

2. Algebraic number fields

Definition: A subfield K of \mathbb{C} with the property $[K:\mathbb{Q}] < \infty$ is called an algebraic number field [dt. Algebraischer Zahlkörper].

Definition: Let K be a field. An irreducible polynomial $p \in K[X]$ is called separable [dt. separabel] if it has only simple roots (in a splitting field of p over K).

Remark: We know (from algebra) that an irreducible polynomial p has only simple roots if and only if $p' \neq 0$ (where p' denotes the formal derivative of p).

Lemma 11 Let K be a field and let $p \in K[X]$ be irreducible. Then p is separable if and only if $p' \neq 0$.

Proof: This follows immediately from the remark above.

Corollary 12 Let K be a field with $\text{char } K = 0$ and let $p \in K[X]$ be irreducible.

Then p is separable.

Proof: As $\text{char } K = 0$ we get $\deg p' = \deg p - 1 \geq 1 - 1 = 0$ and therefore $p' \neq 0$.

Definition: Let L/K be a field extension and let $\alpha \in L$ be algebraic over K . Then α is called separable (over K) if its minimal polynomial $m_{K,\alpha} \in K[X]$ is separable.

Definition: An algebraic field extension L/K is called separable if all $\alpha \in L$ are separable (over K).

Corollary 13 Let L/K be an algebraic field extension with $\text{char } K = \text{char } L = 0$.

Then L/K is a separable extension.

Proof: This follows immediately from Corollary 12.

Corollary 14 Let L/K be a field extension of algebraic number fields. Then L/K is a separable extension.

Proof: As $[L:K] = \frac{[L:\mathbb{Q}]}{[K:\mathbb{Q}]} < \infty$ we know that L/K is a finite extension

and therefore an algebraic extension. The assertion follows from Corollary 13.

Theorem 15 (primitive element theorem [dt. Satz vom primitiven Element])

Let K be an infinite field and L/K a finite separable field extension.

Then L/K is a simple extension, i.e., there is a (primitive element) $\alpha \in L$ such that $L = K(\alpha)$.

Proof: As a first step we show that $\forall \beta, \gamma \in L \exists \alpha \in L : K(\beta, \gamma) = K(\alpha)$

Let $m_{K, \beta}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \beta_i)$ and $m_{K, \gamma}(x) = \prod_{j=1}^m (x - \gamma_j)$ be the factorizations of the minimal polynomials of β and γ . (in a splitting field \tilde{K} of $m_{K, \beta} \cdot m_{K, \gamma}$), where we assume $\beta_1 = \beta$ and $\gamma_1 = \gamma$. As L/K is separable we know $\beta \neq \beta_i$ (for $2 \leq i \leq n$) and $\gamma \neq \gamma_j$ (for $2 \leq j \leq m$). As K is infinite there is a $\lambda \in K \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\lambda \notin \left\{ \frac{\beta - \beta_i}{\gamma_j - \gamma} \mid 2 \leq i \leq n, 2 \leq j \leq m \right\}$. Let $\alpha := \beta + \lambda \gamma$. We claim $K(\alpha) = K(\beta, \gamma)$.

Clearly $K(\alpha)$ is an intermediate field of the extension $K(\beta, \gamma)/K$. In order to prove $K(\alpha) = K(\beta, \gamma)$ it suffices to prove $\gamma \in K(\alpha)$ as $\beta = \alpha - \lambda \gamma \in K(\alpha)$ follows.

Consider the polynomial $p(x) = m_{K, \beta}(\alpha - \lambda x) \in K(\alpha)[x]$. As

$$p(\gamma) = m_{K, \beta}(\alpha - \lambda \gamma) = m_{K, \beta}(\beta + \lambda \gamma - \lambda \gamma) = m_{K, \beta}(\beta) = 0$$

we see that p and $m_{K, \gamma}$ have γ as a common root. We claim that it is the only one. For if $\xi \in \tilde{K}$ with $\xi \neq \gamma$ has the property $p(\xi) = m_{K, \gamma}(\xi) = 0$

then $\exists i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \alpha - \lambda \xi = \beta_i$ and $\exists j \in \{1, \dots, m\} : \xi = \gamma_j$. As $\xi \neq \gamma$ we see $j \neq 1$ and $\alpha - \lambda \xi = \beta + \lambda \gamma - \lambda \gamma_j = \beta_i$ implies $\beta - \beta_i = \lambda(\gamma_j - \gamma) \neq 0$ and therefore $i \neq 1$. Thus $\lambda = \frac{\beta - \beta_i}{\gamma_j - \gamma}$ for some $i \in \{2, \dots, n\}, j \in \{2, \dots, m\}$ which contradicts our choice of λ .

We have $m_{K(\alpha), \gamma} \mid p$ and $m_{K(\alpha), \gamma} \mid m_{K, \gamma}$ in the polynomial ring $K(\alpha)[x]$. As p and $m_{K, \gamma}$ have only one common root and γ is a simple root of $m_{K, \gamma}$ we see $\deg m_{K(\alpha), \gamma} = 1$. As $m_{K(\alpha), \gamma}(\gamma) = 0$ we arrive at $m_{K(\alpha), \gamma}(x) = x - \gamma \in K(\alpha)[x]$ which shows $\gamma \in K(\alpha)$.

In the second step we show that $\forall \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in L \exists \alpha \in L : K(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = K(\alpha)$ by induction on n . This is trivial for $n=1$ and has just been proved for $n=2$.

If our claim has already been proved for some $n \geq 2$ we know that there is a $\tilde{\alpha} \in L : K(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = K(\tilde{\alpha})$ and therefore

$$K(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n, \beta_{n+1}) = K(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)(\beta_{n+1}) = K(\tilde{\alpha})(\beta_{n+1}) = K(\tilde{\alpha}, \beta_{n+1}) = K(\alpha)$$

for some $\alpha \in L$ by the case $n=2$

As L/K is a finite extension there are $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in L$ which are a basis of L as a K -vector space. As $K(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \subseteq L = K\beta_1 + \dots + K\beta_n \subseteq K(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ we get $L = K(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ and the theorem follows from the second step.

Remark: The following result is often presented as part of the primitive element theorem. We probably will not need it but present it for the sake of completeness.

Theorem 16 Let K be finite field and L/K a finite field extension.

Then there is an $\alpha \in L: L = K(\alpha)$.

Proof: As $L \cong K^{[L:K]}$ (isomorphism of vector spaces) L is also finite field and by a theorem from algebra (L^*, \cdot) is a cyclic group. Let $\alpha \in L^*$ be a generator, i.e., $L^* = \langle \alpha \rangle = \{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{[L]-1}\}$. Then $L = K(\alpha)$.

Remarks: 1) The primitive element in Theorem 15 is not uniquely determined as there are infinitely many possible choices for λ and $K(\alpha) = K(\alpha + 1) = K(\alpha + 2) = \dots$

2) Most important for us is the following case: Let L/K be an extension of algebraic number fields. Then $\exists \alpha \in L: L = K(\alpha)$. Note that this includes the following: If K is an algebraic number field then $\exists \alpha \in K: K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$.

