ESI, Universität Wien

Some multivariable master polynomials for permutations, set partitions, and perfect matchings, and their continued fractions^a

> Jiang Zeng Université Lyon 1, France

October 17, 2017, Wien

^a Joint work with Alan Sokal (New York/London) $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box$

S-type and J-type continued fractions

If $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ is a sequence of combinatorial numbers or polynomials with $a_0 = 1$, it is often fruitful (total positivity of $(a_{i+j})_{i,j\geq 0}$, log-convexity, γ -positivity, moment sequence, ...) to seek to express its ordinary generating function (OGF) as a continued fraction of either Stieltjes (S) type,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{\alpha_1 t}{1 - \frac{\alpha_2 t}{1 - \cdots}}},$$

or Jacobi type (J),

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n t^n = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma_0 t - \frac{\beta_1 t^2}{1 - \gamma_1 t - \frac{\beta_2 t^2}{1 - \frac{\beta_1 t^2}{1 - \frac{\beta_2 t^2}{1 - \frac{$$

Contraction formulae of an S-fraction to a J-fraction

$$\frac{1}{1-\frac{\alpha_1 x}{1-\frac{\alpha_2 x}{\dots}}} = \frac{1}{1-\alpha_1 x - \frac{\alpha_1 \alpha_2 x^2}{1-(\alpha_2 + \alpha_3)x - \frac{\alpha_3 \alpha_4 x^2}{\dots}}}.$$

i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_0 &= \alpha_1 \\ \gamma_n &= \alpha_{2n} + \alpha_{2n+1} \quad \text{for} \quad n \geq 1 \\ \beta_n &= \alpha_{2n-1} \alpha_{2n}. \end{aligned}$$

◆□ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 圖 • 의 Q @</p>

This line of investigation, i.e. $(a_n) \mapsto (\alpha_n)$ (or $((\gamma_n), (\beta_n))$), goes back at least to Euler, but it gained impetus following Flajolet's seminal discovery that any *S*-type (resp. *J*-type) continued fraction can be interpreted combinatorially as a generating function of Dyck (resp. Motzkin) paths with suitable weights for each rise and fall (resp. each rise, fall and level step).

This line of investigation, i.e. $(a_n) \mapsto (\alpha_n)$ (or $((\gamma_n), (\beta_n))$), goes back at least to Euler, but it gained impetus following Flajolet's seminal discovery that any *S*-type (resp. *J*-type) continued fraction can be interpreted combinatorially as a generating function of Dyck (resp. Motzkin) paths with suitable weights for each rise and fall (resp. each rise, fall and level step).

Our approach will be (in part) to run this program in reverse: we start from a continued fraction in which the coefficients α (or γ and β) contain indeterminates in a nice pattern, and we attempt to find a combinatorial interpretation for the resulting polynomials a_n .

Euler's continued formulae



with coefficients $\alpha_{2k-1} = k$, $\alpha_{2k} = k$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへで

A naive generalization

Introduce the polynomials $P_n(x, y, u, v)$ by the following CF

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} P_n(x, y, u, v) t^n = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{x t}{1 - \frac{y t}{1 - \frac{(x+u) t}{1 - \frac{(y+v) t}{1 - \cdots}}}}}.$$
 (1)

with coefficients

$$\alpha_{2k-1} = x + (k-1)u \quad \alpha_{2k} = y + (k-1)v.$$
(2)

Clearly $P_n(x, y, u, v)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree *n* and $P_n(1, 1, 1, 1) = n!$.

Given a permutation \mathfrak{S}_n , an index $i \in [n]$ (or value $\sigma(i) \in [n]$) is called a

- record (rec) (or left-to-right maximum) if $\sigma(j) < \sigma(i)$ for all j < i;
- antirecord (arec) (or right-to-left minimum) if $\sigma(j) > \sigma(i)$ for all j > i;
- exclusive record (erec) if it is a record and not also an antirecord;
- exclusive antirecord (earec) if it is an antirecord and not also a record;
- record-antirecord (rar) if it is both a record and an antirecord;
- neither-record-antirecord (nrar) if it is neither a record nor an antirecord.

Cycle classification

We say that an index $i \in [n]$ is a

- cycle peak (cpeak) if $\sigma^{-1}(i) < i > \sigma(i)$;
- cycle valley (cval) if $\sigma^{-1}(i) > i < \sigma(i)$;
- cycle double rise (cdrise) if $\sigma^{-1}(i) < i < \sigma(i)$;
- cycle double fall (cdfall) if $\sigma^{-1}(i) > i > \sigma(i)$;
- fixed point (fix) if $\sigma^{-1}(i) = i = \sigma(i)$.

