Matching for generalized β -transformations

Henk Bruin (University of Vienna) joint with Carlo Carminati (University of Pisa) and Charlene Kalle (University of Leiden)

Barcelona, September 2016

(ロ) (型) (E) (E) (E) (O)

Generalized β -transformations

The generalized (= translated) β -transformation is defined as

$$T_{\beta,\alpha}: x \mapsto \beta x + \alpha \pmod{1}$$

For this talk, we will fix β and vary α . Hence we write $T_{\alpha}(x)$ (or just T).

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

For $|\beta| > 1$, T has an acip μ .

Matching

Definition: The generalized β -transformation has matching if there is *n* such that $T^n_{\alpha}(0) = T^n_{\alpha}(1)$.

The set $\bigcup_{1 \le j \le n} T^j_{\alpha}(\{0,1\})$ is the prematching set.

Matching occurs "prevalently" for several piecewise linear families, with slopes that are **Pisot numbers**, i.e., positive algebraic numbers whose algebraic conjugates are within the unit disk..

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

Matching

Definition: The generalized β -transformation has matching if there is *n* such that $T^n_{\alpha}(0) = T^n_{\alpha}(1)$.

The set $\bigcup_{1 \le j \le n} T^j_{\alpha}(\{0,1\})$ is the prematching set.

Matching occurs "prevalently" for several piecewise linear families, with slopes that are Pisot numbers, i.e., positive algebraic numbers whose algebraic conjugates are within the unit disk..

Definition: We speak of prevalent matching if the set of α where matching occurs has full Lebesgue measure, and its complement, the non-matching or bifurcation set A_{β} , is nowhere dense.

Consequences of matching

Theorem If a generalized β-transformation with |β| > 1 has matching, then it has an invariant density h which is constant on the components of [0, 1]\prematching set.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Consequences of matching

- Theorem If a generalized β-transformation with |β| > 1 has matching, then it has an invariant density h which is constant on the components of [0, 1]\prematching set.
- For T_α, the entropy is log β, due to constant slope β. For other piecewise linearfamilies, with non-constnt slope, entropy is monotone on matching intervals (and constant if the matching is neutral)

The quadratic Pisot integers are those $\beta > 1$ satisfying

$$\beta^2 - k\beta \pm d = 0$$
 with $\begin{cases} k > d+1 & \text{if } +d, \\ k > d-1 & \text{if } -d. \end{cases}$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

Theorem 1: For β as above, $\dim_H(A_\beta) = \frac{\log d}{\log \beta}$. For all other quadratic numbers, no matching occurs.

The quadratic Pisot integers are those $\beta > 1$ satisfying

$$eta^2 - keta \pm d = 0$$
 with $egin{cases} k > d+1 & ext{if } + d, \ k > d-1 & ext{if } - d. \end{cases}$

Theorem 1: For β as above, $\dim_H(A_\beta) = \frac{\log d}{\log \beta}$. For all other quadratic numbers, no matching occurs.

Note: d = 1 (quadratic Pisot units) gives $\dim_H(A_\beta) = 0$. We conjecture that this is the only situation where $\dim_H(A_\beta) = 0$.

Matching for non-quadratic algebraic integers

The examples we have of prevalent matching all relate to β being Pisot. However, matching can occur at non-Pisot numbers, e.g. the quartic Salem number satisfying

 $\beta^4 - \beta^3 - \beta^2 - \beta + 1 = 0$

has matching at some non-trivial intervals.

An algebraic number is Salem if its algebraic conjugates are on the unit disk, with some on the unit circle.

Matching for non-quadratic algebraic integers

The examples we have of prevalent matching all relate to β being Pisot. However, matching can occur at non-Pisot numbers, e.g. the quartic Salem number satisfying

 $\beta^4 - \beta^3 - \beta^2 - \beta + 1 = 0$

has matching at some non-trivial intervals.

An algebraic number is Salem if its algebraic conjugates are on the unit disk, with some on the unit circle.

Numerical simulations give the following table

β	minimal polynomial	$\dim_B(A_\beta)$
tribonacci	$\beta^3 - \beta^2 - \beta - 1 = 0$	0.66
tetrabonacci	$\beta^4 - \beta^3 - \beta^2 - \beta - 1 = 0$	0.76
plastic	$\beta^3 - \beta - 1 = 0$	0.93

Note

$$T_{\alpha}^{n}(0) = (\beta^{n-1} + \dots + 1)\alpha - a_{n-2}\beta^{n-2} - \dots - a_{1}\beta - a_{0},$$

$$T_{\alpha}^{n}(1) = (\beta^{n-1} + \dots + 1)\alpha + \beta^{n} - b_{n-1}\beta^{n-1} - \dots - b_{1}\beta - b_{0}.$$

Therefore matching at (minimal) iterate *n* requires

$$0 = T_{\alpha}^{n}(1) - T_{\alpha}^{n}(0) = \beta^{n} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \beta^{j}(b_{j} - a_{j}).$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

Hence β has to be an algebraic integer.

