When is a locally convex space Eberlein-Grothendieck?

Arkady Leiderman (Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer Sheva, ISRAEL)

July 18-22, 2022

36th Summer Topology Conference, VIENNA

This is a joint work with Jerzy Kąkol. The presentation is based on the results from

Jerzy Kąkol, Arkady Leiderman, When is a locally convex space Eberlein-Grothendieck? (2022), https://arxiv.org/abs/2206.10684 The abbreviation LCS means locally convex space. All topological spaces are assumed to be Tychonoff and all vector spaces are over the field of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ .

By  $C_k(X)$  and  $C_p(X)$  we mean the space C(X) of real-valued continuous functions defined on a Tychonoff space X equipped with the compact-open and pointwise convergence topology, respectively.

For a LCS *E* we denote by *w* the weak topology  $w = \sigma(E, E^*)$  of *E*. The *w*\*-topology of the dual *E*\* is denoted by *w*\*.

## Eberlein-Grothendieck topological space

The following significant statement which is intentionally formulated below in a simplified form is due to A. Grothendieck.

#### Theorem 0.0.

Let X be a compact space, and let A be a countably compact set in  $C_p(X)$ . Then the closure of A in  $C_p(X)$  is compact.

## Definition 0.1.

Following to A. V. Arkhangel'skii, a topological space Y is called an *Eberlein-Grothendieck space*, if there exists a homeomorphic embedding of Y into the space  $C_p(K)$  for some compact space K.

- A compact space is Eberlein-Grothendieck iff it is an Eberlein compact.
- every metrizable space is Eberlein-Grothendieck.
- Severy Eberlein-Grothendieck topological space *Y* has countable *tightness*.

For a normed space *E* there exists even a canonical *linear* embedding  $T : (E, w) \rightarrow C_p(K)$ , where *K* is the closed unit ball in *E*<sup>\*</sup> endowed with the weak\*-topology *w*\*. Being motivated by this simple observation we introduce the following

#### Definition 0.2.

For a LCS *E* the space (E, w) is called a *linearly Eberlein-Grothendieck space* if (E, w) can be linearly embedded into  $C_p(K)$  for some compact space *K*.

In our work we undertake a systematic study of those lcs E such that (E, w) is Eberlein-Grothendieck / linearly Eberlein-Grothendieck space.

## Both results below are due to O. Okunev.

Theorem 0.3.

If a LCS *H* is a continuous open image of a subspace of  $C_p(K)$  for some compact space *K*, then the dual lcs  $(H^*, w^*)$  is  $\sigma$ -compact.

Corollary 0.4.

A LCS  $C_p(X)$  is Eberlein-Grothendieck if and only if X is  $\sigma$ -compact.

## Proposition 1.1.

For every LCS E the following are equivalent

(a) (E, w) is Eberlein-Grothendieck.

(b) The dual lcs ( $E^*$ ,  $w^*$ ) is  $\sigma$ -compact.

## Proposition 1.2.

For every metrizable LCS E, the space (E, w) is Eberlein-Grothendieck.

<ロ>

<日>

<日>

<10</p>

<10</p>

<10</p>

<10</p>

<10</p>

<10</p>

<10</p>

<10</p>

<10</p>
<10</p>

<10</p>
<10</p>

<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>

<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>

<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>

<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<10</p>
<

The class of LCS E with Eberlein-Grothendieck (E, w) is invariant under certain basic topological operations.

## Proposition 1.3.

- (a) Let (E, w) be an Eberlein-Grothendieck LCS. If there is a linear continuous quotient mapping  $\pi$  from *E* onto a LCS *F*, then (F, w) is also Eberlein-Grothendieck.
- (b) Let (E, w) be an Eberlein-Grothendieck LCS. Then (F, w) is Eberlein-Grothendieck for every linear subspace  $F \subset E$ .
- (c) Let  $(E_n, w)$  be an Eberlein-Grothendieck LCS, where  $n \in \omega$ . Then the countable product  $E = \prod_{n \in \omega} E_n$  also has the property that (E, w) is Eberlein-Grothendieck.

#### Remark 1.4.

Inductive limit of the countable sequence of lcs  $(E_n)_n$ , where each  $(E_n, w)$  is Eberlein-Grothendieck, does not have to satisfy the same property. Denote by  $\varphi$  the  $\aleph_0$ -dimensional vector space endowed with the finest locally convex topology. The space  $\varphi$  can be identified with the strict inductive limit of the sequence of Euclidean spaces  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , but  $(\varphi, w)$  is not Eberlein-Grothendieck. For the brevity let us denote by  $EG_k$  the class of spaces X such that a LCS ( $C_k(X), w$ ) is Eberlein-Grothendieck.

Theorem 2.1.

If  $X \in EG_k$ , then X is  $\sigma$ -compact.

#### Proof

We observe that X is homeomorphic to a closed subspace of  $(C_k(X)^*, w^*)$ .

Recall that a space X is said to be *hemicompact* if there is a sequence  $\{K_n : n \in \omega\}$  of compact subsets of X with the following property: if  $K \subset X$  is compact then  $K \subset K_n$  for some  $n \in \omega$ .

It is known that  $C_k(X)$  is metrizable if and only if X is hemicompact. So, if X is hemicompact, then  $X \in EG_k$ .

#### Main Problem

Is the converse true, i.e. are the following properties equivalent:
(1) (C<sub>k</sub>(X), w) is an Eberlein-Grothendieck lcs and
(2) X is hemicompact?

We show that the answer to that Main Problem is positive for all first-countable spaces X.