We denote the number of cycles, records, antirecords, ... in σ by $cyc(\sigma)$, $rec(\sigma)$, $arec(\sigma)$, ..., respectively. A rougher classification is that an index $i \in [n]$ (or value $\sigma(i)$) is an

- excedance (exc) if $\sigma(i) > i$;
- anti-excedance (aexc) if $\sigma < i$;
- fixed point (fix) if $\sigma = i$.

Theorem 1

The polynomials defined by the S-fraction have the combinatorial interpretations

$$P_n(x, y, u, v) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{arec}(\sigma)} y^{\operatorname{erec}(\sigma)} u^{n - \operatorname{exc}(\sigma) - \operatorname{arec}(\sigma)} v^{\operatorname{exc}(\sigma) - \operatorname{erec}(\sigma)}$$
(3)

and

$$P_n(x, y, u, v) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{cyc}(\sigma)} y^{\operatorname{erec}(\sigma)} u^{n - \operatorname{exc}(\sigma) - \operatorname{cyc}(\sigma)} v^{\operatorname{exc}(\sigma) - \operatorname{erec}(\sigma)}.$$
(4)

N.B. The triple statistics (arec, erec, exc) and (cyc, erec, exc) are equidistributed on \mathfrak{S}_n .

Special cases (1)

The Stirling cycle polynomials

$$P_n(x,1,1,1) = \sum_{k=0}^n S(n,k) x^k = x(x+1) \dots (x+n-1).$$

or their homogenized version

$$P_n(x, y, y, y) = \sum_{k=0}^n S(n, k) x^k y^{n-k} = x(x+y) \dots (x+(n-1)y).$$

The Eulerian polynomials

$$P_n(1, y, 1, y) = A_n(y) = \sum_{k=0}^n A(n, k) y^k$$

or

$$P_n(x, y, x, y) = A_n(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^n A(n, k) x^{n-k} y^k.$$

The record-antirecord permutation polynomials

$$P_n(a, b, 1, 1) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} a^{\operatorname{arec}(\sigma)} b^{\operatorname{erec}(\sigma)}$$

or

$$P_n(a, b, c, c) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} a^{\operatorname{arec}(\sigma)} b^{\operatorname{erec}(\sigma)} c^{n - \operatorname{arec}(\sigma) - \operatorname{erec}(\sigma)}.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(a, b, 1, 1) t^n = \frac{{}_2F_0(a, b; -|t)}{{}_2F_0(a, b-1; -|t)}.$$

The polynomials [sequence A145879/A202992]

$$P_n(x,x,u,u) = \sum_{k=0}^n T(n,k) x^{n-k} u^k$$

where T(n, k) is the number of permutations in \mathfrak{S}_n having exactly k indices that are the middle point of a pattern 321 (or 123). In particular T(n, 0) is the number of 123-avoiding permutations, which equals the Catalan number C_n . So the polynomials interpolate between C_n and n!.

Special cases (4): Narayanan polynomials

$$P_n(x, y, 0, 0) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(123)} x^{\operatorname{arec}(\sigma)} y^{\operatorname{erec}(\sigma)}$$
$$= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n(123)} x^{\operatorname{cyc}(\sigma)} y^{\operatorname{erec}(\sigma)}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n}{k-1} x^k y^{n-k}.$$

The cycle interpretation (with y = 1) was given by Parviainen (2007).

We have classified indices in a permutation according to their record status:

exlusive record, exclusive antirecord, record-antirecord or neither-record-antirecord

and aslo according to their cycle status:

cycle peak, cycle valley, cycle double rise, cycle double fall or fixed point.

Applying now both classifications simultaneously, we obtain 10 disjoint categories.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Record-cycle classifications: 10 classes

- ereccval: exclusive records that are also cycle valleys;
- erecdrise: exclusive records that are also cycle double rises;
- eareccpeak: exclusive antirecords that are also cycle peaks;
- eareccdfall: exclusive antirecords that are also cycle double falls;
- rar: record-antirecords (that are always fixed points);
- nrcpeak: neither-record-antirecords that are also cycle peakss;
- nrcval: neither-record-antirecords that are also cycle valleys;
- nrcdrise: neither-record-antirecords that are also cycle double falls;
- nrcfall: neither-record-antirecords that are also cycle falls;
- nrfix: neither-record-antirecords that are also fixed points.

$$Q_n(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, z, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, w) =$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x_1^{\mathsf{eareccpeak}(\sigma)} x_2^{\mathsf{earccdfall}(\sigma)} y_1^{\mathsf{ereccval}(\sigma)} y_2^{\mathsf{ereccdrise}(\sigma)} z^{\mathsf{rar}(\sigma)}$$

$$\times u_1^{\mathsf{nrcpeak}(\sigma)} u_2^{\mathsf{nrcdfall}(\sigma)} v_1^{\mathsf{nrcval}(\sigma)} v_2^{\mathsf{nrcdrise}(\sigma)} w^{\mathsf{nrfix}(\sigma)}$$

If *i* is a fixed point of σ , we define its level by

$$lev(i, \sigma) := \#\{j < i : \sigma(j) > i\} = \#\{j > i : \sigma(j) < i\}.$$

Clearly, a fixed point *i* is a record-antirecord if its level is 0, and a neither-record-antirecord if its level is ≥ 1 .

