## On the Geroch-Traschen class of metrics

Roland Steinbauer University of Vienna

GF2009, Vienna September 4, 2009

## On the Geroch-Traschen class of metrics

Roland Steinbauer University of Vienna

GF2009, Vienna September 4, 2009

joint work with

James Vickers

University of Southampton



### Rough metrics for relativity

- (1) classically  $g \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$  ... but  $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$  is okay
- (2) "purely" distributional setting [Marsden, 68], [Parker, 79] rather resticted
- (3) "maximally reasonable" distributional setting [Geroch&Traschen, 87]
- (4) nonlinear distributional geometry
  in the framework of Colombeau's special algebra
  [Kunzinger, Vickers, Mayerhofer, S., since 02]

```
??? Compatibility of (3) and (4) ???

Answer: Yes, but ...
```

## Distributional setting(s) for GR

distributional metric

[Marsden, 68], [Parker, 79]

$$g \in \mathcal{D}'^0_2(M) \cong \mathcal{D}'(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{C}^\infty} \mathcal{T}^0_2(M) \cong L_{\mathcal{C}^\infty}(\mathfrak{X}(M),\mathfrak{X}(M);\mathcal{D}'(M))$$
 symmetric and nondegenerate, i.e.,  $g(X,Y) = 0 \ \forall Y \Rightarrow X = 0$ .  $\rightsquigarrow$  no way to define, inverse, curvature, . . .

"maximal reasonable" setting: Geroch-Traschen class

$$g\in \left(H^1_{\mathrm{loc}}\cap L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}}\right)^0_2(M)$$

(gt-setting)

[Geroch&Traschen, 87], [LeFloch&Mardare, 07]

Pro's: may define curvature Riem[g], Ric[g], R[g], W[g] in distributions consistent limits  $\rightsquigarrow$  valid modelling

Con's: Bianchi identities fail → energy conservation?

 $\dim(\text{supp}(\text{Riem}[g])) \ge 3 \rightsquigarrow \text{ thin shells yes, but strings no!}$ 

# (Special Colombeau) Generalised setting for GR

• **generalised metric:** (technicalities on the index skipped)  $g \in \mathcal{G}_2^0(M)$  symmetric and  $\det(g)$  invertible in  $\mathcal{G}$ , i.e.,

$$\forall K \text{ comp. } \exists m : \inf_{p \in K} |\det(g_{\varepsilon}(p))| \ge \varepsilon^m$$
  $(N_{\varepsilon})$ 

captures idea of smoothing: locally  $\exists$  representative  $g_{\varepsilon}$  consisting of smooth metrics and  $\det(g)$  invertible in  $\mathcal{G}$ 

- usual machinery works, i.e.,
  - pointwise characterization of nondegeneracy
  - raise and lower indices:  $\mathcal{G}_0^1(M) \ni X \mapsto X^{\flat} := g(X, ...) \in \mathcal{G}_1^0(M)$
  - ∃! generalised Levi-Civita connection for g
  - generalised curvature Riem[g], Ric[g], R[g] via usual formulae
  - basic  $\mathcal{C}^2$ -compatibility:  $g_{\varepsilon} \to g$  in  $\mathcal{C}^2$ , g a vacuum solution of Einstein's equation  $\Rightarrow \mathrm{Ric}[g_{\varepsilon}] \to 0$  in  $\mathcal{D}_3^{\prime 1}$ .

## The question of compatibility

- $g \in (H^1_{loc} \cap L^\infty_{loc})^0_2(M)$  two ways to calculate the curvature
  - (i) gt-setting: coordinate formulae in  $\mathcal{D}'$  resp.  $W_{loc}^{m,p}$

$$\rightarrow$$
 Riem[g]  $\in \mathcal{D}'_3^1$ 

- (ii)  $\mathcal{G}$ -setting: embed g via convolution with a mollifier usual formulae for fixed  $\varepsilon$   $\longrightarrow$  Riem[ $g_{\varepsilon}$ ]  $\in \mathcal{G}_{3}^{1}$
- Do we get the same answer?

$$H^1_{\mathrm{loc}} \cap L^{\infty}_{\mathrm{loc}} \ni g \xrightarrow{*\rho_{\varepsilon}} [g_{\varepsilon}] \in \mathcal{G}$$
  $gt\text{-setting} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \qquad \downarrow \mathcal{G}\text{-setting}$   $\mathrm{Riem}[g] \xrightarrow{\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0}} \mathrm{Riem}[g_{\varepsilon}]$ 