3) The proof of Theorem 15 can be used to find primitive elements. Let, e.g., $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3})$. Here $\beta = \beta_1 = \sqrt{2}$ and $\gamma = \gamma_1 = \sqrt{3} \Rightarrow \beta_2 = -\sqrt{2}, \gamma_2 = -\sqrt{3}$ and

$$\frac{\beta - \beta_2}{\beta^2 - \beta_2^2} = \frac{-2\sqrt{2}}{2\sqrt{3}} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} = -\frac{\sqrt{6}}{3}. \text{ I.e., any } \lambda \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0, -\frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}}\} \text{ has the property}$$

$$\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \lambda\sqrt{3}). \text{ E.g., with } \lambda = 1 \text{ we get } \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{3}) = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{3}).$$

4) Let $L = K(\alpha)$ be a simple extension where α is algebraic over K . Then

$$K(\alpha) = K[\alpha], [L:K] = \deg_{m_{K,\alpha}} \text{ and } \{1, \alpha, \alpha^2, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}\} \text{ (with } d = \deg_{m_{K,\alpha}})$$

is a basis of L as a K -vector space. I.e., for all $x \in L$ there are uniquely determined $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{d-1} \in K$ such that $x = a_0 + a_1\alpha + \dots + a_{d-1}\alpha^{d-1}$ (see algebra).

Theorem 17 Let L/K be a field extension, $\alpha \in L$ algebraic over K and n (where $n \leq d := \deg_{m_{K,\alpha}} = [K(\alpha):K]$) the number of different roots of $m_{K,\alpha}$ (in an algebraic closure \bar{K} of K). Then there are exactly n homomorphisms

$\varphi: K(\alpha) \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$ with $\varphi|_K = \text{id}_K$. If $\alpha = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \bar{K}$ are the different roots of $m_{K,\alpha}$ then these homomorphisms have shape $\varphi_j \left(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i \alpha^i \right) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i \alpha_j^i$

(for $1 \leq j \leq n$) where $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{d-1} \in K$.

Proof: Let $m_{K,\alpha}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d b_i x^i \in K[x]$. If $\varphi: K(\alpha) \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$ is a homomorphism with $\varphi|_K = \text{id}_K$ then

$$0 = \varphi(0) = \varphi(m_{K,\alpha}(x)) = \varphi\left(\sum_{i=0}^d b_i x^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^d b_i \varphi(x)^i = m_{K,\alpha}(\varphi(x)),$$

i.e., $\varphi(x)$ is a root of $m_{K,\alpha}$ and $\exists j \in \{1, \dots, n\} : \varphi(x) = \alpha_j$.

Therefore $\varphi\left(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i x^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i \alpha_j^i$ and $\varphi = \varphi_j$. Note, that if $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i x^i \in K[x]$

$$\text{then } \varphi_j(p(x)) = p(\varphi_j(x)) = p(\alpha_j).$$

12.10.2022

The maps $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are all different as $\varphi_1(x) = \alpha_1 = \alpha$, $\varphi_2(x) = \alpha_2, \dots, \varphi_n(x) = \alpha_n$ are all different. It remains to check that $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ are homomorphisms.

Let $1 \leq j \leq n$ and $p_1, p_2 \in K[x]$ with $\deg p_1, \deg p_2 < d$. Then, using the properties of the substitution homomorphism, we get

$$\varphi_j(p_1(x) + p_2(x)) = \varphi_j((p_1 + p_2)(x)) = (p_1 + p_2)(\alpha_j) = p_1(\alpha_j) + p_2(\alpha_j) = \varphi_j(p_1(x)) + \varphi_j(p_2(x))$$

and

$$\varphi_j(p_1(x) \cdot p_2(x)) = \varphi_j((p_1 \cdot p_2)(x)) = (p_1 \cdot p_2)(\alpha_j) = p_1(\alpha_j) \cdot p_2(\alpha_j) = \varphi_j(p_1(x)) \cdot \varphi_j(p_2(x)).$$

Remark: Clearly in the above proof $m_{K,\alpha_j} = m_{K,\alpha}$ (for $1 \leq j \leq n$) and $\varphi_j: K(x) \rightarrow K(\alpha_j)$ is an isomorphism.

Corollary 18 Let L/K be a finite separable field extension. Then there are exactly $[L:K]$ homomorphisms $\sigma: L \rightarrow \bar{K}$ with $\sigma|_K = \text{id}_K$.

Proof: By the primitive element theorem (Theorems 15 and 16) there is an $\alpha \in L: L = K(\alpha)$. Theorem 17 implies that there are exactly

$$\deg m_{K,\alpha} = [K(\alpha):K] = [L:K] \text{ homomorphisms } \sigma: L \hookrightarrow \bar{K} \text{ with } \sigma|_K = \text{id}_K.$$

Remarks: If L/K is an extension of algebraic number fields then Corollary 18 implies that there are exactly $[L:K]$ homomorphisms $\sigma: L \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\sigma|_K = \text{id}_K$. Especially important is the following special case: if K is an algebraic number field then there are exactly $[K:\mathbb{Q}]$ homomorphisms $\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Examples: 1) If $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ then $m_{\mathbb{Q},i}(x) = x^2 + 1 = (x-i)(x+i)$ and $\sigma_1 = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)}$ (i.e., $\sigma_1(a+bi) = a+bi$) and $\sigma_2 = \bar{\sigma}_1$ (where $\bar{\sigma}_1$ denotes complex conjugation, i.e., $\sigma_2(a+bi) = a-bi$).

2) If $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ then $m_{\mathbb{Q},\sqrt{2}}(x) = x^2 - 2 = (x-\sqrt{2})(x+\sqrt{2})$ and $\sigma_1 = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})}$ (i.e., $\sigma_1(a+b\sqrt{2}) = a+b\sqrt{2}$) and $\sigma_2(a+b\sqrt{2}) = a-b\sqrt{2}$.

3) More generally, let $d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ be squarefree and $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}) = \{a + b\sqrt{d} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Q}\}$.

Then $\sigma_1(a + b\sqrt{d}) = a + b\sqrt{d}$ and $\sigma_2(a + b\sqrt{d}) = a - b\sqrt{d}$. (This contains the first two examples as the special cases $d = -1$ and $d = 2$.) If $d < 0$ then $\sigma_2(x) = \bar{x}$.

4) If $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ then $m_{\mathbb{Q}, \sqrt[3]{2}}(x) = x^3 - 2$ which has roots $\sqrt[3]{2}, \sqrt[3]{2}e^{2\pi i/3}, \sqrt[3]{2}e^{4\pi i/3} \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then $\sigma_1: \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}), \sigma_1(a + b\sqrt[3]{2} + c\sqrt[3]{4}) = a + b\sqrt[3]{2} + c\sqrt[3]{4}$ (with $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Q}$),

$\sigma_2: \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}e^{2\pi i/3}), \sigma_2(a + b\sqrt[3]{2} + c\sqrt[3]{4}) = a + b\sqrt[3]{2}e^{2\pi i/3} + c\sqrt[3]{4}e^{4\pi i/3}$ and

$\sigma_3: \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}e^{4\pi i/3}), \sigma_3(a + b\sqrt[3]{2} + c\sqrt[3]{4}) = a + b\sqrt[3]{2}e^{4\pi i/3} + c\sqrt[3]{4}e^{2\pi i/3}$

(where we used $(e^{4\pi i/3})^2 = e^{8\pi i/3} = e^{2\pi i/3 + 2\pi i} = e^{2\pi i/3}$).