First master polynomial

Introduce indeterminates $\mathbf{w} = (w_\ell)_{\ell \geq 0}$ and write

$$\mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{fix}(\sigma)} := \prod_{\ell=0}^{\infty} w_{\ell}^{\mathsf{fix}(\sigma,\ell)} = \prod_{i \in \mathrm{Fix}(\sigma)} w_{\mathsf{lev}(i,\sigma)}.$$

The master polynomial encoding all these (now infinitely many) statistics is

 $Q_n(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, \mathbf{w}) =$ $\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x_1^{\text{eareccpeak}(\sigma)} x_2^{\text{earccdfall}(\sigma)} y_1^{\text{ereccval}(\sigma)} y_2^{\text{ereccdrise}(\sigma)}$ $\times u_1^{\text{nrcpeak}(\sigma)} u_2^{\text{nrcdfall}(\sigma)} v_1^{\text{nrcval}(\sigma)} v_2^{\text{nrcdrise}(\sigma)} \mathbf{w}^{\text{fix}(\sigma)}$

Theorem 2 (First J-fraction for permutations)

The OGF of the polynomials Q_n has the J-type continued fraction

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, \mathbf{w}) t^n =$$

$$\frac{1}{1 - w_0 t - \frac{x_1 y_1 t^2}{1 - (x_2 + y_2 + w_1)t - \frac{(x_1 + u_1)(y_1 + v_1)t^2}{1 - \cdots}}}$$

with coefficients $\gamma_0 = w_0$,

$$\gamma_n = [x_2 + (n-1)u_2] + [y_2 + (n-1)v_2] + w_n \quad for \quad n \ge 1$$

$$\beta_n = [x_1 + (n-1)u_1][y_1 + (n-1)v_1].$$

Define the polynomial

$$\hat{Q}_n(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, \mathbf{w}, \lambda) =$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x_1^{\mathsf{eareccpeak}(\sigma)} x_2^{\mathsf{earccdfall}(\sigma)} y_1^{\mathsf{ereccval}(\sigma)} y_2^{\mathsf{ereccdrise}(\sigma)}$$

$$\times u_1^{\mathsf{nrcpeak}(\sigma)} u_2^{\mathsf{nrcdfall}(\sigma)} v_1^{\mathsf{nrcval}(\sigma)} v_2^{\mathsf{nrcdrise}(\sigma)} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{fix}(\sigma)} \lambda^{\mathsf{cyc}(\sigma)}.$$

Theorem 3 (Second J-fraction for permutations)

The OGF of the polynomials Q_n has the J-type continued fraction

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{Q}_n(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, u_1, u_2, y_1, y_2, \mathbf{w}, \lambda) t^n = 1$$

$$1 - \lambda w_0 t - rac{\lambda x_1 y_1 t^2}{1 - (x_2 + y_2 + \lambda w_1)t - rac{(\lambda + 1)(x_1 + u_1)t^2}{1 - \cdots}}$$

with coefficients $\gamma_0 = \lambda w_0$,

$$\gamma_n = [x_2 + (n-1)u_2] + ny_2 + \lambda w_n \quad for \quad n \ge 1$$

$$\beta_n = (\lambda + n - 1)[x_1 + (n-1)u_1]y_1.$$

Comparing Theorem 1 (1) with the first J-fraction the polynomial Q_n reduces to $P_n(x, y, u, v)$ if we set

$$x_1 = x_2 = x, y_1 = y_2 = y, w_0 = xz$$

 $u_1 = u_2 = w_\ell = 1 \ (\ell \ge 1), v_1 = v_2 = v_\ell$

The weight function reduces to

$$w(\sigma) = x^{\operatorname{arec}(\sigma)} y^{\operatorname{erec}(\sigma)} v^{\operatorname{exc}(\sigma)} z^{\operatorname{rar}(\sigma)}$$

Comparing with Theorem 1 (2) with the second J-fraction the polynomial \hat{Q}_n reduces to $P_n(x, y, u, v)$ if we set

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= x_2 = y, \ u_1 = u_2 = v, \ w_0 &= z \\ y_1 &= y_2 = v_1 = v_2 = w_\ell = 1 (\ell \ge 1), \ \lambda &= x. \end{aligned}$$

The weight function reduces to

 $\hat{w}(\sigma) = x^{\operatorname{cyc}(\sigma)} y^{\operatorname{earec}(\sigma)} v^{\operatorname{aexc}(\sigma)} z^{\operatorname{rar}(\sigma)}.$

We have the following equidistribution:

 $(\operatorname{arec},\operatorname{erec},\operatorname{exc},\operatorname{rar})\sim(\operatorname{cyc},\operatorname{erec},\operatorname{exc},\operatorname{rar}).$

- Cori (2008) and Foata-Han (2009) : (cyc, arec) ~ (rec, arec) on 𝔅_n and the distribution of (cyc, arec) is symmetric.
- Kim-Stanton (2015): (rec, arec, rar) moments of associated Laguerre polynomials.

