

Dirac Operators on all Podleś Quantum Spheres

Francesco D'Andrea
Ludwik Dąbrowski
Giovanni Landi
Elmar Wagner

Vienna, Preprint ESI 1808 (2006)

June 22, 2006

Supported by the Austrian Federal Ministry of Education, Science and Culture
Available via <http://www.esi.ac.at>

Dirac operators on all Podleś quantum spheres

Francesco D'Andrea, Ludwik Dąbrowski,

Scuola Internazionale Superiore di Studi Avanzati,
Via Beirut 2-4, I-34014 Trieste, Italy

Giovanni Landi, Elmar Wagner

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Trieste,
Via Valerio 12/1, I-34127 Trieste

Abstract

We construct spectral triples on all Podleś quantum spheres S_{qt}^2 . These noncommutative geometries are equivariant for a left action of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ and are regular, even and of metric dimension 2. They are all isospectral to the undeformed round geometry of the sphere S^2 . There is also an equivariant real structure for which both the commutant property and the first order condition for the Dirac operators are valid up to infinitesimals of arbitrary order.

1 Introduction

We report on further explorations of the land where noncommutative geometry meets quantum groups and describe even spectral triples $(\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2), \mathcal{H}, D, \gamma)$ on all the quantum 2-spheres $\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$ introduced by Podleś [15]. Here the real deformation parameter is taken to be in the interval $0 < q < 1$. The additional parameter $t \in [0, 1]$ labels, among other things, the classical points, i.e., the 1 dimensional representations of the algebra $\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$.

The spectral triples of the present paper generalize the 0-dimensional one of [8] for the standard 2-sphere and the isospectral 2-dimensional one of [5] for the equatorial 2-sphere. We have isospectral spectral triples of metric dimension 2 on all Podleś quantum 2-spheres, including the standard, with the eigenvalues of the Dirac operator depending linearly on an angular momentum label (and with the ‘correct’ multiplicities). The Dirac operators have the same spectrum as the Dirac operator of the ‘round’ metric on the usual

Keywords: Noncommutative geometry, spectral triples, quantum groups, quantum spheres.

MSC: 58B34, 17B37.

2-sphere and we are constructing isospectral deformations. Moreover, all these triples are regular with simple and discrete dimension spectrum $\Sigma = \{1, 2\}$, as expected.

There is a crucial equivariance under a left action of the quantum enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ and both the representations of the algebra $\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$ on the Hilbert space of spinors $\mathcal{H}$ and the Dirac operators D are equivariant with respect to this $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ -action.

We have also an equivariant real structure of the kind ‘up to compact operators’ of [5], that is, such that both the ‘commutant property’ and the ‘first order condition’ for a real spectral triple [3] are obeyed only up to infinitesimals of arbitrary order. This phenomenon has also been observed in [6] for the manifold of $\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{SU}_q(2))$. Its occurrence for all Podleś quantum 2-spheres is another indication that it may be a characteristic feature of noncommutative manifolds of quantum groups and associated quantum (homogeneous) spaces, at least when asking for some degree of equivariance.

For the standard sphere, we get additional families of spectral triples for which the eigenvalues of D behave like $|d_l| \sim q^{-l}$ for large l ; for these families we would get a stronger result on the real structure analogously to what has been established in [8].

It should be mentioned that we do not study the additional ‘discrete’ series of quantum 2-spheres in [15] which have algebras of matrices as coordinate algebras.

2 Preliminary definitions

In this section, we set out the basic notions concerning equivariant spectral triples. We start by recalling the notion of a finite summable spectral triple [2].

Definition 2.1. *A spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is given by a complex unital $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$, a faithful $*$ -representation π of $\mathcal{A}$ by bounded operators on a (separable) Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and a self-adjoint operator D (the Dirac operator) such that*

- (i) $(D + i)^{-1}$ is a compact operator,
- (ii) $[D, \pi(a)]$ is bounded for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.

With $0 < \mu < \infty$, the spectral triple is said to be μ^+ -summable, if the operator $(D^2 + 1)^{-1/2}$ is in the Dixmier ideal $\mathcal{L}^{\mu^+}(\mathcal{H})$.

We shall also call μ the *metric dimension* of the triple.

A spectral triple is called *even* if there exists a $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -grading operator γ on $\mathcal{H}$, $\gamma = \gamma^*$, $\gamma^2 = 1$, such that the Dirac operator is odd and the algebra is even, i.e.,

$$\gamma D = -D\gamma, \quad \pi(a)\gamma = \gamma\pi(a), \quad a \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (2.1)$$

Recall [3] that a real structure on a spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ should be given by an antiunitary operator J on $\mathcal{H}$ fulfilling the conditions $J^2 = \pm 1$, $JD = \pm DJ$ and

$$[\pi(a), J\pi(b)J^{-1}] = 0, \quad [[D, \pi(a)], J\pi(b)J^{-1}] = 0, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}. \quad (2.2)$$

It was suggested in [5] that one should modify these conditions in order to obtain a nontrivial spin geometry on the coordinate algebra of quantum groups and of associated quantum (homogeneous) spaces. Following the lines of [5], we impose the weaker assumption that (2.2) holds only modulo infinitesimals of arbitrary high order. Here, a compact

operator A is regarded as an infinitesimal of arbitrary high order if its singular values $s_k(A)$ satisfy $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^p s_k(A) = 0$ for all $p > 0$. Therefore, throughout this paper, we shall use the following working definition of a real structure.

Definition 2.2. A real structure J on a spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is given by an antiunitary operator J on $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} J^2 &= \pm 1, & JD &= \pm DJ, \\ [\pi(a), J\pi(b)J^{-1}] &\in \mathcal{I}, & [[D, \pi(a)], J\pi(b)J^{-1}] &\in \mathcal{I}, \quad a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where $\mathcal{I}$ is an operator ideal of infinitesimals of arbitrary high order. We shall name the datum $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D, J)$ a real spectral triple (up to infinitesimals).

If $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D, \gamma)$ is even and if in addition

$$J\gamma = \pm \gamma J, \quad (2.4)$$

we call the datum $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D, \gamma, J)$ a real even spectral triple (up to infinitesimals).

The signs above depend on the (metric) dimension. We are only interested in the case when the dimension is 2. Then $J^2 = -1$, $JD = DJ$ and $J\gamma = -\gamma J$. For brevity, we shall drop the annotation “up to infinitesimals” in the sequel.

We turn now to symmetries that will be implemented by an action of a Hopf $*$ -algebra. In the classical case of a G -homogeneous spin^c structure on a manifold M , the symmetry Hopf $*$ -algebra is given by the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of G . As we shall see, this approach will force us to consider unbounded $*$ -representations. For this, let $\mathcal{V}$ be a dense linear subspace of a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, and let $\mathcal{U}$ be a $*$ -algebra. An (unbounded) $*$ -representation of $\mathcal{U}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ is a homomorphism $\lambda : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$ such that $\langle \lambda(h)v, w \rangle = \langle v, \lambda(h^*)w \rangle$ for all $v, w \in \mathcal{V}$ and all $h \in \mathcal{U}$.

Next, let $\mathcal{U} = (\mathcal{U}, \Delta, S, \varepsilon)$ be a Hopf $*$ -algebra and $\mathcal{A}$ be a left $\mathcal{U}$ -module $*$ -algebra, i.e., there is a left action $\triangleright$ of a $\mathcal{U}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$h \triangleright xy = (h_{(1)} \triangleright x)(h_{(2)} \triangleright y), \quad h \triangleright 1 = \varepsilon(h)1, \quad (h \triangleright x)^* = S(h)^* \triangleright x^*, \quad (2.5)$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{U}$ and $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$. As customary, we use the notation $\Delta(h) = h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}$.

A $*$ -representation π of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ is called $\mathcal{U}$ -equivariant if there exists a $*$ -representation λ of $\mathcal{U}$ on $\mathcal{V}$ such that

$$\lambda(h) \pi(x) \xi = \pi(h_{(1)} \triangleright x) \lambda(h_{(2)}) \xi,$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{U}$, $x \in \mathcal{A}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{V}$. Given $\mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ as above, the left crossed product $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{U}$ is defined as the $*$ -algebra generated by the two $*$ -subalgebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ with crossed commutation relations

$$hx = (h_{(1)} \triangleright x)h_{(2)}, \quad h \in \mathcal{U}, \quad x \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Thus $\mathcal{U}$ -equivariant representations of $\mathcal{A}$ correspond to $*$ -representations of $\mathcal{A} \rtimes \mathcal{U}$.

A linear operator D defined on $\mathcal{V}$ is said to be equivariant if it commutes with $\lambda(h)$, i.e.,

$$D\lambda(h)\xi = \lambda(h)D\xi, \quad (2.6)$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{V}$. On the other hand, an antilinear operator T defined on $\mathcal{V}$ is called equivariant if it satisfies the relation

$$T\lambda(h)\xi = \lambda(S(h)^*)T\xi, \quad (2.7)$$

for all $h \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\xi \in \mathcal{V}$, where S denotes the antipode of $\mathcal{U}$. Finally, an antiunitary operator J is said to be equivariant if it leaves $\mathcal{V}$ invariant and if it is the antiunitary part of the polar decomposition of an equivariant antilinear (closed) operator.

We collect all these equivariance requirements by giving the following definition [18].

Definition 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{U}$ be a Hopf $*$ -algebra, $\mathcal{A}$ a left $\mathcal{U}$ -module $*$ -algebra and π a $\mathcal{U}$ -equivariant representation of $\mathcal{A}$ on (a dense linear subspace of) a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. A (real even) spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D, \gamma, J)$ is called equivariant if the operators D , γ and J are equivariant in the above sense.*

In the remainder of this section, we recall a few analytic properties of spectral triples [4]. With the operator D of a spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$, one defines an unbounded derivation δ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by

$$\delta(a) = [|D|, a], \quad a \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}).$$

Definition 2.4. *The spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D)$ is said to be regular if the algebra generated by $\mathcal{A}$ and the commutators $[D, \mathcal{A}]$ belongs to*

$$\text{OP}^0 := \bigcap_{j \in \mathbb{N}_0} \text{dom } \delta^j.$$

For a regular spectral triple, the algebra Ψ^0 generated by $\mathcal{A}$, the commutators $[D, \mathcal{A}]$ and iterated applications of δ is a subalgebra of OP^0 , $\Psi^0 \subset \text{OP}^0$. If the spectral triple is of dimension μ , the “zeta-type” functions

$$\zeta_a(z) := \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}(a|D|^{-z}), \quad a \in \Psi^0,$$

are defined and holomorphic for $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re } z > \mu$. Here we are assuming, for simplicity, that D is invertible. Analogous formulæ are easily defined for the general case: one works with $(1 + D^2)^{-z/2}$ instead of $|D|^{-z}$ for a not invertible D .

