

SOME CONTRIBUTIONS OF STEVAN PICIPOVIC TO THE STRUCTURAL THEORY OF COLOMBEAU ALGEBRAS

- HAPPY BIRTHDAY ACTION [GIVE, ...]
- GREETINGS & THANKS. [Stoj no. 8; first is APPS, ...]
- CONTENTS. As everybody knows S.P. has a really long list of publications, that cover a wide spectrum of topics in analysis. Again as everybody knows his work has deeply influenced the field of algebras of generalized fctn / Colombeau algebras. In particular there is approx. 100 recent papers by SP & various coauthors on the "structural theory" or structure of CA.

Today I will pick up a small portion of them and speak about some work of SP & coauthors that fascinated me by

bringing fresh methods into the field
which have not been used before.

Most of the following is contained in, Equalities in algebras of gen. fctn by S.P., D.S., V.V. [which appeared in 2006 in Forum Mathematicum [PSV;06]]

• STARTING POINT: a parametric formula by L. Schwartz [2]

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ cp, $0 \in \text{int}(K)$

$\Rightarrow \exists m \in \mathbb{N}_0$, $\rho \in C_0^k(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\Theta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ both supported in K :

$$\boxed{\delta = \Delta^m \rho + \Theta}$$

Immediate consequence: $f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}^n) \Rightarrow$

$$\boxed{f = \Delta^m f * \rho + f * \Theta}$$

• CONSEQUENCES IN \mathcal{G} \leftarrow special Colombeau algebras

(1) (How to detect \mathcal{G}^∞ -fcts) \leftarrow regular Colombeau fcts
 $\mathcal{G}^\infty \cap (\mathcal{D}') = C^\infty$

Let $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$; suppose $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}_0$: $f * \phi \in \mathcal{G}^\infty \forall \phi \in C_0^k(\Omega)$

$$\Rightarrow f \in \mathcal{G}^\infty$$

Immediate from the parametric formula.

(2) (Equality in the generalized \mathcal{D}' -sense)

DEF: $\mathcal{G} \ni f \stackrel{\mathcal{D}'}{=} 0 : \Leftrightarrow \int f \cdot \rho = 0 \forall \rho \in C_0^\infty$

~~$f = 0$~~ (in \mathcal{G})

$C(\mathcal{S}) * C(\mathcal{S}) - C(\mathcal{S})$ [Colombeau '84]

Another result:

- Let $f \in \mathcal{G}(\Omega)$; suppose $\exists k \in \mathbb{N}_0: \int f \cdot \phi = 0 \forall \phi \in \mathcal{C}_0^k(\Omega)$
 $\implies f = 0$

In [PSV, 06] one finds an 'elementary' proof & an elegant shortcut which uses the parametric formula

Another one:

$f \in \mathcal{G}^\infty, f = 0' \iff f = 0$

Let me now come to a characterization of translation-invariant generalized fct.

Thm (lobster) Let $f \in \mathcal{G}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ s.t.

$f(\cdot + h) = f(\cdot) \forall h \in \mathbb{R}^n$

Then f is a (generalized) constant

REMARK. If we had $\forall \tilde{h} \in \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^n \implies f = \text{const}$

point value char [Kunzinger, Oberguggenberger 03]

[A gen fct is not characterized by its values on $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ but $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{\mathbb{R}}^n$]

History.

GF2000@Cuodeloop. P.O. talk on group invariant fcts.
stated the above as a problem that he couldn't solve & offered a lobster to those who could.

2006: Scopeleros, Pilipovic, Valmorin

↳ proof using Boire argument ↳ using parametrized formula & Boire

2008: Vernoeve short & elegant proof (elementary?)
even gives a stronger result: trans. inv. of the L -measure)
The \mathbb{R} replaced by $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ $h_1/h_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ @ algebraic

Proof: (Boire & parametrized) f, θ as in the parametrized formula
from the assumption (\mathbb{R} -tbl. inv.) $f \in \text{rep of } f$ supp(f) ⊂ C
 $\epsilon^{-p} \int \Delta_{\epsilon}^m f(y) (f^{\vee}(x+y) - f^{\vee}(y)) dy = O(1)$
[transform variables...]

We prepare for a Boire argument $\forall \epsilon \in \mathbb{N}_0$
 $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^4$

$$A_{f, \epsilon} := \{x : \epsilon^{-p} \int \Delta_{\epsilon}^m f(y) (f^{\vee}(x+y) - f^{\vee}(y)) dy \leq 1\} \quad [\text{closed}]$$

$\xrightarrow[\text{inv.}]{\mathbb{R}\text{-tbl.}}$ $\bigcup_{\epsilon} A_{f, \epsilon} = \mathbb{R}^4$

$\forall \epsilon < 4\epsilon$

Boice $\Rightarrow \exists \rho, x_0, r_0 : \mathcal{B}(x_0, r_0) \subseteq A_{\rho, l_0}$, i.e. (5)

$$\varepsilon^{-p} \left| \int \Delta^m f_\varepsilon(y) (\check{p}(x+y) - \check{p}(y)) dy \right| \leq 1 \quad \forall \varepsilon < 1/l_0$$

$\forall x \in \mathcal{B}(x_0, r_0)$

We prepare for a 2nd Boice argument

$$A_{\theta, \varepsilon} := \{x \in \mathcal{B}(x_0, r_0/2) : \varepsilon^{-p} \left| \int f_\varepsilon(y) (\check{\theta}(x+y) - \check{\theta}(y)) dy \right| \leq 1$$

\mathbb{R} -trsl $\xrightarrow{\text{inv. } l \geq l_0}$ $\cup A_{\theta, \varepsilon} = \mathcal{B}(x_0, r_0/2)$ $\forall \varepsilon < 1/l_0$

Boice $\Rightarrow \exists l_1 > l_0, x_1 \in \mathcal{B}(x_0, r_0/2), r_1 < r_0/2 : \mathcal{B}(x_1, r_1) \subseteq A_{\theta, \varepsilon_1}$, i.e.

$$\varepsilon^{-p} \left| \int f_\varepsilon(y) (\check{\theta}(x+y) - \check{\theta}(y)) dy \right| \leq 1 \quad \forall \varepsilon < 1/l_0$$

$\forall x \in \mathcal{B}(x_1, r_1)$

Now we prove the assertion

Want this to be $\mathcal{O}(1)$

$$\varepsilon^{-p} \left| f_\varepsilon(-x) - \int (\Delta^m f_\varepsilon(y) \check{p}(y) - f_\varepsilon(y) \check{\theta}(y)) dy \right|$$

C_ε a generalized constant

Parameter formula $\Rightarrow \Delta^m f_\varepsilon * \check{p}_\varepsilon(-x) + f_\varepsilon * \check{\theta}(-x)$

$$\leq \varepsilon^{-p} \left| \int \Delta f_\varepsilon(y) (\check{f}(x+y) - \check{f}(y)) dy \right|$$

$$+ \varepsilon^{-p} \left| \int f_\varepsilon(y) (\check{\theta}(x+y) - \check{\theta}(y)) dy \right|$$

$$\leq 2 \quad \forall \varepsilon < 1/e_1 \text{ on } \mathcal{B}(x_1, r_1)$$

\mathbb{R} -trsl
 $\xrightarrow{\text{inv.}}$ on $\mathcal{B}(x, r)$ $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

\implies on all $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ cp.

]

Consequences: This easy characterisation of trsl. inv. perfect

translates to an easy characterisation of

invariance of per. fcts under rep. Lie group actions

locally diffeotopic
 group

Has inspired work cp by

[Konjik, Kunzinger], [Verhoeve,]