Note that $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}e^{2\pi i/3}) \neq \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ as $e^{2\pi i/3} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ whereas $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

5) If $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})$ then $m_{\mathbb{Q}, \sqrt[4]{2}}(x) = x^4 - 2$ has roots $\sqrt[4]{2}, -\sqrt[4]{2}, \sqrt[4]{2}i, -\sqrt[4]{2}i$

(as $x^4 - 2 = (x^2 - \sqrt{2})(x^2 + \sqrt{2}) = (x - \sqrt[4]{2})(x + \sqrt[4]{2})(x - \sqrt[4]{2}i)(x + \sqrt[4]{2}i)$) and the

maps $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3, \sigma_4: \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are determined by $\sigma_1(\sqrt[4]{2}) = \sqrt[4]{2}, \sigma_2(\sqrt[4]{2}) = -\sqrt[4]{2},$

$\sigma_3(\sqrt[4]{2}) = \sqrt[4]{2}i$ and $\sigma_4(\sqrt[4]{2}) = -\sqrt[4]{2}i$.

Lemma 19 Let L/K be a finite separable field extension and M an intermediate field. Then both L/M and M/K are finite separable field extensions.

Proof: It follows from $[L:K] = [L:M] \cdot [M:K]$ that both L/M and M/K are finite extensions. If $\alpha \in L$ then $m_{M, \alpha} \mid m_{K, \alpha}$ (in $M[x]$) and as $m_{K, \alpha}$ has only simple roots, $m_{M, \alpha}$ has only simple roots. As $M \subseteq L$ it is obvious that M/K is a separable extension.

Remark: Let R, S be commutative rings with identity and $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ a ring homomorphism with $\varphi(1_R) = 1_S$. Then the map $R[x] \rightarrow S[x], p \mapsto p^\varphi$ which maps $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i \in R[x]$ to $p^\varphi(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \varphi(a_i) x^i \in S[x]$ is a ring homomorphism, i.e., $(p_1 + p_2)^\varphi = p_1^\varphi + p_2^\varphi$ and $(p_1 \cdot p_2)^\varphi = p_1^\varphi \cdot p_2^\varphi \quad \forall p_1, p_2 \in R[x]$ (see algebra).

If $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ is an isomorphism then $R[x] \rightarrow S[x], p \mapsto p^\varphi$ is also an isomorphism.

Theorem 20 Let L/K be a finite separable field extension, $\alpha \in L$ and $\varphi: K(\alpha) \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$ a homomorphism. Then there are exactly $[L:K(\alpha)]$ homomorphisms $\psi: L \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$ with the property $\psi|_{K(\alpha)} = \varphi$.

Proof: Lemma 19 implies that $L/K(\alpha)$ is finite separable extension and by the primitive element theorem (Theorem 15) there is a $\beta \in L$ such that $L = K(\alpha)(\beta)$.

Let $m_{K(\alpha), \beta}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^d c_i x^i \in K(\alpha)[x]$ with $d = \deg m_{K(\alpha), \beta} = [L:K(\alpha)]$.

If $\psi: L \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$ is a homomorphism with $\psi|_{K(\alpha)} = \varphi$ then

$$0 = \psi(0) = \psi(m_{K(\alpha), \beta}(\beta)) = \psi\left(\sum_{i=0}^d c_i \beta^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^d \varphi(c_i) \psi(\beta)^i,$$

i.e., $\psi(\beta)$ is a root of $m_{K(\alpha), \beta}^\varphi$ which is also an irreducible and separable

polynomial of degree d . Let β_1, \dots, β_d be the different roots of $m_{K(\alpha), \beta}^\varphi$. Then

$$\exists j \in \{1, \dots, d\} : \psi(\beta) = \beta_j \text{ and } \psi\left(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i \beta^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \varphi(a_i) \beta_j^i \text{ (where } a_0, \dots, a_{d-1} \in K(\alpha)).$$

As β_1, \dots, β_d are all different the maps ψ_1, \dots, ψ_d with $\psi_j\left(\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i \beta^i\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \varphi(a_i) \beta_j^i$

(with $1 \leq j \leq d$) are all different. If $p \in K(\alpha)[x]$ with $\deg p < d$ we can write them as

$\psi_j(p(\beta)) = p^\varphi(\beta_j)$. They are homomorphisms as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_j(p_1(\beta) + p_2(\beta)) &= \psi_j((p_1 + p_2)(\beta)) = (p_1 + p_2)^\varphi(\beta_j) = (p_1^\varphi + p_2^\varphi)(\beta_j) \\ &= p_1^\varphi(\beta_j) + p_2^\varphi(\beta_j) = \psi_j(p_1(\beta)) + \psi_j(p_2(\beta)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_j(p_1(\beta) \cdot p_2(\beta)) &= \psi_j((p_1 p_2)(\beta)) = (p_1 p_2)^\varphi(\beta_j) = (p_1^\varphi \cdot p_2^\varphi)(\beta_j) \\ &= p_1^\varphi(\beta_j) \cdot p_2^\varphi(\beta_j) = \psi_j(p_1(\beta)) \cdot \psi_j(p_2(\beta)). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 21 Let L/K be a field extension. For $\alpha \in L$ let φ_α denote the map

$$\varphi_\alpha: L \rightarrow L, \varphi_\alpha(x) = \alpha x.$$

(i) φ_α is a K -linear map (of the K -vector space L) $\forall \alpha \in L$,

(ii) $\varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta = \varphi_{\alpha\beta} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in L$,

(iii) $\varphi_\alpha + \varphi_\beta = \varphi_{\alpha+\beta} \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in L$.

Proof: (i) $\varphi_\alpha(x+y) = \alpha(x+y) = \alpha x + \alpha y = \varphi_\alpha(x) + \varphi_\alpha(y) \quad \forall x, y \in L$ and

$$\varphi_\alpha(xy) = \alpha(xy) = x(\alpha y) = x \varphi_\alpha(y) \quad \forall x, y \in L.$$

(ii) $(\varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta)(x) = \varphi_\alpha(\varphi_\beta(x)) = \alpha(\beta x) = (\alpha\beta)x = \varphi_{\alpha\beta}(x) \quad \forall x \in L$.

(iii) $(\varphi_\alpha + \varphi_\beta)(x) = \varphi_\alpha(x) + \varphi_\beta(x) = \alpha x + \beta x = (\alpha + \beta)x = \varphi_{\alpha+\beta}(x) \quad \forall x \in L$.