Definition 2.5. *A spectral triple has dimension spectrum $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$, with Σ a countable set, if all $\zeta_a(z)$, $a \in \Psi^0$, extend to meromorphic functions on $\mathbb{C}$ with poles in Σ as unique singularities.*

For later use, we also recall the definition of “smoothing operators” $\text{OP}^{-\infty}$, i.e.,

$$\text{OP}^{-\infty} := \{T \in \text{OP}^0 : |D|^n T \in \text{OP}^0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}.$$

The class $\text{OP}^{-\infty}$ is a two-sided $*$ -ideal in the $*$ -algebra OP^0 and δ -invariant. When computing the dimension spectrum, we can take the quotient by smoothing operators since they merely contribute holomorphic terms.

If the metric dimension of D is finite, smoothing operators are infinitesimals of arbitrary high order. But the converse is in general not true, since infinitesimals of arbitrary high order are a two-sided $*$ -ideal in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ while $\text{OP}^{-\infty}$ is not.

Also, for a finite metric dimension, rapid decay matrices (in a basis of eigenvectors for D with eigenvalues in increasing order) are smoothing operators.

3 The Podleś spheres and their symmetries

Recall that $q \in (0, 1)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Let

$$[n] = [n]_q := \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}}, \quad n \in \mathbb{R}.$$

The coordinate $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$ of the Podleś spheres [15] is generated by elements x_{-1} , x_0 and x_1 with relations

$$x_{-1}x_0 - q^2x_0x_{-1} = (1 - q^2)tx_{-1}, \quad (3.1a)$$

$$x_1x_0 - q^{-2}x_0x_1 = (1 - q^{-2})tx_1, \quad (3.1b)$$

$$-[2]x_{-1}x_1 + (q^2x_0 + t)(x_0 - t) = [2]^2(1 - t), \quad (3.1c)$$

$$-[2]x_1x_{-1} + (q^{-2}x_0 + t)(x_0 - t) = [2]^2(1 - t), \quad (3.1d)$$

and with involution $x_{-1}^* = -q^{-1}x_1$ and $x_0^* = x_0$.

The standard quantum sphere corresponds to the value $t = 1$, the equatorial one to $t = 0$. For $t = 1$, the quantum sphere has one classical point. That is, there exists exactly one 1-dimensional irreducible representation of the algebra, namely,

$$x_0 = 1, \quad x_1 = x_{-1} = 0. \quad (3.2)$$

For $t \neq 1$, the classical points make up an S^1 given by the following 1-dimensional irreducible representations of the algebra,

$$x_0 = t, \quad x_1 = \sqrt{(1 + q^2)(1 - t)} \lambda, \quad x_{-1} = -q^{-1} \sqrt{(1 + q^2)(1 - t)} \bar{\lambda}, \quad (3.3)$$

where λ is a complex number of modulus 1.

The generators that we use are related to those of [15] as follows. For $t \neq 0$, let $c = t^{-1} - t$. Setting

$$A = (1 + q^2)^{-1}(1 - t^{-1}x_0), \quad B = q(1 + q^2)^{-1/2}t^{-1}x_{-1},$$

we recover the generators from [15, Equation (7a)] satisfying the relations

$$AB = q^{-2}BA, \quad AB^* = q^2B^*A, \quad B^*B = A - A^2 + c, \quad BB^* = q^2A - q^4A^2 + c.$$

For $t = 0$, we set

$$A = -(1 + q^2)^{-1}x_0, \quad B = q(1 + q^2)^{-1/2}x_{-1},$$

and obtain the generators from [15, Equation (7b)] with relations

$$AB = q^{-2}BA, \quad AB^* = q^2B^*A, \quad B^*B = -A^2 + 1, \quad BB^* = -q^4A^2 + 1.$$

The symmetry that we shall use in the following is given by the Hopf $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$. This Hopf $*$ -algebra is generated by elements f, e, k, k^{-1} with defining relations

$$kk^{-1} = k^{-1}k = 1, \quad k^2 - k^{-2} = (q - q^{-1})(fe - ef), \quad kf = qfk, \quad ke = q^{-1}ek,$$

coproduct

$$\Delta k = k \otimes k, \quad \Delta f = f \otimes k + k^{-1} \otimes f, \quad \Delta e = e \otimes k + k^{-1} \otimes e,$$

counit

$$\varepsilon(k - 1) = \varepsilon(f) = \varepsilon(e) = 0,$$

antipode

$$S(k) = k^{-1}, \quad S(f) = -qf, \quad S(e) = -q^{-1}e,$$

and involution

$$k^* = k, \quad f^* = e.$$

The irreducible finite dimensional $*$ -representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ are labeled by non-negative half-integers $l \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}_0$ called spin. The spin l representation, say σ_l , acts on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{V}^l$ which is $(2l + 1)$ -dimensional; the action of the generators on an orthonormal basis $\{v_m^l : m = -l, -l + 1, \dots, l\}$ is given by the formulæ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_l(k) v_m^l &= q^m v_m^l, \\ \sigma_l(f) v_m^l &= [l - m]^{1/2} [l + m + 1]^{1/2} v_{m+1}^l, \\ \sigma_l(e) v_m^l &= [l - m + 1]^{1/2} [l + m]^{1/2} v_{m-1}^l. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Finally, there is a left $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ -action on $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{S}_{qt}^2)$ which turns the latter into a $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ -module $*$ -algebra. On generators, it is given by

$$k \triangleright x_{-1} = q^{-1}x_{-1}, \quad f \triangleright x_{-1} = [2]^{1/2}x_0, \quad e \triangleright x_{-1} = 0, \tag{3.5}$$

$$k \triangleright x_0 = x_0, \quad f \triangleright x_0 = [2]^{1/2}x_1, \quad e \triangleright x_0 = [2]^{1/2}x_{-1}, \tag{3.6}$$

$$k \triangleright x_1 = qx_1, \quad f \triangleright x_1 = 0, \quad e \triangleright x_1 = [2]^{1/2}x_0. \tag{3.7}$$

4 Equivariant representations

As mentioned above, looking for $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ -equivariant representation of the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{S}_{qt}^2)$, we can equivalently look for representations of the algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{S}_{qt}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$; these were constructed in [17].

4.1 Integrable representations of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{S}_{qt}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$

A $*$ -representation of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{S}_{qt}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ is called *integrable* if its restriction to $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ is a direct sum of spin l representations σ_l .

The integrable representations of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{S}_{qt}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ were completely classified in [17]. It turned out that each such integrable representation is a direct sum of irreducible ones. The following proposition restates [17, Theorem 4.1] in the case of irreducible representations. In doing so, we replace the parameter r there by $t^{-1} - t$.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $N \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$. Any irreducible integrable representation of the $*$ -algebra $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{S}_{qt}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ is unitarily equivalent to a representation π_N described as follows. The Hilbert space is the closure of $\mathcal{M}_N := \bigoplus_{l=|N|, |N|+1, \dots} \mathcal{V}^l$, where $\mathcal{V}^l$ is a spin l -representation space. The generators of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ act on each $\mathcal{V}^l$ by σ_l as in (3.4).*

Let $\{v_{m,N}^l : l = |N|, |N| + 1, \dots, m = -l, -l + 1, \dots, l\}$ be an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{M}_N$. The action of the generators x_1, x_0, x_{-1} of $\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$ is determined by

$$\pi_N(x_i)v_{m,N}^l = \alpha_i^-(l, m; N)v_{m+i,N}^{l-1} + \alpha_i^0(l, m; N)v_{m+i,N}^l + \alpha_i^+(l, m; N)v_{m+i,N}^{l+1}, \quad (4.1)$$

where the coefficients are explicitly given by,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1^+(l, m; N) &= q^{-l+m}[l+m+1]^{1/2}[l+m+2]^{1/2}[2l+1]^{-1/2}[2l+2]^{-1/2}\alpha_N(l+1), \\ \alpha_1^0(l, m; N) &= -q^{m+2}[l-m]^{1/2}[l+m+1]^{1/2}[2]^{1/2}[2l]^{-1}\beta_N(l), \\ \alpha_1^-(l, m; N) &= -q^{l+m+1}[l-m-1]^{1/2}[l-m]^{1/2}[2l-1]^{-1/2}[2l]^{-1/2}\alpha_N(l), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_0^+(l, m; N) &= q^m[l-m+1]^{1/2}[l+m+1]^{1/2}[2]^{1/2}[2l+1]^{-1/2}[2l+2]^{-1/2}\alpha_N(l+1), \\ \alpha_0^0(l, m; N) &= [2l]^{-1}([l-m+1][l+m] - q^2[l-m][l+m+1])\beta_N(l), \\ \alpha_0^-(l, m; N) &= q^m[l-m]^{1/2}[l+m]^{1/2}[2]^{1/2}[2l-1]^{-1/2}[2l]^{-1/2}\alpha_N(l), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_{-1}^+(l, m; N) &= q^{l+m}[l-m+1]^{1/2}[l-m+2]^{1/2}[2l+1]^{-1/2}[2l+2]^{-1/2}\alpha_N(l+1), \\ \alpha_{-1}^0(l, m; N) &= q^m[l-m+1]^{1/2}[l+m]^{1/2}[2]^{1/2}[2l]^{-1}\beta_N(l), \\ \alpha_{-1}^-(l, m; N) &= -q^{-l+m-1}[l+m-1]^{1/2}[l+m]^{1/2}[2l-1]^{-1/2}[2l]^{-1/2}\alpha_N(l), \end{aligned} \quad (4.2c)$$

and the real numbers $\beta_N(l)$ and $\alpha_N(l)$ are given by

$$\alpha_N(l) = \frac{[2]^{1/2}[l+N]^{1/2}[l-N]^{1/2}[2l]^{1/2}}{[2l+1]^{1/2}[l]} \left(1 - t + q^{-2N}[2l]^{-2}[l]^2(t-1+q^{2N})^2\right)^{1/2}, \quad (4.3a)$$

$$\beta_N(l) = \frac{\epsilon [2|N|](q^{-1} + q - q^\epsilon t) + t(q - q^{-1})\{[|N|][|N|+1] - [l][l+1]\}}{q[2l+2]}, \quad (4.3b)$$

with $\epsilon = \text{sign}(N)$.

