

#### 4. Rings of integral elements

Definition: Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $A$  an  $n \times n$ -matrix with entries in  $R$ . Let  $A^{ij}$  (with  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ) denote the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ -matrix obtained by removing the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column from  $A$ . Then  $A^\# := ((-1)^{i+j} \det A^{ji})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  is called the (classical) adjoint matrix of  $A$  [dt. klassische adjungierte Matrix von  $A$ ].

Lemma 41 Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $A$  an  $n \times n$ -matrix with entries in  $R$ . Then  $A \cdot A^\# = A^\# \cdot A = (\det A) \cdot I_n$ .

Proof: Let  $B := A \cdot A^\# = (b_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  (i.e.)

$$b_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} (-1)^{k+j} \det A^{jk} = \begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{j-1,1} & a_{j-1,2} & \dots & a_{j-1,n} \\ a_{i1} & a_{i2} & \dots & a_{in} \\ a_{j+1,1} & a_{j+1,2} & \dots & a_{j+1,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{n1} & a_{n2} & \dots & a_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = \begin{cases} \det A & \text{if } i=j, \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

(The truth of the second equal sign can be seen by expanding the determinant with respect to the  $j$ th row.) The equation  $A^\# \cdot A = (\det A) \cdot I_n$  can be deduced analogously.

Remarks: 1) Lemma 41 is still correct if we assume  $R$  to be a commutative ring with identity.

2) If  $R$  is a field and  $\det A \neq 0$  then  $A$  is invertible and  $A^{-1} = (\det A)^{-1} \cdot A^\#$ .

Definition: Let  $S$  be a commutative ring with identity and  $R$  a subring of  $S$  with  $1_R = 1_S$ . An element  $x \in S$  is said to be integral over  $R$  [dt. ganz über  $R$ ] if there exists a monic polynomial  $p \in R[X]$  such that  $p(x) = 0$ .

I.e., there is an integer  $n \geq 1$  and  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in R$  such that  $x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1x + a_0 = 0$ .

Remark: We are interested in integral elements in the case  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $S = \mathbb{C}$  or  $S$  is an algebraic number field.

Definition: An  $x \in \mathbb{C}$  is called an algebraic integer [dt. ganzalgebraisch] if  $x$  is integral over  $\mathbb{Z}$  (i.e., there is a monic polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$  such that  $p(x) = 0$ ).

Examples: 1) If  $R$  and  $S$  are fields then  $x \in S$  is integral over  $R$  if and only if  $x$  is algebraic over  $R$ .

2) If  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  then  $\sqrt{d}$  is an algebraic integer as  $p(\sqrt{d}) = 0$  for  $p(x) = x^2 - d$ .

3) Every  $n^{\text{th}}$  root of unity  $\zeta$  is an algebraic integer as  $p(\zeta) = 0$  for  $p(x) = x^n - 1$ .

(Note that  $p$  will normally not be m.a.i. as  $p(x) = (x-1)(x^{n-1} + x^{n-2} + \dots + x + 1)$  for  $n \geq 2$ .)

4)  $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$  is an algebraic integer as  $p(\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}) = 0$  for  $p(x) = x^2 - x - 1$ .

5)  $\frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}$  is an algebraic integer as  $p(\frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}) = 0$  for  $p(x) = x^2 + x + 1$ .

6)  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$  (as it is a root of  $X^2 - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ ) but it is not an algebraic integer.

(Suppose  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$  is an algebraic integer. Then  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}^n} + a_{n-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}^{n-1}} + \dots + a_1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + a_0 = 0$  for

some  $n \geq 1$  and certain  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow 1 + a_{n-1}\sqrt{2} + \dots + a_1\sqrt{2}^{n-1} + a_0\sqrt{2}^n = 0$

$$\Rightarrow (1 + 2a_{n-2} + 4a_{n-4} + \dots) + \sqrt{2}(a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-3} + \dots) = 0$$

$$\text{If } a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-3} + \dots \neq 0 \text{ then } \sqrt{2} = -\frac{1 + 2a_{n-2} + 4a_{n-4} + \dots}{a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-3} + \dots} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

If  $a_{n-1} + 2a_{n-3} + \dots = 0$  then  $0 = 1 + 2a_{n-2} + 4a_{n-4} + \dots \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

Both are contradictions.

Theorem 42 Let  $S$  be an integral domain,  $R$  a subring (with identity) of  $S$  and  $\alpha \in S$ .

Then the following are equivalent:

(i)  $\alpha$  is integral over  $R$ ,

(ii)  $R[\alpha]$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module,

(iii) There is a subring  $T$  (of  $S$ ), which is a finitely generated  $R$ -module,

such that  $R[\alpha] \subseteq T \subseteq S$ .

Proof: (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) As  $\alpha$  is integral over  $R$  there is a monic  $p \in R[X]$  such that  $p(\alpha) = 0$ .

Let  $n := \deg p$ . We claim that  $R[\alpha] = \langle 1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1} \rangle_R$ . Clearly  $R[\alpha]$  is an

$R$ -module. We know from algebra that  $R[\alpha] = \{f(\alpha) \mid f \in R[X]\}$ . As  $p$  is a

monic polynomial its leading coefficient is  $1 \in R^\times$  and for any  $f \in R[X]$  we can

use division with remainder to find  $q, r \in R[X]$  such that  $f(x) = q(x)p(x) + r(x)$

and  $\deg r < \deg p = n$ . Therefore,

$$f(\alpha) = q(\alpha) \underbrace{p(\alpha)}_{=0} + r(\alpha) \in R + R\alpha + \dots + R\alpha^{n-1} = \langle 1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1} \rangle_R$$

where we used Theorem 37 in the last step.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) Set  $T := R[\alpha]$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) There are  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \in T$  which generate  $T$  as an  $R$ -module, i.e.,  $T = \langle \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \rangle_R$ .