Elizalde (2017): (cyc, fix, aexc, cdfall), which is \sim (cyc, fix, exc, cdrise) by $\sigma \mapsto \sigma^{-1}$.

Setting v = 1 and z = y we have

$$\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{cyc}(\sigma)} y^{\operatorname{arec}(\sigma)}\right) t^n}{1 - xy t - \frac{xy t}{1 - (x + y + 1) t - \frac{(x + 1)(y + 1) t}{1 - \cdots}}}$$

with $\gamma_0 = xy$,

$$\gamma_n = x + y + 2n - 1$$

$$\beta_n = (x + n - 1)(y + n - 1) \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge 1.$$

We define

$$[n]_{p,q} = \frac{p^n - q^n}{p - q} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} p^j q^{n-1-j}.$$

Foata-Zeilberger (1990), Biane (1993), De Mdicis-Viennot (1994), Simion-Stanton(1994, 1996), Clarke-Steingrimsson-Z. (1997), Randrianarivony (1998), Corteel (2007), ... Let $[n; a]_{p,q} = ap^{n-1} + p^{n-2}q + \cdots + pq^{n-2} + q^{n-1}$. Then Randrianarivony (1998) :

$$\gamma_n = (a[n+1;\alpha]_{r,s} + b[n;\beta]_{t,u})x^n,$$

$$\beta_n = cd[n;\gamma]_{p,q}[n;\mu]_{v,w}x^{2n-1}.$$

To the permutation $\pi = (1, 9, 10, 2, 3, 7)(4)(5, 6, 11)(8) \in \mathfrak{S}_{11}$ we associate a pictorial representation as follows:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

We say that a quadruple i < j < k < l forms an

- upper crossing (ucross) if $k = \sigma(i)$ and $l = \sigma(j)$;
- lower crossing (lcross) if $i = \sigma(k)$ and $j = \sigma(l)$;
- upper nesting (unest) if $l = \sigma(i)$ and $k = \sigma(j)$;
- *lower nesting* (lnest) if $i = \sigma(l)$ and $j = \sigma(k)$.

We consider also some "degenerate" cases with j = k, by saying a triplet i < j < k forms an

- upper joining (ujoin) if $j = \sigma(i)$ and $l = \sigma(j)$;
- *lower joining* (lcross) if $i = \sigma(j)$ and $j = \sigma(l)$;
- upper pseudo-nesting (upsnest) if $I = \sigma(i)$ and $j = \sigma(j)$;
- lower pseudo-nesting (lpsnest) if $i = \sigma(I)$ and $j = \sigma(j)$.

We say that a quadruplet i < j < k < l forms an

- upper crossing of type cval (ucrosscval) if $k = \sigma(i)$ and $l = \sigma(j)$ and $\sigma^{-1}(j) > j$;
- upper crossing of type cdrise (ucrosscdrise) if $k = \sigma(i)$ and $l = \sigma(j)$ and $\sigma^{-1}(j) < j$;
- lower crossing of type cpeak lcrosscpeak) if $l = \sigma(i)$ and $\sigma^{-1}(k) < k$;
- lower crossing of type cdfall (lcrosscdfall) if $i = \sigma(k)$ and $j = \sigma(l)$ and $\sigma^{-1}(k) > k$;

We say that a quadruplet i < j < k < l forms an

- upper nesting of type cval (unestcval) if $l = \sigma(i)$ and $k = \sigma(j)$ and $\sigma^{-1}(j) > j$;
- upper nesting of type cdrise (unestcdrise) if $l = \sigma(i)$ and $k = \sigma(j)$ and $\sigma^{-1}(j) < j$;
- lower nesting of type cpeak (lnestcdpeak) if $l = \sigma(i)$ and $j = \sigma(j)$ and $\sigma^{-1}(k) < k$;
- lower nesting of type cdfall (Inestcdfall) if $i = \sigma(I)$ and $j = \sigma(j)$ and $\sigma^{-1}(k) > k$.

