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## Lorenz Flow



The appropriate Navier-Stokes equations reduce (via Galerkin method) to the Lorenz Equations:

$$
\begin{cases}\dot{x}=\sigma(y-x) & \sigma, \rho, \beta>0 \text { relate } \\ \dot{y}=\rho x-x z-y & \text { to the Prandl and } \\ \dot{z}=x y-\beta z & \text { Rayleigh numbers }\end{cases}
$$

## Lorenz Flow
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For certain parameters (classical choice $\sigma=10, \beta=\frac{8}{3}, \rho=28$ ) the Lorenz equations have a chaotic attractor.


## Lorenz Flow

Afraĭmovič, Bykov, \& Shilnikov and independently Guckenheimer \& Williams made geometrical models to explain the dynamics of the Lorenz system.


## Mixing (formal definitions)

A measure $\mu$ is invariant for the flow $\phi^{t}$ if
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\mu\left(\phi^{-t}(A)\right)=\mu(A) \quad \text { for all } t>0 \text { and measurable } A
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$$
\mu(A)=\inf _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} 1_{B(A ; \varepsilon)} \circ \phi^{t}(x) d t
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for $x$ in a set of positive Lebesgue measure.
The measure mixing if the correlation coefficients tend to 0 :

$$
\rho_{t}(v, w):=\int_{X}\left(v \circ \phi^{t}\right) \cdot w d \mu \rightarrow 0
$$

for appropriate observables $v, w: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.
The speed at which $\rho_{t}$ tends to 0 is called the rate of mixing.

## Mixing (heuristics)

Dynamical systems that are uniformly hyperbolic, i.e., which have uniformly exponential contraction (in stable direction) and exponential expansion (in unstable directions, tend to have exponentially mixing physical measures.
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Figure 1 The baker map

Toy examples: Gauss map: $d \nu=\frac{1}{\log 2} \frac{d x}{1+x}$ and baker map: $\nu=L e b$.

## Pomeau-Manneville maps

A classical system with non-uniform expansion is the Pomeau-Manneville map with parameter $\alpha>0$ :

$$
T_{\alpha}:[0,1] \rightarrow[0,1], \quad x \mapsto x\left(1+x^{\alpha}\right) \bmod 1
$$

The neutral fixed point $\left(T_{\alpha}^{\prime}(0)=1\right)$ makes the expansion non-uniform.
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By taking the first return map to the right part, we obtain uniform expansion.
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$Y$ is the domain of the second branch and $\tau(y)=\min \left\{n \geq 1: T^{n}(y) \in Y\right\}$.

The first return map

$$
R_{Y}=T^{\tau}
$$

is uniformly expanding, and preserves a measure

$$
\nu \approx L e b .
$$

with big tails

$$
\nu(\{\tau>n\}\}) \sim n^{1-1 / \alpha} .
$$

## Pomeau-Manneville maps

## Theorem [Liverani-Saussol-Vaienti, Young, ...]

The Pomeau-Manneville map has an invariant measure $\mu \approx$ Leb.
This measure is

- infinite if $\alpha \geq 1$ (the physical measure is $\delta_{0}$ );
- finite if $\alpha<1$, and $\mu$ is the physical measure.
- the rate of mixing is polynomial if $\alpha<\frac{1}{2}: \quad \rho_{f}(v, w) \leq C_{v, w} n^{1-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$
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The upper bound in the rate of mixing is sharp. Techniques to prove this were developed by Sarig, Gouëzel (and by Melbourne \& Terhesiu in infinite measure setting). Requires: "big tails" are regularly varying:

$$
\nu(\tau>n)=n^{-\beta} \ell(n)
$$

where $\ell$ is slowly varying (e.g. $\ell(n) \rightarrow C \neq 0$ or $\left.\ell(n)=(\log n)^{\gamma}\right)$.

## Mixing in the Lorenz system

Theorem [Tucker 2000, rigorous computer-assisted]
The geometric Lorenz model is a valid description of the Lorenz equations. In particular, the Lorenz attractor exists.
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## Theorem [Araújo et al. 2009]

The Lorenz system has a physical measure $\mu$ supported on the Lorenz attractor $A$, and it has a positive Lyapunov exponent $\chi$ :
For $\mu$-a.e. $x \in A \exists v \in T_{x} \mathbb{R}^{3}$ s.t. $\lim _{t} \frac{1}{t} \log \left\|D \phi^{t}(v)\right\|=\chi>0$.