As  $T$  is a ring and  $\alpha \in T$  we know  $\alpha\beta_i \in T$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Therefore

9.11.2022

$$\forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \exists c_{i1}, \dots, c_{in} \in R : \alpha \beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} \beta_j \text{ and thus } \sum_{j=1}^n (\alpha \delta_{ij} - c_{ij}) \beta_j = 0 \quad (1 \leq i \leq n) \quad (*)$$

Let  $A$  denote the matrix  $A := (\alpha \delta_{ij} - c_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  (with entries in  $R[\alpha]$ ). We rewrite  $(*)$  as

$$A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \det A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{pmatrix} = \det A \cdot I_n \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\text{Lemma 41}}{=} A^{\#} \cdot A \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \beta_1 \\ \vdots \\ \beta_n \end{pmatrix} = A^{\#} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which yields  $\det A \cdot \beta_i = 0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . As  $\langle \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n \rangle_R = T$  there are  $b_1, \dots, b_n \in R$  such that

$$1 = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \beta_i \text{ and therefore } \det A = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \underbrace{(\det A \cdot \beta_i)}_{=0} = 0. \text{ This says that}$$

$$\begin{vmatrix} \alpha - c_{11} & -c_{12} & \dots & -c_{1n} \\ -c_{21} & \alpha - c_{22} & \dots & -c_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ -c_{n1} & -c_{n2} & \dots & \alpha - c_{nn} \end{vmatrix} = 0 \text{ which gives a monic polynomial in } R[\alpha] \text{ which}$$

has  $\alpha$  as a root.

Remark: The proof of the implication (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) could be simplified. However, the above proof has the advantage of working even if  $R$  and  $S$  are only commutative rings with identity.

Corollary 43 Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer,
- (ii)  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  is a finitely generated abelian group,
- (iii) There is a subring  $T$  of  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\mathbb{Z}[\alpha] \subseteq T$  and  $T$  is a finitely generated abelian group.

Proof: Set  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $S = \mathbb{C}$  in Theorem 42.

Lemma 44 Let  $S$  be a commutative ring with identity and  $R$  a subring of  $S$  with  $1_R = 1_S$ .

If  $M$  is a finitely generated  $S$ -module and  $S$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module then  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module.

Proof: Clearly,  $M$  is also an  $R$ -module. There are  $m_1, \dots, m_n \in M$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_k \in S$  such that  $M = \langle m_1, \dots, m_n \rangle_S$  and  $S = \langle s_1, \dots, s_k \rangle_R$ . We claim that

$$M = \langle s_1 m_1, \dots, s_k m_1, \dots, s_1 m_n, \dots, s_k m_n \rangle_R. \text{ Let } m \in M. \text{ Then there are } c_1, \dots, c_n \in S$$

$$\text{such that } m = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i m_i. \text{ Furthermore, } \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \exists d_{i1}, \dots, d_{ik} \in R : c_i = \sum_{j=1}^k d_{ij} s_j$$

$$\Rightarrow m = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i m_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \left( \sum_{j=1}^k d_{ij} s_j \right) m_i = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k d_{ij} (s_j m_i).$$

Corollary 45 Let  $S$  be an integral domain,  $R$  a subring (with identity) of  $S$  and

$x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are all integral over  $R$ ,
- (ii)  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module.

Proof: (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) We use induction on  $n$ . The case  $n=1$  was proved as implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) in Theorem 42. Now let  $n > 1$ . We know from algebra that  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n] = R[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}][x_n]$ .

As  $x_n$  is integral over  $R$ , it is also integral over  $R[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ . Theorem 42 implies that  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a finitely generated  $R[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$ -module. The induction hypothesis is that  $R[x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}]$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Lemma 49 implies that  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) For  $1 \leq i \leq n$  we have  $R[x_i] \subseteq R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subseteq S$  and  $x_i$  is integral over  $R$  by implication (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) of Theorem 42.

Corollary 46 Let  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  are algebraic integers,
- (ii)  $\mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a finitely generated abelian group.

Proof: Set  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $S = \mathbb{C}$  in Corollary 45.

Lemma 47 Let  $S$  be an integral domain and  $R$  a subring (with identity) of  $S$ .

- (i) If  $x_1, \dots, x_n \in S$  are integral over  $R$  then each  $\beta \in R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is integral over  $R$ ,
- (ii) If  $x_1, x_2 \in S$  are integral over  $R$  then  $x_1 + x_2, x_1 - x_2$  and  $x_1 x_2$  are integral over  $R$ .

Proof: (i) We have  $R \subseteq R[\beta] \subseteq R[x_1, \dots, x_n] \subseteq S$ , where  $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module by Corollary 45. Theorem 42 implies that  $\beta$  is integral over  $R$ .

(ii) If  $\beta \in \{x_1 + x_2, x_1 - x_2, x_1 x_2\}$  then  $\beta \in R[x_1, x_2]$  and  $\beta$  is integral over  $R$  by (i).

Definition: Let  $S$  be an integral domain and  $R$  a subring (with identity) of  $S$ . Then  $\bar{R}^S := \{x \in S \mid x \text{ is integral over } R\}$  is called the integral closure of  $R$  in  $S$ .