Remark 4.2. In [17, Proposition 6.4], it was shown that the representation space $\mathcal{M}_N$ is isomorphic to a projective left $\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$ -module of rank 1. These projective left $\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$ -modules can be considered as line bundles over the quantum sphere S_{qt}^2 with winding numbers $2N$ [1, 11, 14]. In particular, $\mathcal{M}_0 \cong \mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$ is the trivial line bundle.

Remark 4.3. The representations $\pi_{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$ for the standard quantum sphere $\mathcal{A}(S_{q1}^2)$ appeared first in [8].

4.2 The spin representation

Classically, the spinor bundle on the 2-sphere is given by the direct sum of two line bundles with winding numbers -1 and 1 . In correspondence with the classical picture, we take

$$\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{M}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{\frac{1}{2}}$$

as (polynomial sections) of the quantum spinor bundle. The Hilbert space completion $\mathcal{H}$ of $\mathcal{W}$ will be the *Hilbert space of spinors* with the representation $\pi := \pi_{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \pi_{\frac{1}{2}}$ of the algebra $\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$ as the *spinor representation*.

For simplicity of notation, we shall use the label $+$ instead of $N = \frac{1}{2}$, and $-$ instead of $N = -\frac{1}{2}$. With these conventions, $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{M}_- \oplus \mathcal{M}_+$, and $\pi_{\pm}$ denotes the representation $\pi_{\pm\frac{1}{2}}$. Moreover, abusing notation slightly when the representation is understood from the context, we shall omit the letter π on representing $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ and write X in place of $\pi(X)$ for $X \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$.

Recall from Proposition 4.1 that

$$\mathcal{W} = \bigoplus_{l=\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots} \mathcal{W}^l, \quad \mathcal{W}^l = \bigoplus_{m=-l, \dots, l} \mathcal{W}_m^l,$$

where $\mathcal{W}_m^l = \text{Lin}\{v_{m,-}^l, v_{m,+}^l\}$. Throughout this paper, we keep the basis $\{v_{m,-}^l, v_{m,+}^l\}$ of $\mathcal{W}_m^l$ fixed. Clearly, an orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$ is provided by the vectors

$$\{v_{m,-}^l, v_{m,+}^l : l = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots, m = -l, \dots, l\}. \quad (4.4)$$

For an arbitrary but fixed vector $w_m^l \in \mathcal{W}_m^l$, say $w_m^l = \alpha_{m,-}^l v_{m,-}^l + \alpha_{m,+}^l v_{m,+}^l$ with components $\alpha_{m,-}^l, \alpha_{m,+}^l \in \mathbb{C}$, and for any $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, the expression w_{m+i}^{l+j} denotes the vector $w_{m+i}^{l+j} = \alpha_{m,-}^{l+j} v_{m+i,-}^{l+j} + \alpha_{m,+}^{l+j} v_{m+i,+}^{l+j} \in \mathcal{W}_{m+i}^{l+j}$. It is understood that $w_{m+i}^{l+j} = 0$ whenever $l+j < 0$ or $|m+i| > l+j$.

A substantial part of our results will be based on the fact that the operators $\pi(x_{-1})$, $\pi(x_0)$, $\pi(x_1)$ can be “approximated” by operators acting diagonally on $\mathcal{M}_- \oplus \mathcal{M}_+$. This is the content of the next lemma. For this purpose, we define operators z_{-1} , z_0 and z_1 on $\mathcal{H}$ by their action on $w_m^l \in \mathcal{W}_m^l$ as follows:

$$z_i w_m^l = \alpha_i^-(l, m; 0) w_{m+i}^{l-1} + \alpha_i^0(l, m; 0) w_{m+i}^l + \alpha_i^+(l, m; 0) w_{m+i}^{l+1}, \quad i = -1, 0, 1. \quad (4.5)$$

Here, the coefficients are the ones defined in Equations (4.2) unless $|m+i| > l+\nu$, $\nu = 0, \pm 1$; in this case, we set $\alpha_i^\nu(l, m; 0) = 0$. Formally, the operators z_i ’s are given by the same formulæ as the $\pi_0(x_i)$ ’s but $\mathcal{W}$ and $\mathcal{M}_0$ decompose into different spin representation spaces. As a consequence, z_{-1} , z_0 and z_1 do not satisfy the commutation relations of the generators of $\mathcal{A}(\mathbb{S}_{qt}^2)$. Explicitly, their commutation relations read

$$\begin{aligned} z_{-1} z_0 - q^2 z_0 z_{-1} &= (1 - q^2) t z_{-1}, \\ z_1 z_0 - q^{-2} z_0 z_1 &= (1 - q^{-2}) t z_1, \\ -[2] z_{-1} z_1 + (q^2 z_0 + t)(z_0 - t) &= [2]^2 (1 - t) - c P_-, \\ -[2] z_1 z_{-1} + (q^{-2} z_0 + t)(z_0 - t) &= [2]^2 (1 - t) - c P_+, \end{aligned}$$

where $P_{\pm}$ denotes the projection onto the 2-dimensional subspace $\text{Lin}\{v_{\pm 1/2,-}^{1/2}, v_{\pm 1/2,+}^{1/2}\}$ and $c := q[2]\alpha_0(\frac{1}{2})^2 = q[2](1 - t + [\frac{1}{2}]^2 t^2)$.

Before stating the lemma, we need some more notation. For any bounded operator L on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, $\mathcal{I}(L)$ denotes the two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ generated by L . Also, throughout this paper, L_q stands for the compact operator on $\mathcal{H}$ defined by

$$L_q w_m^l = q^l w_m^l, \quad w_m^l \in \mathcal{W}_m^l. \quad (4.6)$$

Lemma 4.4. *There exist bounded operators A_i and B_i , $i = -1, 0, 1$, such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x_i) - z_i &= A_i L_q^2 = L_q^2 B_i & \text{if } t \neq 1, \\ \pi(x_i) - z_i &= A_i L_q = L_q B_i & \text{if } t = 1. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, $\pi(x_i) - z_i \in \mathcal{I}(L_q^2)$ and $\pi(x_i) - z_i \in \mathcal{I}(L_q)$ for $t \neq 1$ and $t = 1$, respectively.

Proof. Let $t \neq 1$. Our aim is to show that $L_q^{-2}(\pi(x_i) - z_i)$ and $(\pi(x_i) - z_i)L_q^{-2}$ are bounded. Note that $\pi(x_i) - z_i$ is a sum of three independent weighted shift operators with weights $\alpha_i^\nu(l, m; \pm \frac{1}{2}) - \alpha_i^\nu(l, m; 0)$, where $\nu \in \{+, -, 0\}$. Hence it suffices to prove that there exist $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\alpha_i^\nu(l, m; \pm \frac{1}{2}) - \alpha_i^\nu(l, m; 0)| < Cq^{2l}$. Using $[n] \sim q^{-n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, one easily verifies that the coefficients in front of $\alpha_N(l)$ and $\beta_N(l)$ in Equations (4.2a)-(4.2c) are uniformly bounded. Thus, we only have to prove that there exist $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $|\beta_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}(l) - \beta_0(l)| < Cq^{2l}$ and $|\alpha_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}(l) - \alpha_0(l)| < Cq^{2l}$ for all $l = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$.

Since $[2l+2]^{-1} \sim q^{2l}$, the first inequality follows from

$$|\beta_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}(l) - \beta_0(l)| = [2l+2]^{-1} \left| q^{-1} (q^{\mp 1} + q^{\pm 1}(1-t)) \pm t(1-q^{-2})[1/2][3/2] \right| < Cq^{2l}, \quad (4.7)$$

with an appropriate $C \in \mathbb{R}$.

As for the second inequality, we observe that $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}(l) = (1+q^2)^{1/2}(1-t)^{1/2}$. For $t \neq 1$, by using Equation (4.3a), an elementary computation shows that

$$|\alpha_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}(l) - \alpha_0(l)| < C' |\alpha_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}(l)^2 - \alpha_0(l)^2| < C'' [2l]^{-1} |q^{\mp 1}(t-1+q^{\pm 1})^2 - t^2| < C''' q^{2l},$$

for some constants $C', C'', C''' \in \mathbb{R}$.

For $t = 1$, the relation (4.7) remains valid. On the other hand, one verifies directly that $|\alpha_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}(l)| < C'q^l$ and $|\alpha_0(l)| < C'q^l$; thus $|\alpha_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}(l) - \alpha_0(l)| < 2C'q^l$. $\square$

5 Equivariant Dirac operators

In this section, we provide a class of equivariant self-adjoint operators D on the Hilbert space of spinors $\mathcal{H}$ such that $(\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}_{qt}^2), \mathcal{H}, D)$ fulfills all conditions of a spectral triple.

Let $C_q := qk^2 + q^{-1}k^{-2} + (q - q^{-1})ef$ be the Casimir operator of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$. It acts on the space of spinors $\mathcal{W}$ by $C_q v_{m,\pm}^l = (q^{2l+1} + q^{-2l-1})v_{m,\pm}^l$, and its closure on $\mathcal{H}$ is self-adjoint. Suppose that D is a self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{H}$ commuting strongly with the closure of C_q . Then the finite-dimensional subspaces $\mathcal{W}^l$ reduce D , and $\mathcal{W}$ is invariant under D . Assume next that D is equivariant, i.e., $XD = DX$ for all $X \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$.

