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## Plan:

- Greatest common divisor of $s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)$ with $\lambda \vdash n$.
- Existence of generalized parking spaces.
- Greatest common divisor of $s_{\lambda}\left(1, q, \cdots, q^{k-1}\right)$ with $\lambda \vdash n$.

Greatest Common Divisors of $s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)$

## Schur Functions

A partition of a positive integer $n$ is a weakly decreasing sequence

$$
\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \cdots\right), \quad \lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \lambda_{3} \geq \cdots
$$

of non-negative integers with $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}=n$. Then we write $\lambda \vdash n$. The length $l(\lambda)$ of a partition $\lambda$ is defined by

$$
l(\lambda)=\#\left\{i: \lambda_{i}>0\right\} .
$$

Let $k$ be a positive integer and let $\lambda$ be a partition with length $\leq k$. The Schur function $s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right)$ corresponding to $\lambda$ is defined by

$$
s_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(x_{i}^{\lambda_{j}+k-j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}}{\operatorname{det}\left(x_{i}^{k-j}\right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}}
$$

The Schur functions are symmetric polynomials in $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}$ with nonnegative integer coefficients.

## Specialized Schur Functions

We are interested in the greatest common divisors of the special values

$$
s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)=s_{\lambda}(\underbrace{1, \cdots, 1}), \quad \text { and } \quad s_{\lambda}\left(1, q, q^{2}, \cdots, q^{k-1}\right) \text {. }
$$

The special values $s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)$ can be interpreted as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)= & \text { the number of semistandard tableaux of shape } \lambda \\
& \text { with entries in }\{1,2, \cdots, k\} \\
= & \text { the dimension of the irreducible representation } \\
& \text { of } \mathbf{G L}_{k} \text { with highest weight } \lambda \\
= & \prod_{x \in D(\lambda)} \frac{k+c(x)}{h(x)},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $D(\lambda)$ is the Young diagram of $\lambda$, and $c(x)$ and $h(x)$ denote the content and the hook length of $x$ respectively.

Theorem 1 Let $k$ and $n$ be positive integers. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{gcd} \mathbb{Z}\left\{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right): \lambda \vdash n\right\}=\frac{k}{\operatorname{gcd}(n, k)}
$$

Example If $n=4$, then we have

| $k$ | $s_{(4)}\left(1^{k}\right)$ | $s_{(3,1)}\left(1^{k}\right)$ | $s_{\left(2^{2}\right)}\left(1^{k}\right)$ | $s_{\left(2,1^{2}\right)}\left(1^{k}\right)$ | $s_{\left(1^{4}\right)}\left(1^{k}\right)$ | GCD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 2 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 3 | 15 | 15 | 6 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 35 | 45 | 20 | 15 | 1 | 1 |
| 5 | 70 | 105 | 50 | 45 | 5 | 5 |
| 6 | 126 | 210 | 105 | 105 | 15 | 3 |
| 7 | 210 | 378 | 196 | 210 | 35 | 7 |
| 8 | 330 | 630 | 336 | 378 | 70 | 2 |
| 9 | 495 | 990 | 540 | 630 | 126 | 9 |
| 10 | 715 | 1485 | 825 | 990 | 210 | 5 |

Theorem 1 Let $k$ and $n$ be positive integers. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{gcd} \mathbb{Z}\left\{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right): \lambda \vdash n\right\}=\frac{k}{\operatorname{gcd}(n, k)}
$$

Proof follows from the following two claims.
Claim 1 For any partition $\lambda$ of $n$, the integer $s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)$ is divisible by $k / \operatorname{gcd}(n, k)$.
Claim 2 The integer $k / \operatorname{gcd}(n, k)$ is an element of the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by $s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)$ 's $(\lambda \vdash n)$.

## Proof of Theorem 1 (1/4)

Claim 1 For any partition $\lambda$ of $n$, the integer $s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)$ is divisible by $k / \operatorname{gcd}(n, k)$.

