

# An interior point algorithm for solving a special QCQP that occurs in bundle methods

Hannes Fendl

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna  
Nordbergstraße 15, A-1090 Vienna

February 20, 2012

**Abstract.** We present an implementation of an interior point algorithm for solving a special QCQP that can be used for determining the search direction in bundle methods for nonlinearly constrained nonsmooth optimization.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Interior point method</b>	<b>2</b>
2.1	Basics . . . . .	2
2.2	Line search . . . . .	2
2.3	Search direction . . . . .	6
2.4	Algorithm . . . . .	26
<b>References</b>		<b>26</b>

## 1 Introduction

Let  $W, \hat{G} \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n}$  be positive definite and  $|J_k| = m$ . We want to derive the interior point framework for the convex QCQP (cf. FENDL [1])

$$\begin{aligned}
 \min_{d,v} \quad & v + \frac{1}{2}d^T W_p d \\
 \text{s.t.} \quad & -\alpha_j + d^T g_j \leq v \quad \text{for } j \in J_k \\
 & F - A_j + d^T \hat{g}_j + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d \leq 0 \quad \text{for } j \in J_k .
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

**Proposition 1.1** (Optimality conditions of the reduced QCQP).  $(d, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  solves the reduced QCQP (1) if and only if there exists  $(\lambda, \mu) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^{2m}$  with

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left( W + \left( \sum_{j \in J_k} \mu_j \right) \hat{G} \right) d + \sum_{j \in J_k} \lambda_j g_j + \sum_{j \in J_k} \mu_j \hat{g}_j &= o_n \\
 \sum_{j \in J_k} \lambda_j &= 1 \\
 -\alpha_j + d^T g_j - v &\leq 0 \quad \text{for } j \in J_k \\
 F - A_j + d^T \hat{g}_j + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d &\leq 0 \quad \text{for } j \in J_k \\
 \lambda_j (-\alpha_j + d^T g_j - v) &= 0 \quad \text{for } j \in J_k \\
 \mu_j (F - A_j + d^T \hat{g}_j + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d) &= 0 \quad \text{for } j \in J_k .
 \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

*Proof.* Clear due to the convexity of the reduced QCQP (1). □

## 2 Interior point method

### 2.1 Basics

**Notation 2.1.** We define for  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$

$$Z + \zeta := \begin{pmatrix} Z_{11} + \zeta & \dots & Z_{1n} + \zeta \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ Z_{m1} + \zeta & \dots & Z_{mn} + \zeta \end{pmatrix}, \quad Z + z := (Z_{:1} + z, \dots, Z_{:n} + z).$$

We write for  $\lambda, \mu, s, t \in \mathbb{R}^m$

$$\Lambda := \text{diag}(\lambda), \quad M := \text{diag}(\mu), \quad S := \text{diag}(s), \quad T := \text{diag}(t). \quad (3)$$

and we define

$$c := \frac{\lambda^T s + \mu^T t}{2m}. \quad (4)$$

### 2.2 Line search

We define a special neighbourhood for our iterates (cf. WRIGHT [3, p. 166, Equation (8.20)]).

**Definition 2.2** (Neighbourhood). Let  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  and  $\beta > 0$ . We define

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}(\gamma, \beta, c) := \left\{ (d, v, \lambda, \mu, s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1+4m} : \right. & \\ |(W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu|_{\infty} \leq \beta c, & \\ |-1 + \text{tr}(\Lambda)| \leq \beta c, & \\ |-\alpha + g^T d - v\mathbf{1}_m + s|_{\infty} \leq \beta c, & \\ |-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)\mathbf{1}_m + t|_{\infty} \leq \beta c, & \\ \lambda_j s_j \geq \gamma c, & \\ \mu_j t_j \geq \gamma c, & \\ (\lambda, s) > 0, & \\ (\mu, t) > 0 \left. \right\}. & \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

We want to perform a line search which determines  $r \in \{1, \chi, \chi^2, \dots\} \subseteq (0, 1]$ , where  $\chi \in (0, 1)$  is fixed, such that

$$(d, v, \lambda, \mu, s, t) + r(d^{\text{new}}, v^{\text{new}}, \lambda^{\text{new}}, \mu^{\text{new}}, s^{\text{new}}, t^{\text{new}}) \in \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}(\gamma, \beta, c) \quad (6)$$

$$\frac{(\lambda + r\lambda^{\text{new}})^T (s + rs^{\text{new}}) + (\mu + r\mu^{\text{new}})^T (t + rt^{\text{new}})}{2m} \leq (1 - 0.01r)c. \quad (7)$$

Finally, we make the update

$$(d, v, \lambda, \mu, s, t) := (d, v, \lambda, \mu, s, t) + r(d^{\text{new}}, v^{\text{new}}, \lambda^{\text{new}}, \mu^{\text{new}}, s^{\text{new}}, t^{\text{new}}),$$

and iterate this procedure.

*Remark 2.3* (Centrality reduction). The left side of the centrality reduction condition (7) is obtained by replacing  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu$ ,  $s$ , and  $t$  in the definition of  $c$  from (4) with  $\lambda + r\lambda^{\text{new}}$ ,  $\mu + r\mu^{\text{new}}$ ,  $s + rs^{\text{new}}$ , and  $t + rt^{\text{new}}$ .

**Proposition 2.4** (Line search scaling). For  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  the neighbourhood condition (6) is equivalent to

$$\left| \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + (g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu) \right) + r \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d^{\text{new}} + \text{tr}(M^{\text{new}})\hat{G}d + (g\lambda^{\text{new}} + \hat{g}\mu^{\text{new}}) \right) + r^2 \text{tr}(M^{\text{new}})\hat{G}d^{\text{new}} \right|_{\infty} \leq \beta c \quad (8)$$

$$\left| (-1 + \text{tr}(\Lambda)) + r \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda^{\text{new}}) \right| \leq \beta c \quad (9)$$

$$\left| (-\alpha + g^T d - v1_m + s) + r(g^T d^{\text{new}} - v^{\text{new}}1_m + s^{\text{new}}) \right|_{\infty} \leq \beta c \quad (10)$$

$$\left| (-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m + t) + r((\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} + t^{\text{new}}) + r^2(\frac{1}{2}d^{\text{new}T} \hat{G}d^{\text{new}})1_m \right|_{\infty} \leq \beta c \quad (11)$$

and

$$(-r)(\lambda_j^{\text{new}} s_j + \lambda_j s_j^{\text{new}}) - r^2 \lambda_j^{\text{new}} s_j^{\text{new}} \leq \lambda_j s_j - \gamma c \quad (12)$$

$$(-r)(\mu_j^{\text{new}} t_j + \mu_j t_j^{\text{new}}) - r^2 \mu_j^{\text{new}} t_j^{\text{new}} \leq \mu_j t_j - \gamma c \quad (13)$$

and

$$(-r)(\lambda^{\text{new}}, s^{\text{new}}) < (\lambda, s) \quad (14)$$

$$(-r)(\mu^{\text{new}}, t^{\text{new}}) < (\mu, t) . \quad (15)$$

For  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{> 0}$  the centrality reduction condition (7) is equivalent to

$$0 \geq \left( 0.01c + \frac{\lambda^{\text{new}T} s + \lambda^T s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t + \mu^T t^{\text{new}}}{2m} \right) + r \frac{\lambda^{\text{new}T} s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t^{\text{new}}}{2m} . \quad (16)$$

*Proof.* Inserting  $d + rd^{\text{new}}$ ,  $\lambda + r\lambda^{\text{new}}$ , and  $\mu + r\mu^{\text{new}}$  into the error estimation of the gradient of the Lagrangian from (5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \beta c &\geq \left| (W + \text{tr}(M + rM^{\text{new}})\hat{G})(d + rd^{\text{new}}) + g(\lambda + r\lambda^{\text{new}}) + \hat{g}(\mu + r\mu^{\text{new}}) \right|_{\infty} \\ &= \left| \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G}) + r \cdot \text{tr}(M^{\text{new}})\hat{G} \right) (d + rd^{\text{new}}) + (g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu) + r(g\lambda^{\text{new}} + \hat{g}\mu^{\text{new}}) \right|_{\infty} \\ &= \left| (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})(d + rd^{\text{new}}) + r \cdot \text{tr}(M^{\text{new}})\hat{G}(d + rd^{\text{new}}) + (g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu) + r(g\lambda^{\text{new}} + \hat{g}\mu^{\text{new}}) \right|_{\infty} \\ &= \left| (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + r(W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d^{\text{new}} + r \cdot \text{tr}(M^{\text{new}})\hat{G}d + r^2 \text{tr}(M^{\text{new}})\hat{G}d^{\text{new}} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu) + r(g\lambda^{\text{new}} + \hat{g}\mu^{\text{new}}) \right|_{\infty} \\ &= \left| \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + (g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu) \right) + r \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d^{\text{new}} + \text{tr}(M^{\text{new}})\hat{G}d + (g\lambda^{\text{new}} + \hat{g}\mu^{\text{new}}) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + r^2 \text{tr}(M^{\text{new}})\hat{G}d^{\text{new}} \right|_{\infty} . \end{aligned}$$

Inserting  $\lambda + r\lambda^{\text{new}}$  into the feasibility estimation of the convex combination constraint from (5) yields

$$\beta c \geq |-1 + \text{tr}(\Lambda + r\Lambda^{\text{new}})| = \left| (-1 + \text{tr}(\Lambda)) + r \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda^{\text{new}}) \right| .$$

Inserting  $d + rd^{\text{new}}$ ,  $v + rv^{\text{new}}$ , and  $s + rs^{\text{new}}$  into the feasibility estimations of the linear inequality constraints from (5) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \beta c &\geq \left| -\alpha + g^T (d + rd^{\text{new}}) - (v + rv^{\text{new}})1_m + (s + rs^{\text{new}}) \right|_{\infty} \\ &= \left| (-\alpha + g^T d - v1_m + s) + r(g^T d^{\text{new}} - v^{\text{new}}1_m + s^{\text{new}}) \right|_{\infty} . \end{aligned}$$