[alt. der ganze Abschluss von  $R$  in  $S$ ].

Theorem 48 Let  $S$  be an integral domain and  $R$  a subring (with identity) of  $S$ .

Then  $\bar{R}^S$  is an integral domain.

Proof: Lemma 47 (ii) shows that  $\bar{R}^S$  is a subring of  $S$ . Therefore  $\bar{R}^S$  is a commutative ring with identity and even an integral domain as  $S$  is an integral domain.

Definition: 1) Let  $\mathcal{O} := \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^{\mathbb{C}} = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{C} \mid \alpha \text{ is an algebraic integer}\}$ .

2) If  $K$  is an algebraic number field let  $\mathcal{O}_K := \overline{\mathbb{Z}}^K = \{\alpha \in K \mid \alpha \text{ is an algebraic integer}\}$ .

Remark:  $\mathcal{O}$  and  $\mathcal{O}_K$  are integral domains by Theorem 48.

Definition: Let  $S$  be a commutative ring with identity and  $R$  a subring of  $S$  with  $1_R = 1_S$ . Then  $S$  is said to be integral over  $R$  [dt ganz über  $R$ ] if every  $\alpha \in S$  is integral over  $R$ .

Theorem 49 Let  $T$  be an integral domain,  $S$  a subring (with identity) of  $T$  and  $R$  a subring (with identity) of  $S$  (i.e.,  $R \subseteq S \subseteq T$ ).

(i) If  $S$  is integral over  $R$  and  $\beta \in T$  is integral over  $S$ , then  $\beta$  is integral over  $R$ .

(ii) If  $S$  is integral over  $R$  and  $T$  is integral over  $S$ , then  $T$  is integral over  $R$ .

Proof: (i) As  $\beta$  is integral over  $S$  there is a polynomial  $p(x) = x^n + \alpha_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_1x + \alpha_0 \in S[x]$  such that  $p(\beta) = 0$ . As  $S$  is integral over  $R$  its coefficients  $\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1} \in S$  are all integral over  $R$ . By Corollary 45  $R[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}]$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Clearly  $\beta$  is also integral over  $R[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}]$  and Theorem 42 implies that  $R[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}][\beta]$  is a finitely generated  $R[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}]$ -module. Lemma 44 implies that  $R[\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}][\beta]$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module. Because of Corollary 45  $\beta$  is integral over  $R$ .

(ii) Follows from (i).

Corollary 50 Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field and  $\beta \in K$ . If  $\beta$  is integral over  $\mathcal{O}_K$ , then  $\beta$  is an algebraic integer. (I.e., if there is a polynomial  $p(x) = x^n + \alpha_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + \alpha_1x + \alpha_0 \in \mathcal{O}_K[x]$  such that  $p(\beta) = 0$  then  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .)

Proof: Use Theorem 49 (i) with  $R = \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $S = \mathcal{O}_K$  and  $T = K$ .

Definition: 1) Let  $S$  be a commutative ring with identity and  $R$  a subring of  $S$  with  $1_R = 1_S$ . Then  $R$  is said to be integrally closed in  $S$  [dt  $R$  ist ganz abgeschlossen in  $S$ ] if  $\overline{R^S} = R$ .

2) Let  $R$  be an integral domain and  $K$  its quotient field. Then  $R$  is called integrally closed [dt ganz abgeschlossen] if  $R$  is integrally closed in  $K$  (i.e.,  $\overline{R^K} = R$ ).

Corollary 51 Let  $S$  be an integral domain and  $R$  a subring (with identity) of  $S$ . Then  $\overline{R^S}$  is integrally closed in  $S$ .

Proof: We have to show  $\overline{(\overline{R^S})^S} = \overline{R^S}$ . We first show  $\overline{R^S} \subseteq \overline{(\overline{R^S})^S}$ . Let  $\alpha \in \overline{R^S}$ . Then there is a monic polynomial  $p \in R[x]$  such that  $p(\alpha) = 0$ . As  $p \in R[x] \subseteq \overline{R^S}[x]$  we get  $\alpha \in \overline{(\overline{R^S})^S}$ .

It remains to check  $(\overline{R^S})^S \subseteq \overline{R^S}$ . If  $\alpha \in (\overline{R^S})^S$  then  $\alpha$  is integral over  $\overline{R^S}$ . As  $\overline{R^S}$  is integral over  $R$ , Theorem 49(i) implies that  $\alpha$  is integral over  $R$ , i.e.,  $\alpha \in \overline{R^S}$ .

Theorem 52 Let  $R$  be a unique factorization domain. Then  $R$  is integrally closed.

Proof: Let  $\alpha, \beta \in R$ ,  $\beta \neq 0$  and  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta}$  integral over  $R$ . W.l.o.g. we can assume that  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are relatively prime. There are  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in R$  such that  $(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^n + c_1(\frac{\alpha}{\beta})^{n-1} + \dots + c_{n-1}\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + c_n = 0$  and therefore  $\alpha^n + c_1\alpha^{n-1}\beta + \dots + c_{n-1}\alpha\beta^{n-1} + c_n\beta^n = 0$ . If a prime element  $\pi \in R$  would have the property  $\pi | \beta$  then necessarily  $\pi | \alpha$ . As  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are assumed to be relatively prime such a  $\pi$  does not exist. Therefore  $\beta \in R^\times$  and  $\frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{\alpha\beta^{-1}}{1} \in R$ .

