

Dimensions of recurrence times and minimal subshifts

Henk Bruin
Department of Mathematics
California Institute of Technology
bruin@cco.caltech.edu

March 28, 2001

Abstract

Examples are presented of minimal subshifts with positive entropy, and their Afraimovitch-Pesin capacities are computed. It is shown that the lower capacity can be strictly smaller than the entropy.

1 Introduction

Let T be a continuous transformation of a metric space (X, d) . In [1], Afraimovich proposes the following fractal dimension-like strategy. For a set $U \subset X$, let

$$\tau(U) = \inf\{n > 0; T^n(U) \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$$

be the return time of U to itself. (If $T^n(U) \cap U = \emptyset$ for all n , we set $\tau(U) = \infty$ by convention.) This quantity can be used to compute a measure of the space:

$$m_\alpha(X) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \inf_{\mathcal{U}} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} e^{-\tau(U)\alpha},$$

where the infimum is taken over all covers \mathcal{U} whose elements U have diameter $\text{diam}(U) = \sup_{x,y \in U} d(x,y) < \varepsilon$. (By convention $e^{-\infty\alpha} = 0$.) The critical dimension α_c of the space X is defined as

$$\alpha_c = \sup\{\alpha; m_\alpha(X) = \infty\}.$$

This setup is analogous to the definition of Hausdorff dimension, and fits in Caratheodory's construction, see Pesin's book [10]. It is noticed that α_c in many cases coincides with the topological entropy of (X, d, T) , although $e^{-\tau(U)}$ is not the same quantity as $\eta(U)$ in [10, page 68], which was shown to lead to a dimension-theoretic definition of entropy.

The motivation for this note were discussions with and questions raised by Penné, Sausol and Vaienti. In [9] they study the properties of α_c (which they call the Afraimovich-Pesin or AP-dimension of X). Let us make a few remarks:

- The covers \mathcal{U} are not sufficiently determined; one can think of open covers, closed covers, covers of arbitrary sets, covers of Borel measurable sets, etc. In [9] the first three possibilities are studied. In this note X will be a subshift on two symbols, *i.e.* a compact shift-invariant space of $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ or $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. The metric used is $d(x, y) = \sum_n \frac{\delta(x_n, y_n)}{2^{-|n|}}$ where $\delta(x_n, y_n) = 0$ if $x_n = y_n$ and 1 otherwise. Therefore it is natural to consider covers consisting of cylinder sets.
- Instead of covers with sets U with $\text{diam}(U) < \varepsilon$, one could take covers with sets U with $\text{diam}(U) = \varepsilon$. This gives rise to the quantities

$$\overline{m}_\alpha(X) = \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \inf_{\mathcal{U}, \text{diam}(U)=\varepsilon} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} e^{-\tau(U)\alpha}$$

and

$$\underline{m}_\alpha(X) = \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \inf_{\mathcal{U}, \text{diam}(U)=\varepsilon} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} e^{-\tau(U)\alpha},$$

and the Afraimovich-Pesin upper and lower capacities

$$\overline{\alpha}_c(X) = \sup\{\alpha; \overline{m}_\alpha(X) = \infty\},$$

and

$$\underline{\alpha}_c(X) = \sup\{\alpha; \underline{m}_\alpha(X) = \infty\}.$$

We have the obvious inequality

$$\alpha_c(X) \leq \underline{\alpha}_c(X) \leq \overline{\alpha}_c(X). \tag{1}$$

- In [9] the special role of periodic points of (X, T) becomes apparent. If $x \in X$ is n -periodic, then $\tau(U) \leq n$ for any $U \ni x$, irrespective its diameter. This causes $m_\alpha(X)$ to be strictly positive for $\alpha > \alpha_c$. This is unlike the situation encountered in Caratheodory's construction, cf [10, page 12 A2.], and it is the reason why α_c cannot be defined as $\inf\{\alpha; m_\alpha(X) = 0\}$.

Another aspect of periodic points is its relation to entropy. The growthrate of the number of periodic points is given by $\zeta = \limsup_n \frac{1}{n} \log \#\{x; T^n(x) = x\}$. In many systems (*e.g.* subshifts of finite type, continuous interval maps) ζ coincides with the topological entropy. On the other hand, it is shown [9, Proposition 4.1], that $\zeta = \alpha_c(X)$, provided one works with covers of arbitrary sets, while if (X, d, T) is a subshift of finite type and \mathcal{U} are open covers,

$$\zeta = \alpha_c(X) = h_{top}(X),$$

see [9, Theorem 5.1].