Since we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2}(d + rd^{\text{new}})^T \hat{G}(d + rd^{\text{new}}) &= \frac{1}{2}(d^T \hat{G}d + rd^{\text{new}T} \hat{G}d + rd^T \hat{G}d^{\text{new}} + r^2 d^{\text{new}T} \hat{G}d^{\text{new}}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(d^T \hat{G}d + r(d^{\text{new}T} \hat{G}d)^T + rd^T \hat{G}d^{\text{new}} + r^2 d^{\text{new}T} \hat{G}d^{\text{new}}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(d^T \hat{G}d + rd^T \hat{G}^T d^{\text{new}} + rd^T \hat{G}d^{\text{new}} + r^2 d^{\text{new}T} \hat{G}d^{\text{new}}) \\
&\stackrel{\hat{G} \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n}}{\leq} \frac{1}{2}(d^T \hat{G}d + rd^T \hat{G}d^{\text{new}} + rd^T \hat{G}d^{\text{new}} + r^2 d^{\text{new}T} \hat{G}d^{\text{new}}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}(d^T \hat{G}d + 2rd^T \hat{G}d^{\text{new}} + r^2 d^{\text{new}T} \hat{G}d^{\text{new}}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d + r(d^T \hat{G})d^{\text{new}} + \frac{1}{2}r^2 d^{\text{new}T} \hat{G}d^{\text{new}} , \tag{17}
\end{aligned}$$

inserting  $d + rd^{\text{new}}$  and  $t + rt^{\text{new}}$  into the feasibility estimations of the quadratic inequality constraints from (5) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\beta c &\geq |-A + \hat{g}^T(d + rd^{\text{new}}) + (F + \frac{1}{2}(d + rd^{\text{new}})^T \hat{G}(d + rd^{\text{new}}))1_m + (t + rt^{\text{new}})|_{\infty} \\
&= |(-A + \hat{g}^T d + t) + r(\hat{g}^T d^{\text{new}} + t^{\text{new}}) + (F + \frac{1}{2}(d + rd^{\text{new}})^T \hat{G}(d + rd^{\text{new}}))1_m|_{\infty} \\
&\stackrel{(17)}{=} |(-A + \hat{g}^T d + t) + r(\hat{g}^T d^{\text{new}} + t^{\text{new}}) + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d + r(d^T \hat{G})d^{\text{new}} + \frac{1}{2}r^2 d^{\text{new}T} \hat{G}d^{\text{new}})1_m|_{\infty} \\
&= |(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m + t) + r(\hat{g}^T d^{\text{new}} + (d^T \hat{G})d^{\text{new}}1_m + t^{\text{new}}) + r^2(\frac{1}{2}d^{\text{new}T} \hat{G}d^{\text{new}})1_m|_{\infty} \\
&= |(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m + t) + r((\hat{g}^T + d^T \hat{G})d^{\text{new}} + t^{\text{new}}) + r^2(\frac{1}{2}d^{\text{new}T} \hat{G}d^{\text{new}})1_m|_{\infty} \\
&\stackrel{\hat{G} \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n}}{\leq} |(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m + t) + r((\hat{g}^T + d^T \hat{G}^T)d^{\text{new}} + t^{\text{new}}) + r^2(\frac{1}{2}d^{\text{new}T} \hat{G}d^{\text{new}})1_m|_{\infty} \\
&= |(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m + t) + r((\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} + t^{\text{new}}) + r^2(\frac{1}{2}d^{\text{new}T} \hat{G}d^{\text{new}})1_m|_{\infty} .
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting  $\lambda + r\lambda^{\text{new}}$  and  $s + rs^{\text{new}}$  into the estimations for the complementarity conditions from (5) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma c &\leq (\lambda_j + r\lambda_j^{\text{new}})(s_j + rs_j^{\text{new}}) \\
&= \lambda_j s_j + r\lambda_j^{\text{new}} s_j + r\lambda_j s_j^{\text{new}} + r^2 \lambda_j^{\text{new}} s_j^{\text{new}} \\
&= \lambda_j s_j + r(\lambda_j^{\text{new}} s_j + \lambda_j s_j^{\text{new}}) + r^2 \lambda_j^{\text{new}} s_j^{\text{new}} \\
\iff &(-r)(\lambda_j^{\text{new}} s_j + \lambda_j s_j^{\text{new}}) - r^2 \lambda_j^{\text{new}} s_j^{\text{new}} \leq \lambda_j s_j - \gamma c
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma c &\leq (\mu_j + r\mu_j^{\text{new}})(t_j + rt_j^{\text{new}}) \\
&= \mu_j t_j + r\mu_j^{\text{new}} t_j + r\mu_j t_j^{\text{new}} + r^2 \mu_j^{\text{new}} t_j^{\text{new}} \\
&= \mu_j t_j + r(\mu_j^{\text{new}} t_j + \mu_j t_j^{\text{new}}) + r^2 \mu_j^{\text{new}} t_j^{\text{new}} \\
\iff &(-r)(\mu_j^{\text{new}} t_j + \mu_j t_j^{\text{new}}) - r^2 \mu_j^{\text{new}} t_j^{\text{new}} \leq \mu_j t_j - \gamma c .
\end{aligned}$$

Inserting  $\lambda + r\lambda^{\text{new}}$  and  $s + rs^{\text{new}}$  into the positivity conditions from (5) yields

$$0 < (\lambda + r\lambda^{\text{new}}, s + rs^{\text{new}}) = (\lambda, s) + r(\lambda^{\text{new}}, s^{\text{new}}) \iff (-r)(\lambda^{\text{new}}, s^{\text{new}}) < (\lambda, s)$$

and

$$0 < (\mu + r\mu^{\text{new}}, t + rt^{\text{new}}) = (\mu, t) + r(\mu^{\text{new}}, t^{\text{new}}) \iff (-r)(\mu^{\text{new}}, t^{\text{new}}) < (\mu, t) .$$

Finally, we obtain for the centrality reduction condition (7)

$$\begin{aligned}
c - 0.01rc &= (1 - 0.01r)c \\
&\stackrel{(7)}{\geq} \frac{(\lambda + r\lambda^{\text{new}})^T(s + rs^{\text{new}}) + (\mu + r\mu^{\text{new}})^T(t + rt^{\text{new}})}{2m} \\
&= \frac{(\lambda^T s + r\lambda^{\text{new}T} s + r\lambda^T s^{\text{new}} + r^2\lambda^{\text{new}T} s^{\text{new}}) + (\mu^T t + r\mu^{\text{new}T} t + r\mu^T t^{\text{new}} + r^2\mu^{\text{new}T} t^{\text{new}})}{2m} \\
&= \frac{(\lambda^T s + \mu^T t) + r(\lambda^{\text{new}T} s + \lambda^T s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t + \mu^T t^{\text{new}}) + r^2(\lambda^{\text{new}T} s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t^{\text{new}})}{2m} \\
&\stackrel{(4)}{=} c + \frac{r(\lambda^{\text{new}T} s + \lambda^T s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t + \mu^T t^{\text{new}}) + r^2(\lambda^{\text{new}T} s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t^{\text{new}})}{2m},
\end{aligned}$$

which is—after subtracting  $c$  on both sides—equivalent to

$$-0.01rc \geq \frac{r(\lambda^{\text{new}T} s + \lambda^T s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t + \mu^T t^{\text{new}}) + r^2(\lambda^{\text{new}T} s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t^{\text{new}})}{2m}$$

and therefore dividing by  $r \in (0, 1]$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
-0.01c &\geq \frac{(\lambda^{\text{new}T} s + \lambda^T s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t + \mu^T t^{\text{new}}) + r(\lambda^{\text{new}T} s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t^{\text{new}})}{2m} \\
&= \frac{\lambda^{\text{new}T} s + \lambda^T s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t + \mu^T t^{\text{new}}}{2m} + r \frac{\lambda^{\text{new}T} s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t^{\text{new}}}{2m}
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$0 \geq \left(0.01c + \frac{\lambda^{\text{new}T} s + \lambda^T s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t + \mu^T t^{\text{new}}}{2m}\right) + r \frac{\lambda^{\text{new}T} s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t^{\text{new}}}{2m}. \quad \square$$

**Proposition 2.5** (Starting point). *If we set*

$$d := o_n, \quad v := 0, \quad \lambda := \mathbf{1}_m, \quad \mu := \mathbf{1}_m, \quad s := \mathbf{1}_m, \quad t := \mathbf{1}_m \quad (18)$$

and if we choose  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$  and

$$\beta \geq \max(|(g + \hat{g})\mathbf{1}_m|_\infty, |-1 + m|, |-\alpha + \mathbf{1}_m|, |-A + (1 + F)\mathbf{1}_m|) \quad (19)$$

with  $\beta > 0$ , then

$$(d, v, \lambda, \mu, s, t) \in \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}(\gamma, \beta, c).$$

*Proof.* We calculate

$$c \stackrel{(4)}{=} \frac{\lambda^T s + \mu^T t}{2m} \stackrel{(18)}{=} \frac{\mathbf{1}_m^T \mathbf{1}_m + \mathbf{1}_m^T \mathbf{1}_m}{2m} = \frac{m + m}{2m} = 1. \quad (20)$$

Now we verify the conditions in the definition of  $\mathcal{N}_{-\infty}(\gamma, \beta, c)$  from (5): We obtain

$$|(W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu|_\infty \stackrel{(18)}{=} |g\mathbf{1}_m + \hat{g}\mathbf{1}_m|_\infty \stackrel{(19)}{\leq} \beta \stackrel{(20)}{=} \beta c$$

and

$$|-1 + \text{tr}(\Lambda)| \stackrel{(18)}{=} |-1 + m| \stackrel{(19)}{\leq} \beta \stackrel{(20)}{=} \beta c$$

and

$$|-\alpha + g^T d - v\mathbf{1}_m + s|_\infty \stackrel{(18)}{=} |-\alpha + \mathbf{1}_m|_\infty \stackrel{(19)}{\leq} \beta \stackrel{(20)}{=} \beta c$$

and

$$|-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m + t|_\infty \stackrel{(18)}{=} |-A + F1_m + 1_m|_\infty \stackrel{(19)}{\leq} \beta \stackrel{(20)}{=} \beta c .$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\lambda_j s_j \stackrel{(18)}{=} 1 \stackrel{\gamma \in (0,1)}{>} \gamma \stackrel{(20)}{=} \gamma c , \quad \mu_j t_j \stackrel{(18)}{=} 1 \stackrel{\gamma \in (0,1)}{>} \gamma \stackrel{(20)}{=} \gamma c .$$

Finally, we show the positivity condition

$$(\lambda, s) \stackrel{(18)}{=} (1_m, 1_m) > 0 , \quad (\mu, t) \stackrel{(18)}{=} (1_m, 1_m) > 0 . \quad \square$$

### 2.3 Search direction

**Proposition 2.6** (Variant of the optimality conditions).  $(d, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  solves the reduced QCQP (1) if and only if there exists  $(\lambda, \mu, s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{4m}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( W + \left( \sum_{j \in J_k} \mu_j \right) \hat{G} \right) d + \sum_{j \in J_k} \lambda_j g_j + \mu_j \hat{g}_j &= o_n \\ \sum_{j \in J_k} \lambda_j &= 1 \\ -\alpha_j + d^T g_j - v + s_j &= 0 \text{ for } j \in J_k \\ F - A_j + d^T \hat{g}_j + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d + t_j &= 0 \text{ for } j \in J_k \\ \lambda_j s_j &= 0 \text{ for } j \in J_k \\ \mu_j t_j &= 0 \text{ for } j \in J_k \\ (\lambda, s) &\geq o_{2m} \\ (\mu, t) &\geq o_{2m} . \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