Note

$$T_{\alpha}^{n}(0) = (\beta^{n-1} + \dots + 1)\alpha - a_{n-2}\beta^{n-2} - \dots - a_{1}\beta - a_{0},$$

$$T_{\alpha}^{n}(1) = (\beta^{n-1} + \dots + 1)\alpha + \beta^{n} - b_{n-1}\beta^{n-1} - \dots - b_{1}\beta - b_{0}.$$

Therefore matching at (minimal) iterate *n* requires

$$0 = T_{\alpha}^{n}(1) - T_{\alpha}^{n}(0) = \beta^{n} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \beta^{j}(b_{j} - a_{j}).$$

Hence β has to be an algebraic integer.

The integers b_j , a_j depend on α , but change only at a finite set. Hence, if matching occurs, it occurs on an entire parameter interval.

Since β is an algebraic integer of order *n*, we can write

$$T^j_{lpha}(0)-T^j_{lpha}(1)=\sum_{k=1}^n rac{e_k(j)}{eta^k}\qquad e_k(j)\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

The α -dependence is only in the integers $e_k(j) = e_k(j, \alpha)$

Since β is an algebraic integer of order *n*, we can write

$$T^j_lpha(0)-T^j_lpha(1)=\sum_{k=1}^nrac{e_k(j)}{eta^k}\qquad e_k(j)\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

The α -dependence is only in the integers $e_k(j) = e_k(j, \alpha)$ Lemma (Sample Lemma) If $|T^j(0) - T^j(1)| = \ell/\beta$, then there is matching at iterate j + 1.

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

Since β is an algebraic integer of order *n*, we can write

$$T^j_lpha(0)-T^j_lpha(1)=\sum_{k=1}^nrac{e_k(j)}{eta^k}\qquad e_k(j)\in\mathbb{Z}.$$

The α -dependence is only in the integers $e_k(j) = e_k(j, \alpha)$ Lemma (Sample Lemma) If $|T^j(0) - T^j(1)| = \ell/\beta$, then there is matching at iterate j + 1. Proof. If $|T^j(0) - T^j(1)| = \ell/\beta$, then $T^j(0)$ and $T^j(1)$ belong to branch-domains of T that are $|\ell|$ domains apart, and their images

are the same.

Back to Theorem 1. We sketch the proof for $\beta^2 - k\beta + d = 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, so $k - 1 < \beta < k$ and T_{α} has k or k + 1 branches.

Back to Theorem 1. We sketch the proof for $\beta^2 - k\beta + d = 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, so $k - 1 < \beta < k$ and T_{α} has k or k + 1 branches.

Lemma: If $\alpha \in [k - \beta, 1)$, then T_{α} has k + 1 branches, but there is matching after two steps.

Back to Theorem 1. We sketch the proof for $\beta^2 - k\beta + d = 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, so $k - 1 < \beta < k$ and T_{α} has k or k + 1 branches.

Lemma: If $\alpha \in [k - \beta, 1)$, then T_{α} has k + 1 branches, but there is matching after two steps.

Hence, take $\alpha \in [0, k - \beta)$ and call the domains of the branches $\Delta_0, \ldots, \Delta_{k-1}$. Compute

$$T_{lpha}(1)=eta+lpha_{=T(0)}-(k-1)=T_{lpha}(0)+ec{eta-(k-1)}{\gamma},$$

Back to Theorem 1. We sketch the proof for $\beta^2 - k\beta + d = 0$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, so $k - 1 < \beta < k$ and T_{α} has k or k + 1 branches.

Lemma: If $\alpha \in [k - \beta, 1)$, then T_{α} has k + 1 branches, but there is matching after two steps.

Hence, take $\alpha \in [0, k - \beta)$ and call the domains of the branches $\Delta_0, \ldots, \Delta_{k-1}$. Compute

$$\mathcal{T}_{lpha}(1)=eta+ \underbrace{lpha}_{=\mathcal{T}(0)}-(k-1)=\mathcal{T}_{lpha}(0)+ \underbrace{eta-(k-1)}_{\gamma}.$$

Lemma: If $T^{\ell}(0) \in \Delta_i$ and $T^{\ell}(1) \in \Delta_{i+(k-1)-d}$ for $1 \leq \ell < n, i = i(\ell)$, then

 $T^n(1) - T^n(0) = \gamma.$

Recall: $T^n(1) - T^n(0) = \gamma$.

