Mixing for almost Anosov maps

Henk Bruin (University of Vienna) joint with Dalia Terhesiu (University of Exeter)

Krynica, Poland, June 2018

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Marek Zdun

Overlap with this talk and the work of Marek Zdun revolves around the question:

When is a diffeomorphism f **embeddable** in a flow of a vector field? That is, when is f equal to the time-1 map of a flow φ^{t} ?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Marek Zdun

Overlap with this talk and the work of Marek Zdun revolves around the question:

When is a diffeomorphism f **embeddable** in a flow of a vector field? That is, when is f equal to the time-1 map of a flow φ^{t} ?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Globally on an entire manifold, this is seldom the case.

Marek Zdun

Overlap with this talk and the work of Marek Zdun revolves around the question:

When is a diffeomorphism f **embeddable** in a flow of a vector field? That is, when is f equal to the time-1 map of a flow φ^{t} ?

Globally on an entire manifold, this is seldom the case.

But local solutions are often useful too. In bifurcation theory and in this talk.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let (X, f) be a chaotic dynamical systems, and $v : X \to \mathbb{R}$ an observable.

Due to the chaos, precise predictions of $V_n := v \circ f^n$ are impossible.

If there is a "good" f-invariant measure μ , one can hope to prove statistical laws:

Central Limit Theorem (CLT):

$$\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(V_j - \mathbb{E}(v))}{\sqrt{n}} \Rightarrow_d \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

provided $\mathbb{E}(|v|^2) < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}(|v|) < \infty$.

Central Limit Theorem (CLT):

$$\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(V_j - \mathbb{E}(v))}{\sqrt{n}} \Rightarrow_d \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

provided $\mathbb{E}(|\nu|^2) < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}(|\nu|) < \infty$.

Stable laws, i.e., analog of CLT in case 𝔼(|v|²) or even 𝔅(|v|) are infinite.

Central Limit Theorem (CLT):

$$\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(V_j - \mathbb{E}(v))}{\sqrt{n}} \Rightarrow_d \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

provided $\mathbb{E}(|v|^2) < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}(|v|) < \infty$.

- Stable laws, i.e., analog of CLT in case 𝔅(|v|²) or even 𝔅(|v|) are infinite.
- Mixing, i.e., convergence of the correlation coefficients

$$\rho_{\mathbf{n}} = \int \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} \circ f^{\mathbf{n}} \, d\mu$$

converges to zero, when centered and/or scaled appropriately. The **rate** of this convergence is called the **rate of mixing**.

Central Limit Theorem (CLT):

$$\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{n-1}(V_j - \mathbb{E}(v))}{\sqrt{n}} \Rightarrow_d \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2)$$

provided $\mathbb{E}(|v|^2) < \infty$ and $\mathbb{E}(|v|) < \infty$.

- Stable laws, i.e., analog of CLT in case 𝔅(|v|²) or even 𝔅(|v|) are infinite.
- Mixing, i.e., convergence of the correlation coefficients

$$\rho_n = \int \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{w} \circ f^n \, d\mu$$

converges to zero, when centered and/or scaled appropriately. The **rate** of this convergence is called the **rate of mixing**.

 Many further laws, with fancy names and acronyms: (LIL, WIP, ASIP, LLT, return time statistics, extremal value statistics,....)

Mixing rates

- For finite-measured hyperbolic systems, we expect "good" (= physically relevant) measures with exponential mixing.
- This is, roughly speaking, the strongest property to expect: other statistical laws tend to follow from this.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Mixing rates

- For finite-measured hyperbolic systems, we expect "good" (= physically relevant) measures with exponential mixing.
- This is, roughly speaking, the strongest property to expect: other statistical laws tend to follow from this.
- Dynamical systems with intermittency (specifically: neutral fixed points) tend to exhibit polynomial mixing.
- The standard tool to prove this is an inducing scheme

 $F=f^{\tau}:Y\subset X\to Y,$

to **accelerated** the dynamics to a hyperbolic system. The **tail** of this inducing scheme predicts the rate of mixing.

Mixing rates

- For finite-measured hyperbolic systems, we expect "good" (= physically relevant) measures with exponential mixing.
- This is, roughly speaking, the strongest property to expect: other statistical laws tend to follow from this.
- Dynamical systems with intermittency (specifically: neutral fixed points) tend to exhibit polynomial mixing.
- The standard tool to prove this is an inducing scheme

 $F = f^{\tau} : Y \subset X \to Y.$

to **accelerated** the dynamics to a hyperbolic system. The **tail** of this inducing scheme predicts the rate of mixing.