From $kD = Dk$, it follows that D leaves the 2-dimensional subspaces $\mathcal{W}_m^l$ invariant. Let D_m^l be a self-adjoint operator on $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that the restriction of D to $\mathcal{W}_m^l$, in our fixed basis for the latter, is given by D_m^l . Then $Df = fD$ implies that $D_{m+1}^l = D_m^l$. Hence there exists a self-adjoint operator on $\mathbb{C}^2$, D_l say, such that $D_m^l = D_l$ for all $m = -l, \dots, l$. Diagonalizing D_l , we can write

$$D_l = U_l^* \begin{pmatrix} d_l^\uparrow & 0 \\ 0 & d_l^\downarrow \end{pmatrix} U_l, \quad (5.1)$$

where $d_l^\uparrow$ and $d_l^\downarrow$ are the eigenvalues of D_l and U_l is a unitary operator on $\mathbb{C}^2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $d_l^\downarrow \leq d_l^\uparrow$. Definition 2.1 (i) is fulfilled if and only if

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} |d_l^\uparrow| = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} |d_l^\downarrow| = \infty. \quad (5.2)$$

Next we give sufficient conditions for the boundedness of the commutators $[D, \pi(a)]$ with $a \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{S}_{qt}^2)$. By the Leibniz rule for the commutator, $[D, xy] = [D, x]y + x[D, y]$, it

suffices to consider only commutators with the generators x_{-1}, x_0, x_1 . As the action of the operators z_{-1}, z_0, z_1 from Lemma 4.4 is comparatively simple, we write $[D, \pi(x_i)] = [D, z_i] + [D, \pi(x_i) - z_i]$ and start by analyzing $[D, z_i]$. Let $w_m^l \in \mathcal{W}_m^l$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} [D, z_i]w_m^l &= \alpha_i^-(l, m; 0) \left(U_{l-1}^* \begin{pmatrix} d_{l-1}^\uparrow & 0 \\ 0 & d_{l-1}^\downarrow \end{pmatrix} U_{l-1} - U_l^* \begin{pmatrix} d_l^\uparrow & 0 \\ 0 & d_l^\downarrow \end{pmatrix} U_l \right) w_{m+i}^{l-1} \\ &\quad + \alpha_i^+(l, m; 0) \left(U_{l+1}^* \begin{pmatrix} d_{l+1}^\uparrow & 0 \\ 0 & d_{l+1}^\downarrow \end{pmatrix} U_{l+1} - U_l^* \begin{pmatrix} d_l^\uparrow & 0 \\ 0 & d_l^\downarrow \end{pmatrix} U_l \right) w_{m+i}^{l+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.3)$$

We need to treat the cases $t \neq 1$ and $t = 1$ separately.

Firstly, let $t \neq 1$. Recall that $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{\pm \frac{1}{2}}(l) = (1 + q^2)^{1/2}(1 - t)^{1/2}$ and observe that $[n] \sim q^{-n}$ for large n . From this, it follows that $\alpha_i^\pm(l, m; 0) = O(1)$. Hence $[D, z_i]$ is bounded if and only if there exist $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|U_{l+1}^* \begin{pmatrix} d_{l+1}^\uparrow & 0 \\ 0 & d_{l+1}^\downarrow \end{pmatrix} U_{l+1} - U_l^* \begin{pmatrix} d_l^\uparrow & 0 \\ 0 & d_l^\downarrow \end{pmatrix} U_l\| < C \quad \text{for all } l = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \quad (5.4)$$

or, equivalently,

$$\|U_l U_{l+1}^* \begin{pmatrix} d_{l+1}^\uparrow & 0 \\ 0 & d_{l+1}^\downarrow \end{pmatrix} U_{l+1} U_l^* - \begin{pmatrix} d_l^\uparrow & 0 \\ 0 & d_l^\downarrow \end{pmatrix}\| < C \quad \text{for all } l = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots. \quad (5.5)$$

Writing the unitary 2×2 -matrix $U_l U_{l+1}^*$ in standard form, the last inequality implies that there are $t_l \in [0, 1]$ and a fixed constant $C' \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} |d_{l+1}^\uparrow - d_l^\uparrow - t_l^2(d_{l+1}^\uparrow - d_{l+1}^\downarrow)| &= |d_{l+1}^\downarrow - d_l^\uparrow + (1 - t_l^2)(d_{l+1}^\uparrow - d_{l+1}^\downarrow)| < C', \\ |d_{l+1}^\downarrow - d_l^\downarrow + t_l^2(d_{l+1}^\uparrow - d_{l+1}^\downarrow)| &= |d_{l+1}^\uparrow - d_l^\downarrow - (1 - t_l^2)(d_{l+1}^\uparrow - d_{l+1}^\downarrow)| < C', \\ t_l \sqrt{1 - t_l^2} |d_{l+1}^\uparrow - d_{l+1}^\downarrow| &< C', \end{aligned}$$

for all $l = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$. Using these inequalities and $d_{l+1}^\uparrow \geq d_{l+1}^\downarrow$, one deduces that

$$\sup\{|d_{l+1}^\downarrow - d_l^\downarrow|, |d_{l+1}^\uparrow - d_l^\uparrow| : l = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots\} < \infty.$$

Consequently, there exist $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$|d_l^\downarrow| < Cl, \quad |d_l^\uparrow| < Cl. \quad (5.6)$$

From these inequalities, it follows that both DL_q^2 and $L_q^2 D$ are bounded and, by Lemma 4.4, so is $[D, \pi(x_i) - z_i]$. This proves that Equation (5.6) ensures the boundedness of the commutators $[D, \pi(x_i)] = [D, z_i] + [D, \pi(x_i) - z_i]$.

If $t = 1$, one can show by direct computations that $\alpha_i^\pm(l, m; 0) = O(q^l)$. As a consequence, $[D, z_i]$ is bounded if and only if there are $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\|U_{l+1}^* \begin{pmatrix} d_{l+1}^\uparrow & 0 \\ 0 & d_{l+1}^\downarrow \end{pmatrix} U_{l+1} - U_l^* \begin{pmatrix} d_l^\uparrow & 0 \\ 0 & d_l^\downarrow \end{pmatrix} U_l\| < Cq^{-l} \quad \text{for all } l = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots. \quad (5.7)$$

By Lemma 4.4, $\pi(x_i) - z_i = A_i L_q = L_q B_i$ with bounded operators A_i and B_i . Now we require that $DL_q = L_q D$ is bounded or, equivalently, that there exist $C \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$|d_l^\downarrow| < Cq^{-l}, \quad |d_l^\uparrow| < Cq^{-l}, \quad l = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots. \quad (5.8)$$

Then Equation 5.7 holds and $[D, \pi(x_i)] = [D, z_i] + [D, \pi(x_i) - z_i]$ is bounded.

Summarizing, we have established the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Let D be an equivariant self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{H}$ such that D and the closure of C_q strongly commute. Then $\mathcal{W}_m^l = \text{Lin}\{v_{m,-}^l, v_{m,+}^l\}$ is an invariant subspace and the restriction of D to $\mathcal{W}_m^l$ is given by (5.1). If (5.2) holds and if the inequalities (5.4) and (5.8) are satisfied for $t \neq 1$ and $t = 1$, respectively, the triple $(\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2), \mathcal{H}, D)$ defines an $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{su}(2))$ equivariant spectral triple.*

6 The real structure

We require that the real structure J be equivariant. This means that J is the antiunitary part of a closed antilinear operator T satisfying $TX = S(X)^*T$ for all $X \in \mathcal{U}_q(\text{su}(2))$ (cf. Section 2). Now, any antiunitary operator J which leaves $\mathcal{W}$ invariant and fulfills

$$JXJ^{-1} = kS(X)^*k^{-1}, \quad X \in \mathcal{U}_q(\text{su}(2)), \quad (6.1)$$

is equivariant. To see this, one can take for T the closure of the operator Jk since $JkX = S(X)^*Jk$ for all $X \in \mathcal{U}_q(\text{su}(2))$.

Next, consider the antiunitary operator J_0 on $\mathcal{H}$ defined by

$$J_0 v_{m,\pm}^l = (-1)^{m+1/2} v_{-m,\pm}^l, \quad l = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots, \quad m = -l, \dots, l. \quad (6.2)$$

Clearly, $J_0^2 = -1$. The antiunitary operator J_0 will play a crucial role in discussing the general form of an equivariant real structure. We summarize some properties of J_0 in the following lemmata.

Lemma 6.1. *The antiunitary operator J_0 is equivariant.*

Proof. First note that J_0 leaves the spinor bundle $\mathcal{W}$ invariant. The lemma is proved by showing that J_0 satisfies (6.1). Since $X \mapsto kS(X)^*k^{-1}$ is an antilinear homomorphism, it suffices to verify (6.1) for the generators of $\mathcal{U}_q(\text{su}(2))$ which can easily be done by straightforward calculations. $\square$

Lemma 6.2. *With the operators z_{-1}, z_0, z_1 defined in Equation (4.5),*

$$[z_i, J_0 z_j J_0^{-1}] = 0, \quad i, j = -1, 0, 1. \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. In the notation of Equation (4.5), we have

$$J_0 z_i J_0^{-1} w_m^l = (-1)^i (\alpha_i^-(l, -m; 0) w_{m-i}^{l-1} + \alpha_i^0(l, -m; 0) w_{m-i}^l + \alpha_i^+(l, -m; 0) w_{m-i}^{l+1}), \quad (6.4)$$

for $w_m^l \in \mathcal{W}_m^l$. The lemma is proved by direct computations using Equations (4.5) and (6.4). $\square$

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\mathcal{I}$ denotes the operator ideal $\mathcal{I}(L_q)$ or $\mathcal{I}(L_q^2)$ for $t = 1$ and $t \neq 1$, respectively. Then, for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$,*

$$[\pi(a), J_0 \pi(b) J_0^{-1}] \in \mathcal{I}. \quad (6.5)$$

Proof. Again from the Leibniz rule of commutators, it suffices to prove Equation (6.5) for the generators x_{-1}, x_0, x_1 . Since

$$[\pi(x_i), J_0 \pi(x_j) J_0^{-1}] = [\pi(x_i) - z_i, J_0 \pi(x_j) J_0^{-1}] + [z_i, J_0 (\pi(x_j) - z_j) J_0^{-1}] + [z_i, J_0 z_j J_0^{-1}],$$

the assertion follows from Lemmata 4.4 and 6.2. $\square$

Lemma 6.4. *Let $(\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2), \mathcal{H}, D, J)$ be an equivariant 2-dimensional real spectral triple. Assume that J satisfies (6.1). Then there exist unitary operators W_l on $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that the restrictions of D and J to $\mathcal{W}_m^l$ can be expressed as*

$$D|_{\mathcal{W}_m^l} = D_l = W_l^* \begin{pmatrix} d_l^\uparrow & 0 \\ 0 & d_l^\downarrow \end{pmatrix} W_l, \quad (6.6)$$

$$J|_{\mathcal{W}_m^l} = W_l^* \overline{W}_l J_0|_{\mathcal{W}_m^l} = W_l^* J_0|_{\mathcal{W}_m^l} W_l, \quad (6.7)$$

where the bar denotes complex conjugation.