Lemma: If $T^{n}(0) \in \Delta_{i}$ and $T^{n}(1) \in \Delta_{i+k-d}$ then the distance $|T^{n+1}(1) - T^{n+1}(0)| = \frac{d}{\beta}$ and there is matching in 2 steps.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

Recall: $T^n(1) - T^n(0) = \gamma$.

Lemma: If $T^{n}(0) \in \Delta_{i}$ and $T^{n}(1) \in \Delta_{i+k-d}$ then the distance $|T^{n+1}(1) - T^{n+1}(0)| = \frac{d}{\beta}$ and there is matching in 2 steps.

Hence, to avoid as matching, $T^{\ell}(0)$ has to avoid the sets

$$V_i := \{x \in \Delta(i) : x + \gamma \in \Delta(i + k - d)\} \\ = \left[\frac{i + k - d - \alpha}{\beta_k} - \gamma, \frac{i + 1 - \alpha}{\beta_k}\right].$$

Recall: $T^n(1) - T^n(0) = \gamma$.

Lemma: If $T^{n}(0) \in \Delta_{i}$ and $T^{n}(1) \in \Delta_{i+k-d}$ then the distance $|T^{n+1}(1) - T^{n+1}(0)| = \frac{d}{\beta}$ and there is matching in 2 steps.

Hence, to avoid as matching, $T^{\ell}(0)$ has to avoid the sets

$$V_i := \{x \in \Delta(i) : x + \gamma \in \Delta(i + k - d)\} \\= \left[\frac{i + k - d - \alpha}{\beta_k} - \gamma, \frac{i + 1 - \alpha}{\beta_k}\right].$$

Lemma: If

$$T^n(0) \in V = \cup_{i=0}^{d-1} V_i$$

then there is matching in two steps.

Lemma: The map $g_{\alpha} : [0, k - \beta] \rightarrow [0, k - \beta],$ $g_{\alpha}(x) := \begin{cases} k - \beta & \text{if } x \in V, \\ T_{\alpha}(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

is a non-decreasing degree *d* circle endomorpism, and $g_{\alpha}^{n}(0) \in V$ for some n > 1 precisely if $k - \beta$ is periodic.

うして ふゆう ふほう ふほう うらつ

The maps T_{α}



The maps T_{α}



and g_{α}

Lemma: The map $g_{\alpha} : [0, k - \beta] \rightarrow [0, k - \beta]$,

$$g_{lpha}(x) := egin{cases} k-eta & ext{if } x \in V, \ T_{lpha}(x) & ext{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

is a non-decreasing degree *d* circle endomorpism, and $g_{\alpha}^{n}(0) \in V$ for some n > 1 precisely if $k - \beta$ is periodic.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ ヨ ・ ト ・ 日 ・ う へ つ ・

Lemma: The map $g_{\alpha}: [0, k - \beta] \rightarrow [0, k - \beta]$,

$$g_{lpha}(x) := egin{cases} k-eta & ext{if } x \in V, \ T_{lpha}(x) & ext{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

is a non-decreasing degree *d* circle endomorpism, and $g_{\alpha}^{n}(0) \in V$ for some n > 1 precisely if $k - \beta$ is periodic.

Lemma: Define

 $X_{\alpha} = \{x \in \mathbb{S}^1 : g_{\alpha}^n(x) \notin V \text{ for all } n \ge 0\}.$

If there is no matching, then $\dim_H(X_\alpha) = \frac{\log d}{\log \beta}$.

Lemma: The map $g_{\alpha} : [0, k - \beta] \rightarrow [0, k - \beta]$,

$$g_{lpha}(x) := egin{cases} k-eta & ext{if } x \in V, \ T_{lpha}(x) & ext{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

is a non-decreasing degree *d* circle endomorpism, and $g_{\alpha}^{n}(0) \in V$ for some n > 1 precisely if $k - \beta$ is periodic.

Lemma: Define

 $X_{\alpha} = \{x \in \mathbb{S}^1 : g_{\alpha}^n(x) \notin V \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}.$

If there is no matching, then $\dim_H(X_{\alpha}) = \frac{\log d}{\log \beta}$.

