ESI **The Erwin Schrödinger International Institute for Mathematical Physics**
Pasteurgasse 6/7
A-1090 Wien, Austria

A New Approach to Representation Theory of Symmetric Groups

Andrei Okounkov
Anatoly Vershik

Vienna, Preprint ESI 333 (1996) May 7, 1996

Supported by Federal Ministry of Science and Research, Austria
Available via http://www.esi.ac.at

A NEW APPROACH TO REPRESENTATION THEORY OF SYMMETRIC GROUPS

Andrei Okounkov[1]

Institute for Problems of Information Transmission
Bolshoj Karetny 19, Moscow, 101447, Russia.
E-mail: okounkov@ippi.ac.msk.su, okounkov@math.ias.edu

Anatoly Vershik[2]

St. Petersburg Branch of Steklov Mathematical Institute
Nab. Fontanki 27, St. Petersburg, 191011, Russia.
current address: Erwin Schrodinger International Institute
for Mathematical Physics,
Pasteurgasse 6/7 A-1090 Wien, Austria.
E-mail: vershik@pdmi.ras.ru, avershik@esi.ac.at

§0. Introduction.

The aim of this paper is to give a new, simple, and direct approach to representation theory of $S(n)$.

Basically there are two ways to construct irreducible complex representations of $S(n)$. The first is based on representation theory of $GL(N)$ and duality between $S(n)$ and $GL(N)$ in the tensors

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{C}^N \otimes \mathbb{C}^N \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbb{C}^N}_{n \text{ times}},$$

which is called the Schur–Weyl duality (see [W]). The Schur functions, which are characters of $GL(N)$, play the key role in this approach. This was the way Frobenius originally described the characters of $S(n)$. It is explained in the book [M].

The other way (historically it was the first one) which is usually attributed to Young with the later contributions by Weyl and von Neumann, is based on the combinatorics of Young diagrams and tableaux. Irreducible representations arise in this approach as common components of two simple induced representations (s.c. Specht's modules). This way is traditional and one can find it in almost all textbooks and monographs on the subject, for example, in one of the last books [JK]. It requires considerable efforts to obtain any explicit formulas for representations characters and the proof of the main fact of the theory - the branchig rule.

[1]supported by the ISF and by RFFI grant 95-01-00814. During the stay at the Institute for Advanced Study the author was supported NSF grant DMS 9304580.

[2]partially supported by the INTAS and by RFFI.

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

Both ways are deep and important as well as indirect. In both cases we are being told that diagrams, tableaux and the nontrivial combinatorics which is used in the considerations are necesessary and consist the natural things in representation theory of symmetric groups - for which we can verify later.

The following reasons show us that the traditional approach is not entirely adequate. We believe that representation theory of the symmetric groups must statisfy the following conditions:

(1) Symmetric groups are Coxeter groups and the methods of their representation theory should apply to all classical series of Coxeter groups,
(2) Symmetric groups form a natural series and their representaion theory should be recursive with respect to the series, which means that representation theory of $S(n)$ should rely on representation theory of $S(n-1)$ for all $n = 1, 2 \dots$.
(3) The combinatorics of the Young diagrams and Young tableaux, which reflects the branching rule for restriction

$$S(n) \downarrow S(n-1),$$

must be introduced not as an auxiliary tool of construction, but intrinsically, starting from the inside structure of the symmetric groups. It means that, say, Young diagrams must appear as result of the analysis of the groups and its representations but not apriori as in usual approach. In this case the branchig rule (which is one of the main theorem of the theory) will appear naturally and not as a last corollary after developing the whole theory.

Traditional representation theory of symmetric groups does not satisfy these principles and it puts the theory in a specific position in general representation theory. Here we suggest new approach which makes the whole theory more natural and simple.

For our method the following three notions become very important:

(1) *Gelfand-Zetlin (GZ) algebra* and basis for series S_n,
(2) *Jucys-Murphy (JM) elements*,
(3) *algebras with local system of the generators* (ALSG) as a general context for the theory

GZ basis was defined for the unitary and orthogonal groups by I.M.Gelfand and M.L.Zetlin in fifties [GZ1-2]. The general notion of GZ algebra for inductive limit of algebras can be introduced in the same way for an arbitrary inductive limit of semisimple algebras (it was done, for example, in [KV]). The notion of ALSG generalizes the relation of Coxeter groups, braid groups, Hecke algebras and so on (see [V1]). This idea gives us the rule of induction process for the construction of the representation. Very convenient special generators of GZ algebras - JM generators, were independently introduced for symmetric groups by A.-A.A.Jucys [Ju] and G.E.Murphy [Mu]. There exist an invariant way (see below) for their definition and this way could be used for the definition of its analogs for very general class of ALSG algebras, in articular for all Coxeter groups.

One of the main advantages of our version of the representation theory of symmetric (and of other series of the Coxeter groups) is the following: *we obtain a* *branching rule simultaneosly with the description of the representations and introduce Young diagrams and tableaux into the theory only using the analysis of the spectra of JM elements of the GZ algebra* (see *content vectors* below).

The complexity of the symmetric group (compared to $GL(N)$) lies in the fact that the Coxeter relations

$$s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}$$

for the generators of $S(k)$ are not commutation relations. Moreover, there is no any big commutative subgroup of $S(k)$ that could play the role of a Cartan subgroup.

However, it is possible to develop a representation theory for $S(n)$ in some sense similar to Cartan highest weight theory for $GL(N)$ using the Gelfand-Zetlin commutative subalgebra in the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[S(n)]$. The generators of this algebra, the so called Jucys-Murphy elements,

$$X_i = (1\, i) + (2\, i) + \cdots + (i-1\, i), \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$$

which were introduced independently in [Ju] and [Mu], have nice commutation relations with the generators s_i. For example, we have

$$s_i X_i + 1 = X_{i+1} s_i\,, \tag{0.1}$$

for all i.

These commuting elements diagonalize simultaneously in any representation of $S(n)$ and the whole representation theory of $S(n)$ can be deduced from the information which eigenvalues of these elements are possible and which of them occur in the same irreducible representation. This problem is parallel to the description of the highest weights of irreducible representations of a reductive group. The basis which diagoinalizes those elements is just GZ basis, for symmetric groups it coincides with the Young basis.

We solve this problem by using induction on n and simple representation theory of the algebra $H(2)$ generated by s_i and two commuting elements X_i, X_{i+1} subject to the relation (0.1). In a sense this algebra plays the same role as $\mathfrak{gl}(2)$ plays for reductive groups.

As an application of these results we derive the classical Young formulas for the action of generators s_i of $S(n)$ and a new proof of the Murnaghan-Nakayama rule for the characters of $S(n)$. The final step in the proof of the Young formulas is the same as in [Mu]; in fact, Young formulas is what Murphy introduced the elements X_i and calculated their eigenvalues for. The novelty of our approach is that we do not assume any knowledge of the representation theory of $S(n)$ and build the theory just starting from simple commutation relations.

