of the metric (namely the integral and  $\overline{g}$ ) are constant and can be neglected.

**Theorem.** The geodesic equation written in terms of the momentum for a Sobolev-type metric  $G^P$  on Imm is given by:

$$\begin{cases} p = Pf_t \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ \nabla_{\partial_t} p = \frac{1}{2} \left( \operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(f_t, f_t)^{\perp} - 2Tf.\overline{g}(Pf_t, \nabla f_t)^{\sharp} - \overline{g}(Pf_t, f_t) \operatorname{Tr}^g(S) \right) \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g) \end{cases}$$

## 5.6 Well-posedness of the geodesic equation

It will be proven that the geodesic equation for a Sobolev-type metric  $G^P$  on Imm is well-posed under some assumptions on P. It will also be shown that  $(\pi, \exp)$  is a diffeomorphism from a neighbourhood of the zero section in TImm to a neighbourhood of the diagonal in Imm × Imm.

First, Sobolev sections of vector bundles are introduced. More information can be found in [44] and in [22]. Let  $E \to M$  be a vector bundle over a compact Riemannian manifold (M,g). E is given a fiber Riemannian metric  $\hat{g}^E$  and a compatible covariant derivative  $\hat{\nabla}^E$  on  $\Gamma(E)$  is chosen. Let  $\hat{\nabla}^M$  be the Levi-Civita covariant derivative on  $\Gamma(TM)$  for a fixed background metric  $\hat{g}$  on M. Then the Sobolev space  $H^k(E)$  is the Hilbert space completion of the space of smooth sections  $\Gamma(E)$  in the Sobolev norm

$$\|s\|_{k}^{2} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \int_{M} (\hat{g}^{E} \otimes \hat{g}_{j}^{0}) ((\hat{\nabla}^{E})^{j} s, (\hat{\nabla}^{E})^{j} s) \operatorname{vol}(\hat{g}).$$

The Sobolev space does not depend on the choices of  $\hat{g}, \hat{g}^E, \hat{\nabla}^E$ ; the resulting norms are equivalent, see [44]. The following results hold (see [21]):

**Sobolev lemma.** If  $k > \dim(M)/2$  then the identy on  $\Gamma(E)$  extends to a injective bounded linear mapping  $H^{k+p}(E) \to C^p(E)$  where  $C^p(E)$  carries the supremum norm of all derivatives up to order p.

Module property of Sobolev spaces. If  $k > \dim(M)/2$  then pointwise evaluation  $H^k(L(E, E)) \times H^k(E) \to H^k(E)$  is bounded bilinear. Likewise all other pointwise contraction operations are multilinear bounded operations. The following notation shall be used:

$$TImm(M,N) = C^{\infty}_{Imm}(M,TN) := \{s \in C^{\infty}(M,TN) : \pi_N \circ s \in Imm(M,N)\},\$$
  
$$T_fImm(M,N) = C^{\infty}_f(M,TN) := \{s \in C^{\infty}(M,TN) : \pi_N \circ s = f\} \cong \Gamma(f^*TN).$$

The smooth Sobolev manifolds (for  $k > \dim(M)/2 + 1$ )

$$\operatorname{Imm}^{k+1}(M,N) \subset \operatorname{Imm}^{k}(M,N), \qquad \bigcap_{k} \operatorname{Imm}^{k}(M,N) = \operatorname{Imm}(M,N)$$

shall also be considered. They are constructed from the Sobolev completions in each canonical chart separately and then glued together, always with respect to the background metrics. See [21] for a detailed treatment in a more general situation (M does not need to be compact there).

It is assumed that the operator P satisfies the following properties, for  $k > \frac{\dim(M)}{2} + 1$ . (Some of the assumptions have already been stated earlier.)

**Assumption 1.**  $P \in \Gamma(L(TImm; TImm))$  is smooth and invariant under the action of Diff(M). (See section 5.1 for the definition of invariance.)

**Assumption 2.** For each  $f \in \text{Imm}(M, N)$  the operator

$$P_f: \Gamma(f^*TN) \to \Gamma(f^*TN)$$

is an elliptic pseudo-differential operator of order 2p for p > 0 of classical type which is positive and symmetric with respect to the  $H^0$ -metric on Imm,

$$H_f^0(h,k) = \int_M \overline{g}(h,k) \operatorname{vol}(g) \quad \text{for } f \in \operatorname{Imm} and h, k \in T_f \operatorname{Imm}.$$

Since  $P_f$  is elliptic, it is unbounded selfadjoint on the Hilbert completion of  $T_f$ Imm with respect to  $H^0$ , see [44, theorem 26.2]. Furthermore  $P_f$  extends to a bounded injective (since it is positive) linear operator

$$P_f: H^{k+2p}(f^*TN) \to H^k(f^*TN)$$

which is also surjective (since it is Fredholm as an elliptic operator, with vanishing index as a selfadjoint operator).

Assumption 3. P extends to a smooth section of the smooth Sobolev bundle

$$L(H^{k+2p}_{\operatorname{Imm}^{k+2p}}(M,TN), H^{k}_{\operatorname{Imm}^{k}}(M,TN)|_{\operatorname{Imm}^{k+2p}(M,N)})$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\operatorname{Imm}^{k+2p}(M,N)$$

with fiber

$$L\big(H^{k+2p}(f^*TN), H^k(f^*TN)\big) \quad over \quad f \in \mathrm{Imm}^{k+2p}(M,N)$$

such that

$$P_f: H^{k+2p}(f^*TN) \to H^k(f^*TN)$$

is invertible for each  $f \in \text{Imm}^{k+2p}(M, N)$ .

By the implicit function theorem on Banach spaces,  $f \mapsto P_f^{-1}$  is then a smooth section of the smooth Sobolev bundle

$$L(H^{k}_{\operatorname{Imm}^{k}}(M,N)|_{\operatorname{Imm}^{k+2p}(M,N)}, H^{k+2p}_{\operatorname{Imm}^{k+2p}}(M,N))$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\operatorname{Imm}^{k+2p}(M,N)$$

Moreover,  $P_f^{-1}$  is also an elliptic pseudo-differential operator of order -2p.

Assumption 4. The normal part of the adjoint  $f \mapsto \operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)_f^{\perp}$  from section (5.2) extends to a smooth section of the smooth Sobolev bundle

$$L^{2}_{sym}(H^{k+2p}(f^{*}TN); H^{k}(f^{*}TN))$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$Imm^{k+2p}(M, N)$$

Assumption 5. All mappings  $P_f u$ ,  $P_f^{-1}u$ , and  $\operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)_f^{\perp}(u,v)$  are linear pseudo differential operators in u and v of order 2p, -2p, and 2p, respectively. As mappings in the footpoint f, viewed locally in a trivialisation of the bundle  $C_{\operatorname{Imm}}^{\infty}(M,TN) \to \operatorname{Imm}(M,N)$ , they are nonlinear, and it is assumed that they are a composition of operators of the following type: (a) Local operators of order  $\leq 2p$ , i.e.,  $A(f)(x) = A(x, \hat{\nabla}^{2p}f(x), \hat{\nabla}^{2p-1}f(x), \dots, \hat{\nabla}f(x), f(x))$ . (b) Linear pseudo-differential operators.

These properties hold for the operator  $1 + A\Delta^p$  considered in section 7.

**Theorem.** Let  $p \ge 1$  and  $k > \dim(M)/2 + 1$ , and let P satisfy assumptions 1–5.

Then the initial value problem for the geodesic equation (5.4) has unique local solutions in the Sobolev manifold  $\text{Imm}^{k+2p}$  of  $H^{k+2p}$ -immersions. The solutions depend smoothly on t and on the initial conditions f(0, .) and  $f_t(0, .)$ . The domain of existence (in t) is uniform in k and thus this also holds in Imm(M, N).

Moreover, in each Sobolev completion  $\operatorname{Imm}^{k+2p}$ , the Riemannian exponential mapping  $\exp^P$  exists and it smooth on a  $H^{k_0}$ -open neighborhood of the zero section in the tangent bundle, and  $(\pi, \exp^P)$  is a diffeomorphism from a (smaller)  $H^{k_0}$ -open neigbourhood of the zero section to an  $H^{k_0}$ -open neighborhood of the diagonal in  $\operatorname{Imm}^{k+2p} \times \operatorname{Imm}^{k+2p}$ , where  $k_0$  is the smallest integer >  $\dim(M)/2+2p$ . All these neighborhoods are uniform in  $k > \dim(M)/2$ , and thus both properties of the exponential mapping continue to hold in  $\operatorname{Imm}(M, Nn)$ .

This proof is partly an adaptation of [39, section 4.3]. It works in three steps: First, the geodesic equation is formulated as the flow equation of a smooth vector field on a Sobolev completion of TImm. Thus one gets local existence and uniqueness of solutions. Second, it is shown that the time-interval where a solution exists does not depend on the order of the Sobolev space of immersions. Thus one gets solutions on the intersection of all Sobolev spaces, which is the space of smooth immersions. Third, a general argument proves the claims about the exponential map.

*Proof.* The geodesic equation is considered as the flow equation of a smooth  $(C^{\infty})$  autonomous vector field X on

$$TImm^{k}(M,N)|_{Imm^{k+2p}(M,N)} = H^{k}_{Imm^{k}}(M,TN)|_{Imm^{k+2p}(M,N)}$$

Locally near a fixed smooth immersion  $f_0 \in \text{Imm}(M, N)$  this looks like  $U^{k+2p} \times H^k(f_0^*TN)$  where  $U^{k+2p}$  is  $H^k$ -open in  $\text{Imm}^{k+2p}(M, N) \subset H^{k+2p}(M, N)$ . It suffices to prove the theorem in each open subset  $U^{k+2p} \times H^k(f_0^*TN)$ .

On this subset one can write  $X = (X_1, X_2)$  as follows (using (5.4)):

(5)  

$$f_t = P_f^{-1}u =: X_1(f, u)$$

$$u_t = \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(P_f^{-1}u, P_f^{-1}u)^{\perp}$$

$$- Tf.\overline{g}(u, \nabla P_f^{-1}u)^{\sharp} - \frac{1}{2}\overline{g}(u, P_f^{-1}u).\operatorname{Tr}^{f^*\overline{g}}(S^f)$$

$$- \operatorname{Tr}^{f^*\overline{g}}\left(\overline{g}(\nabla P_f^{-1}u, Tf)\right)u$$

$$=: X_2(f, u)$$

For  $(f, u) \in U^{k+2p} \times H^k$  one has  $\operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)_f(P_f^{-1}u, P_f^{-1}u) \in H^k$ . When  $Y \in H^k$  then also  $Y^{\perp} \in H^k$  since  $g = f^*\overline{g} \in H^{k+2p-1} \subset H^{k+1}$ . Similarly, when  $\alpha \in H^k$  then also  $\alpha^{\sharp} \in H^k$ .  $S^f \in H^{k+2p-2} \subset H^k$ . Thus a term by term investigation using (1) - (3) shows that the right hand side of (5) is smooth in  $(f, u) \in U^{k+2p} \times H^k$  with values in  $H^{k+2p} \times H^k$ . Thus by the theory of smooth ODE's on Banach spaces, the flow  $\operatorname{Fl}^k$  exists on  $U^{k+2p} \times H^k$  and is smooth in t and the initial conditions for fixed  $k > \frac{\dim(M)}{2}$ .

Consider  $C^{\infty}$  initial conditions  $f_0 = f(0, \cdot)$  and  $u_0 = P_{f_0}f_t(0, \cdot) = u(0, \cdot)$  for the flow equation (5) in  $U^{\infty} \times \Gamma(f_0^*TN)$ . Suppose the trajectory  $\operatorname{Fl}_t^k(f_0, u_0)$  of X through these initial conditions in  $U^{k+2p} \times H^k$  maximally exists for  $t \in (-a_k, b_k)$ , and the trajectory  $\operatorname{Fl}_t^{k+1}(f_0, u_0)$  in  $U^{k+1+2p} \times H^{k+1}$  maximally exists for  $t \in (-a_{k+1}, b_{k+1})$  with  $a_{k+1} < a_k$  and  $b_{k+1} < b_k$ , say. By uniqueness of solutions one has  $\operatorname{Fl}_t^{k+1}(f_0, u_0) = \operatorname{Fl}_t^k(f_0, u_0)$  for  $t \in (-a_{k+1}, b_{k+1})$ . Now  $\hat{\nabla}$  is applied to both equations (5):

$$(\nabla f)_t = \nabla f_t = \nabla X_1(f, u)$$
$$(\hat{\nabla} u)_t = \hat{\nabla} u_t = \hat{\nabla} X_2(f, u)$$

It is claimed that the highest derivatives of f and u appear only linearly in  $\hat{\nabla}X_i(f, u)$  for i = 1, 2, i.e.

$$\hat{\nabla}X_i(f,u) = X_{i,1}(f,u)(\hat{\nabla}^{2p+1}f) + X_{i,2}(f,u)(\hat{\nabla}^{2p+1}u) + X_{i,3}(f,u)$$

where all  $X_{i,j}(f, u)(v)$  and  $X_{i,3}(f, u)$  (i, j = 1, 2) are smooth in all variables, of highest order 2p in f and u, linear and algebraic (i.e., of order 0) in v. This claim follows from assumption 5: (a) For a local operator we can apply the chain rule: The derivative of order 2p + 1 of f appears only linearly. (b) For a linear pseudo differential operator A of order k the commutator  $[\hat{\nabla}, A]$  is a pseudo-differential operator of order k again.