*Proof.* Since  $(d, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  solves the reduced QCQP (1) if and only if (2) holds, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} -\alpha_j + d^T g_j - v \leq 0 &\iff -\alpha_j + d^T g_j - v + s_j = 0 \quad \wedge \quad s_j \geq 0 \\ F - A_j + d^T \hat{g}_j + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d \leq 0 &\iff F - A_j + d^T \hat{g}_j + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d + t_j = 0 \quad \wedge \quad t_j \geq 0 , \end{aligned}$$

adding  $\lambda_j s_j$  resp.  $\mu_j t_j$  on both sides of the complementarity conditions makes them equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_j(-\alpha_j + d^T g_j - v) + \lambda_j s_j &= \lambda_j s_j \iff \lambda_j s_j = 0 \\ \mu_j(F - A_j + d^T \hat{g}_j + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d) + \mu_j t_j &= \mu_j t_j \iff \mu_j t_j = 0 , \end{aligned}$$

which yields the desired result. □

**Proposition 2.7** (Trace). Let  $D \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{diag}}^{m \times m}$ . Then

$$1_m^T D 1_m = \text{tr}(D) . \quad (22)$$

*Proof.* We calculate

$$1_m^T D 1_m = 1_m^T \begin{pmatrix} D_{11} \\ \vdots \\ D_{mm} \end{pmatrix} = \sum_{j=1}^m D_{jj} = \text{tr}(D) . \quad \square$$

An interior point method applies Newton's method to an appropriately relaxed version of (21) to obtain the linear system, whose solution is used as the search direction (cf. WRIGHT [3, p. 165, Equation (8.18) & (8.19), and p. 210, Three Forms of the Step Equation]).

**Proposition 2.8** (Search direction). *If we apply Newton's method to the following relaxed version of (21)*

$$\begin{aligned}
\left(W + \left(\sum_{j \in J_k} \mu_j\right) \hat{G}\right) d + \sum_{j \in J_k} \lambda_j g_j + \mu_j \hat{g}_j &= o_n \\
\sum_{j \in J_k} \lambda_j &= 1 \\
-\alpha_j + d^T g_j - v + s_j &= 0 \quad \text{for } j \in J_k \\
F - A_j + d^T \hat{g}_j + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G} d + t_j &= 0 \quad \text{for } j \in J_k \\
\lambda_j s_j &= \sigma c \quad \text{for } j \in J_k \\
\mu_j t_j &= \sigma c \quad \text{for } j \in J_k,
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

then we obtain a linear system that can be expressed in one of the following six forms, where  $\sigma \in [\sigma_{\min}, \sigma_{\max}]$  with  $0 < \sigma_{\min} < \sigma_{\max} < 1$  and we use the abbreviation

$$x := (d^{\text{new}}, v^{\text{new}}, \lambda^{\text{new}}, \mu^{\text{new}}, s^{\text{new}}, t^{\text{new}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1+4m}. \tag{24}$$

1. The general form of the linear system reads

$$\bar{B}x = \bar{b},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{B} &:= \begin{pmatrix} W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G} & o_n & g & \hat{g} + \hat{G}d & O_{n \times m} & O_{n \times m} \\ o_n^T & 0 & -1_m^T & o_m^T & o_m^T & o_m^T \\ g^T & -1_m & O_{m \times m} & O_{m \times m} & I_m & O_{m \times m} \\ (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T & o_m & O_{m \times m} & O_{m \times m} & O_{m \times m} & I_m \\ O_{m \times n} & o_m & S & O_{m \times m} & \Lambda & O_{m \times m} \\ O_{m \times n} & o_m & O_{m \times m} & T & O_{m \times m} & M \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{(n+1+4m) \times (n+1+4m)}, \\
\bar{b} &:= - \begin{pmatrix} (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu \\ 1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda) \\ -\alpha + g^T d - v1_m + s \\ -A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m + t \\ (\Lambda S - \sigma c I_m)1_m \\ (MT - \sigma c I_m)1_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1+4m}.
\end{aligned}$$

2. The symmetric form of the linear system reads

$$\tilde{B}x = \tilde{b},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{B} &:= \begin{pmatrix} W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G} & o_n & g & \hat{g} + \hat{G}d & O_{n \times m} & O_{n \times m} \\ o_n^T & 0 & -1_m^T & o_m^T & o_m^T & o_m^T \\ g^T & -1_m & O_{m \times m} & O_{m \times m} & I_m & O_{m \times m} \\ (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T & o_m & O_{m \times m} & O_{m \times m} & O_{m \times m} & I_m \\ O_{m \times n} & o_m & I_m & O_{m \times m} & S^{-1}\Lambda & O_{m \times m} \\ O_{m \times n} & o_m & O_{m \times m} & I_m & O_{m \times m} & T^{-1}M \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{(n+1+4m) \times (n+1+4m)}, \\
\tilde{b} &:= - \begin{pmatrix} (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu \\ 1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda) \\ -\alpha + g^T d - v1_m + s \\ -A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m + t \\ (\Lambda - \sigma c S^{-1})1_m \\ (M - \sigma c T^{-1})1_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1+4m}.
\end{aligned}$$

3. The compact form of the linear system reads

$$\hat{B}x_{1:(n+1+2m)} = \hat{b},$$

where

$$\hat{B} := \begin{pmatrix} W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G} & o_n & g & \hat{g} + \hat{G}d \\ o_n^T & 0 & -1_m^T & o_m^T \\ g^T & -1_m & -\Lambda^{-1}S & O_{m \times m} \\ (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T & o_m & O_{m \times m} & -M^{-1}T \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{(n+1+2m) \times (n+1+2m)},$$

$$\hat{b} := - \begin{pmatrix} (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu \\ 1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda) \\ -\alpha + g^T d - v1_m + \sigma c \Lambda^{-1} 1_m \\ -A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m + \sigma c M^{-1} 1_m \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1+2m},$$

and  $s^{\text{new}}$  and  $t^{\text{new}}$  can be computed by

$$\begin{aligned} s^{\text{new}} &= -\Lambda^{-1}S\lambda^{\text{new}} - (S - \sigma c \Lambda^{-1})1_m \\ t^{\text{new}} &= -M^{-1}T\mu^{\text{new}} - (T - \sigma c M^{-1})1_m. \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

4. The practical form of the linear system reads

$$\check{B}x_{1:(n+1)} = \check{b},$$

where

$$\check{B} := \begin{pmatrix} (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G}) + g\Lambda S^{-1}g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T & -g\Lambda S^{-1}1_m \\ -(g\Lambda S^{-1}1_m)^T & \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)}$$

$$\check{b}_{1:n} := - \begin{pmatrix} (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu \\ -(g\Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v1_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1}1_m) \\ -((\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m) + \sigma c(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)T^{-1}1_m) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

$$\check{b}_{n+1} = -(1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda)) + 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d) - v \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) + \sigma c \cdot \text{tr}(S^{-1}) \in \mathbb{R},$$

and  $\lambda^{\text{new}}$  and  $\mu^{\text{new}}$  can be computed by

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{\text{new}} &= \Lambda S^{-1}(g^T, -1_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} + \Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v1_m) + \sigma c S^{-1}1_m \\ \mu^{\text{new}} &= MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} + MT^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m) + \sigma c T^{-1}1_m, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

and  $s^{\text{new}}$  and  $t^{\text{new}}$  can be computed according to (25).

5. The best form of the linear system reads

$$\vec{B}x_{1:n} = \vec{b},$$

where

$$\vec{B} := W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G} + g\Lambda S^{-1}g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T - \frac{(g\Lambda S^{-1}1_m)(g\Lambda S^{-1}1_m)^T}{\text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1})} \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n}$$

$$\vec{b} := - \begin{pmatrix} (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu \\ -(g\Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v1_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1}1_m) \\ -((\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m) + \sigma c(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)T^{-1}1_m) \\ + g\Lambda S^{-1}1_m \left( \frac{-(1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda)) + 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d) - v \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) + \sigma c \cdot \text{tr}(S^{-1})}{\text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1})} \right) \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

and  $v^{\text{new}}$  can be computed by

$$v^{\text{new}} = \frac{(g\Lambda S^{-1}1_m)^T d^{\text{new}}}{\text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1})} + \frac{-(1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda)) + 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d) - v \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) + \sigma c \cdot \text{tr}(S^{-1})}{\text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1})},$$

and  $\lambda^{\text{new}}$  and  $\mu^{\text{new}}$  can be computed according to (26), and  $s^{\text{new}}$  and  $t^{\text{new}}$  can be computed according to (25).



6. The best positive definite form of the linear system reads: Set

$$z_1 := -(1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda)) + \mathbf{1}_m^T \Lambda S^{-1} (-\alpha + g^T d) - v \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) + \sigma c \cdot \text{tr}(S^{-1}) \in \mathbb{R} \quad (27)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} z_2 := & -(g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)^T (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G} + g\Lambda S^{-1} g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T)^{-1} \\ & \cdot \left( - \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu \right) \right. \\ & \quad - (g\Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m) \\ & \quad \left. - \left( (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)T^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m \right) \right) \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and

$$z_3 := \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) - (g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)^T (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G} + g\Lambda S^{-1} g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T)^{-1} (g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m) \in \mathbb{R} \quad (29)$$

and compute

$$v^{\text{new}} = \frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_3} \in \mathbb{R} . \quad (30)$$

Solve the symmetric positive definite linear system

$$Bx_{1:n} = b , \quad (31)$$

where

$$B := W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G} + g\Lambda S^{-1} g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n} \text{ positive definite} \quad (32)$$

$$\begin{aligned} b := & - \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu \right) \\ & - (g\Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m) \\ & - \left( (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)T^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m \right) \\ & + g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m v^{\text{new}} \in \mathbb{R}^n , \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

and compute  $\lambda^{\text{new}}$  and  $\mu^{\text{new}}$  according to (26), and compute  $s^{\text{new}}$  and  $t^{\text{new}}$  according to (25).