The first attempt to get a new version of the representation theory of symmetric group in order to avoid the incompleteness of ordinary theory which were mentioned above was given in the paper [V1, V2] where ALSG was defined. The branching rule and a Young orthogonal form was deduced in [V1] from Coxeter relation for generators of $S(n)$ and the assumption that the branching graph (see below) of $S(n)$ is a Hasse diagram of the *distributive lattice*. But the right generators of the GZ algebra (as JM-generators) aloow us to eliminate any additional assumptions.

In this paper we study only symmetric groups but our scheme now can be carried out for some other ALSG (in the sence of [V1]) and first of all to the Coxeter groups of series B-C-D and to the wreath products of the symmetric group with some finite groups. The genereal definitions of JM elements could also be done in the very general context. All this generalizations will be considered elsewhere.

We do not attempt to give here a complete bibliography on subject. Proper analogs of Jucys-Murphy elements for the infinite symmetric group $S(\infty)$ proved themselves to be a very powerfull tool in the infinite-dimensional representation theory [O1,O2]. About infinite symmetric group see [V3,VK,KOV,KOOV] JM elementsv for Coxeter groups also were defined in [N,R]. Applications of JM elements to classical representation theory are also numerous (see, for example, [DG]). In the papers [C,D] JM elements in fact were considered in the context of the theory of the degenerate affine Hecke algebras. The idea to revise classical theory in the spirit which was decribed above was discussed also by the second author in connection of asymptotic theory of symmetric groups, see [V1,2,3].

The reader is supposed to be familiar only with the elementary facts from abstract representation theory of finite groups. We will not use any facts from the representation theory of the symmetric groups.

We would like to thank M. Nazarov for useful information about literature, and S. Kerov and G. Olshanski for helpful discussions about the theory of representations of symmetric groups.

A short announcement of our results was made in [OV].

§1. Gelfand-Zetlin Basis for Inductive Families.

Let

$$\{1\} = G(0) \subset G(1) \subset G(2) \subset \dots . \tag{1.1}$$

be a chain of finite groups. By $G(n)^\wedge$ denote the set of equivalence classes of irreducible complex representations of the group $G(n)$. The *branching graph* (or Bratteli diagram) of (1.1) is by definition the following oriented graph. The vertices of the branching graph are the elements of the set

$$\bigcup_{n\geq 0} G(n)^\wedge .$$

Two vertices $\mu \in G(n-1)^\wedge$ and $\lambda \in G(n)^\wedge$ are joined by k oriented edges if

$$k = \dim \operatorname{Hom}_{G(n-1)}(V^\mu, V^\lambda),$$

that is if k is the multiplicity of μ in the restriction of the representation λ to the group $G(n-1)$. We call the set $G(n)^\wedge$ the n-th *level* of the branching graph. Write

$$\mu \nearrow \lambda$$

if μ and λ are connected by an edge in the branching graph; write

$$\mu \subset \lambda ,$$

where $\mu \in G(k)^\wedge$, $\lambda \in G(n)^\wedge$, and $k \leq n$, if the multiplicity of μ in λ is nonzero. In other words, $\mu \subset \lambda$ if there is a path from μ to λ in the branching graph. Denote by $\varnothing$ the unique element of $G(0)^\wedge$. The same definition of the branching graph is good for any chain

$$M(0) \subset M(1) \subset M(2) \subset \dots$$

of finite-dimensional semisimple assosiative algebras.

In the case

$$G(n) = S(n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

we always have

$$k \in \{0, 1\},$$

which means that the branching graph is multiplicity free. A proof of this well known fact (see, for example [JK]) will be given below. We assume $k \in \{0, 1\}$ in the sequel. In this case the decomposition

$$V^\lambda = \bigoplus_{\mu \in G(n-1)^\wedge, \mu \nearrow \lambda} V^\mu$$

into the sum of irreducible $G(n-1)$-modules is canonical. By induction, we obtain a canonical decomposition of the module V^λ into irreducible $G(0)$-modules (that is simply 1-dimensional subspaces)

$$V^\lambda = \bigoplus_T V_T\,,$$

indexed by the all possible chains

$$T = \lambda_0 \nearrow \lambda_1 \nearrow \dots \nearrow \lambda_n \tag{1.2}$$

where $\lambda_i \in G(i)^\wedge$ and $\lambda_n = \lambda$. Such are *paths* from $\varnothing$ to λ in the branching graph.

Choose a vector $v_T \in V_T$ such that

$$(v_T, v_T) = 1\,,$$

where $(\cdot\,,\,\cdot)$ is the $G(n)$-invariant inner product in V^λ. The basis $\{v_T\}$ is called the *Gelfand-Zetlin (GZ) basis*. In [GZ1,GZ2] was defined basis for representation of $SO(n)$ and $U(n)$; we use the same name in the general situation (see [VK]). We shall consider also non-normalized Gelfand-Zetlin basis vectors. By definition

$$\mathbb{C}[G(i)] \cdot v_T, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \tag{1.3}$$

is the irreducible $G(i)$-module V^{λ_i}. It is clear also, that v_T is the unique (within a scalar factor) vector with this property.

By $Z(n)$ denote the center of $\mathbb{C}[G(n)]$. Let $A(n) \subset \mathbb{C}[G(n)]$ be the algebra generated by the subalgebras

$$Z(1), Z(2), \dots, Z(n)$$

of $\mathbb{C}[G(n)]$. It is readily seen that $A(n)$ is commutative. The algebra $A(n)$ is called the *Gelfand-Zetlin subalgebra*(GZ-algebra). Recall the following fundamental isomorphism

$$\mathbb{C}[G(n)] = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in G(n)^\wedge} \operatorname{End}(V^\lambda)\,. \tag{1.4}$$

PROPOSITION 1. *The GZ-algebra (= $A(n)$) is the algebra of of all operators diagonal in GZ-basis. In particular, it is a maximal commutative subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[G(n)]$.*

PROOF. Denote by $P_T \in A(n)$ the product of central idempotents

$$P_{\lambda_1} P_{\lambda_2} \dots P_{\lambda}, \quad P_{\lambda_i} \in Z(i),$$

corresponding to $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda$ respectively. Clearly, P_T is the projection onto V_T. Hence $A(n)$ contains the algebra of operators diagonal in the basis $\{v_T\}$, which is a maximal commutative subalgebra of $\mathbb{C}[G(n)]$. Since $A(n)$ is commutative the proposition is proved. □

REMARK 1.1. Note that by the theorem any vector from the Gelfand-Zetlin basis for any irredusible representation of $G(n)$ is uniquely (within a scalar) determined by the eigenvalues of the elements of $A(n)$ on it.