Upper bounds for mixing are relatively easy. Lower bounds (i.e., upper bounds are sharp) are much harder, and require precise estimates (often regular variation) of the tails

 $\mu(\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{Y} : \tau(\mathbf{y}) \geq \mathbf{n}) \sim \mathbf{n}^{-\beta} \ell(\mathbf{n}),$

where $\ell(n)$ is a **slowly varying function** (e.g. constant, logarithmic).

The Pomeau-Manneville map $f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is defined as

$$f(x) = egin{cases} x(1+(2x)^lpha) & x\in [0,rac{1}{2}]; \ 2x-1 & x\in (rac{1}{2},1]. \end{cases} \quad lpha > 0.$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

The Pomeau-Manneville map $f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is defined as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x(1+(2x)^{\alpha}) & x \in [0,\frac{1}{2}];\\ 2x-1 & x \in (\frac{1}{2},1]. \end{cases} \quad \alpha > 0.$$

There is a finite resp. infinite absolutely continuous measure (acim) if $\alpha < 1$ resp. $\alpha \ge 1$. Due to the neutral fixed point at 0, the density $\frac{d\mu}{d \, Leb}$ is always unbounded.



Figure: The Pomeau-Manneville map and its first return to $Y = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}, 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

The Pomeau-Manneville map $f : [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$ is defined as

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x(1+(2x)^{\alpha}) & x \in [0,\frac{1}{2}];\\ 2x-1 & x \in (\frac{1}{2},1]. \end{cases} \quad \alpha > 0.$$

There is a finite resp. infinite absolutely continuous measure (acim) if $\alpha < 1$ resp. $\alpha \ge 1$. Due to the neutral fixed point at 0, the density $\frac{d\mu}{d \, Leb}$ is always unbounded.



Figure: The Pomeau-Manneville map and its first return to $Y = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2}, 1 \end{bmatrix}$.

The Pomeau-Manneville map has tail estimates:

$$Leb(\{\tau \geq n\}) \sim C \ n^{-\beta} + O(n^{-\beta+1}\log n) \qquad \beta = 1/\alpha,$$

The Pomeau-Manneville map has tail estimates:

$$Leb(\{\tau \ge n\}) \sim C \ n^{-\beta} + O(n^{-\beta+1} \log n) \qquad \beta = 1/\alpha,$$

which is the key estimates for e.g. mixing rates:

$$\frac{\rho_n(\mathbf{v},\mathbf{w})}{\int \mathbf{v} \int \mathbf{w}} = \begin{cases} 1 + \frac{1}{\mathbb{E}(\tau)} \sum_{j>n} \mu(\tau>j) + O(d_n) & \beta > 1\\ (d_1 n^{\beta-1} + \dots + d_q n^{q(\beta-1)}) + O(d_n) & \beta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1), \end{cases}$$

for known d_1, \ldots, d_q , $q = \lfloor \frac{2\beta - 1}{2 - 2\beta} \rfloor$, and error terms $d_n = d_n(\beta, ||v||, ||w||)$, and for sufficiently regular observables v, w supported on $Y = [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$.

An almost Anosov map is an Anosov map (such as Arnol'd's catmap)



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

with a neutral fixed point.

Assume this neutral fixed point p = (0, 0) is in the interior of an element P_0 of the Markov partition:



Using a local surgery, we can give it local formula:

$$f\binom{x}{y} = \binom{x(1 + a_0 x^{\kappa} + a_2 y^{\kappa} + O(|(x, y)|^{\kappa+1}))}{y(1 - b_0 x^{\kappa} - b_2 y^{\kappa} + O(|(x, y)|^{\kappa+1}))}$$

for $a_0, a_2, b_0, b_2 \ge 0, \ \Delta := a_2 b_0 - a_0 b_2 \ne 0, \ \kappa \ge 1.$

The task is to estimate the measure of the strips $\{\tau = n\}$, $n \ge 2$.



Figure: The first quadrant Q of the rectangle P_0 , with stable and unstabe foliations drawn vertically and horizontally, respectively.

The task is to estimate the measure of the strips $\{\tau = n\}$, $n \ge 2$.



Figure: The first quadrant Q of the rectangle P_0 , with stable and unstabe foliations drawn vertically and horizontally, respectively.

The task is to estimate the measure of the strips $\{\tau = n\}$, $n \ge 2$.



Figure: The first quadrant Q of the rectangle P_0 , with stable and unstabe foliations drawn vertically and horizontally, respectively.

Find a vector field X

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = X \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x(a_0 x^{\kappa} + a_2 y^{\kappa} + O(|(x, y)|^{\kappa+1})) \\ -y(b_0 x^{\kappa} + b_2 y^{\kappa} + O(|(x, y)|^{\kappa+1})) \end{pmatrix}$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

such that f is the time-1 map of its flow φ^t , see [DRR81].