Then one writes  $\hat{\nabla}^{2p+1}f = \hat{\nabla}^{2p}\tilde{f}$  and  $\hat{\nabla}^{2p+1}u = \hat{\nabla}^{2p}\tilde{u}$  for the highest derivatives only. The last system now becomes

$$\begin{split} \hat{f}_t &= X_{1,1}(f,u)(\hat{\nabla}^{2p}\tilde{f}) + X_{1,2}(f,u)(\hat{\nabla}^{2p}\tilde{u}) + X_{1,3}(f,u) \\ \tilde{u}_t &= X_{2,1}(f,u)(\hat{\nabla}^{2p}\tilde{f}) + X_{2,2}(f,u)(\hat{\nabla}^{2p}\tilde{u}) + X_{2,3}(f,u) \end{split}$$

which is inhomogeneous bounded linear in  $(\tilde{f}, \tilde{u}) \in U^{k+2p} \times H^k$  with coefficients bounded linear operators on  $H^{k+2p}$  and  $H^k$ , respectively. These coefficients are  $C^{\infty}$  functions of  $(f, u) \in U^{k+2p} \times H^k$  which are already known on the interval  $(-a_k, b_k)$ . This equation therefore has a solution  $(\tilde{f}(t, ), \tilde{u}(t, ))$  for all t for which the coefficients exists, thus for all  $t \in (a_k, b_k)$ . The limit  $\lim_{t \neq b_{k+1}} (\tilde{f}(t, ), \tilde{u}(t, ))$  exists in  $U^{k+2p} \times H^k$  and by continuity it equals  $(\hat{\nabla}f, \hat{\nabla}u)$  in  $U^{k+2p} \times H^k$  for some  $t > b_{k+1}$ . Thus the  $H^{k+1}$ -flow was not maximal and can be continued. So  $(-a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}) = (-a_k, b_k)$ . Iterating this procedure one concludes that the flow of X exists in  $\bigcap_{m \geq k} U^{m+2p} \times H^m = \operatorname{Imm} \times C^{\infty}$ .

It remains to check the properties of the Riemannian exponential mapping  $\exp^P$ . It is given by  $\exp^P_f(h) = c(1)$  where c(t) is the geodesic emanating from value f with initial velocity h. The properties claimed follow from local existence and uniqueness of solutions to the geodesic equation on each space  $\operatorname{Imm}^{k+2p}(M,N)$  and from the form of the geodesic equation  $f_{tt} = \Gamma_f(f_t,f_t)$  when it is written down in a chart using the Christoffel symbols, namely linearity in  $f_{tt}$  and bilinearity in  $f_t$ . See for example [36, 22.6 and 22.7,] for a detailed proof in terms of the spray vector field  $S(f,h) = (f,h;h,\Gamma_f(h,h))$  which works on each  $T\operatorname{Imm}^{k+2p}(M,N) = H^{k+2p}_{\operatorname{Imm}^{k+2p}}(M,TN)$  without any change in notation. So one checks this on the largest of these spaces  $\operatorname{Imm}^{k_0}(M,N)$  (i.e. with the smallest k). Since the spray on  $\operatorname{Imm}^{k_0}$  restricts to the spray on each  $\operatorname{Imm}^{k+2p}$ , the exponential mapping  $\exp^P$  and the inverse  $(\pi, \exp^P)^{-1}$  on  $\operatorname{Imm}^{k_0}$  restrict to the corresponding mappings on each  $\operatorname{Imm}^{k+2p}$ . Thus the neighborhoods of existence are uniform in k.

#### 5.7 Momentum mappings

Recall that by assumption, the operator P is invariant under the action of the reparametrization group Diff(M). Therefore the induced metric  $G^P$  is invariant under this group action, too. According to [39, section 2.5] one gets:

**Theorem.** The reparametrization momentum, which is the momentum mapping corresponding to the action of Diff(M) on Imm(M, N), is conserved along any geodesic f in Imm(M, N):

$$\forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(M) : \int_{M} \overline{g}(Tf.X, Pf_{t}) \mathrm{vol}(g)$$

or equivalently

$$g((Pf_t)^{\top})\operatorname{vol}(g) \in \Gamma(T^*M \otimes_M \operatorname{vol}(M))$$

is constant along f.

## 5.8 Horizontal bundle

The splitting of TImm into horizontal and vertical subspaces will be calculated for Sobolev-type metrics  $G^P$ . See section 3.12 for the general theory. By definition, a tangent vector h to  $f \in \text{Imm}(M, N)$  is horizontal if and only if it is  $G^P$ -perpendicular to the Diff(M)-orbits. This is the case if and only if  $\overline{g}(P_fh(x), T_x f. X_x) = 0$  at every point  $x \in M$ . Therefore the horizontal bundle at the point f equals

$$\left\{h \in T_f \operatorname{Imm} : P_f h(x) \perp T_x f(T_x M) \text{ for all } x \in M\right\} = \left\{h : (P_f h)^\top = 0\right\}$$

Note that the horizontal bundle consists of vector fields that are normal to f when P = Id, i.e. for the  $H^0$ -metric on Imm.

Let us work out the  $G^P$ -decomposition of h into vertical and horizontal parts. This decomposition is written as

$$h = Tf.h^{\text{ver}} + h^{\text{hor}}.$$

Then

$$P_f h = P_f (Tf.h^{\text{ver}}) + P_f h^{\text{hor}}$$
 with  $(P_f h)^{\top} = (P_f (Tf.h^{\text{ver}}))^{\top} + 0.$ 

Thus one considers the operators

$$P_f^{\top} : \mathfrak{X}(M) \to \mathfrak{X}(M), \qquad P_f^{\top}(X) = \left(P_f(Tf.X)\right)^{\top}, \\ P_{f,\perp} : \mathfrak{X}(M) \to \Gamma(\operatorname{Nor}(f)) \subset C^{\infty}(M,TN), \qquad P_{f,\perp}(X) = \left(P_f(Tf.X)\right)^{\perp}.$$

The operator  $P_f^{\top}$  is unbounded, positive and symmetric on the Hilbert completion of  $T_f$ Imm with respect to the  $H^0$ -metric since one has

$$\begin{split} \int_{M} g(P_{f}^{\top}X,Y) \mathrm{vol}(g) &= \int_{M} \overline{g}(Tf.P_{f}^{\top}X,Tf.Y) \mathrm{vol}(g) \\ &= \int_{M} \overline{g}(P_{f}(Tf.X),Tf.Y) \mathrm{vol}(g) \\ &= \int_{M} g(P_{f}^{\top}Y,X) \mathrm{vol}(g), \\ \int_{M} g(P_{f}^{\top}X,X) \mathrm{vol}(g) &= \int_{M} \overline{g}(P_{f}(Tf.X),Tf.X) \mathrm{vol}(g) > 0 \quad \text{ if } X \neq 0. \end{split}$$

Let  $\sigma^{P_f}$  and  $\sigma^{P_f^{\top}}$  denote the principal symbols of  $P_f$  and  $P_f^{\top}$ , respectively. Take any  $x \in M$  and  $\xi \in T_x^*M \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $\sigma^{P_f}(\xi)$  is symmetric, positive definite on  $(T_{f(x)}N, \overline{g})$ . This means that one has for any  $h, k \in T_{f(x)}N$  that

$$\overline{g}\big(\sigma^{P_f}(\xi)h,k\big)=\overline{g}\big(h,\sigma^{P_f}(\xi)k\big),\qquad \overline{g}\big(\sigma^{P_f}(\xi)h,h\big)>0 \text{ for } h\neq 0.$$

#### 5.9. HORIZONTAL CURVES

The principal symbols  $\sigma^{P_f}$  and  $\sigma^{P_f^{\top}}$  are related by

$$g\left(\sigma^{P_{f}^{\top}}(\xi)X,Y\right) = \overline{g}\left(Tf.\sigma^{P_{f}^{\top}}(\xi)X,Tf.Y\right) = \overline{g}\left(\sigma^{P_{f}}(\xi)Tf.X,Tf.Y\right),$$

where  $X, Y \in T_x M$ . Thus  $\sigma^{P_f^{\top}}(\xi)$  is symmetric, positive definite on  $(T_x M, g)$ . Therefore  $P_f^{\top}$  is again elliptic, thus it is selfadjoint, so its index (as operator  $H^{k+2p} \to H^k$ ) vanishes. It is injective (since positive), hence it is bijective and thus invertible. Thus it has been proven:

**Lemma.** The decomposition of  $h \in T$ Imm into its vertical and horizontal components is given by

## 5.9 Horizontal curves

To establish the one-to-one correspondence between curves in shape space and horizontal curves in Imm that has been described in theorem 3.12, one needs the following property:

**Lemma.** For any smooth path f in Imm(M, N) there exists a smooth path  $\varphi$  in Diff(M) with  $\varphi(0, .) = \text{Id}_M$  depending smoothly on f such that the path  $\tilde{f}$  given by  $\tilde{f}(t, x) = f(t, \varphi(t, x))$  is horizontal:

$$\overline{g}(P_{\tilde{f}}(\partial_t \tilde{f}), T\tilde{f}.TM) = 0.$$

Thus any path in shape space can be lifted to a horizontal path of immersions.

The basic idea is to write the path  $\varphi$  as the integral curve of a time dependent vector field. This method is called the Moser-trick (see [37, Section 2.5]).

Proof. Since P is invariant, one has  $(r^{\varphi})^*P = P$  or  $P_{f \circ \varphi}(u \circ \varphi) = (P_f u) \circ \varphi$ for  $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$ . In the following  $f \circ \varphi$  will denote the map  $f(t, \varphi(t, x))$ , etc. One looks for  $\varphi$  as the integral curve of a time dependent vector field  $\xi(t, x)$  on M, given by  $\varphi_t = \xi \circ \varphi$ . The following expression must vanish for all  $x \in M$ and  $X_x \in T_x M$ :

$$0 = \overline{g} \Big( P_{f \circ \varphi} \big( \partial_t (f \circ \varphi) \big)(x), T(f \circ \varphi) . X_x \Big)$$
  
=  $\overline{g} \Big( P_{f \circ \varphi} \big( (\partial_t f) \circ \varphi + Tf. (\partial_t \varphi) \big)(x), T(f \circ \varphi) . X_x \Big)$   
=  $\overline{g} \Big( \big( (P_f(\partial_t f)) + P_f(Tf.\xi) \big) \big( \varphi(x) \big), Tf \circ T\varphi . X_x \Big)$ 

Since  $T\varphi$  is surjective,  $T\varphi X$  exhausts the tangent space  $T_{\varphi(x)}M$ , and one has

$$((P_f(\partial_t f)) + P_f(Tf.\xi))(\varphi(x)) \perp f.$$

This holds for all  $x \in M$ , and by the surjectivity of  $\varphi$ , one also has that

$$((P_f(\partial_t f)) + P_f(Tf.\xi))(x) \perp f$$

at all  $x \in M$ . This means that the tangential part  $(P_f(\partial_t f) + P_f(Tf.\xi))^{\perp}$  vanishes. Using the time dependent vector field

$$\xi = -(P_f^{\top})^{-1} \left( \left( P_f \partial_t f \right)^{\top} \right)$$

and its flow  $\varphi$  achieves this.

# 5.10 Geodesic equation on shape space

By the previous section and theorem 3.12, geodesics in  $B_i$  correspond exactly to horizontal geodesics in Imm. The equations for horizontal geodesics in the space of immersions have been written down in section 3.14. Here they are specialized to Sobolev-type metrics:

**Theorem.** The geodesic equation on shape space for a Sobolev-type metric  $G^P$  is equivalent to the set of equations

$$\begin{cases} f_t = f_t^{\text{hor}} \in \text{Hor}, \\ (\nabla_{\partial_t} f_t)^{\text{hor}} = \frac{1}{2} P^{-1} \Big( \operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(f_t, f_t)^{\perp} - \overline{g}(Pf_t, f_t). \operatorname{Tr}^g(S) \Big) \\ & - P^{-1} \Big( \big( (\nabla_{f_t} P)f_t \big)^{\perp} - \operatorname{Tr}^g \big( \overline{g}(\nabla f_t, Tf) \big) Pf_t \Big), \end{cases}$$

where f is a horizontal path of immersions.