Definition: If L/K is a finite field extension and $\alpha \in L$ we define the norm

[det Norm] $N_{L/K}(\alpha)$ of α as $N_{L/K}(\alpha) = \det \varphi_\alpha$ and the trace [det Spur] $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha)$

of α as $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha) = \text{tr} \varphi_\alpha$ (where $\det \varphi_\alpha$ and $\text{tr} \varphi_\alpha$ denote the determinant and

the trace of the linear map φ_α which was introduced in Lemma 21).

Remark: Note that by definition $N_{L/K}(\alpha), \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha) \in K \quad \forall \alpha \in L$.

Lemma 22 Let L/K be a finite field extension.

(i) $N_{L/K}(\alpha\beta) = N_{L/K}(\alpha) \cdot N_{L/K}(\beta) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in L,$

(ii) $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha+\beta) = \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha) + \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\beta) \quad \forall \alpha, \beta \in L,$

(iii) $N_{L/K}(\alpha) = \alpha^{[L:K]} \quad \forall \alpha \in K,$

(iv) $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha) = [L:K]\alpha \quad \forall \alpha \in K,$

(v) $N_{L/K}(\alpha\beta) = \alpha^{[L:K]} N_{L/K}(\beta) \quad \forall \alpha \in K \quad \forall \beta \in L,$

(vi) $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha\beta) = \alpha \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\beta) \quad \forall \alpha \in K \quad \forall \beta \in L,$

(vii) $N_{L/K}: (L^*, \cdot) \rightarrow (K^*, \cdot)$ is a group homomorphism,

(viii) $\text{Tr}_{L/K}: (L, +) \rightarrow (K, +)$ is a group homomorphism,

(ix) $\text{Tr}_{L/K}: L \rightarrow K$ is a K -linear map (of K -vector spaces)

(x) If $p_\alpha(x) = \det(X \text{id}_L - \varphi_\alpha) = x^n - a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + (-1)^n a_0$ (with $n = [L:K]$)

is the characteristic polynomial of φ_α then $a_{n-1} = \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha)$ and $a_0 = N_{L/K}(\alpha)$. ← 17.10.2022

Proof: (i) $N_{L/K}(\alpha\beta) = \det \varphi_{\alpha\beta} \stackrel{\text{Lemma 21(iii)}}{=} \det(\varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_\beta) = (\det \varphi_\alpha)(\det \varphi_\beta) = N_{L/K}(\alpha) N_{L/K}(\beta),$

(ii) $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha+\beta) = \text{tr} \varphi_{\alpha+\beta} \stackrel{\text{Lemma 21(iii)}}{=} \text{tr}(\varphi_\alpha + \varphi_\beta) = \text{tr} \varphi_\alpha + \text{tr} \varphi_\beta = \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha) + \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\beta),$

(iii) $N_{L/K}(\alpha) = \det \varphi_\alpha = \begin{vmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{vmatrix} = \alpha^{[L:K]},$

(iv) $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha) = \text{tr} \varphi_\alpha = \text{tr} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha \end{pmatrix} = [L:K]\alpha,$

(v) Follows from (i) and (iii)

(vi) Choose any basis of L as a K -vector space and let B be the $[L:K] \times [L:K]$ -matrix with entries in K which is the matrix representing φ_β with regard to this basis. Then $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\beta) = \text{tr} \varphi_\beta = \text{tr} B$. Then the matrix αB represents $\varphi_{\alpha\beta}$ with regard to this basis and

$$\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha\beta) = \text{tr} \varphi_{\alpha\beta} = \text{tr}(\alpha B) = \alpha \text{tr} B = \alpha \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\beta).$$

(vii) Clearly $N_{L/K}(0) = 0$. If $\alpha \in L^*$ then $\varphi_\alpha \circ \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}} = \varphi_{\alpha^{-1}} \circ \varphi_\alpha = \text{id}_L$ and therefore $N_{L/K}(\alpha) = \det \varphi_\alpha \neq 0$. The assertion follows from (i).

(viii) Follows from (ii).

(ix) Follows from (ii) and (vi)

(x) Follows from a result in linear algebra which says that $a_{n-1} = \text{tr} \varphi_\alpha$ and $a_0 = \det \varphi_\alpha$.

Theorem 23 Let L/K be a finite separable field extension and let $\sigma_i: L \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$ (with $1 \leq i \leq [L:K]$) be the different embeddings of L in an algebraic closure \bar{K} of K with $\sigma_i|_K = \text{id}_K$.

(i) $p_\alpha(x) = \det(x \text{id}_L - \varphi_\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{[L:K]} (x - \sigma_i(\alpha))$ is the characteristic polynomial of $\varphi_\alpha \forall \alpha \in L$,

(ii) $N_{L/K}(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{[L:K]} \sigma_i(\alpha) \forall \alpha \in L$,

(iii) $T_{n_{L/K}}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{[L:K]} \sigma_i(\alpha) \forall \alpha \in L$.

Proof: (i) Let $m_{K, \alpha}(x) = x^d + c_1 x^{d-1} + \dots + c_{d-1} x + c_d \in K[x]$ (where $d = [K(\alpha):K]$).

Then $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{d-1}\}$ is a basis of $K(\alpha)$ as a K -vector space. If $\{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{[L:K(\alpha)]}\}$ is a basis of L as a $K(\alpha)$ -vector space then (by a theorem from algebra)

$B := \{\beta_i \alpha^j \mid 1 \leq i \leq [L:K(\alpha)], 0 \leq j < [K(\alpha):K]\}$ is a basis of L as a K -vector space.

For $1 \leq i \leq [L:K(\alpha)]$ we have

$$\varphi_\alpha(\beta_i \alpha^j) = \begin{cases} \beta_i \alpha^{j+1} & \text{if } 0 \leq j \leq d-2, \\ \beta_i \alpha^d = \beta_i (-c_d - c_{d-1} \alpha - \dots - c_1 \alpha^{d-1}) = -c_d \beta_i - c_{d-1} \beta_i \alpha - \dots - c_1 \beta_i \alpha^{d-1} & \text{if } j = d-1. \end{cases}$$

This can be stated as

$$\alpha \begin{pmatrix} \beta_i \\ \beta_i \alpha \\ \vdots \\ \beta_i \alpha^{d-2} \\ \beta_i \alpha^{d-1} \end{pmatrix} = \underbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ -c_d & -c_{d-1} & -c_{d-2} & \dots & -c_1 \end{pmatrix}}_{=: M} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_i \\ \beta_i \alpha \\ \vdots \\ \beta_i \alpha^{d-2} \\ \beta_i \alpha^{d-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq [L:K(\alpha)]$ (i.e., the matrix M does not depend on i). This shows that the K -linear map $\varphi_\alpha: L \rightarrow L$ has matrix representation

$\begin{pmatrix} M & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & M \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to a (suitably ordered) basis B , in which M appears