Proof. Given an antiunitary operator J satisfying (6.1), the operator $V = J J_0^{-1} = -J J_0$ is unitary and fulfills for all $X \in \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$

$$V X V^* = J J_0 X J_0^{-1} J^{-1} = S(S(X)^*)^* = X$$

since, for any Hopf $*$ -algebra, $*$ $\circ$ S $\circ$ $*$ $\circ$ S = id. In particular, V commutes with k and with the Casimir operator C_q and does so with their closures. By an argument similar to the one at the beginning of Section 5, one shows that there exist unitary operators V_l on $\mathbb{C}^2$ such that the restriction of V to $\mathcal{W}_m^l$ is given by V_l . Thus

$$J = V J_0, \quad J|_{\mathcal{W}_m^l} = V_l J_0|_{\mathcal{W}_m^l}.$$

Recall that, in our standard basis, $D_l = D|_{\mathcal{W}_m^l}$ has the form (5.1). As J_0 is antiunitary, we get

$$J_0|_{\mathcal{W}_m^l} D_l = \overline{D}_l J_0|_{\mathcal{W}_m^l}$$

(the bar is still complex conjugation). From the requirement $J D J^{-1} = D$, it follows that $D_l = V_l \overline{D}_l V_l^*$ or, equivalently,

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_l^\uparrow & 0 \\ 0 & d_l^\downarrow \end{pmatrix} = U_l V_l \overline{U}_l^* \begin{pmatrix} d_l^\uparrow & 0 \\ 0 & d_l^\downarrow \end{pmatrix} (U_l V_l \overline{U}_l^*)^*.$$

If $d_l^\uparrow \neq d_l^\downarrow$, the last equation implies that $U_l V_l \overline{U}_l^*$ is a diagonal matrix. Thus there are angle variables $\phi_l, \psi_l \in [0, 2\pi)$ such that

$$V_l = U_l^* \begin{pmatrix} \exp(i\phi_l) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(i\psi_l) \end{pmatrix} \overline{U}_l. \quad (6.8)$$

Inserting

$$W_l := \begin{pmatrix} \exp(-\frac{i}{2}\phi_l) & 0 \\ 0 & \exp(-\frac{i}{2}\psi_l) \end{pmatrix} U_l$$

into (5.1) and (6.8), we arrive at (6.6) and (6.7). Clearly, Equation (6.6) remains valid if $d_l^\uparrow = d_l^\downarrow$ and W_l is an arbitrary unitary matrix. Therefore we may assume without loss of generality that D and J are given on $\mathcal{W}$ by Equations (6.6) and (6.7), respectively. $\square$

Under the assumption that J satisfies (6.1), Lemma 6.4 provides necessary conditions for equivariant real spectral triples. Next, we aim at finding sufficient conditions for $(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}, D, J)$ to yield an equivariant real spectral triple up to infinitesimals. The ideal $\mathcal{I}$ from Definition 2.2 is generated by operators L_q^β , where β is a positive real constant.

Again, the basic idea of the proof is to replace the generators $\pi(x_i)$ by the simpler operators z_i . By the last lemma, the operator D and J act diagonally with respect to the basis formed by $\tilde{v}_{m,\pm}^l := W_l^* v_{m,\pm}^l$, but the operators z_i do not do so (in general). Their action on this basis is found to be

$$z_i \tilde{v}_{m,\pm}^l = \alpha_i^-(l, m; 0) W_l W_{l-1}^* \tilde{v}_{m+i,\pm}^{l-1} + \alpha_i^0(l, m; 0) \tilde{v}_{m+i,\pm}^l + \alpha_i^+(l, m; 0) W_l W_{l+1}^* \tilde{v}_{m+i,\pm}^{l+1}.$$

In order to reduce the computations to diagonal operators, we require thus that

$$\|W_l W_{l+1}^* - 1\| < C q^{\beta l} \quad (6.9)$$

for some real constant C . Then (6.9) implies that, modulo the ideal $\mathcal{I}(L_q^\beta)$, the operators z_i act on the basis vectors $\tilde{v}_{m,\pm}^l$ as a sum of diagonal shift operators. Next, with the operators z_i acting on the basis vectors $\tilde{v}_{m,\pm}^l$ as diagonal shifts, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & |\langle \tilde{v}_{m+i-j,+}^{l+2}, [[D, z_i], J z_j J^{-1}] \tilde{v}_{m,+}^l \rangle| \\ &= \alpha_i^+(l+1, m-j; 0) \alpha_j^+(l, -m; 0) |(d_{l+2}^\uparrow - d_{l+1}^\uparrow) - (d_{l+1}^\uparrow - d_l^\uparrow)|, \end{aligned}$$

where we used $\alpha_i^+(l+1, m-j; 0) \alpha_j^+(l, -m; 0) = \alpha_j^+(l+1, -m-i; 0) \alpha_i^+(l, m; 0)$.

Let $t \neq 1$. To ensure that $|\langle \tilde{v}_{m+i-j,+}^{l+2}, [[D, z_i], J z_j J^{-1}] \tilde{v}_{m,+}^l \rangle| < C q^{\beta l}$ for some real constant C , we must impose the condition

$$|(d_{l+2}^\uparrow - d_{l+1}^\uparrow) - (d_{l+1}^\uparrow - d_l^\uparrow)| < C q^{\beta l}, \quad (6.10)$$

since $\alpha_i^+(l+1, m-j; 0) \alpha_j^+(l, -m; 0) = O(1)$. A similar argument leads also to

$$|(d_{l+2}^\downarrow - d_{l+1}^\downarrow) - (d_{l+1}^\downarrow - d_l^\downarrow)| < C q^{\beta l}. \quad (6.11)$$

From Equation (2.3), Lemma 6.4 and the foregoing, it is immediately clear that one can always add to D a self-adjoint operator from $\mathcal{I}(L_q^\beta)$ having the same eigenvectors. A sufficient condition for Equations (6.10) and (6.11) to be satisfied is to assume that the eigenvalues $d_l^\uparrow$ and $d_l^\downarrow$ depend linearly on l . But this dependence is alike the one in (5.6) to get bounded commutators of D with algebra elements.

On the other hand, for $t = 1$, the argument leading to Equations (6.10) and (6.11) fails since in this case $\alpha_i^+(l, m; 0) = O(q^l)$. However, a linear dependence on the eigenvalue of D clearly satisfies the condition (5.8) for bounded commutators in this case, too. Thus, by Proposition 5.1, for all Podleś quantum spheres, we have equivariant spectral triples $(\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2), \mathcal{H}, D)$ when the eigenvalues $d_l^\uparrow$ and $d_l^\downarrow$ of D depend linearly on l .

The next proposition shows that this linear dependence, together with the requirement (6.9), suffices to obtain equivariant real spectral triples (up to infinitesimals) for all quantum spheres $\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$.

Proposition 6.5. *Suppose that*

$$d_l^\uparrow = c_1^\uparrow l + c_2^\uparrow, \quad d_l^\downarrow = c_1^\downarrow l + c_2^\downarrow, \quad (6.12)$$

where $c_1^\uparrow, c_2^\uparrow, c_1^\downarrow, c_2^\downarrow$ are real numbers such that $c_1^\uparrow \neq 0$ and $c_1^\downarrow \neq 0$. Let D be the self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{H}$ determined by (6.6), and let the antiunitary operator J be given by (6.7). Suppose that $\beta \in (0, 2]$ for $t \neq 1$ and $\beta \in (0, 1]$ for $t = 1$, and assume that (6.9) holds. Then $(\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2), \mathcal{H}, D, J)$ defines an equivariant real spectral triple such that Equation (2.3) is satisfied with $\mathcal{I} := \mathcal{I}(L_q^\alpha)$, where $\alpha \in (0, \beta)$.

Proof. Clearly, Equations (6.6) and (6.12) uniquely determine a self-adjoint operator (denoted by D) on $\mathcal{H}$ since the collection $\{W_l^* v_{m,-}^l, W_l^* v_{m,+}^l : l = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots, m = -l, \dots, l\}$ is a complete set of orthonormal eigenvectors. By Lemma 6.4, it is evident that $JD = DJ$ and that $J^2 = -1$. Lemma 6.1 and Equation (6.7) imply that (6.1) also applies, so J is equivariant. Thus it remains to verify Equation (2.3).

Observe that $\mathcal{I}(L_q^{\alpha_1}) \subset \mathcal{I}(L_q^{\alpha_2})$ for $\alpha_2 \geq \alpha_1 > 0$. From

$$(Jz_i J^{-1} - J_0 z_i J_0^{-1}) w_m^l = (-1)^i \left(\alpha_i^-(l, -m; 0) (W_{l-1}^* \bar{W}_{l-1} \bar{W}_l^* W_l - 1) w_{m-i}^{l-1} \right. \\ \left. + \alpha_i^+(l, -m; 0) (W_{l+1}^* \bar{W}_{l+1} \bar{W}_l^* W_l - 1) w_{m-i}^{l+1} \right)$$

and Equation (6.9), it follows that $Jz_i J^{-1} - J_0 z_i J_0^{-1} \in \mathcal{I}(L_q^\beta)$. As a consequence, and using Lemma 6.2, one finds that

$$[z_i, Jz_j J^{-1}] = [z_i, J_0 z_j J_0^{-1}] + [z_i, Jz_j J^{-1} - J_0 z_j J_0^{-1}] \in \mathcal{I}(L_q^\beta). \quad (6.13)$$

Now the proof of the first equation of (2.3) is completely analogous to the proof of Lemma 6.3 with J_0 replaced by J .