These equations are not handable very well since taking the horizontal part of a vector to Imm involves inverting an elliptic pseudo-differential operator, see section 5.8. However, the formulation in the next section is much better.

# 5.11 Geodesic equation on shape space in terms of the momentum

The geodesic equation in terms of the momentum has been derived in section 3.15 for a general metric on shape space. Now it is specialized to Sobolevtype metrics using the formula for the H-gradient from section 5.3.

As in section 5.5 the momentum  $G^P(f_t, \cdot)$  is identified with  $Pf_t \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g)$ . By definition, the momentum is horizontal if it annihilates all vertical vectors. This is the case if and only if  $Pf_t$  is normal to f. Thus the splitting of the momentum in horizontal and vertical parts is given by

$$Pf_t \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g) = (Pf_t)^{\perp} \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g) + Tf_t(Pf_t)^{\perp} \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g).$$

This is much simpler than the splitting of the velocity in horizontal and vertical parts where a pseudo-differential operator has to be inverted, see section 5.8. Thus the following version of the geodesic equation on shape space is the easiest to solve.

#### 5.11. GEODESIC EQUATION

**Theorem.** The geodesic equation on shape space is equivalent to the set of equations for a path of immersions f:

$$\begin{cases} p = Pf_t \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g), & Pf_t = (Pf_t)^{\perp}, \\ (\nabla_{\partial_t} p)^{\operatorname{hor}} = \frac{1}{2} \Big( \operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(f_t, f_t)^{\perp} - \overline{g}(Pf_t, f_t). \operatorname{Tr}^g(S) \Big) \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g) \end{cases}$$

The equation for geodesics on Imm without the horizontality condition is

$$\nabla_{\partial_t} p = \frac{1}{2} \big( \operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(f_t, f_t)^{\perp} - 2T f \cdot \overline{g}(Pf_t, \nabla f_t)^{\sharp} - \overline{g}(Pf_t, f_t) \cdot \operatorname{Tr}^g(S) \big) \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g),$$

see section 5.5. It has been proven in section 3.14 that the vertical part of this equation is satisfied automatically when the geodesic is horizontal. Nevertheless this will be checked by hand because the proof is much simpler here than in the general case.

If  $f_t$  is horizontal then by definition  $Pf_t$  is normal to f. Thus one has for any  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  that

$$g((\nabla_{\partial_t} Pf_t)^{\top}, X) = \overline{g}(\nabla_{\partial_t} Pf_t, Tf.X) = 0 - \overline{g}(Pf_t, \nabla_{\partial_t} Tf.X)$$
$$= -\overline{g}(Pf_t, \nabla_X f_t) = -g(\overline{g}(Pf_t, \nabla f_t)^{\sharp}, X).$$

Thus

$$(\nabla_{\partial_t} p)^{\text{vert}} = ((\nabla_{\partial_t} Pf_t) \otimes \text{vol}(g) + Pf_t \otimes D_{(f,f_t)} \text{vol}(g))^{\text{vert}}$$
  
=  $Tf.(\nabla_{\partial_t} Pf_t)^\top \otimes \text{vol}(g) + Tf.(Pf_t)^\top \otimes D_{(f,f_t)} \text{vol}(g)$   
=  $-Tf.\overline{g}(Pf_t, \nabla f_t)^{\sharp} \otimes \text{vol}(g) + 0,$ 

which is exactly the vertical part of the geodesic equation.

52

# Chapter 6

# Geodesic distance on shape space

It came as a big surprise when it was discovered in [38] that the Sobolev metric of order zero induces vanishing geodesic distance on shape space  $B_i$ . It will be shown that this problem can be overcome by using higher order Sobolev metrics. The proof of this result is based on bounding the  $G^P$ -length of a path from below by its area swept out. The main result is in section 6.6.

This section is based on [8, section 5]. The same ideas can also be found in [10, section 2.4], [7, section 7] and [37, section 3].

#### 6.1 Geodesic distance on shape space

Geodesic distance on  $B_i$  is given by

$$\operatorname{dist}_{G^{P}}^{B_{i}}(F_{0},F_{1}) = \inf_{F} L_{G^{P}}^{B_{i}}(F),$$

where the infimum is taken over all  $F : [0, 1] \to B_i$  with  $F(0) = F_0$  and  $F(1) = F_1$ .  $L_{GP}^{B_i}$  is the length of paths in  $B_i$  given by

$$L_{G^{P}}^{B_{i}}(F) = \int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{G_{F}^{P}(F_{t}, F_{t})} dt \quad \text{for } F : [0, 1] \to B_{i}.$$

Letting  $\pi : \text{Imm} \to B_i$  denote the projection, one has

$$L_{G^P}^{B_i}(\pi \circ f) = L_{G^P}^{\operatorname{Imm}}(f) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{G_f^P(f_t, f_t)} dt$$

when  $f:[0,1] \to \text{Imm}$  is horizontal. In the following sections, conditions on the metric  $G^P$  ensuring that  $\text{dist}_{G^P}^{B_i}$  separates points in  $B_i$  will be developed.

#### 6.2 Vanishing geodesic distance

**Theorem.** The distance  $\operatorname{dist}_{H^0}^{B_i}$  induced by the Sobolev  $L^2$  metric of order zero vanishes. Indeed it is possible to connect any two distinct shapes by a path of arbitrarily short length.

This result was first established by Michor and Mumford for the case of planar curves in [38]. Here a more general version from [37] is quoted.

*Proof.* Take a path f(t, x) in Imm(M, N) from  $f_0$  to  $f_1$  and make it horizontal by the same method that was used in 5.9. Horizontality for the  $H^0$ -metric simply means  $\overline{g}(f_t, Tf) = 0$ . This forces a reparametrization on  $f_1$ .

Let  $\alpha : M \to [0, 1]$  be a surjective Morse function whose singular values are all contained in the set  $\{\frac{k}{2N} : 0 \le k \le 2N\}$  for some integer N. We shall use integers n below and we shall use only multiples of N.

Then the level sets  $M_r := \{x \in M : \alpha(x) = r\}$  are of Lebesgue measure 0. We shall also need the slices  $M_{r_1,r_2} := \{x \in M : r_1 \leq \alpha(x) \leq r_2\}$ . Since M is compact there exists a constant C such that the following estimate holds uniformly in t:

$$\int_{M_{r_1,r_2}} \operatorname{vol}(f(t, \, )^*\overline{g}) \le C(r_2 - r_1) \int_M \operatorname{vol}(f(t, \, )^*\overline{g})$$

Let  $\tilde{f}(t,x) = f(\varphi(t,\alpha(x)),x)$  where  $\varphi: [0,1] \times [0,1] \to [0,1]$  is given as in [38], 3.10 by

$$\varphi(t,\alpha) = \begin{cases} 2t(2n\alpha - 2k), & 0 \le t \le 1/2, \ \frac{2k}{2n} \le \alpha \le \frac{2k+1}{2n} \\ 2t(2k+2-2n\alpha), & 0 \le t \le 1/2, \ \frac{2k+1}{2n} \le \alpha \le \frac{2k+2}{2n} \\ 2t-1+2(1-t)(2n\alpha - 2k), & 1/2 \le t \le 1, \ \frac{2k}{2n} \le \alpha \le \frac{2k+1}{2n} \\ 2t-1+2(1-t)(2k+2-2n\alpha), & 1/2 \le t \le 1, \ \frac{2k+1}{2n} \le \alpha \le \frac{2k+2}{2n}. \end{cases}$$

See figure 6.1 for an illustration of the construction.

Then we get  $T\tilde{f} = \varphi_{\alpha}.d\alpha.f_t + Tf$  and  $\tilde{f}_t = \varphi_t.f_t$  where

$\varphi_{\alpha} = \left\{ \right.$	+4nt		$4n\alpha - 4k$	
	-4nt		$4k + 4 - 4n\alpha$	
	+4n(1-t)	,	$\varphi_t = \epsilon$	$2-4n\alpha+4k$
	-4n(1-t)			$-(2-4n\alpha+4k)$

We use horizontality  $\overline{g}(f_t, Tf) = 0$  to determine  $\tilde{f}_t^{\perp} = \tilde{f}_t + T\tilde{f}(X)$  where  $X \in TM$  satisfies  $0 = \overline{g}(\tilde{f}_t + T\tilde{f}(X), T\tilde{f}(\xi))$  for all  $\xi \in TM$ . We also use

$$d\alpha(\xi) = g(\operatorname{grad}^g \alpha, \xi) = \overline{g}(Tf(\operatorname{grad}^g \alpha), Tf(\xi))$$



Figure 6.1: Plot of the function  $\varphi$ . Each zig-zagged line corresponds to  $\varphi(t, \cdot)$  for some fixed values of t, namely  $t = \frac{1}{10}, \frac{2}{10}, \ldots, \frac{9}{10}$ . The figure is taken from [38].

and get

$$0 = \overline{g} \big( \tilde{f}_t + T \tilde{f}(X), T \tilde{f}(\xi) \big)$$
  
=  $g \Big( \varphi_t f_t + \varphi_\alpha d\alpha(X) f_t + T f(X), \varphi_\alpha d\alpha(\xi) f_t + T f(\xi) \Big)$   
=  $\varphi_t . \varphi_\alpha . g (\operatorname{grad}^g \alpha, \xi) \| f_t \|^2 +$   
+  $\varphi_\alpha^2 . g (\operatorname{grad}^g \alpha, X) . g (\operatorname{grad}^g \alpha, \xi) \| f_t \|^2 + \overline{g} (T f(X), T f(\xi))$   
=  $(\varphi_t . \varphi_\alpha + \varphi_\alpha^2 . g (\operatorname{grad}^g \alpha, X)) \| f_t \|^2 g (\operatorname{grad}^g \alpha, \xi) + g(X, \xi)$ 

This implies that  $X = \lambda \operatorname{grad}^g \alpha$  for a function  $\lambda$  and in fact we get

$$\tilde{f}_t^{\perp} = \frac{\varphi_t}{1 + \varphi_\alpha^2 \|d\alpha\|_q^2 \|f_t\|^2} f_t - \frac{\varphi_t \varphi_\alpha \|f_t\|_q^2}{1 + \varphi_\alpha^2 \|d\alpha\|_{f^*\overline{q}}^2 \|f_t\|^2} Tf(\operatorname{grad}^g \alpha)$$

and

$$\|\tilde{f}_t\|^2 = \frac{\varphi_t^2 \|f_t\|^2}{1 + \varphi_\alpha^2 \|d\alpha\|_{f^*\overline{g}}^2 \|f_t\|^2}$$

From  $T\tilde{f} = \varphi_{\alpha}.d\alpha.f_t + Tf$  and  $\overline{g}(f_t, Tf) = 0$  we get for the volume form

$$\operatorname{vol}(\tilde{f}^*\overline{g}) = \sqrt{1 + \varphi_\alpha^2 \, \|d\alpha\|_g^2 \|f_t\|^2} \, \operatorname{vol}(g).$$

For the horizontal length we get

$$\begin{split} L^{\mathrm{hor}}(\tilde{f}) &= \int_{0}^{1} \Bigl( \int_{M} \|\tilde{f}_{t}^{\perp}\|^{2} \operatorname{vol}(\tilde{f}^{*}\overline{g}) \Bigr)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt = \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} \Bigl( \int_{M} \frac{\varphi_{t}^{2} \|f_{t}\|^{2}}{\sqrt{1 + \varphi_{\alpha}^{2}} \|d\alpha\|_{g}^{2} \|f_{t}\|^{2}} \operatorname{vol}(g) \Bigr)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt = \\ &= \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \Biggl( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Bigl( \int_{M_{\frac{2k}{2n}, \frac{2k+1}{2n}}} \frac{(4n\alpha - 4k)^{2} \|f_{t}\|^{2}}{\sqrt{1 + (4nt)^{2}} \|d\alpha\|_{g}^{2} \|f_{t}\|^{2}} \operatorname{vol}(g) + \\ &+ \int_{M_{\frac{2k+1}{2n}, \frac{2k+2}{2n}}} \frac{(4k + 4 - 4n\alpha)^{2} \|f_{t}\|^{2}}{\sqrt{1 + (4nt)^{2}} \|d\alpha\|_{g}^{2} \|f_{t}\|^{2}} \operatorname{vol}(g) \Bigr) \Biggr)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt + \\ &+ \int_{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \Bigl( \int_{M_{\frac{2k}{2n}, \frac{2k+1}{2n}}} \frac{(2 - 4n\alpha + 4k)^{2} \|f_{t}\|^{2}}{\sqrt{1 + (4n(1 - t))^{2}} \|d\alpha\|_{g}^{2} \|f_{t}\|^{2}} \operatorname{vol}(g) + \\ &+ \int_{M_{\frac{2k+1}{2n}, \frac{2k+2}{2n}}} \frac{(2 - 4n\alpha + 4k)^{2} \|f_{t}\|^{2}}{\sqrt{1 + (4n(1 - t))^{2}} \|d\alpha\|_{g}^{2} \|f_{t}\|^{2}} \operatorname{vol}(g) \Bigr) \Biggr)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \end{split}$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The function  $(t, x) \mapsto \|f_t(\varphi(t, \alpha(x)), x)\|^2$  is uniformly bounded. On  $M_{\frac{2k}{2n}, \frac{2k+1}{2n}}$  the function  $4n\alpha - 4k$  has values in [0,2]. Choose disjoint geodesic balls centered at the finitely many singular values of the Morse function  $\alpha$  of total g-volume  $< \varepsilon$ . Restricted to the union  $M_{\text{sing}}$  of these balls the integral above is  $O(1)\varepsilon$ . So we have to estimate the integrals on the complement  $\tilde{M} = M \setminus M_{\text{sing}}$  where the function  $\|d\alpha\|_g$  is uniformly bounded from below by  $\eta > 0$ .

