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A continuum is a compact connected metric space. W. Lewis asked in Indecomposable Continua. Open problems in topology II, whether there exists, for every $\eta \geq 2$, an atriodic simple ( $\eta+1$ )-od-like continuum which is not simple $\eta$-od-like and, if such continuum exists, whether it has a variety of properties such as being planar or being an arc-continuum, among others. Some partial results have been obtained by W.T. Ingram, P. Minc, C.T. Kennaugh and L. Hoehn.

In the following sections we will develop the notion of a combinatorial $\eta$ od cover of a graph, a tool which may enable one to prove that certain examples of continua are not $\eta$-od-like.

We will suggest the construction of an atriodic simple ( $\eta+1$ )-od-like continuum which is not simple $\eta$-od-like and has properties such as being planar, being an arc-continuum, and span zero.

Let $\eta \in \mathbf{N}$ be such that $\eta \geq 3$.


Let $\eta \in \mathbf{N}$ be such that $\eta \geq 3$.


Define the function $b:\{0, \ldots, \eta\} \stackrel{(0,-2)}{\times}[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^{2}$ given by

$$
b(i, t)=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
(0,-1-t) & \text { if } i=0, \\
\left((1+t) \cos \frac{(i-1) \pi}{\eta-1},(1+t) \sin \frac{(i-1) \pi}{\eta-1}\right) & \text { if } i \neq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

For each $i \in\{0, \ldots, \eta\}$, define $B_{i}=\{b(i, t): t \in[0,1]\}$.
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For each $i \in\{0, \ldots, \eta\}$, define $B_{i}=\{b(i, t): t \in[0,1]\}$.
In the set $\Gamma=B_{0} \cup \cdots \cup B_{\eta} \cup\{o\}$ we define the relation $p \cong q$ if and only if $p=q$ or $\{p, q\} \subset B_{i}$ for some $i \in\{0, \ldots, \eta\}$.


## Definition 1

A function $\omega: V(G) \rightarrow \Gamma$ is called compliant if for every vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G$ we have that $\omega(u) \cong o$ and $\omega(v) \cong b(i, 1)$, or $\omega(u) \cong b(i, 1)$ and $\omega(v) \cong o$; for some $i \in\{0, \ldots, \eta\}$.
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## Definition 2

Let $\epsilon>0$ and $\omega$ a compliant function. $A\left(T_{0}, \epsilon\right)$-projection via $\omega$ is a continuous function $\Omega: G \rightarrow T_{0}$ such that:
(1) $\Omega$ extends $\omega$,
(2) if $u$ and $v$ are adjacent vertices of $G, \Omega \mid u v$ is a homeomorphism
between $u v$ and $\omega(u) \omega(v)$,
(3) for every $p \in G, d_{2}(p, \Omega(p))<\epsilon$.

We will work with the infinite $\eta$-od $\mathcal{C}$ defined by


Construction of $\mathcal{C}$ with $\eta=3$.

## Definition 3
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## Proposition 4

Let small $\delta, \epsilon \in\left(0, \frac{\delta}{2}\right)$, and $\omega$ a compliant function with a $\left(T_{0}, \epsilon\right)$-projection $\Omega$. If $G$ has an open $\eta$-odic cover of mesh less than $\delta-2 \epsilon$, then $\omega$ has a $\delta$-combinatorial $\eta$-od cover.

## Property CI.

If $f(u)=f(v)$, then $\omega(u) \cong \omega(v)$.
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Let small $\delta$ and $\epsilon \in\left(0, \frac{\delta}{2}\right)$. Also, let $X$ be a continuum defined as the limit of a sequence of graphs $\left\langle T_{n}\right\rangle_{n=1}^{\infty}$, each described by a $\left(T_{0}, \epsilon\right)$-projection via $\omega_{N}$ (where $\omega_{N}$ is a compliant function for the graph $T_{n}$ ). With the Proposition 4 , we will be able to conclude that $X$ cannot be covered by an open $\eta$-odic cover with mesh less than $\delta-2 \epsilon$, if for every graph $T_{n}$ we have that there doesn't exists a $\delta$-combinatorial $\eta$-od cover for $\omega_{N}$.

## Definition 5 (Lelek,[5])

Let $X$ be a continuum with metric $d_{X}$, the span of $X$, denoted by $\sigma X$, is the supreme of every $0 \leq \gamma$ for which there exists a continuum
$Z \subseteq X \times X$ such that:
(1) $\gamma \leq d_{X}(x, y)$ for every $(x, y) \in Z$.
(2) $\pi_{1}(Z)=\pi_{2}(Z)$ where $\pi_{1}, \pi_{2}: X \times X \rightarrow X$ are the projections of the first and second coordinate, respectively.
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## Lema 6

Let $\delta>0$. If $X$ is a simple $\delta-(\eta+1)$-od with metric $d_{X}$, then $\sigma X \leq \delta$.
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From [7] we have the following result,
Every continuum with span zero is atriodic.

From [7] we have the following result,
Every continuum with span zero is atriodic.
These known result, together with Lemma 6, will allow us to conclude that a continuum $X$ built as the nested intersection of small neighborhoods of simple $\delta_{N^{-}}(\eta+1)$-ods, such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$, will have $\sigma X=0$ and, therefore, will be atriodic.

The construction of a continuum $X$ which is $(\eta+1)$-od-like, for which we will prove the following properties:
(1) is atriodic, and
(2) is not $\eta$-od-like,
is a natural generalization of the construction of the continuum built in [1].

The construction of a continuum $X$ which is $(\eta+1)$-od-like, for which we will prove the following properties:
(1) is atriodic, and
(2) is not $\eta$-od-like,
is a natural generalization of the construction of the continuum built in [1].
We have designed a sequence of $(\eta+1)$-ods $T_{n}$ for which we will prove the following properties:
(I) $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma T_{n}=0$, and
(II) it cannot be covered by an open $\eta$-odic cover with small mesh.
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