$[L:K(\alpha)]$ times. Using some facts from linear algebra we get

$$\det(x \cdot \text{Id} - M) = \begin{vmatrix} x-1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x-1 & \dots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & x-1 & 0 \\ c_d & c_{d-1} & c_{d-2} & \dots & c_1 + x \end{vmatrix} = x^d + c_1 x^{d-1} + \dots + c_{d-1} x + c_d = m_{K, \alpha}(x).$$

and therefore $\det(X \text{id}_L - \varphi_\alpha) = (\det(X \cdot I_d - M))^{[L:K(\alpha)]} = (m_{K, \alpha}(X))^{[L:K(\alpha)]}$. If $\alpha = \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d$ are the roots of $m_{K, \alpha}$ then $m_{K, \alpha}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^d (X - \alpha_i)$. There are exactly d homomorphisms $\tau_i: K(\alpha) \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$ with $\tau_i|_K = \text{id}_K$ which are determined by $\tau_i(\alpha) = \alpha_i$ (for $1 \leq i \leq d = [K(\alpha):K]$) by Theorem 17. (In other words $m_{K, \alpha}(X) = \prod_{i=1}^d (X - \tau_i(\alpha))$.)

For each $i \in \{1, \dots, [K(\alpha):K]\}$ there are exactly $[L:K(\alpha)]$ homomorphisms $\sigma_{ij}: L \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$ with $\sigma_{ij}|_{K(\alpha)} = \tau_i$ (and therefore $\sigma_{ij}(\alpha) = \alpha_i$) for $1 \leq j \leq [L:K(\alpha)]$ by Theorem 20. This implies

$$\det(X \text{id}_L - \varphi_\alpha) = (m_{K, \alpha}(X))^{[L:K(\alpha)]} = \prod_{i=1}^d (X - \tau_i(\alpha))^{[L:K(\alpha)]} = \prod_{i=1}^{[K(\alpha):K]} \prod_{j=1}^{[L:K(\alpha)]} (X - \sigma_{ij}(\alpha))$$

which shows (i) as $\{\sigma_{ij} \mid 1 \leq i \leq [K(\alpha):K], 1 \leq j \leq [L:K(\alpha)]\}$ are exactly the homomorphisms $\sigma: L \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$ with $\sigma|_K = \text{id}_K$.

(ii) and (iii) Follows from (i) and Lemma 22(*).

Corollary 24 Let L/K be a finite separable field extension and $\alpha \in L$. Let $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d$ be the different roots of $m_{K, \alpha}$.

$$(i) N_{L/K}(\alpha) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \right)^{[L:K(\alpha)]}$$

$$(ii) \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha) = [L:K(\alpha)] \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i.$$

Proof: Keeping the notation from the proof of Theorem 23 we see

$$N_{L/K}(\alpha) = \left(\prod_{i=1}^d \tau_i(\alpha) \right)^{[L:K(\alpha)]} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \right)^{[L:K(\alpha)]} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha) = [L:K(\alpha)] \sum_{i=1}^d \tau_i(\alpha) = [L:K(\alpha)] \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i.$$

Examples: 1) If $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(i)$ we have $N_{\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \alpha \bar{\alpha} = |\alpha|^2$ and

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \alpha + \bar{\alpha} = 2 \text{Re}(\alpha) \quad (\text{i.e., } N_{\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}}(a+bi) = a^2 + b^2 \text{ and } \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}}(a+bi) = 2a).$$

2) More generally, if $d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ is squarefree and $a + b\sqrt{d} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ then

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})/\mathbb{Q}}(a + b\sqrt{d}) = (a + b\sqrt{d})(a - b\sqrt{d}) = a^2 - b^2d \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})/\mathbb{Q}}(a + b\sqrt{d}) = (a + b\sqrt{d}) + (a - b\sqrt{d}) = 2a.$$

(If $d < 0$ then $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = |\alpha|^2$ and $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = 2 \text{Re} \alpha, \forall \alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d}).$)

3) We have $N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{2} \cdot (-\sqrt{2}) = -2$ and $\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2} = 0$.

However, if we consider $\sqrt{2}$ as an element of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})$ then $\sqrt{2} = (\sqrt[4]{2})^2$ and therefore

$$\sigma_1(\sqrt{2}) = (\sqrt[4]{2})^2 = \sqrt{2}, \sigma_2(\sqrt{2}) = (-\sqrt[4]{2})^2 = \sqrt{2}, \sigma_3(\sqrt{2}) = (i\sqrt[4]{2})^2 = -\sqrt{2}, \sigma_4(\sqrt{2}) = (-i\sqrt[4]{2})^2 = -\sqrt{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow N_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})/\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt{2}) = \sigma_1(\sqrt{2})\sigma_2(\sqrt{2})\sigma_3(\sqrt{2})\sigma_4(\sqrt{2}) = \sqrt{2}^2(-\sqrt{2})^2 = 4 \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{Tr}_{\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2})/\mathbb{Q}}(\sqrt{2}) = \sigma_1(\sqrt{2}) + \sigma_2(\sqrt{2}) + \sigma_3(\sqrt{2}) + \sigma_4(\sqrt{2}) = 2\sqrt{2} - 2\sqrt{2} = 0$$

4) If L/K is an extension of algebraic number fields and $\alpha \in K$ then $N_{L/K}(\alpha) = \alpha^{[L:K]}$ and $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha) = [L:K] \cdot \alpha$

Remarks: 1) Examples 3) and 4) show that $N_{L/K}(\alpha)$ and $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha)$ do not only depend on α but also on L and K .

2) Despite its name the norm $N_{L/K}$ need not be positive definite (as one might expect erroneously). Compare, e.g., Example 3).

18.10.2022

Theorem 25 Let L/K be an algebraic field extension. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) Each irreducible polynomial $p \in K[X]$ which has a root in L splits in L .

(I.e., p can be written as a product of linear factors.)

(ii) If \bar{K} is an algebraic closure of K with the property $L \subseteq \bar{K}$ and $\varphi: L \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$ a K -isomorphism satisfying $\varphi|_K = \text{id}_K$ then $\varphi(L) = L$ (i.e., is an automorphism of L).

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $\alpha \in L$. Then α is algebraic over K . By assumption $m_{K,\alpha} \in K[X]$ splits over L , i.e., $m_{K,\alpha}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \alpha_i)$ with $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$. As $\varphi|_K = \text{id}_K$

we see that $m_{K,\alpha}(x) = m_{K,\varphi(\alpha)}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \varphi(\alpha_i))$. As $L[X]$ is a unique factorization

domain $\varphi(\alpha_1) = \varphi(\alpha), \varphi(\alpha_2), \dots, \varphi(\alpha_n)$ has to be a permutation of $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$.