## Proof of Claim 1

Let $d=\operatorname{gcd}(n, k)$. It follows from the Frobenius formula that

$$
\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)}{k / d} \chi^{\lambda}(\sigma)=\frac{1}{k / d} \cdot k^{l(\operatorname{type}(\sigma))} \quad\left(\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}\right),
$$

where $\chi^{\lambda}$ is the irreducible character of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ corresponding to $\lambda$, and type $(\sigma)$ is the cycle type of $\sigma$. Hence it is enough to show that there exists a representation of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ whose character $\theta$ is given by

$$
\theta(\sigma)=\frac{1}{k / d} \cdot k^{l(\operatorname{type}(\sigma))} \quad\left(\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}\right) .
$$

## Proof of Theorem 1 (2/4)

Consider the permutation representation of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ on $X=(\mathbb{Z} / k \mathbb{Z})^{n}$, and put

$$
X_{p}=\left\{\left(x_{i}\right) \in(\mathbb{Z} / k \mathbb{Z})^{n}: x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}-p d \in\{0,1, \cdots, d-1\}\right\}
$$

for $p=0,1, \cdots, k / d-1$, where we identify $\mathbb{Z} / k \mathbb{Z}$ with $\{0,1, \cdots, k-1\}$. If we denote by $\psi$ and $\psi_{p}$ the permutation character of $X$ and $X_{p}$, then we have

$$
\psi(\sigma)=k^{l(\operatorname{type}(\sigma))}, \quad \text { and } \quad \psi=\psi_{0}+\psi_{1}+\cdots+\psi_{k / d-1}
$$

Since $\operatorname{gcd}(k / d, n / d)=1$, we can find an equivariant bijection between $X_{0}$ and $X_{p}$, so we have

$$
\psi_{0}=\psi_{1}=\cdots=\psi_{k / d-1}
$$

Hence we conclude that $\theta$ is the permutation character $\psi_{0}$ of $X_{0}$, and that $\frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)}{k / d}$ is an integer.

## Proof of Theorem 1 (3/4)

Claim 2 The integer $k / \operatorname{gcd}(n, k)$ is an element of the ideal of $\mathbb{Z}$ generated by $s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)$ 's $(\lambda \vdash n)$.

## Proof of Claim 2

We have the following relation among ideals of $\mathbb{Z}$ :

$$
\left\langle s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right): \lambda \vdash n\right\rangle=\left\langle m_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right): \lambda \vdash n\right\rangle \supset\left\langle m_{\left(f^{n / f}\right)}\left(1^{k}\right): f \mid d\right\rangle,
$$

where $m_{\lambda}\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{k}\right)$ is the monomial symmetric polynomial corresponding to $\lambda$, and $d=\operatorname{gcd}(k, n)$. Since we have

$$
m_{\left(f^{n / f}\right)}\left(1^{k}\right)=\binom{k}{f}
$$

it is enough to show that

$$
\frac{k}{d} \in\left\langle\binom{ k}{f}: f \mid d\right\rangle .
$$

## Proof of Theorem 1 (4/4)

Lemma If $e$ divides $k$, then

$$
\frac{k}{e} \in\left\langle\binom{ k}{f}: f \mid e\right\rangle .
$$

This lemma can be shown by using the induction on $e$ and the relation

$$
\binom{p^{a} l}{p^{a}}-\frac{p^{a} l}{p^{a}} \equiv 0 \bmod p l,
$$

where $p$ is a prime.

## Generalized Parking Spaces

## Parking Functions

A parking function of length $n$ is a sequence $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ of positive integers satisfying

- $a_{i} \in\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$, and
- $\#\left\{i: a_{i} \leq k\right\} \geq k$ for $k=1,2, \cdots, n$.

Imagine that there are $n$ cars $C_{1}, C_{2}, \cdots, C_{n}$ and $n$ parking spaces $1,2, \cdots, n$ in a one-way street. Car $C_{i}$ prefers the parking space $a_{i}$ and approaches its preferred parking space.

- If it is free, then $C_{i}$ parks there.
- If it is occupied, then $C_{i}$ parks in the next available space if possible. Then the sequence $\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ is a parking function if and only if all cars can park.