REMARK 1.2. In general situation (for an arbitrary branching rule with the multiplicities of the edges -see above definition) Gelfand-Zetlin algebra is not a maximal abelian subalgerba of the whole algebra: maximality of tha algebra takes place iff the multiplicities are equal to zero or one.

§2. JUCYS-MURPHY ELEMENTS.

¿From now on we consider the case

$$G(n) = S(n).$$

In section 4 we shall prove that any irreducible $S(n)$-module is indeed a multiplicity-free $S(n-1)$-module. The Gelfand-Zetlin basis for the symmetric group is known as the *Young basis.*

For $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ consider the following elements $X_i \in \mathbb{C}[S(n)]$

$$X_i = (1\,i) + (2\,i) + \dots + (i-1\ i).$$

In particular, $X_1 = 0$. Following M. Nazarov we call them *Jucys-Murphy elements* or JM-elements; they were introduced independently in [Ju] and [Mu].

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} X_i = \ & \textit{sum of all transpositions in } S(i) - \\ & \textit{sum of all transpositions in } S(i-1), \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

that is a difference of an element of $Z(i)$ and an element of $Z(i-1)$. Therefore $X_i \in A(n)$ for all $i \leq n$. In particular, the JM elements commute. The following proposition will be proved in the section 4. The fact that JM elements generate a maximal commutative subalgebra in $\mathbb{C}[S(n)]$ is well-known (see [DG]).

PROPOSITION 2.1. *The elements $X_1, \dots, X_n$ generate the algebra $A(n)$.*

Another way to introduce the elements X_i is the following. There exists the unique map

$$S(n+1) \to S(n)$$

which commutes with the right and left multiplication by elements of $S(n)$; it removes the number $n+1$ from the cyclic notation of a permutation [KOV]. Extend this map to a map of group algebras by linearity

$$\mathbb{C}[S(n+1)] \to \mathbb{C}[S(n)].$$

PROPOSITION 2.2. *The affine space in the algebra $\mathbb{C}[S(n+1)]$ which is the intersection of the preimage (under that projection) of the identity element of $\mathbb{C}[S(n)]$ with the commutant of the subalgebra $\mathbb{C}[S(n)]$ is spanned by indentity element of $\mathbb{C}[S(n+1)]$ and the element $n^(-1)X_{n+1}$.*

This proposition gives us an invariant definition of JM elemenmts; it could be extend to many series of ALSG algebras and groups which have a similar projection, f.e. JM elements for the general Coxeter groups could be defined in such a way. We will not use this fact.

The Young basis is the common eigenbasis of JM elements. If v is a Young basis vector, then by

$$\alpha(v) = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$$

denote the eigenvalues of $X_1, \dots, X_n$ on v. Let us call the vector $\alpha(v)$ the *weight* of v. Denote by

$$\operatorname{Spec}(n) = \{\alpha(v),\ v \in \text{ Young basis}\}$$

the spectrum of JM elements. By proposition 2 and remark 1 a point $\alpha(v) \in \operatorname{Spec}(n)$ determines v up to a scalar factor (denote by v_α any Young basis vector corresponding to a point $\alpha \in \operatorname{Spec}(n)$). It follows that

$$|\operatorname{Spec}(n)| = \sum_{\lambda \in S(n)^\wedge} \dim \lambda \,.$$

By definition of the Young basis the set $\operatorname{Spec}(n)$ is in a natural bijection with the set of all paths (1.2) in the branching graph. Denote these correspondences by

$$T \mapsto \alpha(T), \quad \alpha \mapsto T_\alpha \,.$$

There is a natural equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\operatorname{Spec}(n)$. Write

$$\alpha \sim \beta, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \operatorname{Spec}(n)\,,$$

if v_α and v_β belong to the same irreducible $S(n)$-module, or, equivalently, the paths T_α and T_β have the same end. Clearly,

$$|\operatorname{Spec}(n)/\sim| = |S(n)^\wedge|\,.$$

Our plan is to

(1) describe the set $\operatorname{Spec}(n)$,
(2) describe the equivalence relation $\sim$,
(3) calculate matrix elements in the Young basis,
(4) calculate characters of irreducible representations.

§3. Action of Coxeter generators and the algebra $H(2)$.

The Coxeter generators of the group $S(n)$

$$s_i = (i\ i+1), \quad i = 1, \dots, n-1,$$

commute except for neighbors. Such generators were called *local* generators in [V]. Here, as in physics, "local" means that remote generators do not affect each other (commute). The Young basis is also local in the following sence.

PROPOSITION 3. *For any vector*

$$v_T, \quad T = \lambda_0 \nearrow \ldots \nearrow \lambda_n, \quad \lambda_i \in S(i)^\wedge$$

and any $k = 1, \ldots, n-1$ *the vector*

$$s_k \cdot v_T$$

is a linear combination of vectors

$$v_{T'}, \quad T' = \lambda'_0 \nearrow \ldots \nearrow \lambda'_n, \quad \lambda'_i \in S(i)^\wedge$$

such that

$$\lambda'_i = \lambda_i, \quad i \neq k\,.$$

In other words, the action of s_k *affects only the* k*-th level of the branching graph.*

PROOF. Suppose $i > k$. Since $s_k \in S(i)$ and the module

$$\mathbb{C}[S(i)] \cdot v_T$$

is irreducible we have

$$\mathbb{C}[S(i)]\, s_k \cdot v_T = \mathbb{C}[S(i)] \cdot v_T = V^{\lambda_i}\,, \tag{3.1}$$

where V^{λ_i} is the irreducible $S(i)$-module indexed by $\lambda_i \in S(i)^\wedge$.

Suppose $i < k$. Since s_k commutes with $S(i)$ we have (3.1) again. Now it follows from (1.3) that $s_k \cdot v_T$ is a linear combination of desired vectors. □

In the same way it is easy to show the the coefficients of this linear combination depend only on $\lambda_{k-1}, \lambda_k, \lambda'_k, \lambda_{k+1}$ and the choice of the scalar factors in Young basis vectors. That is the action of s_k affects only the k-th level and depends only on levels number $k-1, k, k+1$ of the branching graph.

The proposition can be also easily deduced from the obvious relations

$$s_i X_j = X_j s_i, \quad j \neq i, i+1\,. \tag{3.2}$$

The elements s_i, X_i, and X_{i+1} satisfy a more interesting (and well-known relation)

$$s_i X_i + 1 = X_{i+1} s_i\,, \tag{3.2}$$

which is evident rewritten as

$$s_i X_i s_i + s_i = X_{i+1}\,.$$

Denote by $H(2)$ the algebra generated by elements s, Y_1, Y_2 subject to the following relations

$$S^2 = 1, \quad Y_1 Y_2 = Y_2 Y_1, \quad s Y_1 + 1 = Y_2 s\,.$$

This algebra will play the central role in the sequel. It is the simplest example of the degenerate affine Hecke algebra.