Example:  $\mathbb{Z}$  is integrally closed (as it is a unique factorization domain).

14.11.2022

Lemma 53 Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field and  $\alpha \in K$ . Then  $\exists m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} : m\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .

Proof: As  $\alpha$  is algebraic (over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ) there are  $n \geq 1$  and  $a_n (\neq 0), a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1, a_0 \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a_n\alpha^n + a_{n-1}\alpha^{n-1} + \dots + a_1\alpha + a_0 = 0$ . This implies

$$(a_n\alpha)^n + a_{n-1}(a_n\alpha)^{n-1} + a_{n-2}a_n(a_n\alpha)^{n-2} + \dots + a_1a_n^{n-2}(a_n\alpha) + a_0a_n^{n-1} = 0.$$

This shows  $a_n\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ , i.e., one can choose  $m := a_n$ .

Corollary 54 Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field. Then there is an  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ .

Proof: The primitive element theorem (Theorem 15) implies that there is a  $\beta \in K$  such that  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\beta)$ . By Lemma 53 there is an  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $m\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .

Let  $\alpha := m\beta$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) = \mathbb{Q}(\beta) = K$ .

Corollary 55 Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field. Then the quotient field of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is (isomorphic to)  $K$ .

Proof: Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  denote the quotient field of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Consider the map  $\varphi: \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow K$ ,  $\varphi(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}) = \alpha\beta^{-1}$ .

Then  $\varphi$  is a ring homomorphism as

$$\varphi\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} \cdot \frac{\gamma}{\delta}\right) = \varphi\left(\frac{\alpha\gamma}{\beta\delta}\right) = \alpha\gamma(\beta\delta)^{-1} = (\alpha\beta^{-1})(\gamma\delta^{-1}) = \varphi\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right)\varphi\left(\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\right) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\varphi\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} + \frac{\gamma}{\delta}\right) = \varphi\left(\frac{\alpha\delta + \beta\gamma}{\beta\delta}\right) = (\alpha\delta + \beta\gamma)(\beta\delta)^{-1} = \alpha\delta\beta^{-1}\delta^{-1} + \beta\gamma\beta^{-1}\delta^{-1} = \alpha\beta^{-1} + \gamma\delta^{-1} = \varphi\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) + \varphi\left(\frac{\gamma}{\delta}\right)$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathcal{O}_K$  with  $\beta \neq 0, \delta \neq 0$ . Clearly  $\varphi\left(\frac{1}{1}\right) = 1$  (i.e.,  $\varphi(1_{\mathcal{Q}}) = 1_K$ ) and

$\varphi\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) = 1 \Rightarrow \alpha\beta^{-1} = 1 \Rightarrow \alpha = \beta (\neq 0) \Rightarrow \frac{\alpha}{\beta} = \frac{1}{1}$  shows that  $\varphi$  is injective. If  $x \in K$

then there is a  $\beta \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \setminus \{0\} : x := \beta x \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Therefore  $\varphi\left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) = \alpha\beta^{-1} = x$

which shows that  $\varphi$  is also surjective.

Corollary 56 Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field. Then  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is integrally closed.

Proof: Because of Corollary 55 it suffices that if  $\beta \in K$  is integral over  $\mathcal{O}_K$  then  $\beta$  is an algebraic integer. This was already proved in Corollary 50.

Corollary 57 (i)  $\mathcal{O}_K \cap \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$ ,

(ii) If  $K$  is an algebraic number field then  $\mathcal{O}_K \cap \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Z}$ .

Proof: (i) Clearly  $\mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . If  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K \cap \mathbb{Q}$  then  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$  and there is a monic  $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $p(\alpha) = 0$ . As  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a unique factorization domain it is integrally closed by Theorem 52 and therefore  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

(ii)  $\mathcal{O}_K \cap \mathbb{Q} = (\mathcal{O}_K \cap \mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathbb{Q} = K \cap (\mathcal{O}_K \cap \mathbb{Q}) \stackrel{(i)}{=} K \cap \mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z}$ .

Corollary 58 Let  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  be algebraic over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

(i)  $\alpha$  is an algebraic integer,

(ii)  $m_{\mathbb{Q}, \alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

Proof: (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) There is a monic polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$  such that  $p(\alpha) = 0$ . This implies  $m_{\mathbb{Q}, \alpha} \mid p$  (in  $\mathbb{Q}[x]$ ). If  $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d \in \mathbb{C}$  are the different roots of  $m_{\mathbb{Q}, \alpha}$ , then  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$  are roots of  $p$  and therefore  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d$  are algebraic integers. If

$m_{\mathbb{Q}, \alpha}(x) = x^d + c_{d-1}x^{d-1} + \dots + c_1x + c_0 \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$  then  $m_{\mathbb{Q}, \alpha}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^d (x - \alpha_i)$  and by

Vieta's root theorem  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{d-1}$  are algebraic integers, i.e.,  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{d-1} \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \mathcal{O} \stackrel{\text{Cor. 57}}{=} \mathbb{Z}$  and therefore  $m_{\mathbb{Q}, \alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) Trivial.

Theorem 59 Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field.

(i) If  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  then  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha), \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,

(ii) If  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  then  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^* \Leftrightarrow N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}^* = \{1, -1\}$ ,

(iii) If  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{O}_K$  are associates (i.e.,  $\exists \epsilon \in \mathcal{O}_K^* : \beta = \epsilon\alpha$ ) then  $|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)| = |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta)|$ ,

(iv) If  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  and  $|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)|$  is a prime (in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ) then  $\alpha$  is irreducible in  $\mathcal{O}_K$ .