Therefore, there are $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$: $\varphi(\alpha) = \varphi(\alpha_i) = \alpha_i$ and $\varphi(\alpha_j) = \alpha_1 = \alpha$, i.e., $\varphi(\alpha) \in L$ and $\alpha \in \varphi(L)$. As α was arbitrary this shows $\varphi(L) \subseteq L$ and $L \subseteq \varphi(L)$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $p \in K[X]$ be irreducible with root $\alpha \in L$. If $\beta \in \bar{K}$ is any root of p then there is an isomorphism $\varphi: K(\alpha) \rightarrow K(\beta)$ with the properties $\varphi|_K = \text{id}_K$ and $\varphi(\alpha) = \beta$. We compose φ with an embedding $K(\beta) \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$ (i.e., $K(\alpha) \xrightarrow{\varphi} K(\beta) \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$) and extend this to an embedding $\tilde{\varphi}: L \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$. Then the assumption implies $\tilde{\varphi}(L) = L$ and therefore $\beta = \tilde{\varphi}(\alpha) = \varphi(\alpha) \in L$. As β was an arbitrary root of p we get that L contains all the roots of p and p splits over L .

Definition: An algebraic field extension L/K which satisfies one (and therefore both) of the conditions of Theorem 25 is called a normal field extension.

Theorem 26 Let L/K be a finite field extension. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) L/K is a normal field extension,
- (ii) L is the splitting field of a polynomial $p \in K[X]$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) There are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$, which are algebraic over K such that $L = K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$.

(Let, e.g., $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be a basis of L as a K -vector space.) Then $m_{K, \alpha_i} \in K[X]$ has a root in L (namely α_i) and is irreducible over K (for $1 \leq i \leq n$). By assumption m_{K, α_i} splits over L (for $1 \leq i \leq n$). Therefore $p = m_{K, \alpha_1} \cdots m_{K, \alpha_n}$ splits over L and L is splitting field of p .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$ be the roots of $p \in K[X]$, i.e., $p(x) = c \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \alpha_i)$ with $c \in K$.

Then $L = K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$. Let \bar{K} be an algebraic closure of K with $L \subseteq \bar{K}$ and $\varphi: L \rightarrow \bar{K}$ a homomorphism satisfying $\varphi|_K = \text{id}_K$. Then $p(x) = p^\varphi(x) = c \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \varphi(\alpha_i))$.

As $\bar{K}[X]$ is a unique factorization domain, $\varphi(\alpha_1), \dots, \varphi(\alpha_n)$ have to be a permutation of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ and $\varphi(\alpha_i) \in L$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. This implies

$$\varphi(L) = \varphi(K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)) = K(\varphi(\alpha_1), \dots, \varphi(\alpha_n)) = K(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = L.$$

Examples: 1) $\mathbb{Q}(i)/\mathbb{Q}$ is a normal field extension as $\mathbb{Q}(i)$ is the splitting field of $X^2 + 1 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$.

2) $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ is a normal field extension as $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$ is the splitting field of $X^2 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$.

3) $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})/\mathbb{Q}$ is not a normal field extension. The polynomial $X^3 - 2 \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ is irreducible and has the root $\sqrt[3]{2} \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ but does not split over $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$ (as its roots $\sqrt[3]{2}e^{2\pi i/3}, \sqrt[3]{2}e^{4\pi i/3} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2}) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$).

Theorem 27 Let L/K be a normal field extension and M an intermediate field. Then L/M is also a normal extension.

Proof: Let \bar{K} be an algebraic closure of K with $L \subseteq \bar{K}$. Then \bar{K} is also an algebraic closure of M . If $\varphi: L \rightarrow \bar{K}$ is a homomorphism with $\varphi|_M = \text{id}_M$ then $\varphi|_K = \text{id}_K$ and thus $\varphi(L) = L$.

Theorem 28 Let L/K be a finite separable field extension and M an intermediate field.

$$(i) N_{L/K} = N_{M/K} \circ N_{L/M},$$

$$(ii) \text{Tr}_{L/K} = \text{Tr}_{M/K} \circ \text{Tr}_{L/M}$$

Proof: There is a $\beta \in L$ such that $L = K(\beta)$. Let N be a splitting field of $m_{K, \beta}$.

By Theorem 26 N/K is a (finite) normal field extension. Let \bar{K} be an algebraic closure of K with $N \subseteq \bar{K}$. Let $\sigma_i: M \rightarrow \bar{K}$ (with $1 \leq i \leq [M:K]$) be the different

homomorphisms with $\sigma_i|_K = \text{id}_K$ and let $\tau_j: L \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$ (with $1 \leq j \leq [L:M]$) be the different homomorphisms with $\tau_j|_M = \text{id}_M$. We extend all σ_i and τ_j to homomorphisms $N \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$ and use the same notations for these extensions. (This should not lead to any confusion.) As N/K is a normal extension the σ_i and the τ_j are all automorphisms of N (by Theorem 25). Then $\sigma_i \circ \tau_j: N \rightarrow N$ is also an automorphism and $\sigma_i \circ \tau_j: L \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$ is a homomorphism with the property $\sigma_i \circ \tau_j|_K = \text{id}_K$ (für $1 \leq i \leq [M:K], 1 \leq j \leq [L:M]$). These are pairwise different, i.e., $\sigma_i \circ \tau_j|_L \neq \sigma_k \circ \tau_\ell|_L$ if $(i,j) \neq (k,\ell)$. (If $\sigma_i \circ \tau_j|_L = \sigma_k \circ \tau_\ell|_L \Rightarrow \sigma_i \circ \tau_j|_M = \sigma_k \circ \tau_\ell|_M \Rightarrow \sigma_i|_M = \sigma_k|_M \Rightarrow i=k \Rightarrow \sigma_i \circ \tau_j = \sigma_i \circ \tau_\ell \Rightarrow \tau_j = \tau_\ell \Rightarrow \tau_j|_L = \tau_\ell|_L \Rightarrow j=\ell$.) I.e., we have found all $[L:M] \cdot [M:K] = [L:K]$ pairwise different homomorphisms $\sigma_i \circ \tau_j: L \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$ with the property $\sigma_i \circ \tau_j|_K = \text{id}_K$.

If $\alpha \in L$ then

$$N_{L/K}(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{[M:K]} \prod_{j=1}^{[L:M]} (\sigma_i \circ \tau_j)(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^{[M:K]} \sigma_i \left(\prod_{j=1}^{[L:M]} \tau_j(\alpha) \right) = \prod_{i=1}^{[M:K]} \sigma_i (N_{L/M}(\alpha)) = N_{M/K}(N_{L/M}(\alpha))$$

and

$$\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{[M:K]} \sum_{j=1}^{[L:M]} (\sigma_i \circ \tau_j)(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{[M:K]} \sigma_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^{[L:M]} \tau_j(\alpha) \right) = \sum_{i=1}^{[M:K]} \sigma_i (\text{Tr}_{L/M}(\alpha)) = \text{Tr}_{M/K}(\text{Tr}_{L/M}(\alpha))$$

Definition: Let L/K be a finite separable field extension, $[L:K]=n$ and $\sigma_i: L \hookrightarrow \bar{K}$ be the different homomorphisms with $\sigma_i|_K = \text{id}_K$ (for $1 \leq i \leq n$). If $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$ the discriminant $\Delta_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ of $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is defined as

$$\Delta_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) := \left(\det \left((\sigma_i(\alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right) \right)^2 = \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \sigma_1(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \sigma_2(\alpha_1) & \sigma_2(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_2(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \sigma_n(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{vmatrix}^2$$

Remark: The square in the definition ensures that the discriminant does not depend on the ordering of $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$ or $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$.