### Theorem 2.2.

Let X be a first-countable space. The following are equivalent

- (a) X is hemicompact.
- (b) X is both  $\sigma$ -compact and locally compact.
- (c)  $C_k(X)$  is metrizable.
- (d)  $X \in EG_k$ , i.e.  $(C_k(X), w)$  is Eberlein-Grothendieck.

#### Idea of the proof

In order to prove (d)  $\implies$  (b) it suffices to show that  $X \in EG_k$ implies that X is locally compact. If  $X \in EG_k$  then X is  $\sigma$ -compact, hence X is paracompact. A first-countable paracompact space is locally compact if and only if it does not contain a closed subspace homeomorphic to the *metric fan M*.

#### Metric fan

The *metric fan* M is a metrizable space defined as follows. As a set, M is the union of countably many disjoint countable sequences  $M_i = \{x_{in} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}, i \in \mathbb{N}$  plus a point p "at infinity"; all points besides p are isolated in M, and a basic neighborhood  $U_n$  of p consists of p and all points from  $M_i$  such that  $n \leq i$ . Thus, the metric fan M can be represented as a countable union of disjoint closed discrete layers  $M_i$ , and a single non-isolated point p such that for every choice  $y_i \in M_i$  the sequence  $(y_i)_i$  converges to p in M.

#### Idea of the proof

On the contrary, assume that *X* is not locally compact. Then *X* contains a closed copy of *M* and consequently  $M \in EG_k$ . This is equivalent to the claim that  $(C_k(M)^*, w^*)$  is  $\sigma$ -compact. Then we show that the opposite is true:  $(C_k(M)^*, w^*)$  is not  $\sigma$ -compact because it contains a closed copy of the space of irrationals  $\mathcal{J} \cong \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , which is not  $\sigma$ -compact.

## Theorem 2.3.

Let X be a metrizable space. The following are equivalent

- (a) X is hemicompact.
- (b) Either X is compact, or there is a metrizable compact K such that X is homeomorphic to K \ {p}, where p is a non-isolated point of K.
- (c)  $C_k(X)$  is metrizable.
- (d)  $X \in EG_k$ , i.e.  $(C_k(X), w)$  is Eberlein-Grothendieck.

## Definition 3.1.

By analogy with topological groups, a topological vector space L is called *compactly generated* if L has a compact basis K, meaning that the linear span of K is equal to L.

We will show that compactly generated LCS play an important role in our study.

#### Theorem 3.2.

For every *E*, a LCS (*E*, *w*) is linearly Eberlein-Grothendieck if and only if the dual LCS ( $E^*$ ,  $w^*$ ) is compactly generated.

A closed subgroup of an arbitrary compactly generated abelian group in general does not have to be compactly generated. Even a compactly generated free topological vector space V[0, 1] contains a closed linear subspace which is not compactly generated. However, for LCS we have

#### Theorem 3.3.

Every closed linear subspace of a compactly generated LCS is also compactly generated.

## Theorem 3.4.

Let (E, w) be a linearly Eberlein-Grothendieck LCS. If there is a linear continuous quotient mapping  $\pi$  from *E* onto a LCS *F*, then (F, w) is also linearly Eberlein-Grothendieck.

## Proposition 3.5.

Let *E* be a Fréchet space. Then (E, w) is linearly Eberlein-Grothendieck if and only if the metric of *E* can be generated by a complete norm, i.e. *E* is isomorphic to a Banach space.

A LCS that is a locally convex inductive limit of a countable inductive system of Fréchet spaces is called a *(LF)-space*.

#### Proposition 3.6.

Let *E* be an (LF)-space. Then (E, w) is linearly Eberlein-Grothendieck if and only if *E* is normable.

#### Theorem 3.7.

For any Tychonoff space X the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a) X is compact;
- (b)  $C_{\rho}(X)$  is linearly Eberlein-Grothendieck;
- (c)  $(C_k(X), w)$  is linearly Eberlein-Grothendieck.

## Idea of the proof

Assuming (b) we deduce that X is  $\sigma$ -compact. On the other hand, X must be pseudocompact because otherwise  $C_p(X)$ would contain an isomorphic copy of  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ , which is not linearly Eberlein-Grothendieck. Every  $\sigma$ -compact and pseudocompact space is compact and the proof of (a) is finished. As before, assuming (c) we deduce that X is  $\sigma$ -compact. Similarly to the earlier case, X must be pseudocompact because otherwise  $C_k(X)$  would contain an isomorphic copy of  $\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ .

## Example 3.8.

If  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is an open set, then the space of test functions  $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$  is a complete Montel (LF)-space. As usual,  $\mathfrak{D}'(\Omega)$  denotes its strong dual, the space of distributions. Not  $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$  nor  $\mathfrak{D}'(\Omega)$  is metrizable, they are not even sequential. One can show that (E, w) is not Eberlein-Grothendieck for E both  $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$  and  $\mathfrak{D}'(\Omega)$ . Also both  $\mathfrak{D}(\Omega)$  and  $\mathfrak{D}'(\Omega)$  considered with the original topologies are not Eberlein-Grothendieck.

## Example 3.9.

Let *E* be the lcs  $I_{\infty} = \{(x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}} : \sup_n |x_n| < \infty\}$  equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. If we consider the canonical linear mapping  $\pi$  of restriction from  $C_p(\beta\mathbb{N})$  into  $\mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , then the image of  $\pi$  is exactly *E*. Since the mapping  $\pi$  is not quotient, by this way we cannot decide whether *E* is linearly Eberlein-Grothendieck. However, it has been proved that the space  $C_p(\beta\mathbb{N})$  admits (another) linear continuous and quotient mapping onto *E*. Hence *E* is linearly Eberlein-Grothendieck.

# Thank you !

< □ > < □ > < □ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > < ⊇ > の Q (C 26/26