The action of JM elements on the Young basis is also local. It readily follows from (2.1) that if

$$T = \lambda_0 \nearrow \ldots \nearrow \lambda_n$$

and

$$\alpha(T) = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$$

Then a_k is the difference of a function of λ_k and a function of λ_{k-1} for all k.

Another important property of Coxeter generators and JM elements is that the relations between them are stable under a shift of indices. Such relations were called *stationary* relations in [V1]. We can consider an algebra $H(2)$) as right "increment" which we have to add to algerba $\mathbb{C}[S(n)]$ in order to obtain the algebra $\mathbb{C}[S(n+1)]$.

§4. Centralizers.

Suppose we have a group G, an irreducible G-module V, a subgroup $H \subset G$, and an irreducible H-module U. The multiplicity of U in V equals the dimension of the vector space

$$\mathrm{Hom}_H(U,V)\,.$$

This vector space, as it follows from (1.4), is an irreducible module over the centralizer

$$\mathbb{C}[G]^H$$

of the group H in $\mathbb{C}[G]$. In this section we study the centralizers

$$Z(l,k)=\mathbb{C}[S(l+k)]^{S(l)}.$$

The following theorem was proved by G. Olshanski.

THEOREM 4. *The algebra $Z(l,k)$ is generated by the elements*

$$X_{l+1},\dots,X_{l+k}\,,$$

the group $S(k)$, which permutes the numbers $\{l+1,\dots,l+k\}$, and the center $Z(l)$ of $\mathbb{C}[S(l)]$.

PROOF. Let us assume that $k=2$. The general case is similar. The sums of the two following kinds form a linear basis in $Z(l,k)$

$$\Sigma(m_1,m_2,\dots)= \\ =\sum_{a_1,a_2,\dots,a_{m_1},b_1,\dots}(l+1,a_1,\dots,a_{m_1})(l+2,b_1,\dots,b_{m_2})(c_1,\dots,c_{m_3})(\dots)\dots\,,$$

$$\Sigma'(m_1,m_2,\dots)= \\ =\sum_{a_1,a_2,\dots,a_{m_1},b_1,\dots}(l+1,a_1,\dots,a_{m_1},l+2,b_1,\dots,b_{m_2})(c_1,\dots,c_{m_3})(\dots)\dots\,,$$

where $a_1,a_2,\dots,b_1,\dots$ range over all possible subsets of $\{1,\dots,l\}$ of cardinality $|m|=\sum m_i$. Clearly,

$$\Sigma'(m_1,m_2,\dots)=(l+1,l+2)\Sigma(m_1,m_2,\dots)\,.$$

Introduce a filtration of $Z(l,2)$ whose n-th subspace is spanned by $\Sigma(m_1,m_2,\dots)$ and $\Sigma'(m_1,m_2,\dots)$ with $|m|\le n$. It is easy to see that

$$\Sigma(m_1,m_2,\dots)= \\ (X_{l+1})^{m_1}(X_{l+2}-s_{l+1})^{m_2}\left(\sum_{c_1,\dots}(c_1,\dots,c_{m_3})(\dots)\dots\right)+\text{lower terms}\,.$$

Here "lower terms" means an element of the lower filtration subspace. Note that the sum over $c_1,\dots$ is an element of $Z(l)$. Now induction on $|m|$ proves the theorem. □

In particular, the algebra

$$\mathbb{C}[S(n+2)]^{S(n)}$$

is generated by $Z(n)$ and homomorphic image of $H(2)$.

Now we can prove proposition 2.

COROLLARY 4.1. *The elements $X_1, \dots, X_n$ generate the algebra $A(n)$.*

PROOF. By induction, it suffices to check that $Z(n)$ belongs to the algebra generated by $Z(n-1)$ and X_n. This follows from the above theorem and obvious inclusion

$$Z(n) \subset Z(n-1,1). \quad \square$$

COROLLARY 4.2. *Let V^μ be an irreducible $S(l)$-module, and let V^λ be an irreducible $S(l+k)$-module. Then the multiplicity of V^μ in V^λ is not greater than $k!$. In particular, if $k=1$ then V^λ is a multiplicity-free $S(l)$-module.*

PROOF. The multiplicity is the dimension of

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{S(l)}(V^\mu, V^\lambda), \tag{4.1}$$

which is an irreducible $Z(l,k)$-module. The algebra $Z(l)$ acts by scalar operators in this module. The elements $X_{l+1}, \dots, X_{l+k}$ commute and hence have a common eigenvector v in (4.1). It follows from the relations (3.2,3.3) that the vector space spanned by the vectors

$$s \cdot v, \quad s \in S(k),$$

is $Z(l,k)$-invariant and hence equals (4.1). It follows that

$$\dim \mathrm{Hom}_{S(l)}(V^\mu, V^\lambda) \leq k!. \quad \square$$

It is easy to see from the explicit construction of representation (see below) that this upper bound is sharp.

In terms of the branching graph this proposition can be restated as follows. By the very definition of the Young basis the space (4.1) has a natural basis indexed by paths from μ to λ in the branching graph. Suppose

$$T_0 = \mu \nearrow \dots \nearrow \lambda$$

is such a path. Then the corresponding homomorphism

$$V^\mu \to V^\lambda$$

takes a vector $v_T \in V^\mu$ to the vector

$$v_{T+T_0},$$

where $T + T_0$ stands for junction of T and T_0.

The estimate (4.2) for $k=2$ implies that there are only three possibilities:

(1) the multiplicity of μ in λ equals 0 and μ and λ are not connected in the branching graph;

(2) the multiplicity equals 1 and the interval between μ and λ is a chain

$$\mu \text{---} \nu \text{---} \lambda;$$

(3) the multiplicity equals 2 and the interval between μ and λ is a square

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & \nearrow & \nu & \searrow & \\ \mu & & & & \lambda. \\ & \searrow & \eta & \nearrow & \end{array}$$

In the case of the chain the generator s_{l+1} multiplies all vectors

$$v_T, \quad T = \ldots \nearrow \mu \nearrow \nu \nearrow \lambda \nearrow \ldots$$

by a constant, which equals ± 1 by virtue of $s_{l+1}^2 = 1$.

We consider the case of the square in the next section.

REMARK 4.3. The algebra generated by the group $S(n)$ and commuting elements $Y_1, \ldots, Y_n$ subject to relations

$$s_i Y_i + 1 = Y_{i+1} s_i, \quad s_i Y_j = Y_j s_i, \; j \neq i, i+1\,,$$

is called the *degenerate affine Hecke algebra.* We denote it by $H(n)$. We see that (4.1) is an irreducible $H(k)$ module where Y_i acts as X_{l+i}.