Proof: (i) If  $\alpha_1 = \alpha, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d \in \mathbb{C}$  are the different roots of  $m_{\mathbb{Q}, \alpha}$  then  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_d$  are algebraic integers because of Corollary 58. Corollary 24 implies

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \right)^{[K:\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)]} \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = [K:\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)] \sum_{i=1}^d \alpha_i \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \mathcal{O} = \mathbb{Z}.$$

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) If  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$  then  $\exists \beta \in \mathcal{O}_K : \alpha\beta = 1$  and therefore

$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \cdot N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) \stackrel{\text{Lemma 22}}{=} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha\beta) = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1) = 1$ . As  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha), N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$

(by (i)) we get  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}^* = \{1, -1\}$ .

( $\Leftarrow$ ) Let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  denote the different embeddings with  $\sigma_i|_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n = [K:\mathbb{Q}]$ , where we assume  $\sigma_1 = \text{id}_K$ . Then

$$\varepsilon := \alpha \prod_{i=2}^n \sigma_i(\alpha) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha) \stackrel{\text{Th. 23 (iii)}}{=} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \{1, -1\}. \text{ Let } \beta := \varepsilon \prod_{i=2}^n \sigma_i(\alpha). \text{ Then}$$

$$\alpha\beta = \varepsilon \alpha \prod_{i=2}^n \sigma_i(\alpha) = \varepsilon^2 = 1, \text{ i.e., } \beta = \alpha^{-1} \in K. \text{ As } \alpha \in \mathcal{O} \text{ clearly } \sigma_i(\alpha) \in \mathcal{O} \text{ for } 2 \leq i \leq n.$$

This implies  $\sigma_2(\alpha) \dots \sigma_n(\alpha) \in \mathcal{O}$  and thus  $\beta \in \mathcal{O} \cap K = \mathcal{O}_K$ .

(iii)  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\varepsilon\alpha) = \underbrace{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\varepsilon)}_{\in \{1, -1\}} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \{N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha), -N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)\}$  and therefore

$$|N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta)| = |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)|.$$

(iv) Let  $p := |N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha)|$  and assume  $\alpha = \beta\gamma$  for some  $\beta, \gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then

$$N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma) = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta\gamma) = N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha) \in \{p, -p\}. \text{ As } N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta), N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma) \in \mathbb{Z}$$

we see  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\beta) \in \{1, -1\}$  or  $N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\gamma) \in \{1, -1\}$  and (ii) implies  $\beta \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$  or  $\gamma \in \mathcal{O}_K^*$ .

Remark: The reverses of (iii) and (iv) are not true. (Examples will follow shortly.)

Definition: Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field. Then  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  is called an integral basis [dt. Gaußsche Basis] if  $\forall x \in \mathcal{O}_K \exists! c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z} : x = c_1\omega_1 + \dots + c_n\omega_n$ .

Remarks: 1) If there is an integral basis with  $n$  elements then  $(\mathcal{O}_K, +)$  is a free abelian group of rank  $n$ , i.e., an integral basis is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -basis. By Theorem 3 the number of elements of an integral basis is uniquely determined, i.e., if  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  and  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m\}$  are both integral bases for  $K$  then  $n = m$ .

2) So far, we have not established the existence of an integral basis for any algebraic number field. (However, we will prove shortly that they exist for every algebraic number field.)

3) If  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  is an integral basis for  $K$  then it is also a basis of  $K$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space (which contains only elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ ). Let  $x \in K$ . Then

$$\exists c_0 \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} : c_0 x \in \mathcal{O}_K \text{ and therefore } \exists c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Z} : c_0 x = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \omega_i \text{ which implies}$$

$$x = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{c_i}{c_0} \omega_i. \text{ Furthermore, } \omega_1, \dots, \omega_n \text{ are linearly independent over } \mathbb{Q}. \text{ Suppose}$$



$\sum_{i=1}^n q_i \omega_i = 0$  (with  $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ ). Then  $\exists c \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\} : cq_1, \dots, cq_n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and therefore

$\sum_{i=1}^n (cq_i) \omega_i = 0$ . By assumption  $cq_i = 0$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and thus  $q_1 = \dots = q_n = 0$ .

4) Remark 3) implies immediately: if  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  is an integral basis for  $K$ , then  $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ .

5) Every algebraic number field  $K$  has a basis (as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space) that contains only elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . By Corollary 54  $\exists \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K : K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$  and  $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$  (with  $n = [K : \mathbb{Q}]$ ) is a basis of  $K$  (as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space) containing only elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . However, not every basis of  $K$  (as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space) containing only elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is an integral basis. Let, e.g.,  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$ . Then  $\{1, \sqrt{5}\}$  is a basis of  $K$  (as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space) containing only elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  but  $\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{5} \subsetneq \mathcal{O}_K$  as  $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \in \mathcal{O}_K$  and  $\frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\sqrt{5}$ . 16.11.2022

Lemma 60 Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field with  $[K : \mathbb{Q}] = n$ . Let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  be a basis of  $K$  (as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space) which contains only elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Let

$$d := \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n).$$

$$(i) d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}.$$

$$(ii) d \cdot \mathcal{O}_K \subseteq \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\alpha_n.$$