Theorem 29 Let L/K be a finite separable field extension, $[L:K]=n$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$. Then

$$\Delta_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = \det \left((\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \right)$$

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) &= \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \sigma_1(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \sigma_2(\alpha_1) & \sigma_2(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_2(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \sigma_n(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{vmatrix}^2 \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \sigma_2(\alpha_1) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_1) \\ \sigma_1(\alpha_2) & \sigma_2(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(\alpha_n) & \sigma_2(\alpha_n) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \sigma_1(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \sigma_2(\alpha_1) & \sigma_2(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_2(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \sigma_n(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{vmatrix} \\ &= \det \left(\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \sigma_2(\alpha_1) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_1) \\ \sigma_1(\alpha_2) & \sigma_2(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(\alpha_n) & \sigma_2(\alpha_n) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \sigma_1(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \sigma_2(\alpha_1) & \sigma_2(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_2(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \sigma_n(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix} \right) \\ &= \begin{vmatrix} \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_1^2) & \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_1\alpha_2) & \dots & \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_1\alpha_n) \\ \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_1\alpha_2) & \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_2^2) & \dots & \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_2\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_1\alpha_n) & \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_2\alpha_n) & \dots & \text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_n^2) \end{vmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

24.10.2022

Corollary 30 Let L/K be a finite separable field extension and $[L:K]=n$.

Then $\Delta_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in K$ for all $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$.

Proof: Follows from Theorem 29 and $\text{Tr}_{L/K}(\alpha_i\alpha_j) \in K$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq n$.

Lemma 31 Let L/K be a finite separable field extension, $[L:K]=n$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$.

Furthermore, let $\lambda_{jk} \in K$ (for $1 \leq j, k \leq n$) and $\beta_k = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{jk} \alpha_j$ (for $1 \leq k \leq n$).

If A denotes the matrix $(\lambda_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$ then $\Delta_{L/K}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = (\det A)^2 \Delta_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$.

Proof: Let $\sigma_i: L \rightarrow \bar{K}$ denote the different homomorphisms with $\sigma_i|_K = \text{id}_K$ ($1 \leq i \leq n$).

Then $\sigma_i(\beta_k) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{jk} \sigma_i(\alpha_j) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha_j) \lambda_{jk}$ (with $1 \leq i, k \leq n$). Rewriting this as a

matrix gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\beta_1) & \sigma_1(\beta_2) & \dots & \sigma_1(\beta_n) \\ \sigma_2(\beta_1) & \sigma_2(\beta_2) & \dots & \sigma_2(\beta_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\beta_1) & \sigma_n(\beta_2) & \dots & \sigma_n(\beta_n) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \sigma_1(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \sigma_2(\alpha_1) & \sigma_2(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_2(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \sigma_n(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_{11} & \lambda_{12} & \dots & \lambda_{1n} \\ \lambda_{21} & \lambda_{22} & \dots & \lambda_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_{n1} & \lambda_{n2} & \dots & \lambda_{nn} \end{pmatrix}$$

and therefore

$$\Delta_{L/K}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$$

$$= \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_1(\beta_1) & \sigma_1(\beta_2) & \dots & \sigma_1(\beta_n) \\ \sigma_2(\beta_1) & \sigma_2(\beta_2) & \dots & \sigma_2(\beta_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\beta_1) & \sigma_n(\beta_2) & \dots & \sigma_n(\beta_n) \end{vmatrix}^2 = \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_1(\alpha_1) & \sigma_1(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_1(\alpha_n) \\ \sigma_2(\alpha_1) & \sigma_2(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_2(\alpha_n) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(\alpha_1) & \sigma_n(\alpha_2) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha_n) \end{vmatrix}^2 \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_{11} & \lambda_{12} & \dots & \lambda_{1n} \\ \lambda_{21} & \lambda_{22} & \dots & \lambda_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \lambda_{n1} & \lambda_{n2} & \dots & \lambda_{nn} \end{vmatrix}^2$$

$$= \Delta_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \cdot (\det A)^2$$

Theorem 32 Let L/K be a finite separable field extension with $[L:K]=n$ and $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ the different roots of $m_{K,\alpha}$. Then $\Delta_{L/K}(1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_1^{n-1}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$.

Proof: If $\sigma_i: L \rightarrow \bar{K}$ are the different homomorphisms with $\sigma_i|_K = \text{id}_K$ (for $1 \leq i \leq n$) then we can assume w.l.o.g. $\sigma_i(\alpha) = \alpha_i$ (for $1 \leq i \leq n$). Using Vandermonde's determinant we get

$$\Delta_{L/K}(1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_1^{n-1}) = \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_1(1) & \sigma_1(\alpha) & \dots & \sigma_1(\alpha^{n-1}) \\ \sigma_2(1) & \sigma_2(\alpha) & \dots & \sigma_2(\alpha^{n-1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \sigma_n(1) & \sigma_n(\alpha) & \dots & \sigma_n(\alpha^{n-1}) \end{vmatrix}^2 = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \alpha_1 & \dots & \alpha_1^{n-1} \\ 1 & \alpha_2 & \dots & \alpha_2^{n-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \alpha_n & \dots & \alpha_n^{n-1} \end{vmatrix}^2 = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$$

Theorem 33 Let L/K be a finite separable field extension, $[L:K]=n$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are linearly dependent over K ,

(ii) $\Delta_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0$.

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $\sigma_i: L \rightarrow \bar{K}$ be the different homomorphisms with $\sigma_i|_K = \text{id}_K$ (for $1 \leq i \leq n$).

By assumption there are $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in K$ (not all $= 0$) such that $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \alpha_j = 0$. Therefore

$$0 = \sigma_i \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \alpha_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \sigma_i(\alpha_j) \text{ and thus } \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j (\sigma_1(\alpha_j), \dots, \sigma_n(\alpha_j)) = (0, \dots, 0).$$

I.e., the columns of the matrix $(\sigma_i(\alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ are linearly dependent. This implies

$$\Delta_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) = 0 \text{ by definition of } \Delta_{L/K}.$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$ be linearly independent over K . By the primitive element theorem there is a $\gamma \in L$ such that $L = K(\gamma)$ and $\{1, \gamma, \dots, \gamma^{n-1}\}$ is a K -basis of L .