REMARK 4.4. Algebra $Z(k,l$ is a homomorphic image of the degenerated Hecke algebra,- see [D,C].

§5. IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS OF $H(2)$.

We already know that all irreducible of $H(2)$ are not more than 2-dimensional and have a vector v such that

$$Y_1 v = av, \quad Y_2 v = bv, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{C}.$$

If the vectors v and sv are independent then the relation

$$sY_1 + 1 = Y_2 s \tag{5.1}$$

implies that Y_1 and Y_2 act in the basis v, sv as follows

$$Y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & -1 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} b & 1 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $b \neq a \pm 1$ this representation is irreducible; denote it by $\pi_{a,b}$. If v and sv are proportional then

$$sv = \pm v$$

and it follows from (5.1) that

$$b = a \pm 1$$

in this case.

If $a = b$ then the operators $\pi_{a,b}(Y_i)$ are not semisimple and therefore such representations cannot appear in the action on the Young basis. If $a \neq b$ then the operators $\pi_{a,b}(Y_i)$ can be diagonalized, for example, as follows

$$Y_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_2 = \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad s = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{b-a} & 1 - \frac{1}{(b-a)^2} \\ 1 & \frac{1}{a-b} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.2}$$

Let us formulate our results as a proposition.

PROPOSITION 5. *Suppose*

$$\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_i, a_{i+1}, \dots, a_n) \in \mathrm{Spec}(n).$$

Then

(1) $a_i \neq a_{i+1}$ *for all* i,
(2) *if* $a_{i+1} = a_i \pm 1$ *then* $s_i \cdot v_\alpha = \pm v_\alpha$,
(3) *if* $a_{i+1} \neq a_i \pm 1$ *then*

$$\alpha' = s_i \cdot \alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_{i+1}, a_i, \dots, a_n) \in \mathrm{Spec}(n)$$

and $\alpha' \sim \alpha$. *Moreover,*

$$v_{\alpha'} = \left(s_i - \frac{1}{a_{i+1} - a_i}\right) v_\alpha$$

and the elements s_i, X_i, X_{i+1} *act in the basis* $v_\alpha, v_{\alpha'}$ *by formulas* (5.2) *with* Y_1 *replaced by* X_i *and* Y_2 *replaced by* X_{i+1}.

Let us call a transposition s_i as in part (3) of the proposition an *admissible* transposition. Admissible transpositions preserve the set $\mathrm{Cont}(n)$ (see next section). Evidently, the two cases in this proposition are the cases of the chain and square from the previous section.

§6. Main theorems

In this section we shall describe the set $\mathrm{Spec}(n)$ and the equivalence relation $\sim$. Introduce the set $\mathrm{Cont}(n)$ of *content vectors* (or *Young vector*) of length n. By definition

$$\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathrm{Cont}(n)$$

if α satisfies the following conditions

(1) $a_1 = 0$,
(2) $\{a_q - 1, a_q + 1\} \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_{q-1}\} \neq \varnothing$ for all $q > 1$,
(3) if $a_p = a_q = a$ for some $p < q$ then

$$\{a-1, a+1\} \subset \{a_{p+1}, \dots, a_{q-1}\}.$$

It is clear that

$$\mathrm{Cont}(n) \subset \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

THEOREM 6.1.

$$\mathrm{Spec}(n) \subset \mathrm{Cont}(n). \tag{6.1}$$

We shall need the following lemma

LEMMA 6.1. *Suppose*

$$\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

and $a_i = a_{i+2} = a_{i+1} - 1$ *for some* i. *Then*

$$\alpha \notin \mathrm{Spec}(n).$$

PROOF OF THE LEMMA. Suppose $\alpha \in \mathrm{Spec}(n)$. By proposition 5, part (2)

$$s_i v_\alpha = v_\alpha,\ s_{i+1} v_\alpha = -v_\alpha\,,$$

which contradicts the Coxeter relation

$$s_i s_{i+1} s_i = s_{i+1} s_i s_{i+1}\,. \quad \square$$

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Suppose $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathrm{Spec}(n)$. Since $X_1 = 0$ we have $a_1 = 0$.

Let us verify the conditions (2) and (3) by induction on n. The case $n = 2$ is clear.

Suppose $\{a_n - 1, a_n + 1\} \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}\} = \varnothing$. Then the transposition of $n-1$ and n is admissible and

$$(a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_n, a_{n-1}) \in \mathrm{Spec}(n)\,.$$

Hence $(a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}, a_n) \in \mathrm{Spec}(n-1)$ and clearly

$$\{a_n - 1, a_n + 1\} \cap \{a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}\} = \varnothing$$

in contradiction to the inductive assumption. This proves the necessity of (2).

Suppose $a_p = a_n = a$ for some $p < n$ and, say,

$$a - 1 \notin \{a_{p+1}, \dots, a_{n-1}\}\,.$$

We can assume, that p is choosen maximal, that is

$$a \notin \{a_{p+1}, \dots, a_{n-1}\}\,.$$

Then, by the inductive assumption, the number $a+1$ appears in $\{a_{p+1}, \dots, a_{n-1}\}$ at most once. That is we have two possibilities: either

$$(a_p, \dots, a_n) = (a, *, \dots, *, a)\,,$$

or

$$(a_p, \dots, a_n) = (a, *, \dots, *, a+1, *, \dots, *, a)\,,$$

where $*, \dots, *$ stands for a sequence of numbers different from $a-1$, a, $a+1$.

In the first case applying $n-p-1$ admissible transpositions we get

$$\alpha \sim \alpha' = (\dots, a, a, \dots),$$

which contradicts proposition 5, part (1).

In the second case by the same argument

$$\alpha \sim \alpha' = (\dots, a, a+1, a, \dots),$$

which is impossible by the lemma $\square$

We shall need one more equivalence relation. Write

$$\alpha \approx \beta, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}^n,$$

if β is a permutation of entries of α. The set $\mathrm{Cont}(n)$ with the relation $\approx$ has the following simple combinatorial interpretation.