Proof: Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . Then  $\exists a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{Q} : \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \alpha_j$  which implies  $\alpha_i \alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n a_j (\alpha_i \alpha_j)$

and therefore  $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha) \stackrel{\text{Lemma 22}}{=} \sum_{j=1}^n a_j \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha_j)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . This shows

that  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Q}^n$  is a solution of the following system of linear equations:

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha_j) \cdot a_j = \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

It follows from  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  and  $\alpha_i \in \mathcal{O}_K$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) that  $\alpha_i \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $\alpha_i \alpha_j \in \mathcal{O}_K$  (for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ). By Theorem 59 (i)  $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha_j) \in \mathbb{Z}$  (for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ). This implies

$$0 \neq d \stackrel{\text{Th 33}}{=} \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \stackrel{\text{Th 29}}{=} \det \left( \underbrace{(\text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha_j))}_{\in \mathbb{Z}} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \in \mathbb{Z}, \text{ which shows (i).}$$

Cramer's rule yields

$$a_i := \frac{1}{d} \begin{pmatrix} \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i^2) & \dots & \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha_{i-1}) & \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha) & \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha_{i+1}) & \dots & \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_i \alpha_n) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_n \alpha_1) & \dots & \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_n \alpha_{i-1}) & \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_n \alpha) & \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_n \alpha_{i+1}) & \dots & \text{Tr}_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_n^2) \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n$$

$\in \mathbb{Z}$

Therefore  $d a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and thus  $d\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n (d a_j) \alpha_j \in \mathbb{Z}\alpha_1 + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\alpha_n$ .

Theorem 61 (DEDEKIND) Every algebraic number field  $K$  has an integral basis, i.e.,  $(\mathcal{O}_K, +)$  is a free abelian group of rank  $[K:\mathbb{Q}]$ .

1<sup>st</sup> proof: According to Corollary 54 there is an  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . Therefore  $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$  (with  $n = [K:\mathbb{Q}]$ ) is a basis of  $K$  (as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space) containing only elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . Let  $d := \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1})$ . By Lemma 60  $d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $d \cdot \mathcal{O}_K \subseteq \mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\alpha^{n-1}$ . This implies

$$\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\alpha^{n-1} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \subseteq \mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{d} + \mathbb{Z} \frac{\alpha}{d} + \dots + \mathbb{Z} \frac{\alpha^{n-1}}{d}.$$

Obviously  $(\mathbb{Z} \frac{1}{d} + \mathbb{Z} \frac{\alpha}{d} + \dots + \mathbb{Z} \frac{\alpha^{n-1}}{d}, +)$  is a free abelian group of rank  $n$ . (The set  $\{\frac{1}{d}, \frac{\alpha}{d}, \dots, \frac{\alpha^{n-1}}{d}\}$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Z}$  as  $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$  is linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .) By Theorem 5  $(\mathcal{O}_K, +)$  is a free abelian group of rank  $r \leq n$ . Furthermore,  $(\mathcal{O}_K, +)$  has the free abelian group  $(\mathbb{Z} + \mathbb{Z}\alpha + \dots + \mathbb{Z}\alpha^{n-1}, +)$  of rank  $n$  as a subgroup. Therefore  $n \leq r$  (again by Theorem 5) and thus  $r = n$ , i.e.,  $(\mathcal{O}_K, +)$  is a free abelian group of rank  $n$ .

2<sup>nd</sup> proof: Let  $n := [K:\mathbb{Q}]$  (as in the first proof) and

$$S := \{ |\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)| \mid \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \text{ is a basis of } K \text{ (as a } \mathbb{Q}\text{-vector space) with } \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K \}$$

We know  $S \neq \emptyset$  and as  $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  for such  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  (by Lemma 60) we see  $S \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_K$  be a basis of  $K$  (as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space) such that  $|\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)| = \min S$ . We claim that  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  is an integral basis.

Suppose that  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  is not an integral basis. As every  $\alpha \in K$  has a unique representation  $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \omega_j$  (with  $c_1, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{Q}$ ) there has to exist an  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  with the property that at least one  $c_j \in \mathbb{Q} \setminus \mathbb{Z}$ . W.l.o.g. let  $c_1 = c + \frac{1}{2}$  where  $c = [c_1]$ .

and  $x \in (0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . Let  $\eta_1 := x - c\omega_1 = x\omega_1 + c_2\omega_2 + \dots + c_n\omega_n$  and  $\eta_i := \omega_i$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n$ .

Then  $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\}$  is a basis of  $K$  (as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space) by results from linear algebra and contains only elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . The determinant of the matrix representation for the change of basis is

$$\begin{vmatrix} x & c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_n \\ & 1 & & & 0 \\ & & 1 & & \\ 0 & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & 1 \end{vmatrix} = x$$

and therefore  $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) \stackrel{\text{Lemma 31}}{=} x^2 \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$  which implies

$$|\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)| > x^2 |\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)| = |\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)|, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Theorem 62 Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field with  $[K:\mathbb{Q}] = n$  and  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  a basis of  $K$  (as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space) containing only elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . If  $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is squarefree then  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  is an integral basis.

Proof: Let  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  be an integral basis of  $K$ . Then there are  $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$  (with  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ) such that  $\alpha_i = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} \omega_j$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Let  $C := (c_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ . Then

$\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \stackrel{\text{Lemma 31}}{=} (\det C)^2 \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$ . As  $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  is squarefree we get  $\det C \in \{\pm 1\}$ , i.e.,  $C$  is unimodular and  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  is an integral basis by Lemma 4.