## Mixing in the Lorenz system

## Theorem [Tucker 2000, rigorous computer-assisted]

The geometric Lorenz model is a valid description of the Lorenz equations. In particular, the Lorenz attractor exists.

## Theorem [Araújo et al. 2009]

The Lorenz system has a physical measure $\mu$ supported on the Lorenz attractor $A$, and it has a positive Lyapunov exponent $\chi$ :
For $\mu$-a.e. $x \in A \exists v \in T_{x} \mathbb{R}^{3}$ s.t. $\lim _{t} \frac{1}{t} \log \left\|D \phi^{t}(v)\right\|=\chi>0$.

## Theorem [Araújo \& Melbourne 2016]

This physical measure is mixing at an exponential rate.

## Lorenz Flow - Local form at 0



## Lorenz Flow - Local form at 0



Differential equation near 0 (hyperbolic saddle):

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=+\lambda_{3} x \\
\dot{y}=-\lambda_{1} y \quad+\mathcal{O}(2) \quad 0<\lambda_{3}<\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{1} \\
\dot{z}=-\lambda_{2} z
\end{array}\right.
$$

Dulac times have exponential tails.

## Lorenz Flow - Surgery at 0



## Lorenz Flow - Surgery at 0



Differential equation near 0 (neutral saddle):

$$
\begin{cases}\dot{x}=x\left(a_{0} x^{2}+a_{1} x z+a_{2} z^{2}\right) & a_{0}, a_{2}, b_{0}, b_{2} \geq 0, a_{1}, b_{1} \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{4}\\ \dot{y}=-\lambda_{1} y & \Delta:=a_{2} b_{0}-a_{0} b_{2} \neq 0 \\ \dot{z}=-y\left(b_{0} x^{2}+b_{1} x z+b_{2} z^{2}\right) & +\mathcal{O}(4) \\ & a_{1}^{2}<4 a_{0} a_{2}, b_{1}^{2}<4 b_{0} b_{2} \\ \frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}}=\frac{a_{0} b_{2}+a_{2}+2 b_{0} b_{2}}{a_{2} b_{0}+a_{2}+2 a_{0} a_{2}}\end{cases}
$$

Question: Do the Dulac times have polynomial tails?

## Dulac times



The Dulac time $T$ is the time needed to flow from an incoming transversal $\{z=\eta\}$ to an outgoing transversal $\{x=\zeta\}$.

## Dulac times



## Theorem (regularly varying Dulac times)

Define

$$
\Gamma_{0}:=\frac{2 a_{0}}{a_{0}+b_{0}}, \quad \Gamma_{2}:=\frac{2 b_{2}}{a_{2}+b_{2}} .
$$

There exists $C_{x}, C_{y}>0$ such that the Dulac times (as, $x, y \rightarrow 0$ )

$$
T=C_{x} x^{-\Gamma_{2}}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(x^{\Gamma_{2} / 2}\right)\right)=C_{y} y^{-\Gamma_{0}}\left(1+\mathcal{O}\left(y^{\Gamma_{0} / 2}\right)\right)
$$

## Neutral Lorenz flows

Consider the Lorenz flow after surgery; local form near 0:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}=x\left(a_{0} x^{2}+a_{1} x z+a_{2} z^{2}\right) \\
\dot{y}=-\lambda_{1} y \\
\dot{z}=-y\left(b_{0} x^{2}+b_{1} x z+b_{2} z^{2}\right)
\end{array}+\mathcal{O}(4)\right.
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{0}, a_{2}, b_{0}, b_{2} \geq 0, a_{1}, b_{1} \in \mathbb{R} \\
& \Delta:=a_{2} b_{0}-a_{0} b_{2} \neq 0 \\
& a_{1}^{2}<4 a_{0} a_{2}, b_{1}^{2}<4 b_{0} b_{2} \\
& \frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}}=\frac{a_{0} b_{2}+a_{2}+2 b_{0} b_{2}}{a_{2} b_{0}+a_{2}+2 a_{0} a_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Theorem (Bruin \& Canales, 2022)

The above neutral Lorenz flow has correlation coefficients:

$$
\rho_{t}(v, w) \leq C \cdot\left(\|v\|_{C^{\eta}}+\|v\|_{C^{0, \eta}}\right) \cdot\|w\|_{C^{m, \eta}} \cdot t^{-\beta}, \quad \beta=\frac{a_{2}+b_{2}}{2 b_{2}}
$$

for $C>0$ depending only on the flow and $\eta$-Hölder functions $v$ and $w$.
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## Theorem (Bruin \& Canales, 2022)