*Proof.* We start by calculating the necessary linearizations: Linearizing the first equation of (23) yields

$$\begin{aligned} o_n &= \left( W + \left( \sum_{j \in J_k} \mu_j \right) \hat{G} \right) d + \sum_{j \in J_k} \lambda_j g_j + \mu_j \hat{g}_j + \nabla_{(d, \lambda, \mu)} \left( \left( W + \left( \sum_{j \in J_k} \mu_j \right) \hat{G} \right) d + \sum_{j \in J_k} \lambda_j g_j + \mu_j \hat{g}_j \right) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ \lambda^{\text{new}} \\ \mu^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \left( W + \left( \sum_{j \in J_k} \mu_j \right) \hat{G} \right) d + \sum_{j \in J_k} \lambda_j g_j + \mu_j \hat{g}_j + \left( W + \left( \sum_{j \in J_k} \mu_j \right) \hat{G}, g, \hat{g} + \hat{G}d \right) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ \lambda^{\text{new}} \\ \mu^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &\stackrel{(22),(3)}{\stackrel{\downarrow}{=}} \left( W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G} \right) d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu + \left( W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G}, g, \hat{g} + \hat{G}d \right) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ \lambda^{\text{new}} \\ \mu^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} , \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$-\left((W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu\right) = (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G}, g, \hat{g} + \hat{G}d) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ \lambda^{\text{new}} \\ \mu^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (34)$$

Linearizing the second equation of (23) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= \sum_{j \in J_k} \lambda_j + \nabla_{\lambda} \left( \sum_{j \in J_k} \lambda_j \right) \lambda^{\text{new}} \\ &= \sum_{j \in J_k} \lambda_j + 1_m^T \lambda^{\text{new}} \\ &\stackrel{(22),(3)}{=} \text{tr}(\Lambda) + 1_m^T \lambda^{\text{new}} \\ \Leftrightarrow (-1_m)^T \lambda^{\text{new}} &= -1 + \text{tr}(\Lambda) \\ &= -(1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda)). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Linearizing the third equation of (23) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\alpha_j + d^T g_j - v + s_j + \nabla_{(d,v,s_j)}(-\alpha_j + d^T g_j - v + s_j) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \\ s_j^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -\alpha_j + d^T g_j - v + s_j + (g_j^T, -1, 1) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \\ s_j^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } j \in J_k \\ \Leftrightarrow o_m &= -\alpha + g^T d - v 1_m + s + (g^T, -1_m, I_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \\ s^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$-(-\alpha + g^T d - v 1_m + s) = (g^T, -1_m, I_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \\ s^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (36)$$

$$= (g^T, -1_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} + s^{\text{new}}. \quad (37)$$

Linearizing the fourth equation of (23) yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= F - A_j + d^T \hat{g}_j + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G} d + t_j + \nabla_{(d,t_j)}(F - A_j + d^T \hat{g}_j + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G} d + t_j) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ t_j^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= F - A_j + d^T \hat{g}_j + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G} d + t_j + (\hat{g}_j^T + d^T \hat{G}, 1) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ t_j^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= F - A_j + d^T \hat{g}_j + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G} d + t_j + ((\hat{g}_j + \hat{G}d)^T, 1) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ t_j^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } j \in J_k \\ \Leftrightarrow o_m &= F 1_m - A + \hat{g}^T d + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G} d 1_m + t + ((\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T, I_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ t^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \\ &= -A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G} d) 1_m + t + ((\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T, I_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ t^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$-(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G} d) 1_m + t) = ((\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T, I_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ t^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \quad (38)$$

$$= (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} + t^{\text{new}}. \quad (39)$$

Linearizing the fifth equation of (23) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma c &= \lambda_j s_j + \nabla_{(\lambda_j, s_j)}(\lambda_j s_j) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_j^{\text{new}} \\ s_j^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \lambda_j s_j + (s_j, \lambda_j) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_j^{\text{new}} \\ s_j^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ for all } j \in J_k \\
&\iff (s_j, \lambda_j) \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_j^{\text{new}} \\ s_j^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} = -\lambda_j s_j + \sigma c \text{ for all } j \in J_k \\
&\iff \text{diag}(s)\lambda^{\text{new}} + \text{diag}(\lambda)s^{\text{new}} = -\text{diag}(\lambda)\text{diag}(s)\mathbf{1}_m + \sigma c\mathbf{1}_m \\
&\stackrel{(3)}{\iff} S\lambda^{\text{new}} + \Lambda s^{\text{new}} = -\Lambda S\mathbf{1}_m + \sigma c\mathbf{1}_m \\
&= -(\Lambda S - \sigma c I_m)\mathbf{1}_m \tag{40} \\
&\stackrel{S^{-1}}{\iff} I_m \lambda^{\text{new}} + S^{-1}\Lambda s^{\text{new}} = -(\Lambda - \sigma c S^{-1})\mathbf{1}_m . \tag{41}
\end{aligned}$$

Linearizing the sixth equation of (23) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma c &= \mu_j t_j + \nabla_{(\mu_j, t_j)}(\mu_j t_j) \begin{pmatrix} \mu_j^{\text{new}} \\ t_j^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \mu_j t_j + (t_j, \mu_j) \begin{pmatrix} \mu_j^{\text{new}} \\ t_j^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\iff (t_j, \mu_j) \begin{pmatrix} \mu_j^{\text{new}} \\ t_j^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} = -\mu_j t_j + \sigma c \text{ for all } j \in J_k \\
&\iff \text{diag}(t)\mu^{\text{new}} + \text{diag}(\mu)t^{\text{new}} = -\text{diag}(\mu)\text{diag}(t)\mathbf{1}_m + \sigma c\mathbf{1}_m \\
&\stackrel{(3)}{\iff} T\mu^{\text{new}} + Mt^{\text{new}} = -MT\mathbf{1}_m + \sigma c\mathbf{1}_m \\
&= -(MT - \sigma c I_m)\mathbf{1}_m \tag{42} \\
&\stackrel{T^{-1}}{\iff} I_m \mu^{\text{new}} + T^{-1}Mt^{\text{new}} = -(M - \sigma c T^{-1})\mathbf{1}_m . \tag{43}
\end{aligned}$$

Now we can show the desired results:

1. Combining (34), (35), (36) and (38) with (40) and (42) yields the general form of the linear system.
2. Combining (34), (35), (36) and (38) with (41) and (43) yields the symmetric form of the linear system.
3. We derive the compact form of the linear system in the following way: Expressing  $s^{\text{new}}$  in terms of  $\lambda^{\text{new}}$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
S\lambda^{\text{new}} + \Lambda s^{\text{new}} &\stackrel{(40)}{=} -(\Lambda S - \sigma c I_m)\mathbf{1}_m \\
\stackrel{\Lambda^{-1}}{\iff} \Lambda^{-1}S\lambda^{\text{new}} + s^{\text{new}} &= -(S - \sigma c \Lambda^{-1})\mathbf{1}_m \\
&\iff s^{\text{new}} = -\Lambda^{-1}S\lambda^{\text{new}} - (S - \sigma c \Lambda^{-1})\mathbf{1}_m \tag{44}
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore inserting (44) into (37) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
-(-\alpha + g^T d - v\mathbf{1}_m + s) &\stackrel{(37)}{=} (g^T, -\mathbf{1}_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} + s^{\text{new}} \\
&\stackrel{(44)}{=} (g^T, -\mathbf{1}_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} - \Lambda^{-1}S\lambda^{\text{new}} - (S - \sigma c \Lambda^{-1})\mathbf{1}_m \\
&= (g^T, -\mathbf{1}_m, -\Lambda^{-1}S) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \\ \lambda^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} - (S - \sigma c \Lambda^{-1})\mathbf{1}_m ,
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
(g^T, -1_m, -\Lambda^{-1}S) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \\ \lambda^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} &= -(-\alpha + g^T d - v1_m + s) + (S - \sigma c \Lambda^{-1})1_m \\
&= -(-\alpha + g^T d - v1_m + s - (S - \sigma c \Lambda^{-1})1_m) \\
&\stackrel{(3)}{=} -(-\alpha + g^T d - v1_m + \sigma c \Lambda^{-1}1_m) . \tag{45}
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, expressing  $t^{\text{new}}$  in terms of  $\mu^{\text{new}}$  yields

$$\begin{aligned}
T\mu^{\text{new}} + Mt^{\text{new}} &\stackrel{(42)}{=} -(MT - \sigma c I_m)1_m \\
\stackrel{M^{-1}}{\iff} M^{-1}T\mu^{\text{new}} + t^{\text{new}} &= -(T - \sigma c M^{-1})1_m \\
\iff t^{\text{new}} &= -M^{-1}T\mu^{\text{new}} - (T - \sigma c M^{-1})1_m \tag{46}
\end{aligned}$$

and therefore inserting (46) into (39) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
-(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m + t) &\stackrel{(39)}{=} (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} + t^{\text{new}} \\
&\stackrel{(46)}{=} (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} - M^{-1}T\mu^{\text{new}} - (T - \sigma c M^{-1})1_m \\
&= ((\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T, -M^{-1}T) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ \mu^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} - (T - \sigma c M^{-1})1_m ,
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
((\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T, -M^{-1}T) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ \mu^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} &= -(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m + t) + (T - \sigma c M^{-1})1_m \\
&= -(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m + t - (T - \sigma c M^{-1})1_m) \\
&\stackrel{(3)}{=} -(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m + \sigma c M^{-1}1_m) . \tag{47}
\end{aligned}$$

4. We derive the practical form of the linear system in the following way: Since

$$\begin{aligned}
-(-\alpha + g^T d - v1_m + \sigma c \Lambda^{-1}1_m) &\stackrel{(45)}{=} (g^T, -1_m, -\Lambda^{-1}S) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \\ \lambda^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (g^T, -1_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} - \Lambda^{-1}S\lambda^{\text{new}} ,
\end{aligned}$$

we can express  $\lambda^{\text{new}}$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^{-1}S\lambda^{\text{new}} &= (g^T, -1_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} - \alpha + g^T d - v1_m + \sigma c \Lambda^{-1}1_m \\
\stackrel{\Lambda S^{-1}}{\iff} \lambda^{\text{new}} &= \Lambda S^{-1} \left( (g^T, -1_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} - \alpha + g^T d - v1_m + \sigma c \Lambda^{-1}1_m \right) \\
&= \Lambda S^{-1} (g^T, -1_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} + \Lambda S^{-1} (-\alpha + g^T d - v1_m) + \sigma c S^{-1}1_m . \tag{48}
\end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned}
-(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m + \sigma c M^{-1}1_m) &\stackrel{(47)}{=} ((\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T, -M^{-1}T) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ \mu^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} - M^{-1}T\mu^{\text{new}} ,
\end{aligned}$$

we can express  $\mu^{\text{new}}$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
M^{-1}T\mu^{\text{new}} &= (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} - A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m + \sigma c M^{-1}1_m \\
\stackrel{MT^{-1}}{\iff} \mu^{\text{new}} &= MT^{-1} \left( (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} - A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m + \sigma c M^{-1}1_m \right) \\
&= MT^{-1} (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} + MT^{-1} (-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m) + \sigma c T^{-1}1_m . \tag{49}
\end{aligned}$$