Remarks: 1) The converse of Theorem 62 is not correct, i.e., there are integral bases  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  such that  $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$  is not squarefree: (Examples will follow shortly.)

2) The proof of Theorem 62 shows the following: if  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  is a basis of  $K$  (as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space) containing only elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K$  and  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  is an integral basis for  $K$ , then  $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) \mid \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$  and  $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) / \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$  is a square (in  $\mathbb{Z}$ ).

Definition: Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field and  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  an integral basis for  $K$ . Then  $d_K := \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n)$  is called the discriminant of  $K$  [alt. Diskriminante von  $K$ ].

Remark: If  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  and  $\{\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n\}$  are two integral bases for  $K$ , there are  $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}$  (with  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ) such that  $\eta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} \omega_j$ . If  $C := (c_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  then  $C$  is unimodular

by Lemma 4 (i.e.,  $\det C \in \{\pm 1\}$ ) and Lemma 31 implies

$$\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) = (\det C)^2 \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n) = \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n). \text{ This shows that}$$

$d_K$  is well defined and  $d_K \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  (because of Lemma 60).

Corollary 63 Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field with  $[K:\mathbb{Q}] = n$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . If  $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1})$  is squarefree, then  $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$  is an integral basis for  $K$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  and  $d_K = \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1})$ .

Proof: As  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ , clearly  $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$  is a basis of  $K$  (as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space) containing only elements of  $\mathcal{O}_K$ . As  $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1})$  is squarefree,  $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$  is an integral basis for  $K$  (by Theorem 62). This implies  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  and  $d_K = \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1})$ .

Corollary 64 Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field with  $[K:\mathbb{Q}] = n$  and  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$  such that  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$ . If  $\{1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}\}$  is an integral basis for  $K$  then

$$d_K = (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(m'_{\mathbb{Q}, \alpha}(\alpha)).$$

Proof:  $d_K = \Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(1, \alpha, \dots, \alpha^{n-1}) \stackrel{\text{Theorem 34}}{=} (-1)^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}} N_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(m'_{\mathbb{Q}, \alpha}(\alpha)).$

Remark: There are (infinitely many, pairwise not isomorphic) algebraic number fields  $K$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_K = \mathbb{Z}[\alpha]$  for some  $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_K$ . (Examples will follow shortly.) However, it is not possible to find such an  $\alpha$  for every algebraic number field  $K$ .

Theorem 65 Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field with  $[K:\mathbb{Q}] = n$  and  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathcal{O}_K$ .

Then  $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  or  $\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

21.11.2022

Proof: The Leibniz formula for the determinant yields

$$\det((\sigma_i(\alpha_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}) = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in S_n \\ \text{sgn } \pi = 1}} \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha_{\pi(i)}) - \sum_{\substack{\pi \in S_n \\ \text{sgn } \pi = -1}} \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha_{\pi(i)})$$

where  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  are the different homomorphisms  $\sigma_i: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (with  $\sigma_i|_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ) for  $1 \leq i \leq n$  and  $S_n$  denotes the symmetric group. Clearly

$$A := \sum_{\substack{\pi \in S_n \\ \text{sgn } \pi = 1}} \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha_{\pi(i)}) \in \mathcal{O} \quad \text{and} \quad B := \sum_{\substack{\pi \in S_n \\ \text{sgn } \pi = -1}} \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha_{\pi(i)}) \in \mathcal{O}.$$

Let  $N$  be a field containing  $K$  such that  $N/\mathbb{Q}$  is a normal field extension.

(If  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\gamma)$  then let  $N$  be, e.g., the splitting field of  $m_{\mathbb{Q}, \gamma}$ . Theorem 26 implies

that  $N/\mathbb{Q}$  is a normal field extension.) By Theorem 20  $\sigma_i$  can be extended to

a homomorphism  $\sigma_i: N \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  (with  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). Because of Theorem 25 these maps

can be considered as isomorphisms  $\sigma_i: N \rightarrow N$ . (We will always keep the notation  $\sigma_i$  although, strictly speaking, these are different maps. However, this will not lead to any problems.)

If  $\varphi: N \rightarrow N$  is an isomorphism, then so is  $\varphi \circ \sigma_i$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and  $\varphi \circ \sigma_1, \dots, \varphi \circ \sigma_n$  are pairwise different (as  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_n$  are pairwise different). As  $\varphi \circ \sigma_i|_K: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a homomorphism (and  $\varphi \circ \sigma_i|_{\mathbb{Q}} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ ) there has to exist a  $\tau \in S_n$  such that  $\varphi \circ \sigma_i = \sigma_{\tau(i)}$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ). This implies

$$\varphi\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha_{\pi(i)})\right) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\varphi \circ \sigma_i)(\alpha_{\pi(i)}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_{\tau(i)}(\alpha_{\pi(i)}) = \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha_{\pi \circ \tau^{-1}(i)})$$

and therefore

$$\varphi(A) = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in S_n \\ \text{sgn } \pi = 1}} \varphi\left(\prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha_{\pi(i)})\right) = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in S_n \\ \text{sgn } \pi = 1}} \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha_{\pi \circ \tau^{-1}(i)}) = \begin{cases} \sum_{\substack{\pi \in S_n \\ \text{sgn } \pi = 1}} \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha_{\pi(i)}) = A & \text{if } \text{sgn } \tau = 1 \\ \sum_{\substack{\pi \in S_n \\ \text{sgn } \pi = -1}} \prod_{i=1}^n \sigma_i(\alpha_{\pi(i)}) = B & \text{if } \text{sgn } \tau = -1 \end{cases}$$

and completely analogously  $\varphi(B) = \begin{cases} B & \text{if } \text{sgn } \tau = 1, \\ A & \text{if } \text{sgn } \tau = -1. \end{cases}$  In both cases we have