By a repeated use of the Leibniz rule for the commutator and of the first relation of (2.3), we need to prove the second relation of (2.3) only for the generators x_{-1}, x_0, x_1 . Note that the operator $DL_q^{\beta-\alpha} = L_q^{\beta-\alpha} D$ is bounded. Hence, by Lemma 4.4, it follows that $[D, \pi(x_i) - z_i] \in \mathcal{I}(L_q^\alpha)$. Now, writing

$$[[D, \pi(x_i)], J\pi(x_j) J^{-1}] \\ = [[D, \pi(x_i) - z_i], J\pi(x_j) J^{-1}] + [[D, z_i], J(\pi(x_j) - z_j) J^{-1}] + [[D, z_i], Jz_j J^{-1}]$$

and using again Lemma 4.4, we see that it suffices to establish that

$$[[D, z_i], Jz_j J^{-1}] \in \mathcal{I}(L_q^\alpha). \quad (6.14)$$

Let W denote the unitary operator on $\mathcal{H}$ given by $Ww_m^l = W_l w_m^l$ for all $w_m^l \in \mathcal{W}_m^l$. Then $J = W^* J_0 W$ and $D = W^* \tilde{D} W$, where $\tilde{D}$ is the unique self-adjoint operator on $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$\tilde{D}|_{\mathcal{W}_m^l} = \tilde{D}_l := \begin{pmatrix} d_l^\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & d_l^\dagger \end{pmatrix}. \quad (6.15)$$

In these terms, the requirement (6.14) is equivalent to

$$[[\tilde{D}, Wz_i W^*], J_0 W z_j W^* J_0^{-1}] \in \mathcal{I}(L_q^\alpha). \quad (6.16)$$

Evaluating $Wz_i W^* - z_i$ on vectors $w_m^l \in \mathcal{W}_m^l$ gives

$$(Wz_i W^* - z_i) w_m^l = \alpha_i^-(l, m)_0 (W_{l-1} W_l^* - 1) w_{m+i}^{l-1} + \alpha_i^+(l, m)_0 (W_{l+1} W_l^* - 1) w_{m+i}^{l+1}.$$

From this and (6.9), we conclude that $Wz_i W^* - z_i \in \mathcal{I}(L_q^\beta) \subset \mathcal{I}(L_q^\alpha)$. Thus, Equation (6.16) is, in turn, equivalent to

$$[[\tilde{D}, z_i], J_0 z_j J_0^{-1}] \in \mathcal{I}(L_q^\alpha). \quad (6.17)$$

Note now that $[[\tilde{D}, z_i], J_0 z_j J_0^{-1}]$ can be written as a sum of five independent weighted shift operators with weights $S_{i,j}^\nu(l, m)$, $\nu = -2, \dots, 2$, i.e.,

$$[[\tilde{D}, z_i], J_0 z_j J_0^{-1}] w_m^l = \sum_{\nu=-2}^2 S_{i,j}^\nu(l, m) w_{m+i-j}^{l+\nu}, \quad w_m^l \in \mathcal{W}_m^l. \quad (6.18)$$

Moreover,

$$[\tilde{D}, z_i] v_{m,\pm}^l = c_1^\pm (\alpha_i^+(l, m; 0) v_{m+i,\pm}^{l+1} - \alpha_i^-(l, m; 0) v_{m+i,\pm}^{l-1}), \quad (6.19)$$

where $c_1^+ = c_1^\dagger$ and $c_1^- = c_1^\dagger$. Using (6.19) and (6.4), a lengthy but straightforward computations shows that $|\tilde{S}_{i,j,\pm}^\nu(l, m)| < C q^{2l}$ for some $C \in \mathbb{R}$. From this, we conclude that $[[\tilde{D}, z_i], J_0 z_j J_0^{-1}] \in \mathcal{I}(L_q^2)$ which implies (6.17), and the proof is complete. $\square$

Corollary 6.6. *Up to adding elements from the ideal $\mathcal{I}(L_q^\alpha)$, the operators D and J from Proposition 6.5 are given by*

$$D \lceil_{\mathcal{W}_m^l} = W_0^* \begin{pmatrix} d_l^\dagger & 0 \\ 0 & d_l^\dagger \end{pmatrix} W_0, \quad (6.20)$$

$$J \lceil_{\mathcal{W}_m^l} = W_0^* J_0 \lceil_{\mathcal{W}_m^l} W_0, \quad (6.21)$$

where W_0 is a unitary operator on $\mathbb{C}^2$.

Proof. Let D_1 and J_1 be given by Equations (6.6) and (6.7), and suppose that the unitary operators W_l satisfy (6.9). Then $\{W_l\}_{l=\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots}$ is a Cauchy sequence and $W_0 := \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} W_l$ is a unitary operator. Let D and J denote the operators determined by (6.20) and (6.21). With $\tilde{D}_l$ the diagonal matrix defined in (6.15), we have

$$(D_1 - D) w_m^l = (W_l \tilde{D}_l W_l - W_0 \tilde{D}_l W_0) w_m^l,$$

for $w_m^l \in \mathcal{W}_m^l$. By (6.9), there exist $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\|W_l - W_0\| < C q^{\beta l}$. Furthermore, by (6.12), $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} q^{(\beta-\alpha)l} |d_l^\dagger| = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} q^{(\beta-\alpha)l} |d_l^\dagger| = 0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|W_l \tilde{D}_l W_l - W_0 \tilde{D}_l W_0\| &= \|(W_l - W_0) \tilde{D}_l W_l + W_0 \tilde{D}_l (W_l - W_0)\| \\ &< 2 \|W_l - W_0\| \|\tilde{D}_l\| < C' q^{\alpha l}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $C' \in \mathbb{R}$, and $D_1 - D \in \mathcal{I}(L_q^\alpha)$. Similarly one shows that $J_1 - J \in \mathcal{I}(L_q^\alpha)$. $\square$

Remark 6.7. For the standard sphere, corresponding to $t = 1$, the conditions (5.8) for bounded commutators of the Dirac operator with algebra elements allow more than linear dependence for the eigenvalues of D . In [8], the eigenvalues of D were taken to be q -analogues of the spectrum of the classical Dirac operator of the round metric of the sphere S^2 ; they behave like $|d_l| \sim q^{-l}$ for large l . For this family, one gets a spectral triple; this is also a particular case of our Proposition 5.1. Moreover, one has a stronger result on the real structure: it is not up to infinitesimals but the stronger relations (2.2) are satisfied.

7 Equivariant real even spectral triple

In this section, an additional character enters the stage, the even structure. As shown in the next proposition, the existence of a grading operator determines completely the structure of the geometry $(\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2), \mathcal{H}, D, J, \gamma)$.

Proposition 7.1. *Let $(\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2), \mathcal{H}, D, J, \gamma)$ be an equivariant real even spectral triple with real structure J satisfying condition (6.1). Then the operators γ , D , J act on the spinor bundle $\mathcal{W}$ by*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma v_{m,\pm}^l &= \pm \epsilon v_{m,\pm}^l, & J v_{m,\pm}^l &= \zeta_l (-1)^{m+1/2} v_{-m,\mp}^l, \\ D v_{m,+}^l &= d_l v_{m,-}^l, & D v_{m,-}^l &= \bar{d}_l v_{m,+}^l, \end{aligned} \quad (7.1)$$

where $d_l \in \mathbb{C}$, $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$, and $\zeta_l \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\zeta_l| = 1$.

Proof. Clearly, $\gamma \neq 1$ as otherwise the first condition in Equation (2.1) is not satisfied. From $\gamma^* = \gamma$ and $\gamma^2 = 1$, it follows that γ has eigenvalues ± 1 . Since γ commutes with all elements from the crossed product algebra $\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ and since the integrable representation of $\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2) \rtimes \mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{su}(2))$ on the spinor bundle $\mathcal{W}$ decomposes into two inequivalent irreducible ones on $\mathcal{M}_-$ and $\mathcal{M}_+$, we conclude that $\gamma v_{m,+}^l = \epsilon v_{m,+}^l$ and $\gamma v_{m,-}^l = -\epsilon v_{m,-}^l$, where $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$.

Recall from Lemma 6.4 that $J|_{\mathcal{W}_m^l} = V_l J_0|_{\mathcal{W}_m^l}$ with a unitary operator V_l on $\mathbb{C}^2$. In addition, the condition $J\gamma = -\gamma J$ implies that J maps $\mathcal{M}_\pm$ into $\mathcal{M}_\mp$. Thus

$$J v_{m,\pm}^l = \zeta_{l,\pm} J_0 v_{m,\mp}^l,$$

with complex numbers $\zeta_{l,-}$ and $\zeta_{l,+}$ such that $|\zeta_{l,-}| = |\zeta_{l,+}| = 1$. From $J^2 = -1$, we obtain $\zeta_{l,-} \bar{\zeta}_{l,+} = 1$, so $\zeta_{l,-} = \zeta_{l,+} =: \zeta_l$.

Similarly, the condition $D\gamma = -\gamma D$ implies that D maps $\mathcal{M}_\pm$ into $\mathcal{M}_\mp$. Hence, by (5.1), D has the form described in (7.1). $\square$

We combine Propositions 6.5 and 7.1 to present equivariant real even spectral triples.

Proposition 7.2. *Let γ and D be self-adjoint operators and J be an antiunitary operator on $\mathcal{H}$ given by*

$$\gamma v_{m,\pm}^l = \pm v_{m,\pm}^l, \quad D v_{m,\pm}^l = (c_1 l + c_2) v_{m,\mp}^l, \quad J v_{m,\pm}^l = (-1)^{m+1/2} v_{-m,\mp}^l, \quad (7.2)$$

where $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $c_1 \neq 0$. Then $(\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2), \mathcal{H}, D, J, \gamma)$ is an equivariant real even spectral triple such that the conditions (2.3) are satisfied with $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(L_q^\alpha)$, where $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ for $t \neq 1$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ for $t = 1$.

Proof. For $l = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$, set $d_l^\uparrow = c_1 l + c_2$ and $d_l^\downarrow = -(c_1 l + c_2)$. Define

$$W_0 := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -i & i \end{pmatrix}, \quad W_l := W_0. \quad (7.3)$$

The restrictions of D and J to $\mathcal{W}_m^l$ are then given as in (6.6) and (6.7), respectively. With the choice (7.3), the inequality (6.9) holds trivially and we can suppose that $\beta = 2$ for $t \neq 1$ and $\beta = 1$ for $t = 1$. By Proposition 6.5, $(\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2), \mathcal{H}, D, J)$ yields an equivariant real spectral triple such that the conditions (2.3) are satisfied with $\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(L_q^\alpha)$. It is obvious that γ fulfills all the requirements of a grading operator. $\square$

Remark 7.3. Recall from Subsection 4.2 that $\mathcal{W}$ can be considered as a deformation of the classical spinor bundle. The classical spectral triple on the commutative 2-sphere with its round metric is real and even, and the corresponding Dirac operator has eigenvalues $\pm(l + \frac{1}{2})$, $l = \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \dots$, each with multiplicity $2l + 1$. Therefore we can regard the equivariant real even spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2), \mathcal{H}, D, J, \gamma)$ from Theorem 7.2 with $c_1 = 1$ and $c_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ as an isospectral deformation of the classical spin geometry.