The above neutral Lorenz flow has correlation coefficients:

$$
\rho_{t}(v, w) \leq C \cdot\left(\|v\|_{C^{\eta}}+\|v\|_{C^{0, \eta}}\right) \cdot\|w\|_{C^{m, \eta}} \cdot t^{-\beta}, \quad \beta=\frac{a_{2}+b_{2}}{2 b_{2}}
$$

for $C>0$ depending only on the flow and $\eta$-Hölder functions $v$ and $w$.

$$
\|v\|_{C^{0, \eta}}=\sup _{x \in M, t>0} \frac{\left|v\left(T^{t}(x)\right)-v(x)\right|}{t^{\eta}}, \quad\|w\|_{C^{m, \eta}}=\sum_{k=0}^{m}\left\|\partial_{t}^{k} w\right\|_{C^{\eta}} .
$$

for $\eta$-Hölder norm $\|v\|_{C^{n}}=\sup _{x \neq y} \frac{|v(x)-v(y)|}{|x-y|^{\eta}}$.

## Almost Anosov maps

Definition: $T: X \rightarrow X$ is called an Anosov map if the whole space $X$ is hyperbolic, i.e., the tangent bundle of $X$ has a continuous splitting into stable and unstable spaces along which the contraction and expansion is uniform.

## Almost Anosov maps

Definition: $T: X \rightarrow X$ is called an Anosov map if the whole space $X$ is hyperbolic, i.e., the tangent bundle of $X$ has a continuous splitting into stable and unstable spaces along which the contraction and expansion is uniform.

Examples are linear toral automorphisms, e.g.

$$
T(x)=M x \bmod 1, \quad M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$



Figure: The Markov partition for $T_{M}: \mathbb{T}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{T}^{2}$; the catmap is $T_{M}^{2}$.
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Definition: $T: X \rightarrow X$ is almost Anosov if the whole space $X$ is (now non-uniformly hyperbolic, except for finitely many periodic orbits.
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The notion of almost Anosov map goes back to Anatoli Katok [1979], who created area preserving examples. Later versions by Hu \& Young [1995], Hu [2000] and Young \& Zhang [2017]:

Let $T$ be an almost Anosov map with fixed point 0 such that

$$
(* *) \quad T\binom{x}{y}=\binom{x\left(1+a_{0} x^{2}+a_{1} x y+a_{2} y^{2}\right)}{y\left(1-a_{0} x^{2}-a_{1} x y-b_{2} y^{2}\right)} .
$$

in local coordinates near 0.
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## Theorem (Hu 2000)

- If $a_{2}>2 b_{2}$ and $a_{1}=0=b_{1}$ and $a_{0} b_{2}>a_{2} b_{0}$, then $T$ admits a physical probability measure.
- If $a_{2}<b_{2} / 2$ and $a_{1} \neq 0 \neq b_{1}$, then $T$ admits an infinite "physical" measure.


## Almost Anosov maps

Hu \& Zhang [2017] gave polynomial upper bounds for mixing rates of the physical measure of the almost Anosov map $T$.

But, $T$ from $(* *)$ is the time-1 map of a flow in $(*)$. The tail estimates of $(*)$ are far more precise (exact exponent, slowly varying).
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Analogous formula on transfer operator $\mathcal{L}_{T}$ if measure is infinite.
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Hu \& Zhang [2017] gave polynomial upper bounds for mixing rates of the physical measure of the almost Anosov map $T$.

But, $T$ from $(* *)$ is the time-1 map of a flow in $(*)$. The tail estimates of $(*)$ are far more precise (exact exponent, slowly varying).

- Exact distinction between finite and infinite "physical" measure:

$$
T \text { has a physical probability measure iff } \beta=\frac{a_{2}+b_{2}}{2 b_{2}}>1 \text {. }
$$

- Exact mixing rates: upper and lower, finite and infinite measure.

$$
\rho_{n}(v, w) \sim C(v, w) n^{1-\beta} \quad \text { if } \beta>1,
$$

Analogous formula on transfer operator $\mathcal{L}_{T}$ if measure is infinite.

- Various other statistical limit theorems.
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## Theorem (Bruin \& Terhesiu 2017, mixing for $\infty$ measure)

Let $T$ be an almost Anosov map of the torus as in ( $* *)$ with $\beta \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$, i.e., there is an infinite "physical" measure $\mu$.