This raised the question how α_c and h_{top} are related if there are no periodic points. We will give subshift examples. Let Σ_2 denote the one or two-sided shift of 2 symbols.

Theorem 1 (Main). *For all subshifts Σ of Σ_2 , $\alpha_c(\Sigma) \leq h_{top}(\Sigma)$. However, there exist minimal subshifts Σ of Σ_2 such that $\alpha_c(\Sigma) \neq h_{top}(\Sigma)$.*

The Theorem of Jewett-Krieger [7, 8] assures the existence of minimal (even strictly ergodic) subshifts of positive entropy. Concrete examples were given in [6] and (more simple) [3]. In [4] and [5] Grillenberger and Shields present examples with additional properties (K-automorphism, Bernoulli). See also [11, Section 4.4] and references therein.

Proof: This follows directly from Theorem 2 and Proposition 3.2 below, and formula (1). \square

2 The Upper Bound

We will work with a one-sided shift space $\Sigma_2 = \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, but the methods are easily seen to apply to two-sided shifts as well. Let σ denote the left-shift. A subshift Σ is a closed shift-invariant subspace of Σ_2 . A block B is a string of symbols; its length is denoted by $|B|$. If $|B| = l$, B is called an l -block. By abuse of terminology we will treat block and cylinder as synonyms. In this setting

$$h_{top} = \lim_l \frac{1}{l} \log \#\{\text{different } l\text{-blocks in } \Sigma\}$$

is the usual definition of topological entropy.

Theorem 2. *For any subshift $\Sigma \subset \Sigma_2$, the Afraimovitch-Pesin upper capacity $\bar{\alpha}_c \leq h_{top}$.*

Proof: Let $h = h_{top}$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Let N_l be the number of different l -blocks in Σ . The sequence $\{\log N_l\}$ is subadditive, so

$$h = \lim_l \frac{1}{l} \log N_l = \lim_l \inf \frac{1}{l} \log N_l = \inf_l \frac{1}{l} \log N_l.$$

Therefore there exists l_0 such that $N_l < e^{(h+\varepsilon)l}$ for all $l \geq l_0$. Obviously, if $\mathcal{U} = \{U\}$ is a cover of l -cylinders of Σ ,

$$\sum_{U \in \mathcal{U}} e^{-\tau(U)\alpha} \leq \sum_{m=1}^l e^{-m\alpha} \#\{U \in \mathcal{U}; \min(\tau(U), l) = m\}. \quad (2)$$

If $\tau(U) = m < l$, then for $x \in U$, $x_{m+i} = x_i$ for every $1 \leq i \leq l - m$. It follows that $\#\{U \in \mathcal{U}; \tau(U) = m\} \leq N_m$. This gives for the right hand side of (2):

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^l e^{-m\alpha} \#\{U \in \mathcal{U}; \min(\tau(U), l) = m\} &\leq \sum_{m=1}^l e^{-m\alpha} N_m \\ &\leq \sum_{m=1}^{l_0} e^{-m\alpha} 2^m + \sum_{m=l_0+1}^l e^{(h+\varepsilon-\alpha)m}. \end{aligned}$$

This is finite independently of l whenever $\alpha > h + \varepsilon$. As ε is arbitrary, $\bar{\alpha}_c \leq h_{top}$. \square

3 Constructions

We start by giving a general method to build minimal subshifts of positive entropy. It resembles Grillenberger's [3] construction; because we do not aim for the precise value of the entropy, nor for a strictly ergodic subshift, our construction is simpler. It consists of a three step algorithm:

- Let \mathcal{E}_1 be a collection of n_1 different l_1 -blocks. Let A_1 be one of these blocks.
- Given the collection \mathcal{E}_{i-1} of n_{i-1} different l_{i-1} -blocks, there are $n_i = n_{i-1}!$ ways to concatenate the l_{i-1} -blocks into an l_i -block ($l_i = l_{i-1}n_{i-1}$), such that this l_i -block contains each l_{i-1} -block precisely once. Let \mathcal{E}_i be the collections of these concatenations, and let A_i be one of them.
- Let a be the concatenations $A_1A_2A_3A_4\dots$, and let $\Sigma = \omega_\sigma(a)$, *i.e.* the set of accumulation points of $\{\sigma^n(a); n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