## On the gt-class of metrics

- $H_{\text{loc}}^1 \cap L_{\text{loc}}^\infty$  is an algebra
- $f \in H^1_{loc} \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}$  invertible : $\Leftrightarrow$  loc. unif. bounded away from 0

$$\forall K \text{ compact } \exists C : |f(x)| \geq C > 0 \text{ a.e. on } K$$

then  $f^{-1}$  is again loc. unif. bded away from 0

#### Definition (Nondegenerate gt-metrics [LeFM07], [SV09])

A gt-regular metric is a section  $g \in \left(H^1_{\text{loc}} \cap L^\infty_{\text{loc}}\right)^0_2(M)$ , which is a Semi-Riemannian metric almost everywhere.

It is called nondegenerate, if

$$\forall K \text{ compact } \exists C : |\det g(x)| \ge C > 0 \text{ a.e. on } K.$$
 (N)

 $\Rightarrow g^{-1} \in \left(H^1_{\text{loc}} \cap L^\infty_{\text{loc}}\right)^0_2(M)$  and nondegenerate, i.e.,  $\det(g^{-1})$  loc. unif. bded away from 0

## **Embeddings and association**

• scalars on  $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open:  $u \in \mathcal{E}'(\Omega)$ 

$$\begin{array}{ll} u_{\varepsilon} := u * \rho_{\varepsilon} \\ \iota(u) := [(u_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}] \end{array} \text{ with } \begin{array}{ll} \rho \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{n}), \ \int \rho = 1, \ \rho_{\varepsilon} := \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n}} \, \rho \left(\frac{\cdot}{\varepsilon}\right) \\ \int x^{\alpha} \rho(x) dx = 0 \ \forall |\alpha| \geq 1 \end{array}$$

- $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$ : sheaf theoretic construction, or set  $u_{\varepsilon} = u * \psi_{\varepsilon}$ ,  $\psi_{\varepsilon}(x) = \chi\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right) \rho_{\varepsilon}(x)$ ,  $\chi$  a cut-off
- $\psi_{\varepsilon}$  is a strict  $\delta$ -net (moderate, asymptotic vanishing moments)
  - (i)  $supp(\psi_{\varepsilon}) \to \{0\} (\varepsilon \to 0)$  (ii)  $\int \psi_{\varepsilon} \to 1 (\varepsilon \to 0)$
  - (iii)  $\|\psi_{\varepsilon}\|_{L^{1}} < C$  for all  $\varepsilon$  (small)
- $g \in (H^1_{loc} \cap L^{\infty}_{loc})^0_2(M)$ :  $g^{\varepsilon}_{ii} := g_{ij} * \psi_{\varepsilon}, \rightsquigarrow \mathsf{metric} \ g_{\varepsilon}, \ \iota(g) = [(g_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}]$
- association:  $\mathcal{G} \ni u \approx v \in \mathcal{D}' : \Leftrightarrow \int u_{\varepsilon} \omega \to \langle v, \omega \rangle$

## **Smoothing gt-metrics**

Basic properties of smoothing ( $\psi_{\varepsilon}$  a strict  $\delta$ -net)

- $f \in L^1_{loc} \Rightarrow f_{\varepsilon} = f * \psi_{\varepsilon} \in C^{\infty}(\Omega_{\psi_{\varepsilon}})$
- $\bullet \ \ f \in W^{m,p}_{\mathrm{loc}} \ \Rightarrow \ f_{\varepsilon} := f * \psi_{\varepsilon} \to f \quad \text{ in } \quad W^{m,p}_{\mathrm{loc}} \text{ for all } m, \, 1 \leq p < \infty$
- $\bullet \ \, f,\,h\in H^1_{\mathrm{loc}}\cap L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}} \, \Rightarrow \, f_\varepsilon h_\varepsilon \to \mathit{fh} \quad \text{in} \quad H^1_{\mathrm{loc}}\cap L^p_{\mathrm{loc}} \, \, \text{for all} \, \, p<\infty$

#### Lemma (Stability of the determinant)

Let g be nondegenerate, gt-regular, then

$$\det(g_{\varepsilon}) \to \det g$$
 in  $H^1_{loc} \cap L^p_{loc}$  for all  $p < \infty$ .