Let us estimate one of the sums above. We use the fact that the singular points of the Morse function  $\alpha$  lie all on the boundaries of the sets  $\tilde{M}_{\frac{2k}{2n},\frac{2k+1}{2n}}$  so that we can transform the integrals as follows:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\tilde{M}_{\frac{2k}{2n}}, \frac{2k+1}{2n}} \frac{(4n\alpha - 4k)^2 \|f_t\|^2}{\sqrt{1 + (4nt)^2 \|d\alpha\|_g^2 \|f_t\|^2}} \operatorname{vol}(g) =$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{\frac{2k}{2n}}^{\frac{2k+1}{2n}} \int_{\tilde{M}_r} \frac{(4nr - 4k)^2 \|f_t\|^2}{\sqrt{1 + (4nt)^2 \|d\alpha\|_g^2 \|f_t\|^2}} \frac{\operatorname{vol}(i_r^* f^* \overline{g})}{\|d\alpha\|_g} dr$$

We estimate this sum of integrals: Consider first the set of all  $(t, r, x) \in M_r$ such that  $|f_t(\varphi(t, r), x)| < \varepsilon$ . There we estimate by

$$O(1).n.16n^2.\varepsilon^2.(r^3/3)|_{r=0}^{r=1/2n} = O(\varepsilon).$$

On the complementary set where  $|f_t(\varphi(t,r),x)| \geq \varepsilon$  we estimate by

$$O(1).n.16n^2 \cdot \frac{1}{4nt\eta^2 \varepsilon} (r^3/3)|_{r=0}^{r=1/2n} = O(\frac{1}{nt\eta^2 \varepsilon})$$

which goes to 0 if n is large enough. The other sums of integrals can be estimated similarly, thus  $L^{\text{hor}}(\tilde{f})$  goes to 0 for  $n \to \infty$ . It is clear that one can approximate  $\varphi$  by a smooth function without changing the estimates essentially.  $\Box$ 

# 6.3 Area swept out

For a path of immersions f seen as a mapping  $f:[0,1]\times M\to N$  one has

(area swept out by 
$$f$$
) =  $\int_{[0,1]\times M} \operatorname{vol}(f(\cdot,\cdot)^*\overline{g}) = \int_0^1 \int_M \left\|f_t^{\perp}\right\| \operatorname{vol}(g) dt$ .

# 6.4 First area swept out bound

**Lemma.** Let  $G^P$  be a Sobolev type metric that is at least as strong as the  $H^0$ metric, i.e. there is a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\|h\|_{G^P} \ge C_1 \, \|h\|_{H^0} = C_1 \sqrt{\int_M \overline{g}(h,h) \operatorname{vol}(g)} \qquad \text{for all } h \in T \operatorname{Imm.}$$

Then one has the area swept out bound for any path of immersions f:

$$C_1$$
 (area swept out by  $f$ )  $\leq \max_t \sqrt{\operatorname{Vol}(f(t))} L_{G^P}^{\operatorname{Imm}}(f)$ .

The proof is an adaptation of the one given in [7, section 7.3] for almost local metrics.

Proof.

$$\begin{split} L_{G^{P}}^{\mathrm{Imm}}(f) &= \int_{0}^{1} \|f_{t}\|_{G^{P}} \, dt \geq C_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \|f_{t}\|_{H^{0}} \, dt \\ &\geq C_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \|f_{t}^{\perp}\|_{H^{0}} \, dt = C_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{M} \|f_{t}^{\perp}\|^{2} \operatorname{vol}(g)\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} dt \\ &\geq C_{1} \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{M} \operatorname{vol}(g)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{M} 1. \|f_{t}^{\perp}\| \operatorname{vol}(g) dt \\ &\geq C_{1} \min_{t} \left(\int_{M} \operatorname{vol}(g)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{[0,1] \times M} \operatorname{vol}(f(\cdot, \cdot)^{*} \overline{g}) \\ &= C_{1} \left(\max_{t} \int_{M} \operatorname{vol}(g)\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ (area swept out by } f) \end{split}$$

# 6.5 Lipschitz continuity of $\sqrt{Vol}$

**Lemma.** Let  $G^P$  be a Sobolev type metric that is at least as strong as the  $H^1$ -metric, i.e. there is a constant  $C_2 > 0$  such that

$$\|h\|_{G^P} \ge C_2 \|h\|_{H^1} = C_2 \sqrt{\int_M \overline{g} ((1+\Delta)h, h) \operatorname{vol}(g)} \qquad \text{for all } h \in T \operatorname{Imm.}$$

Then the mapping

$$\sqrt{\operatorname{Vol}}: (B_i, \operatorname{dist}_{G^P}^{B_i}) \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

is Lipschitz continuous, i.e. for all  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  in  $B_i$  one has:

$$\sqrt{\operatorname{Vol}(F_1)} - \sqrt{\operatorname{Vol}(F_0)} \le \frac{1}{2C_2} \operatorname{dist}_{G^P}^{B_i}(F_0, F_1).$$

For the case of planar curves, this has been proven in [39, section 4.7].

Proof.

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \mathrm{Vol} &= \int_M \Big( \operatorname{div}^g(f_t^\top) - \overline{g} \big( f_t^\perp, \mathrm{Tr}^g(S) \big) \Big) \mathrm{vol}(g) \\ &= 0 + \int_M \overline{g}(f_t, \nabla^* Tf) \mathrm{vol}(g) = \int_M (g_1^0 \otimes \overline{g}) (\nabla f_t, Tf) \mathrm{vol}(g) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\int_M \|\nabla f_t\|_{g_1^0 \otimes \overline{g}}^2 \operatorname{vol}(g)} \sqrt{\int_M \|Tf\|_{g_1^0 \otimes \overline{g}}^2 \operatorname{vol}(g)} \\ &\leq \|f_t\|_{H^1} \ \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}} \leq \frac{1}{C_2} \|f_t\|_{G^P} \ \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}}. \end{split}$$

Thus

$$\partial_t \sqrt{\operatorname{Vol}(f)} = \frac{\partial_t \operatorname{Vol}(f)}{2\sqrt{\operatorname{Vol}(f)}} \le \frac{1}{2C_2} \|f_t\|_{G^P} \,.$$

By integration one gets

$$\sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(f_1)} - \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(f_0)} = \int_0^1 \partial_t \sqrt{\mathrm{Vol}(f)} dt \le \int_0^1 \frac{1}{2C_2} \, \|f_t\|_{G^P} = \frac{1}{2C_2} \, L_{G^P}^{\mathrm{Imm}}(f).$$

Now the infimum over all paths  $f : [0,1] \to \text{Imm}$  with  $\pi(f(0)) = F_0$  and  $\pi(f(1)) = F_1$  is taken.

# 6.6 Non-vanishing geodesic distance

Using the estimates proven above and the fact that the area swept out separates points at least on  $B_e$ , one gets the following result:

\_

**Theorem.** The Sobolev type metric  $G^P$  induces non-vanishing geodesic distance on  $B_e$  if it is stronger or as strong as the  $H^1$ -metric, i.e. if there is a constant C > 0 such that

$$\|h\|_{G^P} \ge C \,\|h\|_{H^1} = C \sqrt{\int_M \overline{g} \left( (1+\Delta)h, h \right) \operatorname{vol}(g)} \qquad \text{for all } h \in T \operatorname{Imm}.$$
# Sobolev metrics induced by the Laplace operator

The results on non-vanishing geodesic distance from the previous section lead us to consider operators P that are induced by the Laplacian operator:

$$P = 1 + A\Delta^p, \quad P \in \Gamma(L(TImm; TImm))$$

for a constant A > 0. (See section 2.11 for the definition of the Laplacian that is used in this work.) At every  $f \in \text{Imm}$ ,  $P_f$  is a positive, selfadjoint and bijective operator of order 2p acting on  $T_f\text{Imm} = \Gamma(f^*TN)$ . Note that  $\Delta$  depends smoothly on the immersion f via the pullback-metric  $f^*\overline{g}$ , so that the same is true of P. P is invariant under the action of the reparametrization group Diff(M). It induces the Sobolev metric

$$G_f^P(h,k) = \int_M \overline{g} \left( P_f(h), k \right) \operatorname{vol}(g) = \int_M \overline{g} \left( \left( 1 + A(\Delta^{f^* \overline{g}})^p \right) h, k \right) \operatorname{vol}(f^* \overline{g}).$$

When A = 1 we write  $H^p := G^{1+\Delta^p}$ .

In this section we will calculate explicitly for  $P = 1 + A\Delta^p$  the geodesic equation and conserved momenta that have been deduced in section 5 for a general operator P. The hardest part will be the partial integration needed for the adjoint of  $\nabla P$ . As a result we will get explicit formulas that are ready to be implemented numerically.

This section is based on [8, section 6].

#### 7.1 Other choices for P

Other choices for P are the operator  $P = 1 + A(\nabla^*)^p \nabla^p$  corresponding to the metric

$$G_f^P(h,k) = \int_M \left(\overline{g}(h,k) + A\overline{g}(\nabla^p h, \nabla^p k)\right) \operatorname{vol}(g),$$

and other operators that differ only in lower order terms. Since these operators all have the same principal symbol, they induce equivalent metrics on each tangent space  $T_f$ Imm. It would be interesting to know if the induced geodesic distances on  $B_i$  are equivalent as well.

### 7.2 Adjoint of $\nabla P$

To find a formula for the geodesic equation one has to calculate the adjoint of  $\nabla P$ , see section 5.4. The following calculations at the same time show the existence of the adjoint. It has been shown in section 5.2 that the invariance of the operator P with respect to reparametrizations determines the tangential part of the adjoint:

$$\operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(h,k))^{\top} = \operatorname{grad}^{g} \overline{g}(Ph,k) - \left(\overline{g}(Ph,\nabla k) + \overline{g}(\nabla h,Pk)\right)^{\sharp}.$$

It remains to calculate its normal part using the variational formulas from section 4.

In the following calculations there will be terms of the form  $\text{Tr}(g^{-1}s_1g^{-1}s_2)$ , where  $s_1, s_2$  are two-forms on M. When the two-forms are seen as mappings  $TM \to T^*M$ , they can be composed with  $g^{-1}: T^*M \to TM$ . Thus the expression under the trace is a mapping  $TM \to TM$  to which the trace can be applied. When one of the two-forms is vector valued, the same tensor components as before are contracted. For example when  $h \in \Gamma(f^*TN)$  then  $s_2 = \nabla^2 h$  is a two-form on M with values in  $f^*TN$ . Then in the expression  $\text{Tr}(g^{-1}.s_1.g^{-1}.s_2)$ only TM and  $T^*M$  components are contracted, whereas the  $f^*TN$  component remains unaffected.