We claim that (Σ, σ) is a minimal shift space of positive entropy. By construction, each l_i -block returns within l_{i+2} iterations of σ , so (Σ, σ) is uniformly recurrent. This is equivalent (see [2]) to (Σ, σ) being a minimal system. As for the entropy, we will calculate a lower bound of $\frac{1}{l} \log N_l$. Indeed, by Sterling's formula

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{l_i} \log N_{l_i} &\geq \frac{1}{l_i} \log \#\mathcal{E}_i = \frac{1}{l_i} \log n_i = \frac{1}{l_{i-1}n_{i-1}} \log n_{i-1}! \\ &\geq \frac{1}{l_{i-1}} \log n_{i-1} e^{-1} \geq \frac{1}{l_{i-1}} \log \#\mathcal{E}_{i-1} - \frac{1}{l_{i-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

By taking n_1 large enough compared to l_1 , and using the fact that $l_i \rightarrow \infty$ very rapidly, we get that $\lim_i \frac{1}{l_i} \log \#\mathcal{E}_i > 0$. Because $\{\log N_l\}$ is subadditive, we get $h_{top} = \lim \frac{1}{l} \log N_l \geq \lim_i \frac{1}{l_i} \log \#\mathcal{E}_i > 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let \mathcal{A} be an alphabet of n letters. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{A}^n$ be the set of n -blocks such that if $B = b_1 \dots b_n \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists j , $1 \leq j \leq n$ such that $b_{j+1} = b_k$ for some $k \leq j$ and $b_s \neq b_t$ whenever $1 \leq s < t \leq j$ or $j+1 \leq s < t \leq n$. (If $j = n$, then B is just a permutation of the letters of \mathcal{A} .) The cardinality $\#\mathcal{F} = n!2^{n-1}$.*

Proof: There are $\frac{n!}{(n-j)!}$ choices for the first j letters. If $j < n$, then we have j possibilities for the $j+1$ -th letter and $\frac{(n-1)!}{((n-1)-(n-(j+1)))!} = \frac{(n-1)!}{j!}$ choices for the remaining letters. This adds up to

$$\begin{aligned} \#\mathcal{F} &= n! + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{n!}{(n-j)!} \cdot j \cdot \frac{(n-1)!}{j!} \\ &= n! + n! \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j-1} = n! \left[1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \binom{n-1}{j} \right] \\ &= n! \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{j} = n!2^{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the lemma. □

Proposition 3.1. *The above example satisfies $\bar{\alpha}_c = h_{top}$.*

Proof: Let i be arbitrary. For each $B \in \mathcal{E}_i$, $\tau(B) \leq |B| = l_i$. This is because \mathcal{E}_{i+1} contains blocks C_1, C_2 ending, respectively starting with B . Hence \mathcal{E}_{i+2} contains a block in which $C_1 C_2$ and therefore BB appear as subblocks.

Let \mathcal{F}_i be the concatenations B of n_i blocks from \mathcal{E}_i (not necessarily different) that appear in Σ . If we picture the blocks in \mathcal{F}_i as n_{i-1} letter words with the l_{i-1} -blocks of \mathcal{E}_{i-1} as letters, *i.e.*

$$B = b_1 \dots b_{n_{i-1}} \quad (b_j \in \mathcal{E}_{i-1}),$$

then there exists a unique j , $1 \leq j \leq n_{i-1}$, such that $b_k = b_{j+1}$ for some $k \leq j$ and $b_s \neq b_t$ whenever $1 \leq s < t \leq j$ or $j+1 \leq s < t \leq n_{i-1}$. Note that $B \in \mathcal{E}_i$ if and only if $j = n_{i-1}$. Hence we are in the situation of Lemma 3.1 which gives $\#\mathcal{F}_i = n_{i-1}!2^{n_{i-1}-1} = \#\mathcal{E}_i 2^{n_{i-1}-1}$.

Let \mathcal{H}_i be the set of all $l_i - l_{i-1}$ -blocks appearing in Σ . Each $C \in \mathcal{H}_i$ fits in at least one block $B \in \mathcal{F}_i$, so $\tau(C) \leq \tau(B)$, and if B can be chosen in \mathcal{E}_i , then $\tau(C) \leq l_i$.