Find a vector field X

$$\begin{pmatrix} \dot{x} \\ \dot{y} \end{pmatrix} = X \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x(a_0x^{\kappa} + a_2y^{\kappa} + O(|(x,y)|^{\kappa+1})) \\ -y(b_0x^{\kappa} + b_2y^{\kappa} + O(|(x,y)|^{\kappa+1})) \end{pmatrix}$$

such that f is the time-1 map of its flow φ^t , see [DRR81]. Find a **first integral**

$$L(x,y) = \begin{cases} x^{u}y^{v}(\frac{a_{0}}{v} x^{\kappa} + \frac{b_{2}}{u} y^{\kappa}) & \text{if } \Delta > 0; \\ x^{-u}y^{-v}(\frac{a_{0}}{v} x^{\kappa} + \frac{b_{2}}{u} y^{\kappa})^{-1} & \text{if } \Delta < 0. \end{cases}$$

where $u = \frac{\kappa b_2}{\Delta}(a_0 + b_0)$, $v = \frac{\kappa a_0}{\Delta}(a_2 + b_2)$, for the truncated vector field:

$$X_{trunc}\begin{pmatrix}x\\y\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}x(a_0x^{\kappa} + a_2y^{\kappa})\\-y(b_0x^{\kappa} + b_2y^{\kappa})\end{pmatrix}$$

• Use a new coordinate M = y/x to rewrite the system to

$$\begin{cases} \dot{L} = 0\\ \dot{M} = -M(c_0 + c_2 M^{\kappa}) x^{\kappa} \end{cases} \qquad c_i = a_i + b_i. \qquad (1)$$

Solve x from

$$L(x,y) = x^{u}y^{v}\left(\frac{a_{0}}{v}x^{\kappa} + \frac{b_{2}}{u}y^{\kappa}\right) = \xi^{u}\eta^{v}\left(\frac{a_{0}}{v}\xi^{\kappa} + \frac{b_{2}}{u}\eta^{\kappa}\right) = L(\xi,\eta)$$

to find an approximate solution to (1)

Let $(\xi(T), \eta_0)$ and $(\zeta_0, \omega(T))$ be the entry and exit point of a flow-line that takes exactly time T to pass through Q.



Theorem

There are functions $\xi_0(\eta), \omega_0(\eta), \xi_1(\eta), \omega_1(\eta) > 0$ independent of T such that

$$\xi(\eta, T) = \xi_0(\eta) T^{-\beta_2} \left(1 - \xi_1(\eta) T^{-1} + O(T^{-2}, T^{-\kappa\beta_2}) \right)$$

and

$$\omega(\eta, T) = \omega_0(\eta) T^{-\beta_0} \left(1 - \omega_1(\eta) T^{-1} + O(T^{-2}, T^{-\kappa\beta_0}) \right).$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

for
$$\beta_0 := \frac{a_0+b_0}{\kappa a_0}$$
 and $\beta_2 := \frac{a_2+b_2}{\kappa b_2}$.

► Estimate the effects of the extra error terms O(|(x, y)|^{κ+1})) in the vector field X on L and the eventual solution.

Theorem

For the non-truncated vector field, we obtain

$$\xi(\eta, T) = \xi_0(\eta) T^{-\beta_2} \left(1 + O(\max\{n^{-\beta_*}, T^{-1} \log T)\} \right),$$
where $\beta_* = \frac{1}{\kappa} \min\left\{ 1, \frac{a_2}{b_2}, \frac{b_0}{a_0} \right\}$ and an analogous formula for $\omega(\eta, T)$.

The tails of the original system (w.r.t. the SRB-measure) follow.

- H. Bruin, D. Terhesiu, *Upper and lower bounds for the correlation function via inducing with general return times,* Ergod. Th. and Dyn. Sys. **38** (2018) 34–62.
- H. Bruin, D. Terhesiu, Regular variation and rates of mixing for infinite measure preserving almost Anosov diffeomorphisms, Preprint 2017 (updated Jan 2018).
- F. Dumortier, P. Rodrigues, R. Roussarie, Germs of diffeomorphisms in the plane, *Lect. Notes in Math.* 902 Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1981. iv+197 pp.
- M. Zdun, On the embeddability of commuting functions in a flow, Selected topics in functional equations and iteration theory (Graz, 1991), 201–212, Grazer Math. Ber. **316** Karl-Franzens-Univ. Graz, Graz, 1992.
- M. Zdun, On approximative embeddability of diffeomorphisms in C¹-flows, J. Difference Equ. Appl. **20** (2014), 1427–1436.