$$\begin{split} &\int_{M}\overline{g}\big(m^{\perp},\operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(h,k)\big)\operatorname{vol}(g) = \int_{M}\overline{g}\big((\nabla_{m^{\perp}}P)h,k\big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &= A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}\overline{g}\big((\nabla_{m^{\perp}}\Delta)\Delta^{p-i-1}h,\Delta^{i}k)\operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &= A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}\overline{g}\Big(\operatorname{Tr}\left(g^{-1}.D_{(f,m^{\perp})}g.g^{-1}\nabla^{2}\Delta^{p-i-1}h\right),\Delta^{i}k\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}\overline{g}\Big(\nabla_{\left(\nabla^{*}(D_{(f,m^{\perp})}g)+\frac{1}{2}d\operatorname{Tr}^{g}(D_{(f,m^{\perp})}g)\right)^{\sharp}}\Delta^{p-i-1}h,\Delta^{i}k\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad +A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}\overline{g}\Big(\nabla^{*}R^{\overline{g}}(m^{\perp},Tf)\Delta^{p-i-1}h,\Delta^{i}k\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}\overline{g}\Big(\operatorname{Tr}^{g}\left(R^{\overline{g}}(m^{\perp},Tf)\nabla\Delta^{p-i-1}h\right),\Delta^{i}k\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &= A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}\operatorname{Tr}\left(g^{-1}.D_{(f,m^{\perp})}g.g^{-1}\overline{g}(\nabla^{2}\Delta^{p-i-1}h,\Delta^{i}k)\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \end{split}$$

$$-A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} (g_{1}^{0} \otimes \overline{g}) \Big( \nabla \Delta^{p-i-1}h, (\nabla^{*}D_{(f,m^{\perp})}g) \otimes \Delta^{i}k \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} (g_{1}^{0} \otimes \overline{g}) \Big( \nabla \Delta^{p-i-1}h, \frac{1}{2}d\operatorname{Tr}^{g}(D_{(f,m^{\perp})}g) \otimes \Delta^{i}k \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ +A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} (g_{1}^{0} \otimes \overline{g}) \Big( R^{\overline{g}}(m^{\perp}, Tf) \Delta^{p-i-1}h, \nabla \Delta^{i}k \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} \overline{g} \Big( \operatorname{Tr}^{g} \big( R^{\overline{g}}(m^{\perp}, Tf) \nabla \Delta^{p-i-1}h \big), \Delta^{i}k \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g)$$

Using the following symmetry property of the curvature tensor (see [36, 24.4.4]):

$$\overline{g}(R^{\overline{g}}(X,Y)Z,U) = -\overline{g}(R^{\overline{g}}(Y,X)Z,U) = -\overline{g}(R^{\overline{g}}(Z,U)Y,X)$$

yields:

$$\begin{split} &\int_{M}\overline{g}\big(m^{\perp},\operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(h,k)\big)\operatorname{vol}(g) = \\ &= A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}g_{2}^{0}\Big(D_{(f,m^{\perp})}g,\overline{g}(\nabla^{2}\Delta^{p-i-1}h,\Delta^{i}k)\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}g_{1}^{0}\Big(\overline{g}(\nabla\Delta^{p-i-1}h,\Delta^{i}k),\nabla^{*}D_{(f,m^{\perp})}g\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}g_{1}^{0}\Big(\overline{g}(\nabla\Delta^{p-i-1}h,\Delta^{i}k),\frac{1}{2}\nabla\operatorname{Tr}^{g}(D_{(f,m^{\perp})}g)\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad +A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}\overline{g}\Big(\operatorname{Tr}^{g}\left(R^{\overline{g}}(\Delta^{p-i-1}h,\nabla\Delta^{i}k)Tf\right),m^{\perp}\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}\overline{g}\Big(\operatorname{Tr}^{g}\left(R^{\overline{g}}(\nabla\Delta^{p-i-1}h,\Delta^{i}k)Tf\right),m^{\perp}\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}g_{2}^{0}\Big(D_{(f,m^{\perp})}g,\overline{g}(\nabla^{2}\Delta^{p-i-1}h,\Delta^{i}k)\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}g_{2}^{0}\Big(\nabla\overline{g}(\nabla\Delta^{p-i-1}h,\Delta^{i}k),D_{(f,m^{\perp})}g\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}\int_{M}\overline{g}\Big(\operatorname{Tr}^{g}\left(R^{\overline{g}}(\Delta^{p-i-1}h,\nabla\Delta^{i}k)Tf\right),m^{\perp}\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad +A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}\overline{g}\Big(\operatorname{Tr}^{g}\left(R^{\overline{g}}(\Delta^{p-i-1}h,\nabla\Delta^{i}k)Tf\right),m^{\perp}\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}\overline{g}\Big(\operatorname{Tr}^{g}\left(R^{\overline{g}}(\Delta^{p-i-1}h,\Delta^{i}k)Tf\right),m^{\perp}\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad +A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}\overline{g}\Big(\operatorname{Tr}^{g}\left(R^{\overline{g}}(\Delta^{p-i-1}h,\Delta^{i}k)Tf\right),m^{\perp}\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}\overline{g}\Big(\operatorname{Tr}^{g}\left(R^{\overline{g}}(\Delta^{p-i-1}h,\Delta^{i}k)Tf\right),m^{\perp}\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &= A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} g_{2}^{0} \Big( D_{(f,m^{\perp})} g, \overline{g} (\nabla^{2} \Delta^{p-i-1}h, \Delta^{i}k) \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} g_{2}^{0} \Big( \overline{g} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1}h, \Delta^{i}k), D_{(f,m^{\perp})} g \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} g_{2}^{0} \Big( \overline{g} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1}h, \nabla \Delta^{i}k), D_{(f,m^{\perp})} g \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} \left( \nabla^{*} \overline{g} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1}h, \nabla \Delta^{i}k) \right) \operatorname{Tr}^{g} (D_{(f,m^{\perp})}g) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad +A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} \overline{g} \Big( \operatorname{Tr}^{g} \left( R^{\overline{g}} (\Delta^{p-i-1}h, \nabla \Delta^{i}k) Tf \right), m^{\perp} \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} \overline{g} \Big( D_{(f,m^{\perp})}g, \overline{g} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1}h, \nabla \Delta^{i}k) Tf \Big), m^{\perp} \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad = -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} g_{2}^{0} \Big( D_{(f,m^{\perp})}g, \overline{g} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1}h, \nabla \Delta^{i}k) \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} \overline{g} \Big( \operatorname{Tr}^{g} \left( R^{\overline{g}} (\Delta^{p-i-1}h, \nabla \Delta^{i}k) Tf \right), m^{\perp} \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad +A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} \overline{g} \Big( \operatorname{Tr}^{g} \left( R^{\overline{g}} (\Delta^{p-i-1}h, \nabla \Delta^{i}k) Tf \right), m^{\perp} \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} \overline{g} \Big( \operatorname{Tr}^{g} \left( R^{\overline{g}} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1}h, \Delta^{i}k) Tf \right), m^{\perp} \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} \overline{g} \Big( \nabla^{*} \overline{g} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1}h, \Delta^{i}k) Tf \Big), m^{\perp} \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} \overline{g} \Big( \operatorname{Tr}^{g} \left( R^{\overline{g}} (\Delta^{p-i-1}h, \nabla \Delta^{i}k) Tf \right), m^{\perp} \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} \overline{g} \Big( \operatorname{Tr}^{g} \left( R^{\overline{g}} (\Delta^{p-i-1}h, \nabla \Delta^{i}k) Tf \right), m^{\perp} \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad -A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} \overline{g} \Big( \operatorname{Tr}^{g} \left( R^{\overline{g}} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1}h, \Delta^{i}k) Tf \right), m^{\perp} \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad +A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \int_{M} \overline{g} \Big( \operatorname{Tr}^{g} \left( R^{\overline{g}} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1}h, \Delta^{i}k) Tf \right), m^{\perp} \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad =\int_{M} \overline{g} \Big( m^{\perp}, 2A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \operatorname{Tr} \left( g^{-1}Sg^{-1}\overline{g} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1}h, \nabla \Delta^{i}k) \right) \operatorname{Tr}^{g}(S) \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad +\int_{M} \overline{g} \Big( m^{\perp}, A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \overline{g} \Big( \nabla^{p}\overline{g} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1}h, \Delta^{i}k) Tf \Big), m^{\perp} \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad +\int_{M} \overline{g} \Big( m^{\perp}, A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \overline{g} \Big( \nabla^{p}\overline{g} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1}h, \Delta^{i}k) \Big) \operatorname{Tr}^{g}(S) \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad +\int_{M} \overline{g} \Big( m^{\perp}, A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \overline{g} \Big( \nabla^{p}\overline{g} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1}h, \Delta^{i}k) \Big) \operatorname{Tr}^{g}(S) \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &\quad +\int_{M} \overline{g} \Big( m^{\perp}, A\sum_{$$

$$-A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\int_{M}\overline{g}\Big(\operatorname{Tr}^{g}\left(R^{\overline{g}}(\nabla\Delta^{p-i-1}h,\Delta^{i}k)Tf\right),m^{\perp}\Big)\operatorname{vol}(g).$$

From this, one can read off the normal part of the adjoint. Thus one gets: **Lemma.** The adjoint of  $\nabla P$  defined in section 5.2 for the operator  $P = 1 + A\Delta^p$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(h,k) &= 2A \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \operatorname{Tr} \left( g^{-1} S g^{-1} \overline{g} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1} h, \nabla \Delta^{i} k) \right) \\ &+ A \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left( \nabla^{*} \overline{g} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1} h, \Delta^{i} k) \right) \operatorname{Tr}^{g}(S) \\ &+ A \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \operatorname{Tr}^{g} \left( R^{\overline{g}} (\Delta^{p-i-1} h, \nabla \Delta^{i} k) T f \right) \\ &- A \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \operatorname{Tr}^{g} \left( R^{\overline{g}} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1} h, \Delta^{i} k) T f \right) \\ &+ T f. \left[ \operatorname{grad}^{g} \overline{g} (Ph, k) - \left( \overline{g} (Ph, \nabla k) + \overline{g} (\nabla h, Pk) \right)^{\sharp} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

### 7.3 Geodesic equations and conserved momentum

The shortest and most convenient formulation of the geodesic equation is in terms of the momentum  $p = (1 + A\Delta^p)f_t \otimes \text{vol}(g)$ , see sections 5.5 and 5.11.

**Theorem.** The geodesic equation on Imm(M, N) for the  $G^P$ -metric with  $P = 1 + A\Delta^p$  is given by:

$$\begin{cases} p = (1 + A\Delta^{p})f_{t} \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g), \\ \nabla_{\partial_{t}}p = \left(A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\operatorname{Tr}\left(g^{-1}Sg^{-1}\overline{g}(\nabla(\Delta^{p-i-1}f_{t}), \nabla\Delta^{i}f_{t})\right) \\ + \frac{A}{2}\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\left(\nabla^{*}\overline{g}(\nabla(\Delta^{p-i-1}f_{t}), \Delta^{i}f_{t})\right).\operatorname{Tr}^{g}(S) \\ + 2A\sum_{i=0}^{p-1}\operatorname{Tr}^{g}\left(R^{\overline{g}}(\Delta^{p-i-1}f_{t}, \nabla\Delta^{i}f_{t})Tf\right) \\ - \frac{1}{2}\overline{g}(Pf_{t}, f_{t})\operatorname{Tr}^{g}(S) - Tf.\overline{g}(Pf_{t}, \nabla f_{t})^{\sharp}\right) \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g). \end{cases}$$

This equation is well-posed by theorem 5.6 since all conditions are satisfied. For the special case of plane curves, this agrees with the geodesic equation calculated in [39, section 4.2].

 $P = 1 + A\Delta^p$  and consequently  $G^P$  are invariant under the action of the reparametrization group Diff(M). According to sections 3.10 and 5.7 one gets:

**Theorem.** The momentum mapping for the action of Diff(M) on Imm(M, N)

$$g\left(\left((1+A\Delta^p)f_t\right)^{\top}\right)\otimes\operatorname{vol}(g)\in\Gamma(T^*M\otimes_M\operatorname{vol}(M))$$

is constant along any geodesic f in Imm(M, N).

The horizontal geodesic equation for a general metric on Imm has been derived in section 3.15. In section 5.11 it has been shown that this equation takes a very simple form. Now it is possible to write down this equation specifically for the operator  $P = 1 + A\Delta^p$ :

**Theorem.** The geodesic equation on shape space for the Sobolev-metric  $G^P$  with  $P = 1 + A\Delta^p$  is equivalent to the set of equations

$$\begin{cases} p = Pf_t \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g), \quad Pf_t = (Pf_t)^{\perp}, \\ (\nabla_{\partial_t} p)^{\operatorname{hor}} = \left( A \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \operatorname{Tr} \left( g^{-1} S g^{-1} \overline{g} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1} f_t, \nabla \Delta^i f_t) \right) \\ + \frac{A}{2} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \left( \nabla^* \overline{g} (\nabla \Delta^{p-i-1} f_t, \Delta^i f_t) \right) \operatorname{Tr}^g(S) \\ + 2A \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \operatorname{Tr}^g \left( R^{\overline{g}} (\Delta^{p-i-1} f_t, \nabla \Delta^i f_t) Tf \right) \\ - \frac{1}{2} \overline{g} (Pf_t, f_t). \operatorname{Tr}^g(S) \\ \end{pmatrix} \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g), \end{cases}$$

where f is a path of immersions. For the special case of plane curves, this agrees with the geodesic equation calculated in [39, section 4.6].

## Surfaces in *n*-space

This section is about the special case where the ambient space N is  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The flatness of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  leads to a simplification of the geodesic equation, and the Euclidean motion group acting on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  induces additional conserved quantities. The vector space structure of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  allows to define a Fréchet metric. This metric will be compared to Sobolev metrics. Finally in section 8.5 the space of concentric hyper-spheres in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is briefly investigated.