By the above arguments, at least a $1/2^{n_{i-1}-1}$ proportion of the blocks in \mathcal{H}_i fits in a block $B \in \mathcal{E}_i$. Let $h = h_{top}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. Analogous to the proof of Theorem 2, we can assume that $N_l > e^{(h-\varepsilon)l}$ whenever $l \geq l_i$ and i sufficiently large. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{U \in \mathcal{H}_i} e^{-\tau(U)\alpha} &\geq \frac{1}{2^{n_{i-1}-1}} \#\mathcal{H}_i e^{-l_i\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{2^{n_{i-1}-1}} N_{l_i-l_{i-1}} e^{-l_i\alpha} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2^{n_{i-1}-1}} e^{(h-\varepsilon)(l_i-l_{i-1})-l_i\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Because $\frac{l_{i-1}}{l_i}$ and $\frac{n_{i-1}}{l_i} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, the right hand side tends to infinity whenever $\alpha < h - \varepsilon$. Because ε is arbitrary, we get $\bar{\alpha}_c \geq h$. The other inequality is supplied by Theorem 2. \square

We conjecture that for this simple example the AP-dimension and upper and lower capacities all coincide: $\alpha_c = \underline{\alpha}_c = \bar{\alpha}_c = h_{top}$. For the next example, $\underline{\alpha}_c$ is strictly less than the entropy. The example is an adjustment of the previous one.

- Let \mathcal{E}_1 be the collection of different l_1 -blocks B all starting with 001000, and such that the string 00 appears nowhere else in B . Assume that $n_1 = \#\mathcal{E}_1$ is even and fix a special block $A_1 \in \mathcal{E}_1$.
- For a block B of any length, let B' be the block that emerges after replacing all strings 001000 into 001100 and vice versa. We call the strings 001000 and 001100 *flags*.

Given the collection \mathcal{E}_{i-1} of l_{i-1} blocks, say B_j , $j = 1, \dots, n_{i-1}$, and special block $B_1 = A_{i-1}$, let \mathcal{E}_i consists of all concatenations of the form

$$B_{\pi(1)}B'_{\pi(2)}B_{\pi(3)}B'_{\pi(4)} \cdots B_{\pi(n_{i-1}-1)}B'_{\pi(n_{i-1})},$$

where π denotes a permutation of $\{1, \dots, n_{i-1}\}$ fixing 1. So each block in \mathcal{E}_i starts with A_{i-1} . Fix a special block $A_i \in \mathcal{E}_i$.

The rest goes the same as in the previous example, including the minimality proof and the calculation of entropy. Note that we now have $n_i = (n_{i-1} - 1)!$ and $l_i = n_{i-1}l_{i-1}$.

Proposition 3.2. *The above example satisfies $2\underline{\alpha}_c \leq \bar{\alpha}_c = h_{top}$.*

We start with a lemma and corollary.

Lemma 3.2. *For any i and any $B \in \mathcal{E}_i \cup \mathcal{E}'_i$ holds: $\tau(B)$ is a multiple of $2|B| = 2l_i$.*

Proof: By induction on i . For $i = 1$ the statement is clear because $B \in \mathcal{E}_1 \cup \mathcal{E}'_1$ starts with a flag and in Σ , the flags 001000 and 001100 appear alternatingly.

Now for the induction step, if $B \in \mathcal{E}_i \cup \mathcal{E}'_i$, then it starts with A_{i-1} (or A'_{i-1} ; the argument is the same for A'_{i-1}). By induction, A_{i-1} returns only at multiples of $2l_{i-1}$. But all other blocks in B are different from A_{i-1} , so A_{i-1} can only return after l_i iterates. Therefore $\tau(B)$ must be a multiple of l_i , but because of the alternating flagging, it returns actually at a multiple of $2l_i$. \square

Corollary 3.2.1. *Let \mathcal{F}_i be the set of concatenations B in Σ of n_{i-1} (not necessarily different) blocks from $\mathcal{E}_{i-1} \cup \mathcal{E}'_{i-1}$. For all $B \in \mathcal{F}_i$, $\tau(B)$ is a multiple of $2|B| = 2l_i$.*

Proof: Each $B \in \mathcal{F}_i$ appearing in Σ has the block A_{i-1} or A'_{i-1} at a fixed position. Therefore the previous proof can be used with the obvious adjustments. \square

Proof of Proposition 3.2: For each i , each $l_i + l_{i-1}$ -block B in Σ contains a block $C \in \mathcal{F}_i$, so $\tau(B) \geq \tau(C) = 2l_i$. Using the notation of the proof of Theorem 2, we get for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and i sufficiently large:

$$\sum_{|B|=l_i+l_{i-1}} e^{-\tau(B)\alpha} \leq e^{(h+\varepsilon)(l_i+l_{i-1})-2l_i\alpha}.$$

Because $\frac{l_{i-1}}{l_i} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, this expression tends to 0 whenever $\alpha > \frac{h+\varepsilon}{2}$. Because ε is arbitrary, $\underline{\alpha}_c \leq \frac{1}{2}h_{top}$.