Define the polynomial

$$Q_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, s) := Q_n(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, \mathbf{w}, p_{+1}, p_{+2}, p_{+2}, p_{-1}, p_{-2}, q_{+1}, q_{+2}, q_{-1}, q_{-2}, s) =$$

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} x_{1}^{\mathsf{eareccpeak}(\sigma)} x_{2}^{\mathsf{earcccfall}(\sigma)} y_{1}^{\mathsf{ereccval}(\sigma)} y_{2}^{\mathsf{ereccdrise}(\sigma)} \times \\ u_{1}^{\mathsf{nrcpeak}(\sigma)} u_{2}^{\mathsf{nrcdfall}(\sigma)} v_{1}^{\mathsf{nrcval}(\sigma)} v_{2}^{\mathsf{nrcdrise}(\sigma)} \mathbf{w}^{\mathsf{fix}(\sigma)} \times \\ p_{+1}^{\mathsf{ucrosscval}(\sigma)} p_{+2}^{\mathsf{ucrosscdrise}(\sigma)} p_{-1}^{\mathsf{lcrosscpeak}(\sigma)} p_{-2}^{\mathsf{lcrosscdfall}(\sigma)} \times \\ q_{+1}^{\mathsf{unestcval}(\sigma)} q_{+2}^{\mathsf{unestcdrise}(\sigma)} q_{-1}^{\mathsf{lnestcpeak}(\sigma)} q_{-2}^{\mathsf{inestcdfall}(\sigma)} s_{p}^{\mathsf{snest}(\sigma)} \end{split}$$

First J-fraction for permutations 2

$$\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{w}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, s) t^n}{1 - w_0 t - \frac{1}{1 - (x_2 + y_2 + sw_1)t - \frac{(p_1 x_1 + q_{-1} u_1)(p_{+1} y_1 + q_{+1} v_1)t^2}{1 - \cdots}}$$

with coefficents $\gamma_0 = w_0$ and for $n \ge 1$,

$$\gamma_n = (p_{-2}^{n-1}x_2 + q_{-2}[n-1]_{p_{-2},q_{-2}}u_2) + (p_{+2}^{n-1}y_2 + q_{+2}[n-1]_{p_{+2},q_{+2}}v_2) + s^n w_n$$

 $\beta_n = (p_{-1}^{n-1}x_1 + q_{-1}[n-1]_{p_{-1},q_{-1}}u_1)(p_{+1}^{n-1}y_1 + q_{+1}[n-1]_{p_{+1},q_{+1}}v_1).$

・ロト・日本・モート モー うへぐ

Rather than counting the total numbers of nestings, we should instead count the number of upper (resp. lower) crossings or nestings that use a particular vertex j (resp. k) in second (resp. third) position, and then attribute weights to the vertex j (resp. k) depending on these values.

$$ucross(j, \sigma) = \#\{i < j < k < l : k = \sigma(i) \text{ and } l = \sigma(j)\}$$

unest(j, \sigma) = #\{i < j < k < l : k = \sigma(j) \text{ and } l = \sigma(i)\}
lcross(k, \sigma) = #\{i < j < k < l : i = \sigma(k) \text{ and } j = \sigma(l)\}
lnest(k, \sigma) = #\{i < j < k < l : i = \sigma(l) \text{ and } j = \sigma(k)\}.

N.B. $ucross(j, \sigma)$ and $unest(j, \sigma)$ can be nonzero only when j is a cycle valley or a cycle double rise, while $lcross(k, \sigma)$ and $lnest(k, \sigma)$ can be nonzero only when k is a cycle peak or a cycle double fall. And obviously we have

$$\mathsf{ucrosscval}(\sigma) = \sum_{j \in \mathrm{cval}} \mathsf{ucross}(j, \sigma)$$

and analogously for the other seven crossing/nesting quantities.

We now introduce five infinite families of indeterminates $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}$ where $\mathbf{x} = (x_{\ell,\ell'})_{\ell,\ell'\geq 0}$ and $\mathbf{w} = (w_\ell)_{\ell\geq 0}$, and define the polynomial $Q_n(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{w}) =$ $\sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_n}\prod_{i\in\mathrm{cval}}a_{\mathrm{ucross}(i,\sigma),\mathrm{unest}(i,\sigma)}\prod_{i\in\mathrm{cpeak}}b_{\mathrm{lcross}(i,\sigma),\mathrm{lnest}(i,\sigma)} \times$ $\prod_{i\in\mathrm{cdfall}}c_{\mathrm{lcross}(i,\sigma),\mathrm{lnest}(i,\sigma)}\prod_{i\in\mathrm{cdrise}}d_{\mathrm{ucross}(i,\sigma),\mathrm{unest}(i,\sigma)}\prod_{i\in\mathrm{fix}}w_{\mathrm{lev}(i,\sigma)}$

These polynomials then have a beautiful J-fraction.