## Parking Functions

A parking function of length $n$ is a sequence $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)$ of positive integers satisfying

- $a_{i} \in\{1,2, \cdots, n\}$, and
- $\#\left\{i: a_{i} \leq k\right\} \geq k$ for $k=1,2, \cdots, n$.

We put

$$
\mathrm{PF}_{n}=\text { the set of parking functions of length } n \text {. }
$$

## Example

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{PF}_{2} & =\{11,12,21\}, \\
\mathrm{PF}_{3} & =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
111,112,121,211,113,131,311,122 \\
212,221,123,132,213,231,312,321
\end{array}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ acts on the set $\mathrm{PF}_{n}$ by permuting entries:

$$
\sigma \cdot\left(a_{1}, \cdots, a_{n}\right)=\left(a_{\sigma(1)}, \cdots, a_{\sigma(n)}\right) \quad\left(\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}\right)
$$

It is known that the corresponding permutation character is given by

$$
\varphi(\sigma)=(n+1)^{l(\operatorname{tgpe}(\sigma))-1} \quad\left(\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}\right)
$$

More generally, given a positive integer $k$, we consider the class function on $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ defined by

$$
\varphi_{k}(\sigma)=k^{l(\operatorname{type}(\sigma))-1} \quad\left(\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}\right)
$$

Question When is $\varphi_{k}$ the character of some representation of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ ?
It is not hard to show that, if $k$ is relatively prime to $n$, then $\varphi_{k}$ is the permutation character on

$$
\left\{x \in(\mathbb{Z} / k \mathbb{Z})^{n}: x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}=0\right\} .
$$

## By using Theorem 1, we can prove

## Corollary

$\varphi_{k}$ is the character of a representation of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$ $\Longleftrightarrow k$ is relatively prime to $n$.

Proof It follows from the Frobenius formula that

$$
\varphi_{k}=\sum_{\lambda \vdash n} \frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)}{k} \chi^{\lambda}
$$

Hence we have
$\varphi_{k}$ is the character of a representation of $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$
$\Longleftrightarrow \frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right)}{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $\lambda \vdash n$
$\Longleftrightarrow k$ is relatively prime to $n$,
since $\operatorname{gcd}\left\{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right): \lambda \vdash n\right\}=k / \operatorname{gcd}(n, k)$.

## Generalization to Coxeter groups

Let $(W, S)$ be a finite Coxeter system and $V$ its geometric representation.
Example (Type $A_{n-1}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
W & =\mathfrak{S}_{n} \\
S & =\left\{s_{i}=(i, i+1): 1 \leq i \leq n-1\right\} \\
V & =\left\{x=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: x_{1}+\cdots+x_{n}=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

In this setting, we have

$$
l(\operatorname{type}(\sigma))-1=\operatorname{dim} V^{\sigma} \quad\left(\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}\right),
$$

where $V^{\sigma}=\{v \in V: \sigma v=v\}$.

## Generalization to Coxeter groups

Let $(W, S)$ be a finite Coxeter system and $V$ its geometric representation. Let $k$ be a positive integer and consider the class function $\varphi_{k}^{W}$ on $W$ given by

$$
\varphi_{k}^{W}(w)=k^{\operatorname{dim} V^{w}} \quad(w \in W)
$$

where $V^{w}$ is the fixed-point subspace of $w$.
Question When is $\varphi_{k}^{W}$ is the character of a representation of $W$ ?
A $W$-module $U$ is called a generalized parking space if its character is given by $\varphi_{k}^{W}$ for some positive integer $k$. For example, the vector space $\mathbb{C} \mathrm{PF}_{n}$ with basis $\mathrm{PF}_{n}$ is a parking space for $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$.