Most of the material presented here can also be found in [8].

#### 8.1 Geodesic equation

The covariant derivative  $\nabla^{\overline{g}}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is but the usual derivative. Therefore the covariant derivatives  $\nabla_{\partial_t} f_t$  and  $\nabla_{\partial_t} p$  in the geodesic equation can be replaced by  $f_{tt}$  and  $p_t$ , respectively. (Note that  $\operatorname{Imm}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$  is an open subset of the Fréchet vector space  $C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ .) Also, the curvature terms disappear because  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is flat. Any of the formulations of the geodesic equation presented so far can thus be adapted to the case  $N = \mathbb{R}^n$ .

We want to show how the geodesic equation simplifies further under the additional assumptions that  $\dim(M) = \dim(N) - 1$  and that M is orientable. Then it is possible define a unit vector field  $\nu$  to M. The condition that  $f_t$  is horizontal then simplifies to  $Pf_t = a.\nu$  for  $a \in C^{\infty}(M)$ . The geodesic equation can then be written as an equation for a. However, the equation is slightly simpler when it is written as an equation for  $a. \operatorname{vol}(g)$ . In practise,  $\operatorname{vol}(g)$  can be treated as a function on M because one can identify  $\operatorname{vol}(g)$  with its density with respect to  $du^1 \wedge \ldots \wedge du^{n-1}$ , where  $(u^1, \ldots, u^{n-1})$  is a chart on M. Thus multiplication by  $\operatorname{vol}(g)$  does not pose a problem.

**Theorem.** The geodesic equation for a Sobolev-type metric  $G^P$  on shape space

 $B_i(M,\mathbb{R}^n)$  with dim(M) = n-1 is equivalent to the set of equations

$$\begin{cases} Pf_t = a.\nu \\ \partial_t (a.\operatorname{vol}(g)) = \frac{1}{2}\overline{g} (\operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(f_t, f_t), \nu) - \frac{1}{2}\overline{g}(Pf_t, f_t)\overline{g} (\operatorname{Tr}^g(S), \nu), \end{cases}$$

where f is a path in  $\text{Imm}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$  and a is a time-dependent function on M.

*Proof.* Applying  $\overline{g}(\cdot, \nu)$  to the geodesic equation 5.11 on shape space in terms of the momentum one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \big( a. \operatorname{vol}(g) \big) &= \partial_t \ \overline{g} \big( Pf_t \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g), \nu \big) \\ &= \overline{g} \Big( \nabla_{\partial_t} \big( Pf_t \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g) \big), \nu \Big) + \overline{g} \big( Pf_t \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g), \nabla_{\partial_t} \nu \big) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \overline{g} \big( \operatorname{Adj}(\nabla P)(f_t, f_t), \nu \big) - \frac{1}{2} \overline{g}(Pf_t, f_t) \overline{g} \big( \operatorname{Tr}^g(S), \nu \big) + 0. \quad \Box \end{aligned}$$

Let us spell this equation out in even more details for the  $H^1$ -metric. This is the case of interest for the numerical examples in section 10.

**Theorem.** The geodesic equation on shape space  $B_i(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$  for the Sobolevmetric  $G^P$  with  $P = 1 + A\Delta$  is equivalent to the set of equations

$$\begin{cases} Pf_t = a.\nu\\ \partial_t \left( a. \operatorname{vol}(g) \right) = \left( Ag_2^0 \left( s, \overline{g}(\nabla f_t, \nabla f_t) \right) - \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(L)}{2} \left( \|f_t\|_{\overline{g}}^2 + A \|\nabla f_t\|_{g_1^0 \otimes \overline{g}}^2 \right) \right) \operatorname{vol}(g), \end{cases}$$

where f is a path of immersions, a is a time-dependent function on M,  $s = \overline{g}(S,\nu) \in \Gamma(T_2^0 M)$  is the shape operator,  $L = g^{-1}s \in \Gamma(T_1^1 M)$  is the Weingarten mapping, and  $\operatorname{Tr}(L)$  is the mean curvature.

*Proof.* The fastest way to get to this equation is to apply  $\overline{g}(\cdot, \nu)$  to the geodesic equation on Imm from section 7.3. This yields

$$\begin{split} \partial_t \big( a. \operatorname{vol}(g) \big) &= \Big( A \operatorname{Tr} \left( g^{-1} \cdot s. g^{-1} \overline{g}(\nabla f_t, \nabla f_t) \right) + \frac{A}{2} \big( \nabla^* \overline{g}(\nabla f_t, f_t) \big) \operatorname{Tr}(L) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \overline{g}(Pf_t, f_t) \cdot \operatorname{Tr}(L) \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &= \Big( Ag_2^0 \big( s, \overline{g}(\nabla f_t, \nabla f_t) \big) - \frac{A}{2} \operatorname{Tr}^g \big( \overline{g}(\nabla^2 f_t, f_t) \big) \operatorname{Tr}(L) \\ &\quad - \frac{A}{2} \operatorname{Tr}^g \big( \overline{g}(\nabla f_t, \nabla f_t) \big) \operatorname{Tr}(L) - \frac{1}{2} \overline{g} \big( (1 + A\Delta) f_t, f_t \big) \operatorname{Tr}(L) \big) \operatorname{vol}(g) \\ &= \Big( Ag_2^0 \big( s, \overline{g}(\nabla f_t, \nabla f_t) \big) - \frac{A}{2} \operatorname{Tr}^g \big( \overline{g}(\nabla f_t, \nabla f_t) \big) \operatorname{Tr}(L) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \overline{g} \big( f_t, f_t \big) \cdot \operatorname{Tr}(L) \Big) \operatorname{vol}(g). \end{split}$$

Notice that the second order derivatives of  $f_t$  have canceled out.

68

#### 8.2 Additional conserved momenta

If P is invariant under the action of the Euclidean motion group  $\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes \mathrm{SO}(n)$ , then also the metric  $G^P$  is in invariant under this group action and one gets additional conserved quantities as described in section 3.10:

**Theorem.** For an operator P that is invariant under the action of the Euclidean motion group  $\mathbb{R}^n \rtimes SO(n)$ , the linear momentum

$$\int_M Pf_t \operatorname{vol}(g) \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^*$$

and the angular momentum

$$\forall X \in \mathfrak{so}(n) : \int_{M} \overline{g}(X.f, Pf_{t}) \mathrm{vol}(g)$$
or equivalently 
$$\int_{M} (f \wedge Pf_{t}) \mathrm{vol}(g) \in \bigwedge^{2} \mathbb{R}^{n} \cong \mathfrak{so}(n)^{*}$$

are constant along any geodesic f in  $\text{Imm}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . The operator  $P = 1 + A\Delta^p$  satisfies this property.

These momenta have also been calculated in [8, section 4.3].

#### 8.3 Fréchet distance and Finsler metric

This section can also be found in [8, section 5.6].

The Fréchet distance on shape space  $B_i(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined as

$$\operatorname{dist}_{\infty}^{B_i}(F_0, F_1) = \inf_{f_0, f_1} \|f_0 - f_1\|_{L^{\infty}},$$

where the infimum is taken over all  $f_0, f_1$  with  $\pi(f_0) = F_0, \pi(f_1) = F_1$ . As before,  $\pi$  denotes the projection  $\pi$ : Imm  $\to B_i$ . Fixing  $f_0$  and  $f_1$ , one has

$$\operatorname{dist}_{\infty}^{B_i}(\pi(f_0), \pi(f_1)) = \inf_{\varphi} \|f_0 \circ \varphi - f_1\|_{L^{\infty}},$$

where the infimum is taken over all  $\varphi \in \text{Diff}(M)$ . The Fréchet distance is related to the Finsler metric

$$G^{\infty}: TImm(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad h \mapsto \left\| h^{\perp} \right\|_{L^{\infty}}.$$

**Lemma.** The pathlength distance induced by the Finsler metric  $G^{\infty}$  provides an upper bound for the Fréchet distance:

$$\operatorname{dist}_{\infty}^{B_i}(F_0, F_1) \le \operatorname{dist}_{G^{\infty}}^{B_i}(F_0, F_1) = \inf_f \int_0^1 \|f_t\|_{G^{\infty}} dt,$$

where the infimum is taken over all paths

$$f: [0,1] \to \text{Imm}(M, \mathbb{R}^n) \quad with \quad \pi(f(0)) = F_0, \pi(f(1)) = F_1.$$

*Proof.* Since any path f can be reparametrized such that  $f_t$  is normal to f, one has

$$\inf_{f} \int_{0}^{1} \left\| f_{t}^{\perp} \right\|_{L^{\infty}} dt = \inf_{f} \int_{0}^{1} \| f_{t} \|_{L^{\infty}} dt,$$

where the infimum is taken over the same class of paths f as described above. Therefore

$$dist_{\infty}^{B_{i}}(F_{0}, F_{1}) = \inf_{f} \|f(1) - f(0)\|_{L^{\infty}} = \inf_{f} \left\| \int_{0}^{1} f_{t} dt \right\|_{L^{\infty}} \le \inf_{f} \int_{0}^{1} \|f_{t}\|_{L^{\infty}} dt$$
$$= \inf_{f} \int_{0}^{1} \left\| f_{t}^{\perp} \right\|_{L^{\infty}} dt = dist_{G^{\infty}}^{B_{i}}(F_{0}, F_{1}).$$

It is claimed in [32, theorem 13] that  $d_{\infty} = \text{dist}_{G^{\infty}}$ . However, the proof given there only works on the vector space  $C^{\infty}(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$  and not on  $B_i(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . The reason is that convex combinations of immersions are used in the proof, but that the space of immersions is not convex.

#### 8.4 Sobolev versus Fréchet distance

This section can also be found in [8, section 5.7].

It is a desirable property of any distance on shape space to be stronger than the Fréchet distance. Otherwise, singular points of a shape could move arbitrarily far away without increasing the distance much.

As the following result shows, Sobolev metrics of low order do not have this property. The author and the authors of [8] believe that they have this property when the order is high enough, but were not able to prove this.

**Lemma.** Let  $G^P$  be a metric on  $B_i(M, \mathbb{R}^n)$  that is weaker than or at least as weak as a Sobolev  $H^p$ -metric with  $p < \frac{\dim(M)}{2} + 1$ , i.e.

$$\|h\|_{G^{P}} \leq C \,\|h\|_{H^{p}} = C \sqrt{\int_{M} \overline{g} \left((1 + \Delta^{p})h, h\right) \operatorname{vol}(g)} \qquad \text{for all } h \in T \operatorname{Imm}.$$

Then the Fréchet distance can not be bounded by the  $G^P$ -distance.

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove the claim for  $P = 1 + \Delta^p$ . Let  $f_0$  be a fixed immersion of M into  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $f_1$  be a translation of  $f_0$  by a vector h of length  $\ell$ . It will be shown that the  $H^p$ -distance between  $\pi(f_0)$  and  $\pi(f_1)$  is bounded by a constant 2L that does not depend on  $\ell$ , where  $\pi$  denotes the projection of Imm onto  $B_i$ . Then it follows that the  $H^p$ -distance can not be bounded from below by the Fréchet distance, and this proves the claim.

For small  $r_0$ , one calculates the  $H^p$ -length of the following path of immersions: First scale  $f_0$  by a factor  $r_0$ , then translate it by h, and then scale it again until it has reached  $f_1$ . The following calculation shows that under the assumption p < m/2 + 1 the immersion  $f_0$  can be scaled down to zero in finite

70

 $H^p$ -pathlength L. Let  $r: [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be a function of time with r(0) = 1 and r(1) = 0.

$$\begin{split} L_{\rm Imm}^{G^P}(r.f_0) &= \int_0^1 \sqrt{\int_M \overline{g} \Big( r_t \cdot \big(1 + (\Delta^{(r.f_0)^* \overline{g}})^p \big)(f_0), r_t \cdot f_0 \Big) \mathrm{vol} \big((r.f_0)^* \overline{g} \big)} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 \sqrt{\int_M r_t^2 \cdot \overline{g} \Big( \big(1 + \frac{1}{r^{2p}} (\Delta^{f_0^* \overline{g}})^p \big)(f_0), f_0 \Big) r^m \mathrm{vol} \big(f_0^* \overline{g} \big)} dt \\ &= \int_1^0 \sqrt{\int_M \overline{g} \Big( \big(1 + \frac{1}{r^{2p}} (\Delta^{f_0^* \overline{g}})^p \big)(f_0), f_0 \Big) r^m \mathrm{vol} \big(f_0^* \overline{g} \big)} dr =: L \end{split}$$

The last integral converges if  $\frac{m-2p}{2} < -1$ , which holds by assumption. Scaling down to  $r_0 > 0$  needs even less effort. So one sees that the length of the shrinking and growing part of the path is bounded by 2L.