Theorem 4 (First master J-fraction for permutations)

The OGF of the polynomials $Q_n(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{w})$ has the J-type continued fraction

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Q_n(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b},\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d},\mathbf{w}) t^n =$$

$$\overline{1-w_0t-rac{a_{00}b_{00}t^2}{1-(c_{00}+d_{00}+w_1)t-rac{(a_{00}+a_{10})(b_{01}+b_{10})t^2}{1-\cdots}}}$$

with coefficients $\gamma_n = c_{n-1}^* + d_{n-1}^* + w_n$ and $\beta_n = a_{n-1}^* b_{n-1}^*$, where $a_{n-1}^* := \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} a_{\ell,n-1-\ell} = a_{0,n-1} + a_{1,n-2} + \ldots + a_{n-1,0}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ □▶ ◆ □▶ ○ □ ○ ○ ○

A inversion of a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is a pair $i, j \in [n]$ such that i < j and $\sigma(i) > \sigma(j)$.

Lemma 1 (Shin-Z. 2010)

We have

```
\begin{aligned} \mathsf{inv} &= \mathit{cval} + \mathsf{cdrise} + \mathsf{cdfall} + \mathsf{ucross} + \mathsf{lcross} \\ &+ 2(\mathsf{unest} + \mathsf{lnest} + \mathsf{psnest}) \\ &= \mathsf{exc} + (\mathsf{ucross} + \mathsf{lcross} + \mathsf{ljoin}) + 2(\mathsf{unest} + \mathsf{lnest} + \mathsf{psnest}). \end{aligned}
```

We can also make a (p,q)-generalization of the second J-fraction involving cyc. Define the polynomial

$$\hat{Q}_{n}(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}, u_{1}, u_{2}, v_{1}, v_{2}, \mathbf{w}, p_{+1}, p_{+2}, p_{-1}, p_{-2}, q_{+1}, q_{+2}, q_{-1}, q_{-2}, s, \lambda) =$$

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} x_{1}^{\text{eareccpeak}(\sigma)} x_{2}^{\text{eareccdfall}(\sigma)} y_{1}^{\text{ereccval}(\sigma)} y_{2}^{\text{ereccdrise}(\sigma)} \times u_{1}^{\text{nrcpeak}(\sigma)} u_{2}^{\text{nrcdfall}(\sigma)} v_{1}^{\text{nrcval}(\sigma)} v_{2}^{\text{nrcdrise}(\sigma)} \mathbf{w}_{1}^{\text{fix}(\sigma)} \times u_{1}^{\text{prcsscval}(\sigma)} p_{1}^{\text{ucrosscval}(\sigma)} p_{1}^{\text{ucrosscdfall}(\sigma)} \times p_{1}^{\text{nrcscl}(\sigma)} p_{1}^{\text{ucrosscval}(\sigma)} x_{1}^{\text{ucrosscval}(\sigma)} x_{1}^{\text{ucrosscval}$$

$$q_{+1}^{\text{unestcval}(\sigma)} q_{+2}^{\text{unestcdrise}(\sigma)} q_{-1}^{\text{lnestcpeak}(\sigma)} q_{-2}^{\text{lnestcdfall}(\sigma)} s^{\text{psnest}(\sigma)} \lambda^{\text{cyc}(\sigma)}.$$

p,q-generalization of the second J-fraction

Theorem 5 (Second J-fraction for permutations)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{Q}_n(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2, \mathbf{w}, \\ p_{+1}, p_{+2}, p_{-1}, p_{-2}, q_{+1}, q_{+2}, q_{-1}, q_{-2}, s, \lambda)t^n = \\ \frac{1}{1 - \lambda w_0 t - \frac{\lambda x_1 y_1 t^2}{1 - (x_2 + y_2 + \lambda w_1)t - \frac{(\lambda + 1)(x_1 + u_1)t^2}{1 - \cdots}}, }$$

with coefficients $\gamma_0 = \lambda w_0$,

$$\gamma_n = (p_{-2}^{n-1}x_2 + q_{-2}[n-1]_{p_{-2},q_{-2}}u_2) + np_{+2}^{n-1}y_2 + \lambda s^n w_n \text{ for } n \ge 1$$

$$\beta_n = (p_{-1}^{n-1}x_1 + q_{-1}[n-1]_{p_{-1},q_{-1}}u_1)p_{+1}^{n-1}y_1(\lambda + n - 1).$$

The Bell number B_n is the number of partitions of an *n*-element set into nonempty blocks with $B_0 = 1$.



with coefficients $\alpha_{2k-1} = 1$, $\alpha_{2k} = k$.