Theorem 2 Let $W$ be an irreducible Coxeter group. Then $\varphi_{k}^{W}$ is a character of some representation of $W$ if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

| type | condition on $k$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $A_{n-1}$ | $k$ is relatively prime to $n$ |
| $B_{n}, D_{n}$ | $k$ is odd |
| $E_{6}, E_{7}, F_{4}$ | $k$ is not divisible by 2 and 3 |
| $E_{8}$ | $k$ is not divisible by 2,3, and 5 |
| $H_{3}$ | $k \equiv 1,5,9 \bmod 10$ |
| $H_{4}$ | $k \equiv 1,11,19,29 \bmod 30$ |
| $I_{2}(m)(m$ is even $)$ | $k=1$ or " $k \geq m-1$ and $k^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod 2 m "$ |
| $I_{2}(m)(m$ is odd $)$ | $k=1$ or " $k \geq m-1$ and $k^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod m "$ |

Theorem 2 Let $W$ be an irreducible Coxeter group. Then $\varphi_{k}^{W}$ is a character of some representation of $W$ if and only if the following condition is satisfied:

| type | condition on $k$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $A_{n-1}$ | $k$ is relatively prime to $n$ |
| $B_{n}, D_{n}$ | $k$ is odd |
| $E_{6}, E_{7}, F_{4}$ | $k$ is not divisible by 2 and 3 |
| $E_{8}$ | $k$ is not divisible by 2,3 , and 5 |
| $H_{3}$ | $k \equiv 1,5,9 \bmod 10$ |
| $H_{4}$ | $k \equiv 1,11,19,29 \bmod 30$ |
| $I_{2}(m)(m$ is even $)$ | $k=1$ or " $k \geq m-1$ and $k^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod 2 m "$ |
| $I_{2}(m)(m$ is odd $)$ | $k=1$ or " $k \geq m-1$ and $k^{2} \equiv 1 \bmod m "$ |

Remark E. Sommers proved that, if $W$ is a Weyl group and $k$ satisfies the above condition, then the permutation representation on $Q / k Q$ has the character $\varphi_{k}^{W}$, where $Q$ is the root lattice.

If $W$ is not of type $I_{2}(m)$ with $m=5$ or $m \geq 7$, then the condition in Theorem 2 can be stated in terms of "generalized $q$-Catalan number" $C_{k}^{W}(q):$

$$
C_{k}^{W}(q)=\prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\left[k+e_{i}\right]_{q}}{\left[1+e_{i}\right]_{q}}
$$

where $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{r}$ are the exponents of $W$ and $[m]_{q}=\left(1-q^{m}\right) /(1-q)$.
Example (Type $A_{n-1}$ ) If $W=\mathfrak{S}_{n}$, then the exponents are $1,2, \cdots, n-$ 1 , and

$$
C_{k}^{\mathfrak{S}_{n}}(q)=\frac{1}{[n]_{q}}\left[\begin{array}{c}
k+n-1 \\
k
\end{array}\right]_{q},
$$

where $\left[\begin{array}{c}m \\ k\end{array}\right]_{q}$ is the $q$-binomial coefficient. If $k=n+1$ (Coxeter number), then $C_{n+1}^{\mathfrak{S}_{n}}(q)$ is a $q$-analogue of the Catalan number $C_{n}$.

If $W$ is not of type $I_{2}(m)$ with $m=5$ or $m \geq 7$, then the condition in Theorem 2 can be stated in terms of "generalized $q$-Catalan number" $C_{k}^{W}(q)$ :

$$
C_{k}^{W}(q)=\prod_{i=1}^{r} \frac{\left[k+e_{i}\right]_{q}}{\left[1+e_{i}\right]_{q}}
$$

where $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{r}$ are the exponents of $W$ and $[m]_{q}=\left(1-q^{m}\right) /(1-q)$.
Corollary Suppose that $W$ is not of type $I_{2}(m)$ with $m=5$ or $m \geq 7$. Then
$\varphi_{k}^{W}$ is a character of some representation of $W$ $\Longleftrightarrow C_{k}^{W}(q)$ is a polynomial in $q$.