Now, inserting (48) and (49) into (34) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu \right) \stackrel{(34)}{=} \\
& \stackrel{(34)}{=} (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G}, g, \hat{g} + \hat{G}d) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ \lambda^{\text{new}} \\ \mu^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \\
& = (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d^{\text{new}} + g\lambda^{\text{new}} + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)\mu^{\text{new}} \\
& \stackrel{(48),(49)}{\cong} (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d^{\text{new}} \\
& \quad + g(\Lambda S^{-1}(g^T, -1_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} + \Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v1_m) + \sigma c S^{-1}1_m) \\
& \quad + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) \left( MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} + MT^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m) + \sigma c T^{-1}1_m \right) \\
& = (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d^{\text{new}} \\
& \quad + (g\Lambda S^{-1}g^T, -g\Lambda S^{-1}1_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} + g\Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v1_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1}1_m \\
& \quad + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m) + \sigma c(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) \\
& = \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G}) + g\Lambda S^{-1}g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T, -g\Lambda S^{-1}1_m \right) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \\
& \quad + g\Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v1_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1}1_m \\
& \quad + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m) + \sigma c(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)T^{-1}1_m,
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G}) + g\Lambda S^{-1}g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T, -g\Lambda S^{-1}1_m \right) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} = \\
& = - \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu \right) \\
& \quad - (g\Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v1_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1}1_m) \\
& \quad - \left( (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)1_m) + \sigma c(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)T^{-1}1_m \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Furthermore, since

$$\begin{aligned}
1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1} g^T & \stackrel{(3)}{=} 1_m^T S^{-1} \Lambda g^T \\
& \stackrel{(3)}{=} 1_m^T S^{-T} \Lambda^T g^T \\
& = (g\Lambda S^{-1}1_m)^T,
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

we obtain by inserting (48) into (35)

$$\begin{aligned}
& -(1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda)) \stackrel{(35)}{=} (-1_m)^T \lambda^{\text{new}} \\
& \stackrel{(48)}{=} (-1_m)^T (\Lambda S^{-1}(g^T, -1_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} + \Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v1_m) + \sigma c S^{-1}1_m) \\
& = (-1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1}g^T, 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1}1_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} - 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d) + v 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1}1_m \\
& \quad - \sigma c 1_m^T S^{-1}1_m \\
& \stackrel{(22)}{=} (-1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1}g^T, \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1})) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} - 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d) + v \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) \\
& \quad - \sigma c \cdot \text{tr}(S^{-1}) \\
& \stackrel{(51)}{=} (- (g\Lambda S^{-1}1_m)^T, \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1})) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} - 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d) + v \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) \\
& \quad - \sigma c \cdot \text{tr}(S^{-1}),
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\left(- (g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)^T, \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1})\right) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} = - (1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda)) + 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1} (-\alpha + g^T d) - v \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) + \sigma c \cdot \text{tr}(S^{-1}) . \quad (52)$$

5. We derive the best form of the linear system in the following way: Since

$$\begin{aligned} & - (1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda)) + 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1} (-\alpha + g^T d) - v \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) + \sigma c \cdot \text{tr}(S^{-1}) \\ & \stackrel{(52)}{=} \left(- (g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)^T, \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1})\right) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \\ & = - (g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)^T d^{\text{new}} + \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) v^{\text{new}} \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

we can express  $v^{\text{new}}$  by

$$\text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) v^{\text{new}} = (g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)^T d^{\text{new}} - (1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda)) + 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1} (-\alpha + g^T d) - v \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) + \sigma c \cdot \text{tr}(S^{-1})$$

which is equivalent to

$$v^{\text{new}} = \frac{(g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)^T d^{\text{new}}}{\text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1})} + \frac{-(1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda)) + 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1} (-\alpha + g^T d) - v \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) + \sigma c \cdot \text{tr}(S^{-1})}{\text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1})} . \quad (54)$$

Now inserting (54) into (50) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & - \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu \right) \\ & - (g\Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m) \\ & - \left( (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)T^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m \right) \stackrel{(50)}{=} \\ & \stackrel{(50)}{=} \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G}) + g\Lambda S^{-1}g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T, -g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m \right) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G}) + g\Lambda S^{-1}g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T \right) d^{\text{new}} - g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m v^{\text{new}} \\ & \stackrel{(54)}{=} \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G}) + g\Lambda S^{-1}g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T \right) d^{\text{new}} \\ & \quad - g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m \left( \frac{(g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)^T d^{\text{new}}}{\text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1})} + \frac{-(1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda)) + 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1} (-\alpha + g^T d) - v \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) + \sigma c \cdot \text{tr}(S^{-1})}{\text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1})} \right) \\ & = \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G}) + g\Lambda S^{-1}g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T - \frac{(g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)(g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)^T}{\text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1})} \right) d^{\text{new}} \\ & \quad - g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m \left( \frac{-(1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda)) + 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1} (-\alpha + g^T d) - v \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) + \sigma c \cdot \text{tr}(S^{-1})}{\text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1})} \right) , \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G}) + g\Lambda S^{-1}g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T - \frac{(g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)(g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)^T}{\text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1})} \right) d^{\text{new}} \\ & = - \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu \right) \\ & \quad - (g\Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m) \\ & \quad - \left( (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)T^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m \right) \\ & \quad + g\Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m \left( \frac{-(1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda)) + 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1} (-\alpha + g^T d) - v \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) + \sigma c \cdot \text{tr}(S^{-1})}{\text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1})} \right) . \end{aligned}$$

6. We derive the best positive definite form of the linear system in the following way: Since

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu \right) \\
& - (g\Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m) \\
& - \left( (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)T^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m \right) \stackrel{(50)}{=} \\
& \stackrel{(50)}{=} \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G}) + g\Lambda S^{-1}g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T, -g\Lambda S^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m \right) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} \\
& = \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G}) + g\Lambda S^{-1}g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T \right) d^{\text{new}} - g\Lambda S^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m v^{\text{new}} .
\end{aligned}$$

we can express  $d^{\text{new}}$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu \right) \\
& - (g\Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m) \\
& - \left( (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)T^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m \right) \\
& + g\Lambda S^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m v^{\text{new}} \\
& = \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G}) + g\Lambda S^{-1}g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T \right) d^{\text{new}} ,
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
d^{\text{new}} & = \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G}) + g\Lambda S^{-1}g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T \right)^{-1} \\
& \cdot \left( - \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu \right) \right. \\
& \quad - (g\Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m) \\
& \quad - \left( (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)T^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m \right) \\
& \quad \left. + g\Lambda S^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m v^{\text{new}} \right) .
\end{aligned} \tag{55}$$

Now inserting (55) into (53) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
& - (1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda)) + \mathbf{1}_m^T \Lambda S^{-1} (-\alpha + g^T d) - v \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) + \sigma c \cdot \text{tr}(S^{-1}) \\
& \stackrel{(53)}{=} \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) v^{\text{new}} - (g \Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)^T d^{\text{new}} \\
& = \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) v^{\text{new}} - (g \Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)^T \left( (W + \text{tr}(M) \hat{G}) + g \Lambda S^{-1} g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) M T^{-1} (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T \right)^{-1} \\
& \quad \cdot \left( - \left( (W + \text{tr}(M) \hat{G}) d + g \lambda + \hat{g} \mu \right) \right. \\
& \quad \quad - (g \Lambda S^{-1} (-\alpha + g^T d - v \mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m) \\
& \quad \quad \left. - \left( (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) M T^{-1} (-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G}d) \mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) T^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m \right) \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. + g \Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m v^{\text{new}} \right) \\
& = \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) v^{\text{new}} - (g \Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)^T \left( (W + \text{tr}(M) \hat{G}) + g \Lambda S^{-1} g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) M T^{-1} (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T \right)^{-1} \\
& \quad \cdot \left( - \left( (W + \text{tr}(M) \hat{G}) d + g \lambda + \hat{g} \mu \right) \right. \\
& \quad \quad - (g \Lambda S^{-1} (-\alpha + g^T d - v \mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m) \\
& \quad \quad \left. - \left( (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) M T^{-1} (-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G}d) \mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) T^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m \right) \right) \\
& \quad - (g \Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)^T \left( (W + \text{tr}(M) \hat{G}) + g \Lambda S^{-1} g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) M T^{-1} (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T \right)^{-1} g \Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m v^{\text{new}} \\
& = - (g \Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)^T \left( (W + \text{tr}(M) \hat{G}) + g \Lambda S^{-1} g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) M T^{-1} (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T \right)^{-1} \\
& \quad \cdot \left( - \left( (W + \text{tr}(M) \hat{G}) d + g \lambda + \hat{g} \mu \right) \right. \\
& \quad \quad - (g \Lambda S^{-1} (-\alpha + g^T d - v \mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m) \\
& \quad \quad \left. - \left( (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) M T^{-1} (-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G}d) \mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) T^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m \right) \right) \\
& \quad + \left( \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) - (g \Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m)^T \left( (W + \text{tr}(M) \hat{G}) + g \Lambda S^{-1} g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) M T^{-1} (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T \right)^{-1} \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. \cdot g \Lambda S^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m \right) v^{\text{new}},
\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$v^{\text{new}} = \frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_3}$$

due to (27), (28), and (29). □

**Proposition 2.9** (Search direction for actual implementation).



1. We set

$$E := \Lambda S^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{diag}}^{m \times m} \quad (56)$$

$$\hat{E} := MT^{-1} \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{diag}}^{m \times m} \quad (57)$$

$$h_{00} := -\alpha + g^T d - v \mathbf{1}_m \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ (term)} \quad (58)$$

$$h_0 := h_{00} + s \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ (LS)} \quad (59)$$

$$\hat{\xi}_0 := \hat{G}d \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (60)$$

$$\hat{h}_{00} := -A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{\xi}_0) \mathbf{1}_m \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ (term)} \quad (61)$$

$$\hat{h}_0 := \hat{h}_{00} + t \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ (LS)} \quad (62)$$

$$w_0 := -1 + \text{tr}(\Lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \text{ (LS, term)} \quad (63)$$

and

$$U := W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G} \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n} \quad (64)$$

$$u_0 := Ud + (g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu) \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ (LS, term)} \quad (65)$$

$$h_{00}^0 := E(h_{00} + \sigma c \Lambda^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad (66)$$

$$\hat{h}_{00}^0 := \hat{E}(\hat{h}_{00} + \sigma c M^{-1} \mathbf{1}_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad (67)$$

and

$$\hat{\xi}_{00} := \hat{g} + \hat{\xi}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m} , \quad (68)$$

and we compute

$$B = U + gEg^T + \hat{\xi}_{00}\hat{E}\hat{\xi}_{00}^T \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n} \text{ positive definite,} \quad (69)$$

and its Cholesky factorization

$$B = LL^T \quad (70)$$

with  $L \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{tril}}^{n \times n}$ .