• But (N) for g does not imply  $(N_{\varepsilon})$  for  $g_{\varepsilon}$  and m=0,  $(N_{\varepsilon}^{0})!$ 

g nondegenerate gt-regular metric  $\neq g_{\varepsilon}$  generalised metric

## Preserving nondegeneracy (1)

problem (1): preserving positivity for scalars

• want:  $0 \le f \in H^1_{loc} \cap L^{\infty}_{loc}$  & loc. unif. bounded away from 0

$$\Rightarrow \ \forall K \text{ compact } \exists C, \varepsilon_0 : \ f_{\varepsilon}(x) \geq C > 0 \quad \forall x \in K, \ \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 \qquad (N'_{\varepsilon})$$

Then  $1/f_{\epsilon}$  smooth, locally uniformly bounded net, and  $1/f_{\varepsilon} \to 1/f$  in  $H_{loc}^1 \cap L_{loc}^p$  for all  $p < \infty$ .

• true if  $\psi_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ , but  $\rho$  with vanishing moments  $\Rightarrow \rho \geq 0 \Rightarrow \psi_{\varepsilon} \geq 0$ 

#### Lemma (Existence of admissible mollifiers)

There exist moderate strict delta nets  $\rho_{\varepsilon}$  with

(i) 
$$\operatorname{supp}(\rho_{\varepsilon}) \subseteq B_{\varepsilon}(0)$$
 (ii)  $\int \rho_{\varepsilon}(x) dx = 1$ 

(ii) 
$$\int \rho_{\varepsilon}(x) dx = 1$$

(iii) 
$$\forall j \in \mathbb{N} \ \exists \varepsilon_0 : \int x^{\alpha} \rho_{\varepsilon}(x) \, dx = 0$$
 for all  $1 \leq |\alpha| \leq j$  and all  $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ 

(iv) 
$$\forall \eta > 0 \ \exists \varepsilon_0 : \ \int |\rho_{\varepsilon}(x)| \ dx \le 1 + \eta \quad \text{ for all } \varepsilon \le \varepsilon_0.$$

Convolution with  $\rho_{\varepsilon}$  provides an embedding  $\iota_{\rho}$  into  $\mathcal{G}$  with  $(N'_{\varepsilon})$ .

## **Preserving nondegeneracy (2)**

problem (2): preserving nondegeneracy for metrics

• want:  $\forall K$  cp.  $\exists C, \varepsilon_0 : |\det(g_{\varepsilon})| \geq C_K > 0 \ \forall x \in K, \ \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 \quad (N_{\varepsilon}^0)$ 

#### **Definition (Stability condition)**

Let g be a gt-regular metric and  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$  its eigenvalues.

- (i) For any compact K we set  $\mu_K := \min_{1 < i < n} \underset{x \in K}{essinf} |\lambda^i(x)|$ .
- (ii) We call g stable if on K there is  $A^K$  continuous, such that  $\max_{i,j} \underset{x \in K}{essup} |g_{ij}(x) A^K_{ij}(x)| \leq C < \tfrac{\mu_K}{2n}.$

#### Lemma (Nondegeneracy of smoothed gt-regular metrics)

Let g be a nondegenerate, stable, and gt-regular metric. Let  $g_{\varepsilon}$  be a smoothing of g with an admissible mollifier  $(\rho_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}$ . Then  $(N_{\varepsilon}^0)$  holds, and the embedding  $\iota_{\rho}(g)$  is a gen. metric.

## Stability results

#### Lemma (Stability of the inverse and Christoffel symbols)

Let g be a nondegenerate, stable, and gt-regular metric. Let  $g_{\varepsilon}$  be a smoothing of g with an admissible mollifier  $(\rho_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}$ .

(i) The inverse of the smoothing  $(g_{\varepsilon})^{-1}$  is a smooth and locally uniformly bounded net (on rel. cp. sets for  $\varepsilon$  small), and

$$(g_{\varepsilon})^{-1} o g^{-1} \ \text{in} \ H^1_{\mathrm{loc}} \cap L^p_{\mathrm{loc}} \ \text{for all} \ p < \infty.$$

In particular, for any embedding we have that  $(\iota_{\rho}(g))^{-1} \approx g^{-1}$ .