Denote by $\mathbb{Y}$ the Young graph. By definition, the vertices of $\mathbb{Y}$ are Young diagrams and two vertices ν and η are joined by an oriented edge iff $\nu \subset \eta$ and η/ν is a single box. Write $\nu \nearrow \eta$ in this case. Recall that, given a box $\square \in \eta$, the number

$$c(\square) = x\text{-coordinate of } \square - y\text{-coordinate of } \square$$

is called the *content* of $\square$. By $\mathrm{Tab}(\nu)$ denote the set of paths in $\mathbb{Y}$ from $\varnothing$ to ν, such paths are called *standard* or Young tableaux. The convenient way to represent a path $T \in \mathrm{Tab}(\nu)$

$$\varnothing = \nu_0 \nearrow \dots \nearrow \nu_n = \nu$$

is to write the numbers $1, \dots, n$ in the boxes $\nu_1/\nu_0, \dots, \nu_n/\nu_{n-1}$ of ν_n respectively. Put

$$\mathrm{Tab}(n) = \bigcup_{|\nu|=n} \mathrm{Tab}(\nu).$$

The following proposition can be easily checked

PROPOSITION 6.2. *Suppose*

$$T = \nu_0 \nearrow \dots \nearrow \nu_n \in \mathrm{Tab}(n).$$

The map

$$T \mapsto (c(\nu_1/\nu_0), \dots, c(\nu_n/\nu_{n-1}))$$

is a bijection of $\mathrm{Tab}(n)$ *and* $\mathrm{Cont}(n)$. *We have* $\alpha \approx \beta$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{Cont}(n)$ *iff the corresponding paths have the same end, that is iff they are tableaux on the same diagram.*

In terms of Young tableaux, admissible transpositions are transpositions of numbers from different rows and columns.

LEMMA 6.3. *Any two Young tableu $T_1, T_2 \in \mathrm{Tab}(\nu)$ on a diagram ν can be obtained from each other by admissible transpositions. In other words, if $\alpha, \beta \in \mathrm{Cont}(n)$ and $\alpha \approx \beta$ then β can be obtained from α by admissible transpositions.*

Proof. Let us show that by admissible transpositions we can take any Young tableau $T \in \mathrm{Tab}(\nu)$ to the following tableau

$$T^\nu = \begin{array}{ccccc} 1 & 2 & \dots & \dots & \nu_1 \\ \nu_1+1 & \dots & \nu_1+\nu_2 & & \\ \dots & & & & \end{array},$$

which corresponds to the following element of $\mathrm{Cont}(n)$

$$\alpha(T^\nu) = (0, 1, 2, \dots, \nu_1 - 1, -1, 0, \dots, \nu_2 - 2, -2, -1, \dots).$$

To that end consider the last box of the last row of ν. Let i be the number written in this box of T. Transpose i and $i+1$, then $i+1$ and $i+2, \dots$, and finally $n-1$ and n. Clearly, all these transpositions are admissible, and we obtain a tableux with the number n written in the last box of the last column.

Now the number n is in the right position, so we can forget about it and repeat the same procedure for $n-1, n-2, \dots$. □

COROLLARY 6.3. *If $\alpha \in \mathrm{Spec}(n)$ and $\alpha \approx \beta$, $\beta \in \mathrm{Cont}(n)$, then $\beta \in \mathrm{Spec}(n)$ and $\alpha \sim \beta$.*

REMARK 6.3. Our chain of transpositions linking T and T^ν is a minimal possible in the following sense. Denote by s the permutation, which maps T to T^ν. Let $\ell(s)$ be the number of inversions in s, that is

$$\ell(s) = \#\{(i,j) \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid i < j, s(i) > s(j)\}.$$

It is well known that s can be written as a product of $\ell(s)$ transpositions s_i and cannot be written as a shorter product[3]. It is easy to see that our chain contains exactly $\ell(s)$ admissible transpositions. In other words, $\mathrm{Cont}(n)$ is a "totally geodesic" subset of $\mathbb{Z}^n$ for the action of $S(n)$.

THEOREM 6.4. *$\mathbb{Y}$ is the branching graph of the symmetric groups,* $\mathrm{Spec}(n) = \mathrm{Cont}(n)$ *and* $\sim = \approx$.

PROOF. Consider the coset $\mathrm{Cont}(n)/\approx$. We have

$$\#\{\,\mathrm{Cont}(n)/\approx\,\} = \#\{\text{partitions of } \lambda\}.$$

By the corollary 6.3 each equivalence class in $\mathrm{Cont}(n)/\approx$ either contains no elements of $\mathrm{Spec}(n)$ or is a subset of an equivalence class in $\mathrm{Spec}(n)/\sim$. Since

$$\#\{\,\mathrm{Spec}(n)/\sim\,\} = \#\{S(n)^\wedge\} = \#\{\text{partitions of } \lambda\},$$

all classes in $\mathrm{Cont}(n)/\approx$ are classes in $\mathrm{Spec}(n)/\sim$. In other words,

$$\mathrm{Spec}(n) = \mathrm{Cont}(n) \quad \text{and} \quad \sim = \approx.$$

Clearly, this implies that $\mathbb{Y}$ is the branching graph of the chain of symmetric groups. □

[3] simply because $\ell(s_i g) = \ell(g) \pm 1$ for all i and $g \in S(n)$.

§7. Young formulas.

So far Young basis vectors v_T were considered up to scalar factors. In this section we shall specify the choice of these factors.

Let us start with the tableau T^λ defined in the proof of the lemma 6.3. Choose any non-zero vector v_{T^λ} corresponding to this tableau.

Given a tableau $T \in \mathrm{Tab}(\lambda)$ put

$$\ell(T) = \ell(s),$$

where s is the permutation, which maps T^λ to T. Recall that P_T denotes the orthonormal projection onto V_T (see §1). Put

$$v_T = P_T \cdot s \cdot v_{T^\lambda} \tag{7.1}$$

By lemma 6.3 the permutation s can be represented as a product of $\ell(T)$ admissible transpositions. Therefore, by definition (7.1) and the formulas (5.2)

$$s \cdot v_{T^\lambda} = v_T + \sum_{R \in \mathrm{Tab}(\lambda), \ell(R) < \ell(T)} \gamma_R \, v_R \tag{7.2}$$

where γ_R are some rational numbers. In particular, suppose $T' = s_i T$ and

$$\ell(T') > \ell(T).$$

Let

$$\alpha(T) = (a_1, \ldots, a_n) \in \mathrm{Cont}(n)$$

be the sequence of contents of boxes in T. Then by (5.2), (7.1), and (7.2) we have

$$s_i \cdot v_T = v_{T'} + \frac{1}{a_{i+1} - a_i} v_T \,. \tag{7.3}$$

And again by (5.2)

$$s_i \cdot v_{T'} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{(a_{i+1} - a_i)^2}\right) v_T - \frac{1}{a_{i+1} - a_i} v_{T'} \,. \tag{7.4}$$

This proves the following

Proposition 7.1. *There exists a basis v_T of V^λ in which the generators s_i act by the formulas (7.3,7.4). All irreducible representations of $S(n)$ are defined over the field $\mathbb{Q}$.*

Another way to prove this proposition is to verify directly that these formulas define a representation of $S(n)$ (that is to verify the Coxeter relations).