2. We compute

$$z_1 = w_0 + \mathbf{1}_m^T h_{00}^0 \in \mathbb{R} ,$$

we set

$$\zeta := gE \mathbf{1}_m \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (71)$$

$$\eta := -u_0 - gh_{00}^0 - \hat{\xi}_{00}\hat{h}_{00}^0 \in \mathbb{R}^n , \quad (72)$$

and we compute

$$-z_2 = (L^{-1}\zeta)^T(L^{-1}\eta) \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$z_3 = \text{tr}(E) - |L^{-1}\zeta|_2^2 \in \mathbb{R}$$

and we set

$$v^{\text{new}} = \frac{z_1 - z_2}{z_3} \in \mathbb{R} .$$

3. We compute

$$b = \eta + \zeta v^{\text{new}} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

and we compute  $d^{\text{new}}$  by solving the symmetric positive definite linear system

$$(LL^T)d^{\text{new}} = b .$$

4. We set

$$h_{11} := g^T d^{\text{new}} - v^{\text{new}} \mathbf{1}_m \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad (73)$$

$$\hat{h}_{11} := \hat{\xi}_{00}^T d^{\text{new}} \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad (74)$$

and we compute

$$\lambda^{\text{new}} = E h_{11} + h_{00}^0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$\mu^{\text{new}} = \hat{E} \hat{h}_{11} + \hat{h}_{00}^0 \in \mathbb{R}^m .$$

5. We compute

$$s^{\text{new}} = -E^{-1} \lambda^{\text{new}} - (S - \sigma c \Lambda^{-1}) \mathbf{1}_m \in \mathbb{R}^m$$

$$t^{\text{new}} = -\hat{E}^{-1} \mu^{\text{new}} - (T - \sigma c M^{-1}) \mathbf{1}_m \in \mathbb{R}^m .$$

Then  $(d^{\text{new}}, v^{\text{new}}, \lambda^{\text{new}}, \mu^{\text{new}}, s^{\text{new}}, t^{\text{new}})$  solves the best positive definite form of the linear system.

*Proof.*

1. We compute

$$u_0 \stackrel{(65)}{=} U d + (g \lambda + \hat{g} \mu)$$

$$\stackrel{(64)}{=} (W + \text{tr}(M) \hat{G}) d + g \lambda + \hat{g} \mu$$

and

$$h_0 \stackrel{(59)}{=} h_{00} + s$$

$$\stackrel{(58)}{=} -\alpha + g^T d - v \mathbf{1}_m + s$$

and

$$\hat{h}_0 \stackrel{(62)}{=} \hat{h}_{00} + t$$

$$\stackrel{(61)}{=} -A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{\xi}_0) \mathbf{1}_m + t$$

$$\stackrel{(60)}{=} -A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G} d) \mathbf{1}_m + t .$$

We compute

$$B \stackrel{(32)}{=} W + \text{tr}(M) \hat{G} + g \Lambda S^{-1} g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G} d) M T^{-1} (\hat{g} + \hat{G} d)^T$$

$\stackrel{(56), (57)}{\downarrow}$

$$W + \text{tr}(M) \hat{G} + g E g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G} d) \hat{E} (\hat{g} + \hat{G} d)^T$$

$$\stackrel{(64)}{=} U + g E g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G} d) \hat{E} (\hat{g} + \hat{G} d)^T$$

$$\stackrel{(60)}{=} U + g E g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{\xi}_0) \hat{E} (\hat{g} + \hat{\xi}_0)^T$$

$$\stackrel{(68)}{=} U + g E g^T + \hat{\xi}_{00} \hat{E} \hat{\xi}_{00}^T$$

and since  $B \in \mathbb{R}_{\text{sym}}^{n \times n}$  from (32) is positive definite, the Cholesky factorization (70) exists.

2. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
z_1 &\stackrel{(28)}{=} -(1 - \text{tr}(\Lambda)) + 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d) - v \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) + \sigma c \cdot \text{tr}(S^{-1}) \\
&\stackrel{(63)}{=} w_0 + 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d) - v \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) + \sigma c \cdot \text{tr}(S^{-1}) \\
&\stackrel{(22)}{=} w_0 + 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d) - v 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1} 1_m + \sigma c \cdot 1_m^T S^{-1} 1_m \\
&= w_0 + 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v 1_m + \sigma c \cdot \Lambda^{-1} 1_m) \\
&\stackrel{(58)}{=} w_0 + 1_m^T \Lambda S^{-1}(h_{00} + \sigma c \cdot \Lambda^{-1} 1_m) \\
&\stackrel{(56)}{=} w_0 + 1_m^T E(h_{00} + \sigma c \cdot \Lambda^{-1} 1_m) \\
&\stackrel{(66)}{=} w_0 + 1_m^T h_{00}^0
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
-z_2 &\stackrel{(28)}{=} (g \Lambda S^{-1} 1_m)^T (W + \text{tr}(M) \hat{G} + g \Lambda S^{-1} g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) M T^{-1} (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T)^{-1} \\
&\quad \cdot \left( - \left( (W + \text{tr}(M) \hat{G}) d + g \lambda + \hat{g} \mu \right) - (g \Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v 1_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1} 1_m) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left( (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) M T^{-1} (-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G}d) 1_m) + \sigma c (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) T^{-1} 1_m \right) \right) \\
&\stackrel{(64),(56),(57),(60),(68),(69),(65)}{\stackrel{\downarrow}{=}} (g \Lambda S^{-1} 1_m)^T B^{-1} \left( -u_0 - (g \Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v 1_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1} 1_m) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \left( (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) M T^{-1} (-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G}d) 1_m) + \sigma c (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) T^{-1} 1_m \right) \right) \\
&= (g \Lambda S^{-1} 1_m)^T B^{-1} \left( -u_0 - g(\Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v 1_m) + \sigma c S^{-1} 1_m) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) \left( M T^{-1} (-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G}d) 1_m) + \sigma c T^{-1} 1_m \right) \right) \\
&= (g \Lambda S^{-1} 1_m)^T B^{-1} \left( -u_0 - g(\Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v 1_m + \sigma c \Lambda^{-1} 1_m)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) \left( M T^{-1} (-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G}d) 1_m + \sigma c M^{-1} 1_m) \right) \right) \\
&\stackrel{(58),(60),(61)}{\stackrel{\downarrow}{=}} (g \Lambda S^{-1} 1_m)^T B^{-1} \left( -u_0 - g(\Lambda S^{-1}(h_{00} + \sigma c \Lambda^{-1} 1_m)) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) (M T^{-1} (\hat{h}_{00} + \sigma c M^{-1} 1_m)) \right) \\
&\stackrel{(56),(57)}{\stackrel{\downarrow}{=}} (g E 1_m)^T B^{-1} \left( -u_0 - g(E(h_{00} + \sigma c \Lambda^{-1} 1_m)) - (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) (\hat{E}(\hat{h}_{00} + \sigma c M^{-1} 1_m)) \right) \\
&\stackrel{(66),(67)}{\stackrel{\downarrow}{=}} (g E 1_m)^T B^{-1} (-u_0 - g h_{00}^0 - (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) \hat{h}_{00}^0) \\
&\stackrel{(70)}{=} (g E 1_m)^T (L L^T)^{-1} (-u_0 - g h_{00}^0 - (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) \hat{h}_{00}^0) \\
&= (L^{-1} g E 1_m)^T L^{-1} (-u_0 - g h_{00}^0 - (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) \hat{h}_{00}^0) \\
&\stackrel{(71)}{=} (L^{-1} \zeta)^T L^{-1} (-u_0 - g h_{00}^0 - (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) \hat{h}_{00}^0) \\
&\stackrel{(60),(68),(72)}{\stackrel{\downarrow}{=}} (L^{-1} \zeta)^T (L^{-1} \eta)
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
z_3 &\stackrel{(29)}{=} \text{tr}(\Lambda S^{-1}) \\
&\quad - (g\Lambda S^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m)^T (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G} + g\Lambda S^{-1}g^T + (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T)^{-1} (g\Lambda S^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m) \\
&\stackrel{(64),(56),(57),(60),(68),(69)}{\downarrow} \text{tr}(E) - (gE\mathbf{1}_m)^T B^{-1}(gE\mathbf{1}_m) \\
&\stackrel{(70)}{=} \text{tr}(E) - (gE\mathbf{1}_m)^T (LL^T)^{-1}(gE\mathbf{1}_m) \\
&= \text{tr}(E) - (L^{-1}gE\mathbf{1}_m)^T (L^{-1}gE\mathbf{1}_m) \\
&= \text{tr}(E) - |L^{-1}gE\mathbf{1}_m|_2^2 \\
&\stackrel{(71)}{=} \text{tr}(E) - |L^{-1}\zeta|_2^2
\end{aligned}$$

and now we compute  $v^{\text{new}}$  according to (30).

3. We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
b &\stackrel{(33)}{=} - \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu \right) - (g\Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c g S^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m) \\
&\quad - \left( (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)MT^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)T^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m \right) \\
&\quad + g\Lambda S^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m v^{\text{new}} \\
&\stackrel{(64),(65)}{\downarrow} -u_0 - g(\Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c S^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m - \Lambda S^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m v^{\text{new}}) \\
&\quad - (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) \left( MT^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c T^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m \right) \\
&= -u_0 - g \left( \Lambda S^{-1}((-\alpha + g^T d - v\mathbf{1}_m) + \sigma c \Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m) - \Lambda S^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m v^{\text{new}} \right) \\
&\quad - (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d) \left( MT^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2}d^T \hat{G}d)\mathbf{1}_m + \sigma c M^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m) \right) \\
&\stackrel{(58),(60),(61)}{\downarrow} -u_0 - g(\Lambda S^{-1}(h_{00} + \sigma c \Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m) - \Lambda S^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m v^{\text{new}}) \\
&\quad - (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)(MT^{-1}(\hat{h}_{00} + \sigma c M^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m)) \\
&\stackrel{(56),(57)}{\downarrow} -u_0 - g(E(h_{00} + \sigma c \Lambda^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m) - E\mathbf{1}_m v^{\text{new}}) - (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)(\hat{E}(\hat{h}_{00} + \sigma c M^{-1}\mathbf{1}_m)) \\
&\stackrel{(66),(67)}{\downarrow} -u_0 - g(h_{00}^0 - E\mathbf{1}_m v^{\text{new}}) - (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)\hat{h}_{00}^0 \\
&= -u_0 - g h_{00}^0 + g E\mathbf{1}_m v^{\text{new}} - (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)\hat{h}_{00}^0 \\
&\stackrel{(60),(68),(72)}{\downarrow} \eta + g E\mathbf{1}_m v^{\text{new}} \\
&\stackrel{(71)}{=} \eta + \zeta v^{\text{new}}
\end{aligned}$$

and we solve the linear system

$$\begin{aligned}
b &\stackrel{(31)}{=} Bx_{1:n} \\
&\stackrel{(24)}{=} Bd^{\text{new}} \\
&\stackrel{(70)}{=} (LL^T)d^{\text{new}}.
\end{aligned}$$