Remark 7.4. By perturbing both D and J by infinitesimals belonging to the ideal $\mathcal{I}(L_q^\alpha)$, one produces more examples of equivariant real even spectral triples. However, those from Theorem 7.2 are distinguished by being obtained from the isospectral deformation via rescaling linearly the eigenvalues of D .

Finally, we prove the non-triviality of our noncommutative geometry.

Corollary 7.5. *Let $F \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be the sign of the Dirac operator; it is given by*

$$Fv_{m,\pm}^l = v_{m,\mp}^l.$$

The datum $(\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2), \mathcal{H}, F)$ is a 1^+ -summable non-trivial Fredholm module.

Proof. Since the chiral spin representations $\pi_\pm$ coincide modulo smoothing operators, the commutator $[F, x]$ is a smoothing operator for all $x \in \mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$ and the Fredholm module is 1^+ -summable. Its non-triviality is proved by pairing it with the K -theory class of the projection

$$p = \frac{1}{(2-t)(1+q^2)} \begin{pmatrix} 1+q^2+x_0-tq^2 & -(1+q^2)^{1/2}x_1 \\ q(1+q^2)^{1/2}x_{-1} & 1+q^2-q^2x_0-t \end{pmatrix},$$

describing line bundles over the spheres S_{qt}^2 [1]. The pairing is [2],

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [F], [p] \rangle &:= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H} \otimes \mathbb{C}^2}(\gamma F[F, p]) = \frac{1-q^2}{2(2-t)(1+q^2)} \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}(\gamma F[F, x_0]) \\ &= q^{-2}(1-q^2)^2 \sum_{l,m} \frac{[l-m+1][l+m]}{[2l][2l+2]}. \end{aligned} \quad (7.4)$$

For the last equality we have used the explicit formulæ (4.2b) and (4.3b) for the coefficients $\alpha_0^0(l, m; \pm)$ in the representation of x_0 . The last series in (7.4) was shown in [9, Section 5.3] to be a continuous function in q for $q \in [0, 1)$. Since it is integer valued in the interior of this interval (being the index of a Fredholm operator), it is constant by continuity and can be computed at $q = 0$. The result is $\langle [F], [p] \rangle = 1$. $\square$

8 Analytic properties

We describe now some further analytic properties of the spectral triple given in Proposition 7.2 (forgetting its real even structure) and specify, for simplicity, the constants $c_1 = 1$ and $c_2 = 1/2$. With this choice, D is invertible. For other allowed values, the subsequent results remain valid with minor changes in the proofs and by working with $(1 + D^2)^{-z/2}$

instead of $|D|^{-z}$ for a not invertible D . We use the polar decomposition $D = F|D|$, with F the sign of D .

Recall that the spin representation $\pi = \pi_- \oplus \pi_+$ for each of the generators of the algebra $\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$ has three terms appearing on the right hand side of (4.1). Accordingly, we shall write $\pi(x_i) = x_i =: x_i^- + x_i^0 + x_i^+$, $i = -1, 0, 1$. The operators x_i^ν are weighted shifts, mapping each W_m^l into $W_{m+i}^{l+\nu}$, $\nu = -1, 0, 1$, which are easily seen to be bounded.

Proposition 8.1. *The spectral triple $(\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2), \mathcal{H}, D)$ is 2^+ -summable and regular.*

Proof. The 2^+ -summability follows from the linear growth of the spectrum of D (with the appropriate multiplicities). To show that the spectral triple is regular, it is enough to prove that the elements x_i^ν and $[D, x_i^\nu]$, $\nu = 0, \pm 1$, are in the smooth domain of the derivation $\delta(\cdot) := [|D|, (\cdot)]$. This is clear for x_i^ν since $\delta(x_i^\nu) = \nu x_i^\nu$. As for $[D, x_i^\nu]$, we use the fact that $\delta^k([D, x_i^\nu]) = [D, \delta^k(x_i^\nu)] = \nu^k [D, x_i^\nu]$. The boundness of the latter follows from the formulæ in Proposition 4.1 giving, for instance,

$$[D, x_i^+] v_{m,\pm}^l = \left((l + \frac{1}{2})(\alpha_i^+(l, m; \pm) - \alpha_i^+(l, m; \mp)) + \alpha_i^+(l, m; \pm) \right) v_{m+i,\mp}^{l+1}.$$

The last summand is clearly bounded while the boundedness of the other follows from the fact that $\alpha_i^+(l, m; \pm) - \alpha_i^+(l, m; \mp)$ is at least of order q^l by Equations (4.2) and (4.3a). Similar arguments work for $[D, x_i^-]$. $\square$

To compute the dimension spectrum, we introduce another representation of the algebra $\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$ which is obtained from simpler operators. Let $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ be a Hilbert space with orthonormal basis $|l, m\rangle_\pm$, where $l \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$ and $l+m \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Consider the bounded operators $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{B}(\hat{\mathcal{H}})$ defined by

$$\alpha|l, m\rangle_\pm = \sqrt{1 - q^{2(l+m+1)}}|l + \frac{1}{2}, m + \frac{1}{2}\rangle_\pm, \quad \beta|l, m\rangle_\pm = q^{l+m}|l + \frac{1}{2}, m - \frac{1}{2}\rangle_\pm.$$

These operators satisfy the commutation relations of $\mathcal{A}(SU_q(2))$, i.e.,

$$\beta\alpha = q\alpha\beta, \quad \beta^*\alpha = q\alpha\beta^*, \quad [\beta, \beta^*] = 0, \quad \alpha^*\alpha + q^2\beta^*\beta = 1, \quad \alpha\alpha^* + \beta\beta^* = 1.$$

The embedding of the Podleś spheres into $\mathcal{A}(SU_q(2))$ (see e.g. [15]) leads to a $*$ -representation $\varphi : \mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\hat{\mathcal{H}})$ given by

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(x_1) &= \sqrt{1+q^2} \left\{ \sqrt{1-t} (\alpha^2 - q(\beta^*)^2) - t\beta^*\alpha \right\}, \\ \varphi(x_0) &= (1+q^2) \left\{ \sqrt{1-t} (\alpha\beta + \beta^*\alpha^*) - t\beta\beta^* \right\} + t. \end{aligned}$$

Later on, we shall need the explicit expression

$$\begin{aligned} (1+q^2)^{-1} \varphi(x_0 - t)|l, m\rangle_\pm &= \sqrt{1-t} q^{l+m-1} \sqrt{1-q^{2(l+m)}}|l-1, m\rangle_\pm - t q^{2(l+m)}|l, m\rangle_\pm \\ &\quad + \sqrt{1-t} q^{l+m} \sqrt{1-q^{2(l+m+1)}}|l+1, m\rangle_\pm. \end{aligned} \quad (8.1)$$

Our Hilbert space of spinors $\mathcal{H}$ with the basis (4.4) is identified with a subspace of $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$, that is, we consider the inclusion

$$Q : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{H}}, \quad Q v_{m,\pm}^l = |l, m\rangle_\pm,$$

for $l \in \mathbb{N}_0 + \frac{1}{2}$ and $|m| \leq l$. Let $P : \hat{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be the adjoint map of Q , i.e.,

$$P|l, m\rangle_{\pm} = v_{m, \pm}^l \text{ for } l \in \mathbb{N}_0 + \frac{1}{2} \text{ and } |m| \leq l, \quad P|l, m\rangle_{\pm} = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

Our Dirac operator D on $\mathcal{H}$ is the “restriction” of the self-adjoint operator D' on $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$ determined by

$$D'|l, m\rangle_{\pm} = (l + \frac{1}{2})|l, m\rangle_{\mp},$$

in the sense that $DP = PD'$, $QD = D'Q$. The same holds for $|D'|$ and for $F' := D'|D'|^{-1}$. The subspace $Q\mathcal{H}$ is not invariant for the representation φ . However, we can sandwich φ between Q and P thus obtaining a $*$ -linear map $\tilde{\varphi} : \mathcal{A}(S_{qs}^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\tilde{\varphi}(a) = P\varphi(a)Q$ that has the following approximation property.

Lemma 8.2. *The operator $a - \tilde{\varphi}(a)$ is a smoothing operator on $\mathcal{H}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$.*

Proof. Observe that if $T : \hat{\mathcal{H}} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a matrix of rapid decay (in our fixed bases), then so are $aT\varphi(b)$, where $a, b \in \mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$, and $TQ : \mathcal{H} \mapsto \mathcal{H}$. Using arguments similar to the ones in the proof of Lemma 4.4, for the generators x_i , $i = -1, 0, 1$, one verifies by direct computations that $x_i P - P\varphi(x_i)$ yields a rapid decay matrix. Then the lemma follows from the identity

$$abP - P\varphi(ab) = a(bP - P\varphi(b)) + (aP - P\varphi(a))\varphi(b)$$

by applying $PQ = \text{id}_{\mathcal{H}}$ and by the above observations. $\square$

The next proposition is the main result of this section.

Proposition 8.3. *The dimension spectrum is $\Sigma = \{1, 2\}$.*

Proof. Let Ψ^0 be the algebra generated by $\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$, by $[D, a]$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$ and by iterated applications of the derivation δ (cf. Section 2). Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the $*$ -algebra (of bounded operators on $\hat{\mathcal{H}}$) generated by $\alpha, \beta, \alpha^*, \beta^*$ and F . By Lemma 8.2, $\mathcal{A}(S_{qs}^2) \subset PCQ + \text{OP}^{-\infty}$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} [F', \alpha] &= 0, & [D', \alpha] &= \frac{1}{2} \alpha F, & [|D'|, \alpha] &= \frac{1}{2} \alpha, \\ [F', \beta] &= 0, & [D', \beta] &= \frac{1}{2} \beta F', & [|D'|, \beta] &= \frac{1}{2} \beta. \end{aligned}$$

Thus PCQ is invariant under application of δ and $[D, (\cdot)]$ and hence $\Psi^0 \subset PCQ + \text{OP}^{-\infty}$.