This basis is called the Young *seminormal form* of V^λ. If we normalize all vectors v_T we obtain the Young *orthonormal form* of V^λ. This form is defined over $\mathbb{R}$. Denote the normalized vectors by the same letters v_T. Then s_i acts in the two-dimensional space spanned by v_T and $v_{T'}$ by an orthogonal matrix. Therefore

$$s_i = \begin{pmatrix} r^{-1} & \sqrt{1 - r^{-2}} \\ \sqrt{1 - r^{-2}} & -r^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7.5}$$

where

$$r = a_{i+1} - a_i \,.$$

This number is usually called the *axial distance* - see [JK].

PROPOSITION 7.2. *There exists an orthonormal basis v_T of V^λ in which the generators s_i act by the formulas* (7.5).

REMARK. Since the weight $\alpha(T^\lambda)$ of v_{T^λ} is the biggest weight in V^λ with respect to the lexicographic order, we can call the weight $\alpha(T^\lambda)$ the *highest weight* of V^λ and call the vector v_{T^λ} the highest vector of V^λ.

The same formulas give the action of symmetric group in the representations assosiated to skew Young diagrams.

Suppose $|\mu| = l$, $|\lambda| = l + k$. Denote by $V^{\lambda/\mu}$ the $Z(l,k)$-module

$$V^{\lambda/\mu} = \operatorname{Hom}_{S(l)}(V^\mu, V^\lambda),$$

which was considered in §4. Clearly, this module has a similar Young orthonormal basis indexed by all Young tableaux on the skew diagram λ/μ. The generators

$$X_{l+i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, k$$

of $Z(l,k)$ act in this basis by multiplication by the content of the i-th box and the Coxeter generators of the subgroup $S(k) \subset Z(l,k)$ act by formulas (7.5).

§8. CHARACTERS OF SYMMETRIC GROUPS.

In this section we prove the Murnaghan-Nakayama rule for characters of the symmetric groups. The key fact we use is the Proposition 8.2, which is based on the theorem 4.

Recall that a Young diagram γ is called a *hook* if, $\gamma = (a+1, 1^b)$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The number b is called the height of the hook γ. Recall also that a skew diagram λ/μ is called a *skew hook*, if it is connected and does not have two boxes on the same diagonal. The content vector (or spectrum of JM elements) of the standard Young tableaux with skew hook as corresponding diagram is a vector without equal coordinates. In other words, λ/μ is a skew hook if the contents of the boxes of λ/μ form an interval in $\mathbb{Z}$ of cardinality $|\lambda/\mu|$. The number of rows taken occupied by λ/μ minus 1 is called the *height* of λ/μ and is denoted by $\langle\lambda/\mu\rangle$. Put $k = |\lambda/\mu|$. Let $V^{\lambda/\mu}$ be the $S(k)$-module corresponding to the skew diagram λ/μ, and let $\chi^{\lambda/\mu}$ be the corresponding character. Our aim is to prove the following well-known theorem

THEOREM 8.

$$\chi^{\lambda/\mu}((12\dots k)) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\langle\lambda/\mu\rangle}, & \textit{if } \lambda/\mu \textit{ is a skew hook,} \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise}. \end{cases} \tag{8.1}$$

Now suppose that ρ is a partition of k. Consider the following permutation from the conjugacy class corresponding to ρ

$$(12\dots\rho_1)(\rho_1+1\ \dots\ \rho_1+\rho_2)(\dots)\dots.$$

It is clear that applying theorem 8 several times we get the following classical

MURNAGHAN-NAKAYAMA RULE. *Let ρ be a partition of k. The value $\chi_\rho^{\lambda/\mu}$ of the character $\chi^{\lambda/\mu}$ on a permutation with cycle type ρ equals*

$$\chi_\rho^{\lambda/\mu} = \sum_S (-1)^{\langle S\rangle}$$

, where the sum is over all sequences S

$$\mu = \lambda_0 \subset \lambda_1 \subset \lambda_2 \cdots = \lambda\,,$$

such that λ_i/λ_{i-1} is a skew hook with ρ_i boxes, and

$$\langle S\rangle = \sum_i \langle \lambda_i/\lambda_{i-1}\rangle$$

It is well-known and can be easily proved (see, for example [M], Ch. 1, Ex. 3.11) that this rule is equivalent to all other descriptions of the characters of symmetric groups, for example, to the following symmetric functions relation [M]

$$p_\rho = \sum_\lambda \chi_\rho^\lambda s_\lambda\,,$$

or to the determinantal formula [M,JK]. Note that the theorem we are going to prove is a special case of the Murnaghan-Nakayama rule. The same proof of the following proposition was also given in [DG].

PROPOSITION 8.1. *The formula* (8.1) *is true for $\mu = \varnothing$.*

PROOF. It is easy to see (for example, by induction) that

$$X_2 X_3 \dots X_k = \text{sum of all } k\text{-cycles in } S(k)\ . \tag{8.2}$$

The eigenvalue of (8.2) on any Young basis vector in V^λ equals

$$(-1)^b b!\,(k-b-1)!$$

if λ is a hook of height b, and equals zero otherwise. Clearly, the number of k-cycles in $S(k)$ equals $(k-1)!$ and

$$\dim\lambda = \binom{k-1}{b}\,,$$

if λ is a hook of height b. Taking the trace of (8.2) in V^λ proves the proposition. □

PROPOSITION 8.2. *For any vector v from the Young basis of $V^{\lambda/\mu}$*

$$\mathbb{C}[S(k)] \cdot v = V^{\lambda/\mu}$$

PROOF. The vector space $V^{\lambda/\mu}$ is an irreducible $H(k)$-module. The vector v is by assumption a common eigenvector for all X_i. By commutation relations in $H(k)$ the space

$$\mathbb{C}[S(k)] \cdot v$$

is $H(k)$-invariant and hence equals $V^{\lambda/\mu}$. □

PROPOSITION 8.3. *If λ/μ is not connected then*

$$\chi^{\lambda/\mu}((12\dots k)) = 0\,.$$

PROOF. Suppose $\lambda/\mu = \nu_1 \cup \nu_2$, where ν_1, ν_2 are two skew Young diagram which have no edge in common. Put $a = |\nu_1|$, $b = |\nu_2|$. Consider the Young tableaux on λ/μ that have the numbers $1, 2, \dots, a$ in ν_1 and the numbers $a+1, \dots, k$ in ν_2. Consider the subspace of $V^{\lambda/\mu}$ spanned by all Young basis vectors with such tableaux and consider the action of the subgroup $S(a) \times S(b)$ of $S(k)$ on this subspace. It is clear that as $S(a) \times S(b)$-module this subspace is isomorphic to

$$V^{\nu_1} \otimes V^{\nu_2}\,.$$

By proposition 8.2 we have the following isomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{S(a)\times S(b)}^{S(k)} V^{\nu_1} \otimes V^{\nu_2} \longrightarrow V^{\lambda/\mu}\,. \tag{8.3}$$

It is easy to see that the dimension of the both sides of (8.3) equals

$$\binom{k}{a} \dim \nu_1 \,\dim \nu_2\,.$$

Hence (8.3) is an isomorphism.