4. We compute  $\lambda^{\text{new}}$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{\text{new}} &\stackrel{(26)}{=} \Lambda S^{-1}(g^T, -1_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} + \Lambda S^{-1}(-\alpha + g^T d - v 1_m) + \sigma c S^{-1} 1_m \\
&\stackrel{(58)}{=} \Lambda S^{-1}(g^T, -1_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} + \Lambda S^{-1} h_{00} + \sigma c S^{-1} 1_m \\
&= \Lambda S^{-1}(g^T, -1_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} + \Lambda S^{-1}(h_{00} + \sigma c \Lambda^{-1} 1_m) \\
&\stackrel{(56)}{=} E(g^T, -1_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} + E(h_{00} + \sigma c \Lambda^{-1} 1_m) \\
&\stackrel{(66)}{=} E(g^T, -1_m) \begin{pmatrix} d^{\text{new}} \\ v^{\text{new}} \end{pmatrix} + h_{00}^0 \\
&= E(g^T d^{\text{new}} - 1_m v^{\text{new}}) + h_{00}^0 \\
&\stackrel{(73)}{=} E h_{11} + h_{00}^0 .
\end{aligned}$$

We compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{h}_{11} &\stackrel{(74)}{=} \hat{\xi}_0^T d^{\text{new}} \\
&\stackrel{(68)}{=} (\hat{g} + \hat{\xi}_0)^T d^{\text{new}} \\
&\stackrel{(60)}{=} (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}}
\end{aligned}$$

and we compute  $\mu^{\text{new}}$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu^{\text{new}} &\stackrel{(26)}{=} M T^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} + M T^{-1}(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \frac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G}d) 1_m) + \sigma c T^{-1} 1_m \\
&\stackrel{(60),(61)}{\downarrow} M T^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} + M T^{-1} \hat{h}_{00} + \sigma c T^{-1} 1_m \\
&= M T^{-1}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} + M T^{-1}(\hat{h}_{00} + \sigma c M^{-1} 1_m) \\
&\stackrel{(57)}{=} \hat{E}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} + \hat{E}(\hat{h}_{00} + \sigma c M^{-1} 1_m) \\
&\stackrel{(67)}{=} \hat{E}(\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} + \hat{h}_{00}^0 \\
&\stackrel{(60),(68),(74)}{\downarrow} \hat{E} \hat{h}_{11} + \hat{h}_{00}^0 .
\end{aligned}$$

5. We compute  $s^{\text{new}}$  resp.  $t^{\text{new}}$  by

$$\begin{aligned}
s^{\text{new}} &\stackrel{(25)}{=} -\Lambda^{-1} S \lambda^{\text{new}} - (S - \sigma c \Lambda^{-1}) 1_m \\
&\stackrel{(56)}{=} -E^{-1} \lambda^{\text{new}} - (S - \sigma c \Lambda^{-1}) 1_m
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
t^{\text{new}} &\stackrel{(25)}{=} -M^{-1} T \mu^{\text{new}} - (T - \sigma c M^{-1}) 1_m \\
&\stackrel{(57)}{=} -\hat{E}^{-1} \mu^{\text{new}} - (T - \sigma c M^{-1}) 1_m .
\end{aligned}$$

□

**Proposition 2.10** (Line search scaling & termination criterion for actual implementation).  
We assume that the terms of Proposition 2.9 were computed and we additionally set

$$u_1 := U d^{\text{new}} + \text{tr}(M^{\text{new}}) \hat{\xi}_0 + (g \lambda^{\text{new}} + \hat{g} \mu^{\text{new}}) \in \mathbb{R}^n \text{ (LS)} \quad (75)$$

$$w_1 := \text{tr}(\Lambda^{\text{new}}) \text{ (LS)} \in \mathbb{R} \quad (76)$$

$$h_1 := h_{11} + s^{\text{new}} \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ (LS)} \quad (77)$$

$$\hat{h}_1 := \hat{h}_{11} + t^{\text{new}} \in \mathbb{R}^m \text{ (LS)} \quad (78)$$

and

$$u_{22} := \hat{G}d^{\text{new}} \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (79)$$

$$u_2 := \text{tr}(M^{\text{new}})u_{22} \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad (\text{LS}) \quad (80)$$

$$\hat{h}_2 := (\frac{1}{2}d^{\text{new}T}u_{22})1_m \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad (\text{LS}) \quad (81)$$

and

$$\varphi_{00} := \Lambda S 1_m \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad (\text{term}) \quad (82)$$

$$\varphi_0 := \varphi_{00} - \gamma c 1_m \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad (\text{LS}) \quad (83)$$

$$\hat{\varphi}_{00} := M T 1_m \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad (\text{term}) \quad (84)$$

$$\hat{\varphi}_0 := \hat{\varphi}_{00} - \gamma c 1_m \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad (\text{LS}) \quad (85)$$

$$\varphi_1 := (\Lambda^{\text{new}} S + \Lambda S^{\text{new}})1_m \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad (\text{LS}) \quad (86)$$

$$\hat{\varphi}_1 := (M^{\text{new}} T + M T^{\text{new}})1_m \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad (\text{LS}) \quad (87)$$

$$\chi_1 := 1_m^T \varphi_1 \in \mathbb{R} \quad (88)$$

$$\hat{\chi}_1 := 1_m^T \hat{\varphi}_1 \in \mathbb{R} \quad (89)$$

$$\varphi_2 := \Lambda^{\text{new}} S^{\text{new}} 1_m \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad (\text{LS}) \quad (90)$$

$$\hat{\varphi}_2 := M^{\text{new}} T^{\text{new}} 1_m \in \mathbb{R}^m \quad (\text{LS}) \quad (91)$$

$$\chi_2 := 1_m^T \varphi_2 \in \mathbb{R} \quad (92)$$

$$\hat{\chi}_2 := 1_m^T \hat{\varphi}_2 \in \mathbb{R} \quad (93)$$

and

$$\psi_{00} := \frac{\chi_1 + \hat{\chi}_1}{2m} \in \mathbb{R} \quad (c\text{-update}) \quad (94)$$

$$\psi_0 := 0.01c + \psi_{00} \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{LS}) \quad (95)$$

$$\psi_1 := \frac{\chi_2 + \hat{\chi}_2}{2m} \in \mathbb{R} \quad (\text{LS}) . \quad (96)$$

1. For  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  the the neighbourhood condtion condition for the line search scaling from Proposition 2.4 reads

$$|u_0 + r u_1 + r^2 u_2|_{\infty} \leq \beta c$$

$$|w_0 + r w_1| \leq \beta c$$

$$|h_0 + r h_1|_{\infty} \leq \beta c$$

$$|\hat{h}_0 + r \hat{h}_1 + r^2 \hat{h}_2|_{\infty} \leq \beta c$$

and

$$(-r)\varphi_1 - r^2\varphi_2 \leq \varphi_0$$

$$(-r)\hat{\varphi}_1 - r^2\hat{\varphi}_2 \leq \hat{\varphi}_0$$

and

$$(-r)(\lambda^{\text{new}}, s^{\text{new}}) < (\lambda, s)$$

$$(-r)(\mu^{\text{new}}, t^{\text{new}}) < (\mu, t) .$$

2. For  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{> 0}$  the centrality reduction condtion for the line search scaling from Proposition 2.4 reads

$$(-r)\psi_1 \geq \psi_0 .$$

and the centrality parameter  $c$  at the next iteration is given by

$$\frac{(\lambda + r\lambda^{\text{new}})^T(s + rs^{\text{new}}) + (\mu + r\mu^{\text{new}})^T(t + rt^{\text{new}})}{2m} = c + r\psi_{00} + r^2\psi_1 \in \mathbb{R}.$$

3.  $(d + rd^{\text{new}}, v + rd^{\text{new}}, \lambda + r\lambda^{\text{new}}, \mu + r\mu^{\text{new}}) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1+2m}$  with  $r \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  is a primal-dual solution of the reduced QCQP (1) if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} |u_0 + ru_1 + r^2u_2|_{\infty} &= 0 \\ |w_0 + rw_1| &= 0 \\ |h_0 + rh_1|_{\infty} &= 0 \\ |\hat{h}_0 + r\hat{h}_1 + r^2\hat{h}_2|_{\infty} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_{00} + r\varphi_1 + r^2\varphi_2 &= 0 \\ \hat{\varphi}_{00} + r\hat{\varphi}_1 + r^2\hat{\varphi}_2 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (-r)(\lambda^{\text{new}}, s^{\text{new}}) &< (\lambda, s) \\ (-r)(\mu^{\text{new}}, t^{\text{new}}) &< (\mu, t). \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.*

1. (a) We compute

$$\begin{aligned} u_1 &\stackrel{(75)}{=} Ud^{\text{new}} + \text{tr}(M^{\text{new}})\hat{\xi}_0 + (g\lambda^{\text{new}} + \hat{g}\mu^{\text{new}}) \\ &\stackrel{(64)}{=} (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d^{\text{new}} + \text{tr}(M^{\text{new}})\hat{\xi}_0 + g\lambda^{\text{new}} + \hat{g}\mu^{\text{new}} \\ &\stackrel{(60)}{=} (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d^{\text{new}} + \text{tr}(M^{\text{new}})\hat{G}d + g\lambda^{\text{new}} + \hat{g}\mu^{\text{new}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} u_2 &\stackrel{(80)}{=} \text{tr}(M^{\text{new}})u_{22} \\ &\stackrel{(79)}{=} \text{tr}(M^{\text{new}})\hat{G}d^{\text{new}} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \beta c &\stackrel{(8)}{\geq} \left| \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d + (g\lambda + \hat{g}\mu) \right) + r \left( (W + \text{tr}(M)\hat{G})d^{\text{new}} + \text{tr}(M^{\text{new}})\hat{G}d \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + (g\lambda^{\text{new}} + \hat{g}\mu^{\text{new}}) \right) + r^2 \text{tr}(M^{\text{new}})\hat{G}d^{\text{new}} \right|_{\infty} \\ &\stackrel{(64),(65)}{\stackrel{\downarrow}{=}} |u_0 + ru_1 + r^2u_2|_{\infty}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \beta c &\stackrel{(9)}{\geq} \left| (-1 + \text{tr}(\Lambda)) + r \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda^{\text{new}}) \right| \\ &\stackrel{(63),(76)}{\stackrel{\downarrow}{=}} |w_0 + r \cdot \text{tr}(\Lambda^{\text{new}})|. \end{aligned}$$

(c) We compute

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &\stackrel{(77)}{=} h_{11} + s^{\text{new}} \\ &\stackrel{(73)}{=} g^T d^{\text{new}} - v^{\text{new}} \mathbf{1}_m + s^{\text{new}} \end{aligned}$$

and therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \beta c &\stackrel{(10)}{\geq} |(-\alpha + g^T d - v \mathbf{1}_m + s) + r(g^T d^{\text{new}} - v^{\text{new}} \mathbf{1}_m + s^{\text{new}})|_\infty \\ &\stackrel{(58),(59)}{\downarrow} |h_0 + r h_1|_\infty . \end{aligned}$$