Now we compute $\bar{\alpha}_c$. For $R \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{F}_{R,i}$ be the set of blocks B in Σ which consist of R blocks in $\mathcal{E}_{i-1} \cup \mathcal{E}'_{i-1}$. Hence $|B| = Rl_{i-1}$. We claim that if $B \in \mathcal{F}_{R,i}$ consists of R different blocks and none of them is A_{i-1} or A'_{i-1} , and also $R < n_i$ is odd, then

$$\tau(B) \leq (R+2)l_{i-1} = \frac{R+2}{R}|B|.$$

Indeed, if $B = C_1C'_2 \dots C'_{R-1}C_R$, $C_j \in \mathcal{E}_{i-1}$, then there are blocks $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{E}_i$, $D_2 \neq A_i$, such that D_1 ends with BC'_0 and D_2 starts with $A_{i-1}B'$ for some $C_0 \in \mathcal{E}_i$. If $B = C'_1C_2 \dots C_{R-1}C'_R$, $C_j \in \mathcal{E}_{i-1}$, then there are blocks $D_1, D_2 \in \mathcal{E}_i$, $D_2 \neq A_i$, such that D_1 ends with B and D_2 starts with $A_{i-1}C'_0B'$ for some $C_0 \in \mathcal{E}_i$. In both cases, the concatenation $D_1D'_2$ contains the block B twice at the right distance. This proves the claim.

If $R \ll n_i$, this claim applies to at least half of the blocks in $\mathcal{F}_{R,i}$. Any $(R-1)l_{i-1}$ -block C appearing in Σ is contained in a block $B \in \mathcal{F}_{R,i}$, and therefore at least half of them satisfies $\tau(C) \leq \tau(B) \leq (R+2)l_{i-1} = \frac{R+2}{R-1}|C|$. This gives for $\varepsilon > 0$ arbitrary and i sufficiently large:

$$\sum_{|C|=(R-1)l_{i-1}} e^{-\tau(C)\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{2}N_{(R-1)l_{i-1}} e^{-(R+2)l_{i-1}\alpha} \geq \frac{1}{2}e^{(h-\varepsilon)(R-1)l_{i-1}-(R+2)l_{i-1}\alpha}.$$

For any $\alpha < \frac{R-1}{R+2}(h-\varepsilon)$, this tends to infinity as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Because $\varepsilon > 0$ and $R \in \mathbb{N}$ are arbitrary, we get $\bar{\alpha}_c \geq h_{top}$. The other inequality is supplied by Theorem 2. \square

References

- [1] V. Afraimovich, *Pesin's dimension for Poincaré recurrences*, *Chaos* **7** (1997) 12-20
- [2] W. H. Gottschalk, G. A. Hedlund, *Topological dynamics*, New Haven (1955)
- [3] C. Grillenberger, *Construction of strictly ergodic systems I. Given entropy*, *Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie* **25** (1972/73) 323-334

- [4] C. Grillenberger, *Construction of strictly ergodic systems I. K-systems*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie **25** (1972/73) 335-342
- [5] C. Grillenberger, P. Shields, *Construction of strictly ergodic systems III. Bernoulli systems*, Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie **33** (1975/76) 215-217
- [6] F. Hahn, Y. Katznelson, *On entropy of uniquely ergodic transformations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1967) 335-360
- [7] R. Jewett, *The prevalence of uniquely ergodic systems*, J. Math. Mech. **19** (1969/70) 717-729
- [8] W. Krieger, *On unique ergodicity*, Proc. 6-th Berkeley Sympos. Math. Statist. and Probab. Univ. of California (1970/71)
- [9] V. Penné, B. Saussol, S. Vaienti, *Dimensions for recurrence times: topological and dynamical properties*, Preprint Marseille (1998)
- [10] Y. Pesin, *Dimension theory in dynamical systems*, Chicago Univ. Press, Chicago and London (1997)
- [11] K. Petersen, *Ergodic theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press (1983)