In the natural basis of the induced representation the permutation $(12\dots k)$ (as well as any permutation which is not conjugated to an element of $S(a) \times S(b)$) has only zeroes on the diagonal. This proves the proposition. □

PROPOSITION 8.4. *If λ/μ has two boxes on the same diagonal then*

$$\chi^{\lambda/\mu}((12\dots k)) = 0\,.$$

PROOF. Suppose there are two such boxes. Then there is a diagram η such that

$$\mu \subset \eta \subset \lambda$$

and η/μ is a 2×2 square

$$\eta/\mu = \boxplus\,.$$

That is $V^{\lambda/\mu}$ contains a $S(4)$-submodule $V^{\boxplus}$. By proposition 8.2 we have an epimorphism

$$\operatorname{Ind}_{S(4)}^{S(k)} V^{\boxplus} \longrightarrow V^{\lambda/\mu}\,. \tag{8.4}$$

By the branching rule and Frobenius reciprocity the left-hand side of (8.4) contains only such $S(k)$-modules V^δ that $\boxplus \subset \delta$. In particular, δ cannot be a hook and therefore

$$\chi^\delta((12\dots k)) = 0\,,$$

by proposition 8.1. This proves the proposition. □

In fact, we have proved that under the assunptions of the proposition 8.4

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{S(k)}(V^\gamma, V^{\lambda/\mu}) = 0\,,$$

for all hook diagram γ.

PROPOSITION 8.5. *Suppose λ/μ is a skew hook. Then for any hook $\gamma=(a+1,1^b)$*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{S(k)}(V^\gamma, V^{\lambda/\mu}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C}, & b=\langle\lambda/\mu\rangle\,, \\ 0, & \textit{otherwise}\,. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Since translation of λ/μ do not change the corresponding $S(k)$-module we can assume that λ and μ are choosen minimal, that is

$$\lambda_1 > \mu_1, \quad \lambda_1' > \mu_1'\,.$$

Show that if $b<\langle\lambda/\mu\rangle$ then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{S(k)}(V^\gamma, V^{\lambda/\mu}) = 0\,.$$

Indeed, the module V^γ has a nonzero $S(k-b)$-invarinat vector and there is no such vectors in $V^{\lambda/\mu}$ since there is no such vectors in V^λ (this follows from the branching rule). The case $b>\langle\lambda/\mu\rangle$ is similar.

Now suppose $b=\langle\lambda/\mu\rangle$. Consider the vector space

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{S(k)}(V^\gamma, V^\lambda)\,.$$

It is easy to see from the following picture (and Young formulas) that



this space is the irreducible $S(|\mu|)$-module V^μ. Therefore

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{S(k)\times S(|\mu|)}(V^\gamma\otimes V^\mu, V^\lambda) = \mathbb{C},$$

and thus

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{S(k)}(V^\gamma, V^{\lambda/\mu}) = \mathbb{C}. \quad \square$$

The theorem follows evidently from the proved propositions.

REFERENCES

[C] I. Cherednik, *On special bases of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of the degenerate affine Hecke algebra*, Func. Anal. Appl. **20** (1986), 76-78.

[D] V. Drinfeld, *Degeneratred affine Hecke algebras and Yangians*, Func. Anal. Appl. **20** (1986), 56-58.

[DG] P. Diaconis and C. Greene, *Applications of Murphy's elements*, Stanford University Technical Report (1989), no. 335.

[GZ1] I. Gelfand and M. Zetlin, *Finite-dimensional representations of the group of unimodular matrices*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (Russian) **71** (1950), 825–828.

[GZ2] ______, *Finite-dimensional representations of the group of orthogonal matrices*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR (Russian) **71** (1950), 1017–1020.

[G] C. Greene, *A rational function identity related to the Murnaghan-Nakayama formula for the characters of S_n*, J. Alg. Comb. **1** (1992), no. 3, 235–255.

[JK] G. James and A. Kerber, *The representation theory of the symmetric group*, Encyclopedia of mathematics and its applications., vol. 16, Addison-Wesley, 1981.

[Ju] A. Jucys, *Symmetric polynomials and the center of the symmetric group ring*, Reports Math. Phys. **5** (1974), 107–112.

[KOV] S. Kerov, G. Olshanski, A. Vershik, *Harmonic analysis on the infinite symmetric group. A deformation of the regular representation*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I. Math. **316** (1993), no. 8, 773–778.

[KOOV] S. Kerov, A. Okounkov, G. Olshanski, A. Vershik, *Characters of infinite symmetric group and total positivity.*, in preparation.

[M] I. Macdonald, *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*, Oxford University Press, 1979.

[Mo] V. Molchanov, *On matrix elements of irreducible representations of the symmetric group*, Vestnik Mosk. Univ. **1** (1966), 52–57.

[Mu] G. Murphy, *A new construction of Young's seminormal representation of the symmetric group*, J. Algebra **69** (1981), 287–291.

[N] M. Nazarov, *Young's orthogonal form for Brauer's Centralizer Algebra*, to appear, J. Algebra **181** (1996).

[O1] A. Okounkov, *Thoma's theorem and representations of infinite bisymmetric group*, Func. Anal. Appl. **28** (1994), no. 2, 101-107.

[O2] ______, *On representations of infinite symmetric group*, to appear, Zap. Nauch. Semin. LOMI **240** (1996).

[OV] A. Okounkov and A. Vershik, *Inductive construction of representation theory for symmetric groups*, Russian Math. Survey (Uspekhi Mat. Nauk) **51** (1996), no. 2.

[R] A. Ram, *Analogues of Murphy elements in group algebras of Weyl groups of classical type*, to appear (1995).

[V1] A. Vershik, *Local algebras and a new version of Young's orthogonal form*, Topics in Algebra, Banach center publications, vol. 26(2), PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1990.

[V2] ______, *Local stationary algebras*, Amer.Math.Soc.Transl.(2), vol. 148, 1991, pp. 1-13.

[V3] ______Asymptotic aspects of the representation theory of symmetric groups, Sel. Math. Sov. **11** (1992), no. 2.

[VK] A. Vershik, S. Kerov, *Locally semisimple algebras. Combinatorial theory and K_0-functor. (English translation)*, Journ. Sov. Math. **38** (1985), 1701-1733.

[W] H. Weyl, *The classical groups. Their invariants and and representations.*, Princeton Univ. Press., 1939.