The length of the translation is simply  $\ell \sqrt{r_0^m \text{Vol}(f_0)} = O(r^{m/2})$  since the Laplacian of the constant vector field vanishes. Therefore

$$\operatorname{dist}_{B_i}^{G^P}(\pi(f_0), \pi(f_1)) \leq \operatorname{dist}_{\operatorname{Imm}}^{G^P}(f_0, f_1) \leq 2L.$$

#### 8.5 Concentric spheres

This section can also be found in [8, section 6.6].

For a Sobolev type metric  $G^P$  that is invariant under the action of the SO(n)on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the set of hyper-spheres in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with common center 0 is a totally geodesic subspace of  $B_i(S^{n-1}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ . The reason is that it is the fixed point set of the group SO(n) acting on  $B_i$  isometrically. (One also needs uniqueness of solutions to the geodesic equation to prove that the concentric spheres are totally geodesic.) This section mainly deals with the case  $P = 1 + \Delta^p$ .

First we want to determine under what conditions the set of concentric spheres is geodesically complete under the  $G^{P}$ -metric.

**Lemma.** The space of concentric spheres is complete with respect to the  $G^P$  metric with  $P = 1 + A\Delta^p$  iff  $p \ge (n+1)/2$ .

*Proof.* The space is complete if and only if it is impossible to scale a sphere down to zero or up to infinity in finite  $G^P$  path-length. So let f be a path of concentric spheres. It is uniquely described by its radius r. Its velocity is  $f_t = r_t . \nu$ , where  $\nu$  designates the unit normal vector field. One has

$$\overline{g}(g^{-1}.S,\nu) =: L = -\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{Id}_{TM}, \quad \operatorname{Tr}(L^k) = (-1)^k \frac{n-1}{r^k}, \quad \operatorname{Vol} = r^{n-1} \frac{n\pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2+1)},$$

Keep in mind that r and  $r_t$  are constant functions on the sphere, so that all

derivatives of them vanish. Therefore

$$\Delta \nu = \nabla^* (\nabla \nu) = \nabla^* (-Tf.L) = \operatorname{Tr}^g \left( \nabla (Tf.L) \right)$$
$$= \operatorname{Tr}^g \left( \nabla (Tf).L \right) + \operatorname{Tr}^g \left( Tf.(\nabla L) \right)$$
$$= \operatorname{Tr}(L^2).\nu + \operatorname{Tr}^g \left( Tf.\nabla (-\frac{1}{r} \operatorname{Id}_{TM}) \right) = \frac{n-1}{r^2}.\nu + 0$$

and

$$Pf_t = (1 + A\Delta^p)(r_t . \nu) = r_t . \left(1 + A \frac{(n-1)^p}{r^{2p}}\right) . \nu.$$

From this it is clear that the path f is horizontal. Therefore its length as a path in  $B_i$  is the same as its length as a path in Imm. One calculates its length as in the proof of 8.4:

$$\begin{split} L_{B_i}^{G^P}(f) &= \int_0^1 \sqrt{G_f^P(f_t, f_t)} dt = \int_0^1 \sqrt{\int_M r_t^2 \cdot \left(1 + A \frac{(n-1)^p}{r^{2p}}\right) \operatorname{vol}(g)} dt \\ &= \int_0^1 |r_t| \sqrt{\left(1 + A \frac{(n-1)^p}{r^{2p}}\right) \frac{n \cdot \pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2+1)} r^{n-1}} dt \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{n \cdot \pi^{n/2}}{\Gamma(n/2+1)}} \int_{r_0}^{r_1} \sqrt{\left(1 + A \frac{(n-1)^p}{r^{2p}}\right) r^{n-1}} dr. \end{split}$$

The integral diverges for  $r_1 \to \infty$  since the integrand is greater than  $r^{(n-1)/2}$ . It diverges for  $r_0 \to 0$  iff  $(n-1-2p)/2 \leq -1$ , which is equivalent to  $p \geq (n+1)/2$ .

The geodesic equation within the space of concentric spheres reduces to an ODE for the radius that can be read off the geodesic equation in section 7.3:

$$r_{tt} = -r_t^2 \Big( \frac{n-1}{2r} - \frac{p.A.(n-1)^p}{r(r^{2p} + A(n-1)^p)} \Big).$$

## Diffeomorphism groups

For M = N the space  $\operatorname{Emb}(M, M)$  equals the diffeomorphism group of M. An operator  $P \in \Gamma(L(\operatorname{TEmb}; \operatorname{TEmb}))$  that is invariant under reparametrizations induces a right-invariant Riemannian metric on this space. Thus one gets the geodesic equation for right-invariant Sobolev metrics on diffeomorphism groups and well-posedness of this equation. To the authors knowledge, well-posedness has so far only been shown for the special case  $M = S^1$  in [18] and for the special case of Sobolev order one metrics in [23]. Theorem 5.6 establishes this result for arbitrary compact M and Sobolev metrics of arbitrary order.

In the decomposition of a vector  $h \in T_f \text{Emb}$  into its tangential and normal components  $h = Tf.h^{\top} + h^{\perp}$ , the normal part  $h^{\perp}$  vanishes. By the naturality of the covariant derivative,  $S = \nabla Tf$  vanishes as well. Thus on gets:

**Theorem.** The geodesic equation on Diff(M) is given by

$$\begin{cases} p = Pf_t \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g), \\ \nabla_{\partial_t} p = -Tf.\overline{g}(Pf_t, \nabla f_t)^{\sharp} \otimes \operatorname{vol}(g). \end{cases}$$

Note that this equation is not right-trivialized, in contrast to the equation given in [5, 37, 35], for example.

74

# Numerical results

This section can also be found in [8, section 7].

It is of great interest for shape comparison to solve the *boundary value problem* for geodesics in shape space. When the boundary value problem can be solved, then any shape can be encoded as the initial momentum of a geodesic starting at a fixed reference shape. Since the initial momenta all lie in the same vector space, this also opens the way to statistics on shape space.

There are two approaches to solving the boundary value problem. In [7] the first approach of minimizing *horizontal path energy* over the set of curves in Imm connecting two fixed boundary shapes has been taken. This has been done for several almost local metrics. For these metrics it is straightforward to calculate the horizontal energy because the horizontal bundle equals the normal bundle. However, in the case of Sobolev type metrics the horizontal energy involves the inverse of a differential operator (see section 5.8), which makes this approach much harder.

The second approach is the method of *geodesic shooting*. This method is based on iteratively solving the initial value problem while suitably adapting the initial conditions. The theoretical requirements of existence of solutions to the geodesic equation and smooth dependence on initial conditions are met for Sobolev type metrics, see section 5.6. This makes geodesic shooting a promising approach.

The first step towards this aim is to numerically solve the initial value problem for geodesics, at least for the  $H^1$ -metric and the case of surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and that is what will be presented in this work.

The geodesic equation on shape space is equivalent to the horizontal geodesic equation on the space of immersions. For the case of surfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , it takes the form given in section 8.1. This equation can be conveniently set up using the DifferentialGeometry package incorporated in the computer algebra system Maple as demonstrated in figure 10.1. (The equations that have actually been solved were simplified by multiplying intermediate terms with suitable powers of  $\sqrt{\operatorname{vol}(g)}$ , but for the sake of clearness this has not been included in the Maple

code in figure 10.1.)

Unfortunately, Maple (as of version 14) is not able to solve PDEs with more than one space variable numerically. Thus the equations were translated into Mathematica. The PDE was solved using the method of lines. Spatial discretization was done using an equidistant grid, and spatial derivatives were replaced by finite differences. The time-derivative  $f_t$  appears implicitly in the equation  $P_f(f_t) = a.\nu$ , and this remains so when the operator  $P_f$  is replaced by finite differences.

The solver that has been used is the Implicit Differential-Algebraic (IDA) solver that is part of the SUNDIALS suite and is integrated into Mathematica. IDA uses backward differentiation of order 1 to 5 with variable step widths. Order 5 is standard and has also been used here. At each time step, the new value of  $f_t$  is computed using some previous values of f, and then the new value of f is calculated from the equation  $P_f(f_t) = a.\nu$ . The dependence on f in this equation is of course highly nonlinear. A Newton method is used to solve it. This operation is quite costly and has to be done at every step, which is a main disadvantage of backward differentiation algorithms. Explicit methods are probably much better adapted to the problem. The implementation of an explicit solver is ongoing work of Martin Bauer, Martins Bruveris and the author. The results are too preliminary to be included in this thesis.

In the examples that follow, f at time zero is a square  $[0, \pi] \times [0, \pi]$  flatly embedded in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . This is a manifold with boundary, but it can be seen as a part of a bigger closed manifold. Zero boundary conditions are used for both fand a. It remains to specify an initial condition for a. As a first example, let us assume that a at time zero equals  $\sin(x) \sin(y)$ , where x, y are the Euclidean coordinates on the square. The resulting geodesic is depicted in figure 10.2. In the absence of a closed-form solution of the geodesic equation, one way to check if the solution is correct is to see if the energy  $G^P(f_t, f_t)$  is conserved. Figure 10.3 shows this for the geodesic from figure 10.2 with various space and time discretizations.

A more complicated example of a geodesic is shown in figure 10.4 and 10.5. There, the initial velocity was chosen to be a smoothened version of a black and white picture of the letter A. The initial momentum was computed from it using a discrete Fourier transform.

Finally, it is shown in figure 10.6 that self-intersections of the surface can occur. This is not due to a numerical error but part of the theory, and can be an advantage or a disadvantage depending on the application.

```
1 with(DifferentialGeometry);with(Tensor);with(PDEtools);with(Tools);
  DGsetup([u,v],[x,y,z],E);
3 declare(f1(t,u,v),f2(t,u,v),f3(t,u,v));
  f := evalDG(f1(t,u,v)*D_x+f2(t,u,v)*D_y+f3(t,u,v)*D_z);
5 G := evalDG(dx &t dx + dy &t dy + dz &t dz);
  Gamma_vrt := 0 &mult Connection(dx &t D_x &t du);
7 Tf := CovariantDerivative(f,Gamma_vrt);
  g:=ContractIndices(G &t Tf &t Tf,[[1,3],[2,5]]);
9 g_inv:=InverseMetric(g);
  Gamma_bas:=Christoffel(g);
11 det:=Hook([D_u,D_u],g)*Hook([D_v,D_v],g)-Hook([D_u,D_v],g)^2;
  ft := evalDG(diff(f1(t,u,v),t)*D_x+diff(f2(t,u,v),t)*D_y
13
          +diff(f3(t,u,v),t)*D_z);
  ft := convert(ft,DGtensor);
15 S := CovariantDerivative(Tf,Gamma_vrt,Gamma_bas);
  cross:=evalDG((dy &w dz) &t D_x + (dz &w dx) &t D_y + (dx &w dy) &t D_z);
17 N:=Hook([ContractIndices(Tf &t D_u,[[2,3]]),
          ContractIndices(Tf &t D_v,[[2,3]])],cross);
19 nu:=convert(N/Hook([N,N],G),DGvector);
  s := ContractIndices(G &t S &t nu, [[1,3],[2,6]]);
21 L := ContractIndices(g_inv &t s,[[2,3]]);
  Gftft := ContractIndices(G &t ft &t ft,[[1,3],[2,4]]);
23 Cft := CovariantDerivative(ft,Gamma_vrt);
  CCft := CovariantDerivative(Cft,Gamma_vrt,Gamma_bas);
25 Dft := ContractIndices(-g_inv &t CCft,[[1,4],[2,5]]);
  Pft := evalDG(ft+A*Dft);
27 GCftCft := ContractIndices(Cft &t Cft &t G,[[1,5],[3,6]]);
  gGCftCft := ContractIndices(g_inv &t GCftCft,[[2,3]]);
29 TrLgGCftCft := ContractIndices(L &t gGCftCft,[[1,4],[2,3]]);
  TrgGCftCft := ContractIndices(gGCftCftdet,[[1,2]]);
31 TrL := ContractIndices(L,[[1,2]]);
   # b(t,u,v) := a(t,u,v)*sqrt(det);
33 eq1 := ContractIndices(Pft &t dx,[[1,2]])*sqrt(det) =
          b(t,u,v)*ContractIndices(nu &t dx,[[1,2]]);
35 eq2 := ContractIndices(Pft &t dy,[[1,2]])*sqrt(det) =
          b(t,u,v)*ContractIndices(nu &t dy,[[1,2]]);
37 eq3 := ContractIndices(Pft &t dz,[[1,2]])*sqrt(det) =
         b(t,u,v)*ContractIndices(nu &t dz,[[1,2]]);
39 eq4 := diff(b(t,u,v),t) =
          (A*TrLgGCftCft - TrL/2*(Gftft+A*TrgGCftCft))*sqrt(det);
```

Figure 10.1: Maple source code to set up the geodesic equation.



Figure 10.2: Geodesic where a bump is formed out a flat plane. The initial momentum is  $a = \sin(x) \sin(y)$ . Time increases linearly from left to right. The final time is t = 5.