$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x, y, v) t^n = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{x t}{1 - \frac{y t}{1 - \frac{x t}{1 - \frac{x t}{1 - \frac{x t}{1 - \frac{x t}{1 - \frac{y t}{1 - \cdots}}}}}}$

with coefficients $\alpha_{2k-1} = x$, $\alpha_{2k} = y + (k-1)v$. Clearly $B_n(x, y, v)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree *n*; it has three truly independent variables.

Theorem 6 (S-fraction for set)

The polynomials $B_n(x, y, v)$ have the combinatorial interpretation

$$B_n(x, y, v) = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_n} x^{|\pi|} y^{\operatorname{erec}(\pi)} v^{n-|\pi|-\operatorname{erec}(\pi)}$$

where $|\pi|$ (resp. erec(π)) denotes the number of blocks (resp. exclusive records) in π .

Given $\pi \in \Pi_n$, for $i \in [n]$, we define $\sigma'(i)$ to be the next-larger element after *i* in its block, if *i* is not the largest element in its block, and 0 otherwise. Then $\operatorname{erec}(\pi) := \operatorname{erec}(\sigma')$. For example, if $\pi = \{1, 5\} - \{2, 3, 7\} - \{4\} - \{6\}$, then $\sigma' = 5370000$.

Given a partition π of [n], we say that an element $i \in [n]$ is

- an *opener* if it is the smallest element of a block of size ≥ 2 ;
- a *colser* if it is the largest element of a block of size ≥ 2 ;
- an *insider* if it is a non-opener non-closer element of a block of size ≥ 3;
- a *singleton* if it is the sole element of a block of size 1.

Clearly every element $i \in [n]$ belongs to precisely one of these four classes.

We can refine the polynomial $B_n(x, y, v)$ by distinguishing between singletons and blocks of size ≥ 2 ; in addition, we can distinguish between exclusive records that are openers and those that are insiders. Define

$$B_n(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, v) = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_n} x_1^{m_1(\pi)} x_2^{m_{\geq 2}(\pi)} \times y_1^{\operatorname{erecin}(\pi)} y_2^{\operatorname{erecop}(\pi)} v^{n-|\pi|-\operatorname{erec}(\pi)},$$

where $m_1(\pi)$ is the number of singletons in π , $m_{\geq 2}(\pi)$ is the number of non-singletons blocks, $\operatorname{erecin}(\pi)$ is the number of exclusive records that are insiders, and $\operatorname{erecop}(\pi)$ is the number of exclusive records that are openers.

Theorem 7 (J-fraction for set partitions)

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, v) t^n =$$

$$\frac{1}{1-x_1t-\frac{x_2y_2t^2}{1-(x_1+y_1)t-\frac{x_2(y_2+v)t^2}{1-\cdots}}}$$

with coefficients $\gamma_0 = x_1$,

$$\gamma_n = x_1 + y_1 + (n-1)v$$
 for $n \ge 1$
 $\beta_n = x_2[y_2 + (n-1)v].$

First p, q-generalization

Let $\pi = \{B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_k\}$ be a partition of [n]. We associate a graph \mathcal{G}_{π} with vertex set [n] such that i, j are joined by an edge if and only if they are consecutive elements within the same block. We then say that a quadruplet i < j < k < l forms a

- crossing (cr) if $(i, k) \in \mathcal{G}_{\pi}$ and $(j, l) \in \mathcal{G}_{\pi}$;
- *nesting* (ne) if $(i, l) \in \mathcal{G}_{\pi}$ and $(j, k) \in \mathcal{G}_{\pi}$.

We also say that a triplet i < k < l forms a

• pseudo-nesting (psne) if $(i, l) \in \mathcal{G}_{\pi}$.



We now introduce a (p, q)-generalization of previous polynomial:

$$B_{n}(x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}, v, p, q, r) = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_{n}} x_{1}^{m_{1}(\pi)} x_{2}^{m_{\geq 2}(\pi)} y_{1}^{\operatorname{erecin}(\pi)} y_{2}^{\operatorname{erecop}(\pi)} \times v^{n - |\pi| - \operatorname{erecop}(\pi)} p^{\operatorname{cr}(\pi)} q^{\operatorname{ne}(\pi)} r^{\operatorname{psne}(\pi)}.$$

Theorem 8

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2, v, p, q, r) t^n = \frac{1}{1 - x_1 t - \frac{x_2 y_2 t^2}{1 - \cdots}}$$

with coefficients $\gamma_0 = x_1$,

$$\gamma = r^{n} x_{1} + p^{n-1} y_{1} + q[n-1]_{p,q} v \quad \text{for } n \ge 1$$

$$\beta_{n} = x_{2}(p^{n-1} y_{2} + q[n-1]_{p,q} v).$$

Rather that counting the *total* numbers of quadrauplets i < j < k < l that form crossings or nestings, we should instead count the number of crossings or nestings that use a particular vertex k in third (or sometimes second) position, and then attribute weights to the vertex k depending on those values. We define

$$cr(k,\pi) = \#\{i < j < k < l : (i,k) \in \mathcal{G}_{\pi} \text{ and } (j,l) \in \mathcal{G}_{\pi}\}$$

$$ne(k,\pi) = \#\{i < j < k < l : (i,l) \in \mathcal{G}_{\pi} \text{ and } (j,k) \in \mathcal{G}_{\pi}\}$$

$$psne(k,\pi) = \#\{i < k < l : k \text{ is a singleton and } (i,l) \in \mathcal{G}_{\pi}\}$$