Greatest Common Divisors of $s_{\lambda}\left(1, q, \cdots, q^{k-1}\right)$

Theorem 1 Let $k$ and $n$ be positive integers. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{gcd} \mathbb{Z}\left\{s_{\lambda}\left(1^{k}\right): \lambda \vdash n\right\}=\frac{k}{\operatorname{gcd}(n, k)}
$$

Theorem 3 Let $k$ and $n$ be positive integers. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{gcd}_{\mathbb{Q}[q]}\left\{s_{\lambda}\left(1, q, q^{2}, \cdots, q^{k-1}\right): \lambda \vdash n\right\}=\frac{[k]_{q}}{[\operatorname{gcd}(n, k)]_{q}},
$$

where $[r]_{q}=\left(1-q^{r}\right) /(1-q)$.
Remark Theorem 3 does not imply Theorem 1 by letting $q=1$. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{q \rightarrow 1} \operatorname{gcd}\left\{\left(q^{2}+1\right)(q+1)^{2},(q+1)^{3}\right\} & =\lim _{q \rightarrow 1}(q+1)^{2}=4, \\
\operatorname{gcd}\left\{\lim _{q \rightarrow 1}\left(q^{2}+1\right)(q+1)^{2}, \lim _{q \rightarrow 1}(q+1)^{3}\right\} & =\operatorname{gcd}(8,8)=8 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Theorem 3 Let $k$ and $n$ be positive integers. Then we have

$$
\operatorname{gcd}_{\mathbb{Q}[q]}\left\{s_{\lambda}\left(1, q, q^{2}, \cdots, q^{k-1}\right): \lambda \vdash n\right\}=\frac{[k]_{q}}{[\operatorname{gcd}(n, k)]_{q}},
$$

where $[r]_{q}=\left(1-q^{r}\right) /(1-q)$.
Proof follows from
1.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: z \text { is a common root of } h_{\lambda}\left(1, q, \ldots, q^{k-1}\right)(\lambda \vdash n)\right\} \\
& =\bigsqcup_{d \mid k, d \nmid n}\{z \in \mathbb{C}: z \text { is a primitive } d \text {-th root of } 1\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

2. If $z$ is a common root of $h_{\lambda}\left(1, q, \ldots, q^{k-1}\right)(\lambda \vdash n)$, then $z$ is a simple root of $h_{\mu}\left(1, q, \ldots, q^{k-1}\right)$ for some $\mu \vdash n$.

## Conjectures

Theorem 3 implies that

$$
\frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1, q, \cdots, q^{k-1}\right)}{[k]_{q} /[d]_{q}}=\frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1, q, \cdots, q^{k-1}\right)}{1+q^{d}+\cdots+q^{k-d}} \in \mathbb{Z}[q],
$$

where $\lambda \vdash n$ and $d=\operatorname{gcd}(k, n)$.
Conjecture $1 \quad$ If $\lambda$ is a partition of $n$ and $d=\operatorname{gcd}(k, n)$, then

$$
\frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1, q, \cdots, q^{k-1}\right)}{1+q^{d}+\cdots+q^{k-d}} \in \mathbb{N}[q],
$$

i.e., it is a polynomial with non-negative integer coefficients.

This conjecture is true if

- $n$ is a multiple of $k$ (i.e., $d=k$ ) (well-known), or
- $k / d$ is relatively prime to $n$.

A finite sequence $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}\right)$ is called unimodal if there is an index $p$ satisfying

$$
a_{0} \leq a_{1} \leq \cdots \leq a_{p-1} \leq a_{p} \geq a_{p+1} \geq \cdots \geq a_{m-1} \geq a_{m}
$$

Conjecture 2 Let $\lambda$ be a partition of $n$ and $d=\operatorname{gcd}(k, n)$. If we write

$$
\frac{s_{\lambda}\left(1, q, \cdots, q^{k-1}\right)}{1+q^{d}+\cdots+q^{k-d}}=\sum_{i \geq 0} a_{i} q^{i}
$$

then the sequences

$$
\left(a_{0}, a_{2}, a_{4}, \cdots\right), \quad \text { and } \quad\left(a_{1}, a_{3}, a_{5}, \cdots\right)
$$

are both unimodal.
This conjecture is true if

- $n$ is a multiple of $k$ (i.e., $d=k$ ) (well-known), or
- $k$ is relatively prime to $n$.