Figure 10.3: Conservation of the energy  $G^P(f_t, f_t)$  along the geodesic in figure 10.2. The true value of  $G^P(f_t, f_t)$  is  $\frac{\pi^2}{4(1+2A)} \approx 0.822467$  for A = 1. The maximum time step used in blue and green is 0.1. For purple and cyan it is 0.05. The number of grid points used in blue and cyan is 100 times 100. For green and purple it is 200 times 200.



Figure 10.4: Letter A forming along a geodesic path. Time increases linearly from left to right. The final time is t = 0.8. Top and bottom row are different views of the same geodesic.



Figure 10.5: Initial velocity  $f_t(0, \cdot)$  and momentum  $a(0, \cdot)$  of the geodesic in figure 10.4. Both are shown first from above, then from the side.



Figure 10.6: A self-intersection forming along a geodesic. Time increases linearly from left to right.

# Bibliography

- H. Alt and L. J. Guibas. Discrete geometric shapes: Matching, interpolation, and approximation: A survey. Technical Report B 96-11, EVL-1996-142, Institute of Computer Science, Freie Universität Berlin, 1996.
- [2] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, and G. Savaré. Gradient flows with metric and differentiable structures, and applications to the wasserstein space. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl.*, 15(3-4), 2004.
- [3] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, and G. Savaré. Gradient flows in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, second edition, 2008.
- [4] F. Arman and J. Aggarwal. Model-based object recognition in dense-range images—a review. ACM Comput. Surv., 25(1):5–43, 1993.
- [5] V. I. Arnold. Sur la géometrie différentielle des groupes de lie de dimension infinie et ses applications à l'hydrodynamique des fluides parfaits. Ann. Inst. Fourier, 16:319–361, 1966.
- [6] R. Bajcsy, R. Lieberson, and M. Reivich. A computerized system for the elastic matching of deformed radiographic images to idealized atlas images. J. Comput. Assisted Tomogr., 7:618–625, 1983.
- [7] M. Bauer, P. Harms, and P. W. Michor. Almost local metrics on shape space of hypersurfaces in n-space, 2010. URL arXiv:math/1001.0717.
- [8] M. Bauer, P. Harms, and P. W. Michor. Sobolev metrics on shape space of surfaces in n-space, 2010. URL arXiv:math/1009.3616.
- [9] M. Bauer, P. Harms, and P. W. Michor. Curvature weighted metrics on shape space of hypersurfaces in n-space. http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/ ~michor/gauss-surfaces.pdf, 2010.
- [10] Martin Bauer. Almost local metrics on shape space of surfaces. PhD thesis, University of Vienna, 2010.
- [11] M. F. Beg, M. I. Miller, A. Trouvé, and L. Younes. Computing large deformation metric mappings via geodesic flows of diffeomorphisms. *Int.* J. Comput. Vision, 61(2):139–157, 2005.

- [12] J.-D. Benamou, Y. Brenier, and K. Guittet. The Monge-Kantorovitch mass transfer and its computational fluid mechanics formulation. *Internat.* J. Numer. Methods Fluids, 40(1-2):21–30, 2002.
- [13] Jean-David Benamou and Yann Brenier. A computational fluid mechanics solution to the Monge-Kantorovich mass transfer problem. *Numer. Math.*, 84(3):375–393, 2000.
- [14] P. J. Besl and R. C. Jain. Three-dimensional object recognition. Comput. Surv., 17(1):75–145, March 1985.
- [15] Arthur L. Besse. *Einstein manifolds*. Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [16] Fred L. Bookstein. Morphometric tools for landmark data : geometry and biology. Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [17] V. Cervera, F. Mascaró, and P. W. Michor. The action of the diffeomorphism group on the space of immersions. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 1(4): 391–401, 1991.
- [18] Adrian Constantin and Boris Kolev. Geodesic flow on the diffeomorphism group of the circle. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 78(4):787–804, 2003.
- [19] S. Durrleman, X. Pennec, A. Trouve, P. Thompson, and N. Ayache. Inferring brain variability from diffeomorphic deformations of currents: an integrative approach. *Med Image Anal*, 12(5):626–637, 2008.
- [20] S. Durrleman, X. Pennec, A. Trouve, and N. Ayache. Statistical models of sets of curves and surfaces based on currents. *Med Image Anal*, 13(5): 793–808, 2009.
- [21] Jürgen Eichhorn. Global analysis on open manifolds. Nova Science Publishers Inc., New York, 2007.
- [22] Jürgen Eichhorn and Jan Fricke. The module structure theorem for Sobolev spaces on open manifolds. *Math. Nachr.*, 194:35–47, 1998.
- [23] François Gay-Balmaz. Well-posedness of higher dimensional Camassa-Holm equations. Bull. Transilv. Univ. Braşov Ser. III, 2(51):55–58, 2009.
- [24] J. Glaunès, A. Qiu, M. I. Miller, and L. Younes. Large deformation diffeomorphic metric curve mapping. Int. J. Comput. Vision, 80(3), 2008.
- [25] D. Holm, A. Trouvé, and L. Younes. The Euler-Poincaré theory of metamorphosis. Quart. Appl. Math., 67(4):661–685, 2009.
- [26] David G. Kendall. Shape-manifolds, procrustean metrics, and complex projective spaces. Bull. London Mathematical Society, 16:81–121, 1984.
- [27] Shoshichi Kobayashi and Katsumi Nomizu. Foundations of differential geometry. Vol. I. Wiley Classics Library. John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1996.
- [28] I. Kolář, P. W. Michor, and J. Slovák. Natural operations in differential geometry. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.

- [29] Andreas Kriegl and Peter W. Michor. The convenient setting of global analysis, volume 53 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1997.
- [30] Sergey Kushnarev. Teichons: solitonlike geodesics on universal Teichmüller space. Experiment. Math., 18(3):325–336, 2009.
- [31] S. Loncaric. A survey of shape analysis techniques. Pattern Recognition, 31(8):983–1001, 1998.
- [32] A. Mennucci, A. Yezzi, and G. Sundaramoorthi. Properties of Sobolev-type metrics in the space of curves. *Interfaces Free Bound.*, 10(4):423–445, 2008.
- [33] M. Micheli, P. W. Michor, and D. Mumford. Sectional curvature in terms of the cometric, with applications to the riemannian manifolds of landmarks., 2010. URL arXiv:1009.2637.
- [34] Peter W. Michor. Manifolds of differentiable mappings. Shiva Publ., 1980.
- [35] Peter W. Michor. Some geometric evolution equations arising as geodesic equations on groups of diffeomorphisms including the Hamiltonian approach. In *Phase space analysis of partial differential equations*, volume 69 of *Progr. Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl.*, pages 133–215. Birkhäuser Boston, 2006.
- [36] Peter W. Michor. Topics in differential geometry, volume 93 of Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [37] Peter W. Michor and David Mumford. Vanishing geodesic distance on spaces of submanifolds and diffeomorphisms. *Doc. Math.*, 10:217–245 (electronic), 2005.
- [38] Peter W. Michor and David Mumford. Riemannian geometries on spaces of plane curves. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 8 (2006), 1-48, 2006. URL arxiv:math/0312384.
- [39] Peter W. Michor and David Mumford. An overview of the Riemannian metrics on spaces of curves using the Hamiltonian approach. Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal., 23(1):74–113, 2007.
- [40] M. I. Miller, A. Trouve, and L. Younes. On the metrics and euler-lagrange equations of computational anatomy. Annu Rev Biomed Eng, 4:375–405, 2002.
- [41] A. R. Pope. Model-based object recognition: A survey of recent research. Technical Report TR-94-04, University of British Columbia, January 1994.
- [42] E. Sharon and D. Mumford. 2d-shape analysis using conformal mapping. In Proc. IEEE Conf. Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pages 350– 357, 2004.
- [43] E. Sharon and D. Mumford. 2d-shape analysis using conformal mapping. International Journal of Computer Vision, 70:55–75, 2006.

- [44] M. A. Shubin. Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory. Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987.
- [45] D'Arcy Thompson. On Growth and Form. Cambridge University Press, 1942.
- [46] Alain Trouvé and Laurent Younes. Diffeomorphic matching problems in one dimension: Designing and minimizing matching functionals. In David Vernon, editor, *Computer Vision*, volume 1842. ECCV, 2000.
- [47] Alain Trouvé and Laurent Younes. Metamorphoses through Lie group action. Found. Comput. Math., 5(2):173–198, 2005.
- [48] Marc Vaillant and Joan Glaunès. Surface matching via currents. In Information Processing in Medical Imaging, volume 3565 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pages 381–392. Springer Berlin / Heidelberg, 2005.
- [49] R. C. Veltkamp and M. Hagedoorn. State-of-the-art in shape matching. Technical Report UU-CS-1999-27, Utrecht University, 1999.
- [50] Steven Verpoort. The geometry of the second fundamental form: Curvature properties and variational aspects. PhD thesis, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, 2008.
- [51] L. Younes, P. W. Michor, J. Shah, and D. Mumford. A metric on shape space with explicit geodesics. Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. Rend. Lincei (9) Mat. Appl., 19(1):25–57, 2008.
- [52] Laurent Younes. Computable elastic distances between shapes. SIAM J. Appl. Math., 58(2):565–586 (electronic), 1998.

## Zusammenfassung

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG. Geometrische Figuren spielen eine wichtige Rolle in vielen Bereichen der Wissenschaft, Technik und Medizin. Mathematisch kann eine Figur als unparametrisierte, immersive Teil-Mannigfaltigkeit modelliert werden, was auch der dieser Arbeit zugrunde liegende Figurenbegriff ist. Wenn der Raum der Figuren mit einer Riemannschen Metrik ausgestattet wird, eröffnet sich die Welt der Riemannschen Differential-Geometrie mit Geodäten, Gradienten-Flüssen und Krümmung. Leider induziert die einfachste solche Metrik verschwindende Kurvenlängen-Distanz am Raum der Figuren. Diese Entdeckung von Michor und Mumford war der Ausgangspunkt für die Suche nach stärkeren Metriken, die es ermöglichen sollten, Figuren anhand von für die jeweilige Anwendung wichtigen Merkmalen zu unterscheiden. Sobolev-Metriken sind ein vielversprechender Ansatz dazu. Es gibt sie in zwei Varianten: Sogenannte äußere Metriken, die von Metriken auf der Diffeomorphismen-Gruppe des umgebenden Raums induziert werden, und innere Metriken, die intrinsisch zur Figur definiert sind. In dieser Arbeit werden innere Sobolev-Metriken in einem sehr allgemeinen Rahmen entwickelt und präsentiert. Es gibt keine Einschränkung auf die Dimension des eingebetteten oder umgebenden Raums, und der umgebende Raum muss nicht flach sein. Es wird gezeigt, dass die Kurvenlängen-Distanz von inneren Sobolev Metriken am Raum der Figuren nicht verschwindet. Die Geodätengleichung und die durch Symmetrien erzeugten Erhaltungsgrößen werden hergeleitet, und die Wohldefiniertheit der Geodätengleichung wird gezeigt. Beispiele von numerischen Lösungen der Geodätengleichung schließen die Arbeit ab.

APPENDIX

# Curriculum Vitae

### Personal Data

Name:	Philipp Harms
Email:	philipp.harms@univie.ac.at
Nationality:	Austria
Date of birth:	25. 07. 1984
Place of birth:	Graz

### Education

2008-2010	<b>Ph.D. in Mathematics</b> University of Vienna
2003-2008	Master in Mathematics, with distinction Vienna University of Technology
2004-2006	<b>Studies of Lutheran Theology,</b> University of Vienna
1996-2002	<b>A-level</b> , with distinction Akademisches Gymnasium Graz

#### Conferences and research visits

October, 2010	Imperial College, London: Research visit
July, 2010	Scuola Normale Superiore di Pisa: Research visit
July, 2010	Curves and Surfaces, Avignon: Conference
May, 2010	Shape FRG, London: Conference
January, 2010	Winter School Geometry and Physics, Srni: Conference
September, 2009	Shape modelling group at CNR IMATI-GE, Genova: Research visit
June, 2009	École Normale Supérieure de Cachan, Paris: Research visit

April 2009	Shape FRG, Annapolis, MD: Conference
January, 2009	Winter School Geometry and Physics, Srni: Conference

### Teaching experience

2005-2007	Mathematics 1, 2 and 3 in mechanical engineering,
	Mathematics 1 in computer science
	Vienna University of Technology

### Publications and Preprints

Preprint:	Geodesics in infinite dimensional Stiefel and Grass- mann manifolds
Preprint:	Curvature weighted metrics on shape space of surfaces in $n$ -space
Preprint:	Sobolev metrics on shape space
Preprint:	Almost local metrics on shape space of surfaces in $n$ -space
Master Thesis:	The Poincaré Lemma in Subriemannian Geometry

November 25, 2010