Note that $cr(\pi)$ and $ne(k,\pi)$ can be nonzero only when k is either an insider or a closer; and we obviously have

$$\operatorname{cr}(\pi) = \sum_{k \in \operatorname{insiders} \cap \operatorname{closers}} \operatorname{cr}(k, \pi)$$
$$\operatorname{ne}(\pi) = \sum_{k \in \operatorname{insiders} \cap \operatorname{closers}} \operatorname{ne}(k, \pi)$$
$$\operatorname{psne}(\pi) = \sum_{k \in \operatorname{singletons}} \operatorname{psne}(k, \pi).$$

Finally we define

 $\operatorname{crne}^{\prime}(\pi) = \#\{i < k < l : k \text{ is an opener and } (i, l) \in \mathcal{G}_{\pi}\}$

which counts the number of times that the opener k occurs in second position in a crossing or nesting.

We now introduce four infinite families of indterminates $\mathbf{a} = (a_{\ell})_{\ell \ge 0}$, $\mathbf{b} = (a_{\ell,\ell'})_{\ell,\ell'\ge 0}$, $\mathbf{c} = (c_{\ell,\ell'})_{\ell,\ell'\ge 0}$, $\mathbf{e} = (e_{\ell})_{\ell\ge 0}$ and define the polynomials $B_n(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{e})$ by

$$B_n(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{e}) = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_n} \prod_{i \in \text{openers}} \mathbf{a}_{\text{crne}'(i,\pi)} \prod_{i \in \text{closers}} \mathbf{b}_{\text{cr}(i,\pi), \text{ne}(i,\pi)}$$
$$\prod_{i \in \text{insiders}} \mathbf{c}_{\text{cr}(i,\pi), \text{ne}(i,\pi)} \prod_{i \in \text{singletons}} \mathbf{e}_{\text{psne}(i,\pi)}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Theorem 9 (Master J-fraction for set partitions)

The OGF of the polynomials $B_n(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{e})$ has the J-type CF

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{e}) t^n = \frac{1}{1 - e_0 t - \frac{a_0 b_{00} t^2}{1 - (c_{00} + e_1)t - \frac{a_1 (b_{01} + b_{10}) t^2}{1 - \cdots}}}$$

with coefficients

$$\gamma_n = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} c_{\ell,n-1-\ell} + e_n, \quad \beta_n = a_{n-1} \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} b_{\ell,n-1-\ell}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ● のへで

Perfect matchings

Euler:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n-1)!!t^n = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1t}{1 - \frac{2t}{1 - \frac{3t}{1 - \cdots}}}}$$

We introduce the polytnomials $M_n(x, y, u, v)$ by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n(x, y, u, v) t^n = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{x t}{1 - \frac{(x+v) t}{1 - \frac{(x+2u) t}{1 - \cdots}}}}$$

with coefficients $\alpha_{2k-1} = x + (2k-2)u$, $\alpha_{2k} = y + (2k-1)v$

We can regard a perfect matching either as a special type of partition (namely, one in which all blocks are of size 2) or as a special type of permutation (namely, a fixed-point-free involution). We now introduce four infinite families of indterminates $\mathbf{a} = (a_{\ell})_{\ell \ge 0}$, $\mathbf{b} = (a_{\ell,\ell'})_{\ell,\ell' \ge 0}$, and define the polynomials $M_n(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ by

$$M_n(\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b}) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{M}_n} \prod_{i \in \text{openers}} \mathbf{a}_{\operatorname{crne}'(i,\pi)} \prod_{i \in \operatorname{closers}} \mathbf{b}_{\operatorname{cr}(i,\pi),\operatorname{ne}(i,\pi)}.$$

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Of course, we have $M_n(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = B_{2n}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$.

Theorem 10 (Master S-fraction for perfect matchings)

The OGF of the polynomials $B_n(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ has the S-type CF

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) t^n = \frac{1}{1 - \frac{a_0 b_{00} t^2}{1 - \frac{a_1(b_{01} + b_{10})t^2}{1 - \cdots}}}$$

with coefficients $\alpha_n = a_{n-1}b_{n-1}^*$, where

$$b_{n-1}^* = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-1} b_{\ell,n-1-\ell}.$$