Therefore, there are $\lambda_{jk} \in K$ (with $1 \leq j, k \leq n$) such that $\alpha_k = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{jk} \gamma^{j-1}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Let A denote the matrix $A = (\lambda_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}$. As $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ and $\{1, \gamma, \dots, \gamma^{n-1}\}$ are both K -bases of L , the matrix A is invertible and $\det A \neq 0$. If $\gamma_1 = \gamma, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_n$ denote the different roots of $m_{K,\gamma}$ then

$$\Delta_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \stackrel{\text{Lemma 31}}{=} (\det A)^2 \cdot \Delta_{L/K}(1, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_1^{n-1}) \stackrel{\text{Theorem 32}}{=} \frac{(\det A)^2}{\neq 0} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \frac{(\gamma_i - \gamma_j)^2}{\neq 0} \neq 0$$

Remark: In fact we have shown the following: If L/K is a finite separable field extension with $[L:K]=n$ and $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in L$, the following are equivalent:

- (i) $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ is a K -basis of L ,
- (ii) $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ are linearly independent over K ,
- (iii) $\Delta_{L/K}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in K \setminus \{0\}$.

Theorem 31 Let L/K be a finite separable field extension with $L = K(\alpha)$ and $[L:K]=n$.

Then $\Delta_{L/K}(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}) = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} N_{L/K}(m'_{K,\alpha}(\alpha))$.

Proof: Let $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n$ be the different roots of $m_{K,\alpha}$ and $\sigma_i: L \rightarrow \bar{K}$ the different automorphisms with $\sigma_i|_K = \text{id}_K$, where we assume $\sigma_i(\alpha) = \alpha_i$ (for $1 \leq i \leq n$). Then

$$m_{K,\alpha}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^n (x - \alpha_i) \Rightarrow m'_{K,\alpha}(x) = \sum_{\substack{k=1 \\ i \neq k}}^n \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ i \neq k}} (x - \alpha_i) \Rightarrow m'_{K,\alpha}(\alpha_j) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ i \neq j}} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)$$

$$\Rightarrow N_{L/K}(m'_{K,\alpha}(\alpha)) = \prod_{j=1}^n \sigma_j(m'_{K,\alpha}(\alpha)) = \prod_{j=1}^n m'_{K,\alpha}(\sigma_j(\alpha)) = \prod_{j=1}^n m'_{K,\alpha}(\alpha_j)$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^n \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq n \\ i \neq j}} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i, j \leq n \\ i \neq j}} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\alpha_j - \alpha_i)(\alpha_i - \alpha_j)$$

$$= (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2 = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Theorem 32}}{=} (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} \Delta_{L/K}(1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$$

Corollary 35 Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ be an algebraic number field with $[K:\mathbb{Q}] = n$ and the property that the roots of $m_{\mathbb{Q},\alpha}$ are all in \mathbb{R} . Then $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) > 0$ for all \mathbb{Q} -bases β_1, \dots, β_n of K .

Proof: Let $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ be the roots of $m_{\mathbb{Q},\alpha}$. We know that $1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_1^{n-1}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of K and by Theorem 32 $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_1^{n-1}) = \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} (\alpha_i - \alpha_j)^2 > 0$.

If β_1, \dots, β_n is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of K there are $\lambda_{jk} \in \mathbb{Q}$ (where $1 \leq j, k \leq n$) such that

$$\beta_k = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_{jk} \alpha_j^{j-1} \text{ for } 1 \leq k \leq n. \text{ Let } A = (\lambda_{jk})_{1 \leq j, k \leq n}. \text{ Then let } A \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \{0\} \text{ and}$$

$$\text{Lemma 31 implies } \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) = \underbrace{(\det A)^2}_{> 0} \cdot \underbrace{\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_1^{n-1})}_{> 0} > 0.$$

Examples: 1) Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$. Then $\{1, i\}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of K and

$$\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, i) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & i \\ 1 & -i \end{vmatrix}^2 = (-2i)^2 = -4. \text{ We can also employ Theorem 34 to calculate}$$

$$\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, i) : m_{\mathbb{Q}, i}(x) = x^2 + 1 \Rightarrow m'_{\mathbb{Q}, i}(x) = 2x \Rightarrow m'_{\mathbb{Q}, i}(i) = 2i$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, i) = (-1) N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(2i) = -(2i)(-2i) = -4.$$

2) Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$. Then: $\{2, 1+i\}$ is also a \mathbb{Q} -basis of K and

$$\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(2, 1+i) = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 1+i \\ 2 & 1-i \end{vmatrix}^2 = (-4i)^2 = -16. \text{ We have } \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 1+i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \text{ and}$$

$$\text{using Lemma 31 we get } \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(2, 1+i) = \begin{vmatrix} 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}^2 \cdot \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, i) = 4 \cdot (-4) = -16$$

3) More generally, let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ (with $d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ squarefree). Then $\{1, \sqrt{d}\}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of K and $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \sqrt{d}) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \sqrt{d} \\ 1 & -\sqrt{d} \end{vmatrix}^2 = (-2\sqrt{d})^2 = 4d$. On using Theorem 34

$$m_{\mathbb{Q}, \sqrt{d}}(x) = x^2 - d \Rightarrow m'_{\mathbb{Q}, \sqrt{d}}(x) = 2x \Rightarrow m'_{\mathbb{Q}, \sqrt{d}}(\sqrt{d}) = 2\sqrt{d}$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \sqrt{d}) = -N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(2\sqrt{d}) = -(2\sqrt{d})(-2\sqrt{d}) = 4d$$

4) Let $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$. Then $\{1, \sqrt[3]{2}, \sqrt[3]{4}\}$ is a \mathbb{Q} -basis of K and (setting

$$\zeta = e^{2\pi i/3} = \frac{1}{2}(-1 + i\sqrt{3}) \text{ we have}$$

$$\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \sqrt[3]{2}, \sqrt[3]{4}) = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \sqrt[3]{2} & \sqrt[3]{4} \\ 1 & \sqrt[3]{2}\zeta & \sqrt[3]{4}\zeta^2 \\ 1 & \sqrt[3]{2}\zeta^2 & \sqrt[3]{4}\zeta \end{vmatrix}^2 = (2\zeta^2 + 2\zeta^2 + 2\zeta^2 - 2\zeta - 2\zeta - 2\zeta)^2 = (6\zeta^2 - 6\zeta)^2$$

$$= 36(\zeta^2 - \zeta)^2 = 36 \cdot \frac{1}{4}(-1 - \sqrt{3}i - (-1 + \sqrt{3}i))^2 = 36(-\sqrt{3}i)^2 = -3 \cdot 36 = -108$$

Again, we could also use Theorem 34:

$$m_{\mathbb{Q}, \sqrt[3]{2}}(x) = x^3 - 2 \Rightarrow m'_{\mathbb{Q}, \sqrt[3]{2}}(x) = 3x^2 \Rightarrow m'_{\mathbb{Q}, \sqrt[3]{2}}(\sqrt[3]{2}) = 3 \cdot \sqrt[3]{4}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \sqrt[3]{2}, \sqrt[3]{4}) &= (-1)^3 N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(3 \cdot \sqrt[3]{4}) = -(3 \cdot \sqrt[3]{4})(3 \cdot \sqrt[3]{4}\zeta^2)(3 \cdot \sqrt[3]{4}\zeta) \\ &= -3^3 \cdot 4 = -108 \end{aligned}$$

Remark: As can be seen from the third example it is often faster and easier to calculate a discriminant with the aid of Theorem 34.

31.10.2022