We shall compute the singularities of zeta functions associated to the monomials $S := P\alpha^n \beta^j (\beta^*)^k Q F$ and $T := P\alpha^n \beta^j (\beta^*)^k Q$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $j, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and we employ the notation $\alpha^n := (\alpha^*)^{|n|}$ for $n < 0$. From the commutation relations of α and β , it is clear that these monomials span PCQ .

Firstly, note that the ζ function associated with a bounded off-diagonal operator is identically zero in the half-plane $\text{Re } z > 2$ and so is its holomorphic extension to the entire complex plane. This is the case for the monomials S due to the presence of F . The other monomials T shift the index l by $(n + j - k)/2$ and the index m by $(n - j + k)/2$ and therefore are also off-diagonal operators unless these shifts are zero, which happens when $n = 0$ and $j = k$. Hence only monomials $T = P\beta^k (\beta^*)^k Q = P(\beta\beta^*)^k Q$ contribute to the dimension spectrum.

For $k = 0$, $T = \text{id}$, and the corresponding zeta function is

$$\zeta_{\text{id}}(z) = \sum_{l+\frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{l+m=0}^{2l} \sum_{r=\pm} (l + \frac{1}{2})^{-z} = 4\zeta(z-1),$$

where $\zeta(z)$ is the Riemann zeta function, meromorphic in $\mathbb{C}$ with a simple pole at 1 and with residue 1. Since $\text{id} \in \Psi^0$, this shows that $2 \in \Sigma$.

When $k > 0$, $T = P(\beta\beta^*)^k Q$. So $Tv_{m,\pm}^l = q^{2k(l+m)}v_{m,\pm}^l$ and the associated zeta function is

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_T(z) &= \sum_{l+\frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{N}} 2(l + \frac{1}{2})^{-z} \sum_{l+m=0}^{2l} (q^{2k})^{l+m} = \sum_{l+\frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{N}} 2(l + \frac{1}{2})^{-z} \frac{1 - q^{2k(2l+1)}}{1 - q^{2k}} \\ &= \frac{2}{1 - q^{2k}} \zeta(z) + \text{holomorphic function}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore Σ may contain, besides 2, at most the additional point 1. We still have to check that $1 \in \Sigma$ since the algebra PCQ is strictly larger than Ψ^0 . For this, we take $a \in \mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$, where $(1 + q^2)^2 a = (x_0 - t)^2 = \tilde{\varphi}((x_0 - t)^2) + \text{smoothing terms}$. Then, using (8.1), we get (modulo holomorphic functions)

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_a(z) &\sim 2 \sum_{l+\frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{N}} (l + \frac{1}{2})^{-z} \sum_{k=0}^{2l} \left\{ t^2 q^{4k} + (1-t) \{ (1 + q^{-2}) q^{2k} - (q^2 + q^{-2}) q^{4k} \} \right\} \\ &\sim 2 \frac{1 + (1-t)^2}{1 - q^4} \zeta(z), \end{aligned}$$

and $\text{Res}_{z=1} \zeta_a(z) = 2 \frac{1+(1-t)^2}{1-q^4} \neq 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. This shows that $\Sigma = \{1, 2\}$. $\square$

Let $\mathcal{A}(S^1)$ denote the polynomial $*$ -algebra in one variable λ , with $\lambda\bar{\lambda} = 1$. For $t \neq 1$, we have $*$ -algebra morphisms $\sigma_t : \mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(S^1)$ given by the ‘classical points’ (3.3),

$$\sigma_t(x_0) = t, \quad \sigma_t(x_1) = \sqrt{(1 + q^2)(1 - t)} \lambda.$$

For $t = 1$, let $\sigma_1 : \mathcal{A}(S_{q1}^2) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the $*$ -algebra morphism given by the ‘classical point’ (3.2),

$$\sigma_1(x_0) = 1, \quad \sigma_1(x_1) = 0.$$

Proposition 8.4. *The top residue of the zeta-type function $\zeta_a(z) := \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}}(a|D|^{-z})$, with $a \in \mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$, is given by*

$$\text{Res}_{z=2} \zeta_a(z) = -\frac{2i}{\pi} \int_{S^1} \sigma_t(a) \frac{d\lambda}{\lambda}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1. \quad (8.2)$$

For $t = 1$, $\sigma_1(a) \in \mathbb{C}$ and Equation (8.2) simplifies to $4\sigma_1(a)$.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove (8.2) for the basis elements $(x_0 - t)^j x_1^k$, $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, and then extend it to $\mathcal{A}(S_{qt}^2)$ by linearity. We use again the notation $x_1^k := (x_1^*)^{|k|}$ if $k < 0$.

Since $\sigma_t((x_0 - t)^j x_1^k) \propto \delta_{j0}(1 - t)^{k/2} \lambda^k$, the right hand side of Equation (8.2) is zero unless $j = k = 0$. We next show that the left hand side of (8.2) also vanishes unless $j = k = 0$. When $j = k = 0$, the relation $\zeta_{\text{id}}(z) = 4\zeta(z - 1)$ fixes the normalization constant.

Now $(x_0 - t)^j x_1^k$ is off-diagonal if $k \neq 0$ since it shifts the index m by k . It remains to prove that $\zeta_{(x_0 - t)^j}(z) = \zeta_{\tilde{\varphi}((x_0 - t)^j)}(z) + \text{holomorphic function}$ has no singularity in $z = 2$. For $j \neq 0$, $\tilde{\varphi}((x_0 - t)^j)$ satisfies the inequality

$$|(v_{m,\pm}^l, \tilde{\varphi}((x_0 - t)^j) v_{m,\pm}^l)| \leq c_j q^{l+m},$$

for some positive constants c_j 's. From this inequality, we deduce that $\zeta_{\tilde{\varphi}((x_0 - t)^j)}(z)$ is a convergent series for all z with $\text{Re } z > 1$. In particular, it is finite for $z = 2$. $\square$

9 Final remarks

A crucial ingredient of our analysis was the approximation of the generators $\pi(x_i)$ by the operators z_i defined in (4.5). One readily verifies that Lemma 4.4 remains true if we replace the spinor representation $\pi := \pi_{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \pi_{\frac{1}{2}}$ on $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{M}_{-\frac{1}{2}} \oplus \mathcal{M}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ by $\pi := \pi_{-N} \oplus \pi_N$ on $\mathcal{W} := \mathcal{M}_{-N} \oplus \mathcal{M}_N$, where $N \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{N}$. A careful inspection of our proofs shows that all results continue to hold for this generalized spinor representation and one gets corresponding spectral triples. The pairing of the associated Fredholm module with the same projection as in Corollary 7.5 gives the value $2N$. In the classical case $q = 1$, the generalized examples correspond to the quasi-spectral triples studied in [19].

Acknowledgements

F.D'A. thanks ESI in Vienna for hospitality. L.D. was partially supported by the European Union Host Fellowship for the Transfer of Knowledge Program 'Noncommutative Geometry and Quantum Groups' at Warsaw University. E.W. was supported by the DFG-grant Wa 1698/2-1.

References

- [1] Brzeziński, T., Majid, S.: Quantum geometry of algebra factorisations and coalgebra bundles. Commun. Math. Phys. 213 (2000) 491–521.
- [2] Connes, A.: Noncommutative Geometry. Academic Press, 1994.
- [3] Connes, A.: Gravity coupled with matter and the foundation of non-commutative geometry. Commun. Math. Phys. 182 (1996) 155–176.
- [4] Connes, A., Moscovici, H.: The local index formula in noncommutative geometry. Geom. Funct. Anal. 5 (1995) 174–243.
- [5] Dąbrowski, L., Landi, G., Paschke, M., Sitarz, A.: The spectral geometry of the equatorial Podleś sphere. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I 340 (2005) 819–822.

- [6] Dąbrowski, L., Landi, G., Sitarz, A., van Suijlekom, W., Várilly, J.: The equivariant Dirac operator on $SU_q(2)$. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 259 (2005) 729–759.
- [7] Dąbrowski, L., Landi, G., Sitarz, A., van Suijlekom, W., Várilly, J.: The local index formula for $SU_q(2)$. K-theory, in press; Preprint math.QA/0501287.
- [8] Dąbrowski, L., Sitarz, A.: Dirac operator on the standard Podleś quantum sphere. In: *Noncommutative Geometry and Quantum Groups*, Banach Centre Publications 61, Hajac, P. M. and Pusz, W. (eds.), Warszawa: IMPAN, 2003, pp. 49–58.
- [9] D’Andrea, F., Dąbrowski, L.: Local Index Formula on the Equatorial Podleś Sphere. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 75 (2006) 235–254.
- [10] Gracia-Bondía, J. M., Várilly, J. C., Figueroa, H.: *Elements of Noncommutative Geometry*. Birkhäuser, 2001.
- [11] Hajac, P. M., Majid, S.: Projective module description of the q -monopole. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 206 (1999) 247–264.
- [12] Hitchin, N.: Harmonic Spinors. *Advances in Math.* 14 (1974) 1–55.
- [13] Klimyk, A. U., Schmüdgen, K.: *Quantum Groups and their Representations*. Springer, 1998.
- [14] Müller, E. F., Schneider, H.-J.: Quantum homogeneous spaces with faithfully flat module structures. *Israel J. Math.* 111 (1999) 157–190.
- [15] Podleś, P.: Quantum spheres. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 14 (1987) 193–202.
- [16] Schmüdgen, K., Wagner, E.: Hilbert space representations of cross product algebras. *J. Funct. Anal.* 200 (2003) 451–493.
- [17] Schmüdgen, K., Wagner, E.: Representations of cross product algebras of Podleś quantum spheres. Preprint math.QA/0305309.
- [18] Sitarz, A.: Equivariant spectral triples. In: *Noncommutative Geometry and Quantum Groups*, Banach Centre Publications 61, Hajac, P. M. and Pusz, W. (eds.), Warszawa: IMPAN, 2003, pp. 231–263.
- [19] Sitarz, A.: Quasi-Dirac Operators on the Sphere. Preprint math-ph/0602030.