Idea of Proof. For each *n*, we cover X_{α} by $O(d^n)$ intervals of length β^{-n} .

Proof of Theorem 1 for $\beta^2 - k\beta + d = 0$.

The task is to transfer the previous lemma from dynamical to parameter space.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

Proof of Theorem 1 for $\beta^2 - k\beta + d = 0$.

The task is to transfer the previous lemma from dynamical to parameter space.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• Use that $\alpha \mapsto T^n(\alpha)$ is piecewise linear with slope $\frac{\beta^n-1}{\beta-1}$.

Proof of Theorem 1 for $\beta^2 - k\beta + d = 0$.

- The task is to transfer the previous lemma from dynamical to parameter space.
- Use that $\alpha \mapsto T^n(\alpha)$ is piecewise linear with slope $\frac{\beta^n-1}{\beta-1}$.
- There is a one-to-one correspondence between intervals J in the cover of X_α and interval U of cover of A_β, and |J| and |U| are comparable (independently of n).

Proof of Theorem 1 for $\beta^2 - k\beta + d = 0$.

- The task is to transfer the previous lemma from dynamical to parameter space.
- Use that $\alpha \mapsto T^n(\alpha)$ is piecewise linear with slope $\frac{\beta^n-1}{\beta-1}$.
- There is a one-to-one correspondence between intervals J in the cover of X_α and interval U of cover of A_β, and |J| and |U| are comparable (independently of n).

Therefore, for each n, the set A_α can be covered by O(dⁿ) intervals of length O(β⁻ⁿ).

There is another frequently used class of Pisot units, namely leading solutions β_k of

$$\beta^k - \beta^{k-1} - \beta^{k-2} - \dots - 1 = 0.$$

for $k \geq 3$.

Theorem (Non-Quadratic Pisot Units)

For β_3 (tribonacci), there is prevalent matching. The non-matching set satisfies $0 < \dim_H(A_\beta) < 1$.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ ヨ ・ ト ・ 日 ・ う へ つ ・

There is another frequently used class of Pisot units, namely leading solutions β_k of

$$\beta^{k} - \beta^{k-1} - \beta^{k-2} - \dots - 1 = 0.$$

for $k \geq 3$.

Theorem (Non-Quadratic Pisot Units)

For β_3 (tribonacci), there is prevalent matching. The non-matching set satisfies $0 < \dim_H(A_\beta) < 1$.

We expect the same result for β_k , $k \ge 4$, but at the moment, we have no proof.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ ヨ ・ ト ・ 日 ・ う へ つ ・

Matching for non-Quadratic Pisot Units Lemma: For every $k \ge 2$ and $j \ge 0$ we have

 $|T_{\alpha}^{j}(0) - T_{\alpha}^{j}(1)| \in \Big\{\frac{e_{1}}{\beta} + \frac{e_{2}}{\beta^{2}} + \dots + \frac{e_{k}}{\beta^{k}} : e_{1}, \dots, e_{k} \in \{0, 1\}\Big\}.$

Matching for non-Quadratic Pisot Units Lemma: For every $k \ge 2$ and $j \ge 0$ we have

$$|T_{\alpha}^{j}(0) - T_{\alpha}^{j}(1)| \in \Big\{ \frac{e_{1}}{\beta} + \frac{e_{2}}{\beta^{2}} + \cdots + \frac{e_{k}}{\beta^{k}} : e_{1}, \ldots, e_{k} \in \{0, 1\} \Big\}.$$



Figure: The transition graph for the tribonacci number β_3 . The red numbers indicate the difference in branch between $T^j_{\alpha}(0)$ and $T^j_{\alpha}(1)$.



The diagram expresses only a the "fiber part" of a skew-product. So it is more complicated than a SFT.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・

э



- The diagram expresses only a the "fiber part" of a skew-product. So it is more complicated than a SFT.
- There are linked non-trivial loops that give a Cantor set of positive Hausdorff dimension inside the bifurcation set.



- The diagram expresses only a the "fiber part" of a skew-product. So it is more complicated than a SFT.
- There are linked non-trivial loops that give a Cantor set of positive Hausdorff dimension inside the bifurcation set.
- ▶ Abundancy of paths to matching gives upper bound < 1.



- The diagram expresses only a the "fiber part" of a skew-product. So it is more complicated than a SFT.
- There are linked non-trivial loops that give a Cantor set of positive Hausdorff dimension inside the bifurcation set.
- ▶ Abundancy of paths to matching gives upper bound < 1.



Figure: The transition graph for the Pisot number β_4 is similar but too complicated to handle.