(ii) The Christoffel symbols of the smoothing  $\Gamma_{ijk}[g_{\varepsilon}]$ ,  $\Gamma^i_{jk}[g_{\varepsilon}]$  are smooth and  $L^2_{loc}$ -bounded nets (on rel. cp. sets for  $\varepsilon$  small), and

$$\Gamma_{ijk}[g_{arepsilon}] 
ightarrow \Gamma_{ijk}$$
 and  $\Gamma^i_{jk}[g_{arepsilon}] 
ightarrow \Gamma^i_{jk}$  in  $L^2_{
m loc}$ 

In particular, for any embedding  $\Gamma_{ijk}[\iota_{\rho}(g)] \approx \Gamma_{ijk}[g]$  and  $\Gamma^{i}_{ik}[\iota_{\rho}(g)] \approx \Gamma^{i}_{ik}[g]$ .

## **Compatibility results**

#### Theorem (Compatibility of the gt- with the G-setting)

Let g be a nondegenerate, stable, and gt-regular metric, and denote its Riemann tensor by Riem[g].

Let  $g_{\varepsilon}$  be a smoothing of g with an admissible mollifier  $(\rho_{\varepsilon})_{\varepsilon}$ . Then we have for the Riemann tensor  $\text{Riem}[g_{\varepsilon}]$  of  $g_{\varepsilon}$ 

$$Riem[g_{\varepsilon}] \rightarrow Riem[g] \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'_3^1.$$

Hence for any embedding  $\iota_{\rho}$  we have  $Riem[\iota_{\rho}(g)] \approx Riem[g]$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^1_{\mathrm{loc}} \cap L^\infty_{\mathrm{loc}} & & \exists g & \xrightarrow{*\iota_\rho \text{ admissible}} & [g_\varepsilon] \in \mathcal{G} \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ \text{gt-setting} & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & \\ & & & \\ & &$$

#### **Discussion**

Relation to older stability results:  $(g_n)_n$  gt-regular sequence

- [LeFloch&Mardare, 07]  $g_n \to g$  in  $H^1_{loc}$ ,  $g_n^{-1} \to g^{-1}$  in  $L^\infty_{loc} \Rightarrow \text{Riem}[g_n] \to \text{Riem}[g]$ , in  $\mathcal{D}_3'^1$ . for smoothings via convolution  $g_n^{-1} \not\to g^{-1}$  in  $L^\infty_{loc}$ .
- [Geroch&Traschen, 87]  $g_n \rightarrow g$  in  $H^1_{loc}$ ,  $g_n^{-1} \rightarrow g^{-1}$  in  $L^2_{loc}$ ,  $g_n$ ,  $g_n^{-1}$  bded in  $L^\infty_{loc}$  (\*)  $\Rightarrow \operatorname{Riem}[g_n] \rightarrow \operatorname{Riem}[g]$  in  $\mathcal{D}_3'^1$ .

Existence of approximating sequences with (\*)

- [Geroch&Traschen, 87]
   Only for continuous g, open for general g.
- Positive answer for general g by the above Theorem.

### **Further prospects**

 Jump conditions along singular hypersurfaces in the spirit of [LeFloch&Mardare, 07], [Lichnerowicz, 55-79] in the generalised setting plus compatibility. Applications to gravitational shock waves.

Diploma thesis of Nastasia Grubic.

- Regularity of generalised solutions to wave equations in singular space-times ([Grant, Mayerhofer, S., 08]).
- Compatibility for connections in fibre bundles ([Kunzinger, Vickers, S., 05]).

#### **Some References**

- R. Geroch, J. Traschen, Strings and Other distributional Sources in General Relativity, Phys. Rev. D 36, 1987.
- P. LeFloch, C. Mardare, Definition and Stability of Lorentzian Manifolds With Distributional Curvature, Port. math. 64, 2007.
- R. Steinbauer, J. Vickers, On the Geroch-Traschen class of metrics, Class. Quantum Grav. 26, 2009.
- R. Steinbauer, A note on distributional semi-Riemannian geometry, Proceedings of the 12th Serbian Mathematical Congress, Novi Sad, September 2008, arXiv:0812.0173
- R. Steinbauer, J. Vickers, The Use of Generalized Functions and Distributions in General Relativity, Class. Q. Grav. 23, 2006.
- M. Kunzinger, R. Steinbauer, J. Vickers, Generalised Connections and Curvature, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 139, 2005.
- M. Kunzinger, R. Steinbauer, Generalized Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 354, 2002.