Then for all observables $v, w \in C^{1}$ supported outside a neighbourhood of the fixed point, we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{1-\beta} \int v \cdot w \circ T^{n} d \mu=\frac{C_{0}}{\Gamma(\beta)(1-\Gamma(\beta))} \int v d \mu \int w d \mu
$$

for $\Gamma$-function $\Gamma$ and $C_{0}$ comes from the big tails $\mu(\tau>n) \sim C_{0} n^{-\beta}$.

## Almost Anosov maps

In case that $T$ is the time-1 map of a cubic $(*)$, i.e., without higher order terms, then the tail estimates can be improved to estimates of the small tails:

$$
\mu(\tau=n)=C_{0} n^{-(\beta+1)}(1+o(1))
$$

## Almost Anosov maps

In case that $T$ is the time-1 map of a cubic (*), i.e., without higher order terms, then the tail estimates can be improved to estimates of the small tails:

$$
\mu(\tau=n)=C_{0} n^{-(\beta+1)}(1+o(1))
$$

## Theorem (Bruin \& Terhesiu 2017, mixing for $\infty$ measure)

Let $T$ be an almost Anosov map above, with $\beta \in\left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right)$ and

$$
q=\max \{j \in \mathbb{N}:(j+1) \beta>j\} .
$$

Then for all observables as previously there are (generically nonzero) real constants $d_{1}, \ldots, d_{q} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int v \cdot w \circ T^{n} d \mu \sim & \left(d_{0} n^{\beta-1}+d_{1} n^{2(\beta-1)}+\cdots+d_{q} n^{(q+1)(\beta-1)}\right) \\
& \times \int v d \mu \int w d \mu .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Almost Anosov flows (volume-preserving)

The almost Anosov flow can be made volume preserving by setting the divergence of the vector field equal to zero. For the local parameters this means:

$$
3 a_{0}=b_{0} \quad a_{1}=b_{1} \quad a_{2}=3 b_{2}
$$

Then the condition $\frac{b_{1}}{a_{1}}=\frac{a_{0} b_{2}+a_{2}+2 b_{0} b_{2}}{a_{2} b_{0}+a_{2}+2 a_{0} a_{2}}$ is automatically satisfied.
Use the change of coordinates

$$
\bar{x}=\sqrt{a_{0}} x, \bar{y}=\sqrt{b_{2}} y, \bar{\gamma}=a_{1} / \sqrt{a_{0} b_{2}} \in(-4,4)
$$

to transform the differential equation into

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
\dot{\bar{x}} \\
\overline{\bar{y}} \\
\dot{\bar{z}}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\bar{x}\left(\bar{x}^{2}+\bar{\gamma} \bar{x} \bar{y}+3 \bar{y}^{2}\right) \\
\left.-\bar{y}\left(3 \bar{x}^{2}+\bar{y} \bar{x} \bar{y}+\bar{y}^{2}\right)\right) \\
1+\bar{w}(\bar{x}, \bar{y})
\end{array}\right)+\mathcal{O}(4),
$$

for some real-valued function $\bar{w}$.

## Almost Anosov flows (volume-preserving)

## Theorem (Bruin, 2020)

Let $\bar{\gamma} \in(-4,4)$ and $v=v_{0}+o(\rho)$, where $\int_{0}^{\tau} v_{0} \circ \phi^{t} d t$ is homogeneous of order $\rho>-2$ in local coordinates.

1. If $\rho \in(0, \infty)$, then $v$ satisfies the Central Limit Theorem, i.e.,

$$
\frac{\int_{0}^{t} v \circ \phi^{s} d s-t \int v d V o l}{\sigma \sqrt{t}} \Rightarrow d_{\text {ist }} \mathcal{N}(0,1) \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
$$

where the variance $\sigma^{2}>0$ unless $\int_{0}^{\tau} v \circ \phi^{t} d t$ is a coboundary.
2. If $\rho=0$, then $v$ satisfies the Central Limit Theorem with non-standard scaling $\sqrt{t \log t}$, i.e.,

$$
\frac{\int_{0}^{t} v \circ \phi^{s} d s-t \int v d V o l}{\sigma \sqrt{t \log t}} \Rightarrow \text { dist } \mathcal{N}(0,1) \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow \infty
$$

and the variance $\sigma^{2}>0$ unless $\int_{0}^{\tau} v \circ \phi^{t} d t$ is a coboundary.
3. If $\rho \in(-2,0)$ then $v$ satisfies a Stable Law of order $\frac{4}{2-\rho} \in(1,2)$.


En toen kwam er een olifant met een heel erg lange snuit....
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