(d) We compute

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_1 &\stackrel{(78)}{=} \hat{h}_{11} + t^{\text{new}} \\ &\stackrel{(74)}{=} (\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} + t^{\text{new}} . \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{h}_2 &\stackrel{(81)}{=} (\tfrac{1}{2} d^{\text{new}T} u_{22}) \mathbf{1}_m \\ &\stackrel{(79)}{=} (\tfrac{1}{2} d^{\text{new}T} \hat{G} d^{\text{new}}) \mathbf{1}_m . \end{aligned}$$

and therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \beta c &\stackrel{(11)}{\geq} |(-A + \hat{g}^T d + (F + \tfrac{1}{2} d^T \hat{G} d) \mathbf{1}_m + t) + r((\hat{g} + \hat{G}d)^T d^{\text{new}} + t^{\text{new}}) + r^2(\tfrac{1}{2} d^{\text{new}T} \hat{G} d^{\text{new}}) \mathbf{1}_m|_\infty \\ &\stackrel{(60),(61),(62)}{\downarrow} |\hat{h}_0 + r \hat{h}_1 + r^2 \hat{h}_2|_\infty . \end{aligned}$$

(e) We have for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0 &\stackrel{(83)}{=} \varphi_{00} - \gamma c \\ &\stackrel{(82)}{=} \lambda_j s_j - \gamma c \\ &\stackrel{(12)}{\geq} (-r)(\lambda_j^{\text{new}} s_j + \lambda_j s_j^{\text{new}}) - r^2 \lambda_j^{\text{new}} s_j^{\text{new}} \\ &\stackrel{(86),(90)}{\downarrow} (-r) \varphi_1 - r^2 \varphi_2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}_0 &\stackrel{(85)}{=} \hat{\varphi}_{00} - \gamma c \\ &\stackrel{(84)}{=} \mu_j t_j - \gamma c \\ &\stackrel{(13)}{\geq} (-r)(\mu_j^{\text{new}} t_j + \mu_j t_j^{\text{new}}) - r^2 \mu_j^{\text{new}} t_j^{\text{new}} \\ &\stackrel{(87),(91)}{\downarrow} (-r) \hat{\varphi}_1 - r^2 \hat{\varphi}_2 . \end{aligned}$$

(f) (14) and (15) are clear.



2. We obtain for the centrality reduction condition

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\stackrel{(16)}{\geq} \left( 0.01c + \frac{\lambda^{\text{new}T} s + \lambda^T s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t + \mu^T t^{\text{new}}}{2m} \right) + r \frac{\lambda^{\text{new}T} s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t^{\text{new}}}{2m} \\
&= \left( 0.01c + \frac{1_m^T (\Lambda^{\text{new}} S + \Lambda S^{\text{new}}) 1_m + 1_m^T (M^{\text{new}} T + M T^{\text{new}}) 1_m}{2m} \right) \\
&\quad + r \frac{1_m^T \Lambda^{\text{new}} S^{\text{new}} 1_m + 1_m^T M^{\text{new}} T^{\text{new}} 1_m}{2m} \\
&\stackrel{(86),(87),(90),(91)}{\stackrel{\downarrow}{\geq}} \left( 0.01c + \frac{1_m^T \varphi_1 + 1_m^T \hat{\varphi}_1}{2m} \right) + r \frac{1_m^T \varphi_2 + 1_m^T \hat{\varphi}_2}{2m} \\
&\stackrel{(88),(89),(92),(93)}{\stackrel{\downarrow}{\geq}} \left( 0.01c + \frac{\chi_1 + \hat{\chi}_1}{2m} \right) + r \frac{\chi_2 + \hat{\chi}_2}{2m} \\
&\stackrel{(94)}{=} (0.01c + \psi_{00}) + r \frac{\chi_2 + \hat{\chi}_2}{2m} \\
&\stackrel{(95),(96)}{\stackrel{\downarrow}{\geq}} \psi_0 + r\psi_1
\end{aligned}$$

and for the value of  $c$  at the next iteration

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{(\lambda + r\lambda^{\text{new}})^T (s + rs^{\text{new}}) + (\mu + r\mu^{\text{new}})^T (t + rt^{\text{new}})}{2m} = \\
&= \frac{(\lambda^T s + r\lambda^{\text{new}T} s + r\lambda^T s^{\text{new}} + r^2\lambda^{\text{new}T} s^{\text{new}}) + (\mu^T t + r\mu^{\text{new}T} t + r\mu^T t^{\text{new}} + r^2\mu^{\text{new}T} t^{\text{new}})}{2m} \\
&= \frac{(\lambda^T s + \mu^T s) + r(\lambda^{\text{new}T} s + \lambda^T s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t + \mu^T t^{\text{new}}) + r^2(\lambda^{\text{new}T} s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t^{\text{new}})}{2m} \\
&\stackrel{(4)}{=} c + \frac{r(\lambda^{\text{new}T} s + \lambda^T s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t + \mu^T t^{\text{new}}) + r^2(\lambda^{\text{new}T} s^{\text{new}} + \mu^{\text{new}T} t^{\text{new}})}{2m} \\
&\stackrel{(86),(87),(90),(91),(88),(89),(92),(93)}{\stackrel{\downarrow}{\geq}} c + r \frac{\chi_1 + \hat{\chi}_1}{2m} + r^2 \frac{\chi_2 + \hat{\chi}_2}{2m} \\
&\stackrel{(94),(96)}{\stackrel{\downarrow}{\geq}} c + r\psi_{00} + r^2\psi_1 .
\end{aligned}$$

3. This is a direct consequence of the fact that  $(d, v) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  solves the reduced QCQP (1) if and only if there exists  $(\lambda, \mu, s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{4m}$  such that (21) holds, the above results, and since we have for all  $j = 1, \dots, m$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\stackrel{(21)}{\leq} (\lambda_j + r\lambda_j^{\text{new}})(s_j + rs_j^{\text{new}}) \\
&= \lambda_j s_j + r\lambda_j^{\text{new}} s_j + r\lambda_j s_j^{\text{new}} + r^2\lambda_j^{\text{new}} s_j^{\text{new}} \\
&= \lambda_j s_j + r(\lambda_j^{\text{new}} s_j + \lambda_j s_j^{\text{new}}) + r^2\lambda_j^{\text{new}} s_j^{\text{new}} \\
&\stackrel{(82),(86),(90)}{\stackrel{\downarrow}{\geq}} \varphi_{00} + r\varphi_1 + r^2\varphi_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\iff (-r)\varphi_1 - r^2\varphi_2 \leq \varphi_{00}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq (\mu_j + r\mu_j^{\text{new}})(t_j + rt_j^{\text{new}}) \\
&= \mu_j t_j + r\mu_j^{\text{new}} t_j + r\mu_j t_j^{\text{new}} + r^2\mu_j^{\text{new}} t_j^{\text{new}} \\
&= \mu_j t_j + r(\mu_j^{\text{new}} t_j + \mu_j t_j^{\text{new}}) + r^2\mu_j^{\text{new}} t_j^{\text{new}} \\
&\stackrel{(84),(87),(91)}{\stackrel{\downarrow}{\geq}} \hat{\varphi}_{00} + r\hat{\varphi}_1 + r^2\hat{\varphi}_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\iff (-r)\hat{\varphi}_1 - r^2\hat{\varphi}_2 \leq \hat{\varphi}_{00} .$$

□

## 2.4 Algorithm

Now we state the overall (infeasible path following) interior point algorithm for solving the reduced QCQP (1) (cf. WRIGHT [3, p. 166, Algorithm IPF (for convex programming)]).

**Algorithm 2.11** (IPF for the reduced QCQP).

Choose  $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\beta > 0$ ,  $0 < \sigma_{\min} < \sigma_{\max} < 1$ ,  $\chi \in (0, 1)$ , and  $(d_0, \lambda_0, \mu_0, s_0, t_0) \in \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}(\gamma, \beta, c_0)$ , where  $c_0$  must be chosen according to (4) (e.g., by using (18) and (19)).

**for**  $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

    Choose  $\sigma_k \in [\sigma_{\min}, \sigma_{\max}]$ .

    Set  $v_k^{\text{new}}$  according to (30).

    Solve the (symmetric positive definite) linear system  $\bar{B}_k d_k^{\text{new}} = \bar{b}_k$  from (31).

    Set  $\lambda_k^{\text{new}}$  and  $\mu_k^{\text{new}}$  according to (26).

    Set  $s_k^{\text{new}}$  and  $t_k^{\text{new}}$  according to (25).

    Choose  $r_k$  as the first element in the sequence  $\{1, \chi, \chi^2, \dots\}$  such that

$$(d_k + r d_k^{\text{new}}, v_k + r v_k^{\text{new}}, \lambda_k + r \lambda_k^{\text{new}}, \mu_k + r \mu_k^{\text{new}}, s_k + r s_k^{\text{new}}, t_k + r t_k^{\text{new}}) \in \mathcal{N}_{-\infty}(\gamma, \beta, c_k)$$

$$\tilde{c}_k(r) := \frac{(\lambda_k + r \lambda_k^{\text{new}})^T (s_k + r s_k^{\text{new}}) + (\mu_k + r \mu_k^{\text{new}})^T (t_k + r t_k^{\text{new}})}{2m} \leq (1 - 0.01r)c_k .$$

    Set  $(d_{k+1}, v_{k+1}, \lambda_{k+1}, \mu_{k+1}, s_{k+1}, t_{k+1}, c_{k+1}) =$

$= (d_k + r_k d_k^{\text{new}}, v_k + r_k v_k^{\text{new}}, \lambda_k + r_k \lambda_k^{\text{new}}, \mu_k + r_k \mu_k^{\text{new}}, s_k + r_k s_k^{\text{new}}, t_k + r_k t_k^{\text{new}}, \tilde{c}_k(r_k))$ .

**end**

*Remark 2.12* (Actual implementation & centring parameter).

- $v_k^{\text{new}}$  from (30),  $d_k^{\text{new}}$  from (31),  $\lambda_k^{\text{new}}$ ,  $\mu_k^{\text{new}}$  from (26), and  $s_k^{\text{new}}$  and  $t_k^{\text{new}}$  from (25) are computed by using the formulas from Proposition (2.9), while the neighbourhood condition and the centrality reduction condition are checked by using the formulas from Proposition 2.10.
- Adaptive strategies and predictor-corrector strategies are the two most important ways for choosing the centring parameter  $\sigma_k \in [\sigma_{\min}, \sigma_{\max}]$  (s. NOCEDAL & WRIGHT [2, p. 572 (pdf: 591)]).

## References

- [1] H. Fendl. *A feasible second order bundle algorithm for nonsmooth, nonconvex optimization problems with inequality constraints and its application to certificates of infeasibility*. PhD thesis, Universität Wien, 2011.
- [2] J. Nocedal and S.J. Wright. *Numerical Optimization*. Springer Series in Operations Research and Financial Engineering. Springer, New York, 2nd ed., 2006.
- [3] S.J. Wright. *Primal-dual interior-point methods*. SIAM, Philadelphia, PA, 1997.