$\varphi(A+B) = A+B$  and  $\varphi(AB) = AB$ . As  $\varphi: N \rightarrow N$  was an arbitrary isomorphism we get  $A+B, AB \in \mathbb{Q}$ . (Otherwise we could use Theorems 17 and 20 to find an isomorphism  $\varphi: N \rightarrow N$  with  $\varphi(A+B) \neq A+B$  or  $\varphi(AB) \neq AB$ .) This implies  $A+B, A \cdot B \in \mathbb{Q} \cap \mathcal{O}^{\text{co.57}} \supseteq \mathbb{Z}$  and therefore

$$\Delta_{K/\mathbb{Q}}(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (A-B)^2 = (A+B)^2 - 4AB \equiv (A+B)^2 \pmod{4}.$$

This implies our assertion as  $(A+B)^2 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  if  $A+B$  is even and  $(A+B)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  if  $A+B$  is odd.

Corollary 66 (STICHELBERGER) Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field. Then  $d_K \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  or  $d_K \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

Proof: Use Theorem 65 with  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  an integral basis for  $K$ .

Definition: Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field. If  $\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is a homomorphism then so is  $\bar{\sigma}: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}, \alpha \mapsto \overline{\sigma(\alpha)}$  (where  $\bar{z}$  denotes the complex conjugate of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ ). If  $\sigma(K) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  then  $\bar{\sigma} = \sigma$ , but if  $\sigma(K) \not\subseteq \mathbb{R}$  then  $\bar{\sigma} \neq \sigma$ . Let

$$r = r(K) = |\{\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \sigma \text{ is a homomorphism, } \sigma(K) \subseteq \mathbb{R}\}|$$

and

$$s = s(K) = \frac{1}{2} |\{\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \sigma \text{ is a homomorphism, } \sigma(K) \not\subseteq \mathbb{R}\}|.$$

If  $[K:\mathbb{Q}] = n$  then  $n = r + 2s$ . The algebraic number field  $K$  is called totally imaginary [dt. totalimaginer] if  $r(K) = 0$  (i.e.,  $K$  cannot be embedded in  $\mathbb{R}$ ) and  $K$  is called totally real [dt. totalreell] if  $s(K) = 0$  (i.e.,  $\sigma(K) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  for each embedding  $\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ).

Remark: If  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  is a normal field extension,  $K$  has to be either totally imaginary or totally real as  $\sigma(K) = K$  for each embedding  $\sigma: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .

Examples: 1) If  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  then  $r = 2$  and  $s = 0$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  is totally real.

2) If  $K = \mathbb{Q}(i)$  then  $r = 0$  and  $s = 1$ , i.e.,  $\mathbb{Q}(i)$  is totally imaginary.

3) If  $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[3]{2})$  then  $r = 1$  and  $s = 1$ .

Theorem 67 (BRILL) Let  $K$  be an algebraic number field. Then  $\text{sgn } d_K = (-1)^s = (-1)^{s(K)}$ .

Proof: Let  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the homomorphisms with  $\sigma_i(K) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  (for  $1 \leq i \leq r$ ) and  $\sigma_{r+1}, \overline{\sigma_{r+1}}, \dots, \sigma_{r+s}, \overline{\sigma_{r+s}}: K \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$  the homomorphisms with  $\sigma_i(K) \not\subseteq \mathbb{R}$  (for  $r < i \leq r+s$ ).

Let  $n = [K:\mathbb{Q}]$  and let  $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$  be an integral basis for  $K$ . Set

$$d_1 + id_2 = \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_1(\omega_1) & \dots & \sigma_r(\omega_1) & \sigma_{r+1}(\omega_1) & \overline{\sigma_{r+1}(\omega_1)} & \dots & \sigma_{r+s}(\omega_1) & \overline{\sigma_{r+s}(\omega_1)} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(\omega_n) & \dots & \sigma_r(\omega_n) & \sigma_{r+1}(\omega_n) & \overline{\sigma_{r+1}(\omega_n)} & \dots & \sigma_{r+s}(\omega_n) & \overline{\sigma_{r+s}(\omega_n)} \end{vmatrix} \quad (\text{with } d_1, d_2 \in \mathbb{R})$$

Complex conjugation fields

$$d_1 - id_2 = \begin{vmatrix} \sigma_1(\omega_1) & \dots & \sigma_r(\omega_1) & \overline{\sigma_{r+1}(\omega_1)} & \sigma_{r+1}(\omega_1) & \dots & \overline{\sigma_{r+s}(\omega_1)} & \sigma_{r+s}(\omega_1) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_1(\omega_n) & \dots & \sigma_r(\omega_n) & \overline{\sigma_{r+1}(\omega_n)} & \sigma_{r+1}(\omega_n) & \dots & \overline{\sigma_{r+s}(\omega_n)} & \sigma_{r+s}(\omega_n) \end{vmatrix} = (-1)^s (d_1 + id_2).$$

If  $2|s$  then  $d_2 = 0$  and  $d_K = d_1^2 > 0$ . If  $2 \nmid s$  then  $d_1 = 0$  and  $d_K = (id_2)^2 = -d_2^2 < 0$ .

In both cases  $\text{sgn } d_K = (-1)^s$ .