## A note on our paper: Affinely infinitely divisible distributions and the embedding problem

S.G. Dani and Klaus Schmidt

## Abstract

In our paper [5], in proving the general case of our theorem, a result from [3] on embedding of infinitely divisible measures on certain Lie groups with compact center was used. An error has been found in the proof in [3]. In this context we show in this note that the proof of the theorem in [5] can be completed without recourse to the result from [3].

## 1 Introduction

Let A be a locally compact abelian group and let P(A) denote the semigroup of probability measures on A, with the convolution product. Given  $\mu \in P(A)$ , a  $\lambda \in P(A)$  is said to be an *affine* k-th root of  $\mu$  (where k is any natural number) if there exists a continuous automorphism  $\rho$  of A such that  $\rho^k = I$  (the identity transformation) and  $\lambda * \rho(\lambda) * \rho^2(\lambda) * \cdots * \rho^{k-1}(\lambda) = \mu$ , and  $\mu$  is said to be *affinely infinitely divisible* (on A) if it has affine k-th roots for all k. We recall also that  $\mu \in P(A)$  is said to be *infinitely divisible* if, for every natural number k,  $\mu$  admits a k-th (convolution) root. The following is the main theorem from [5]:

**Theorem 1.1.** Every affinely infinitely divisible probability measure on a connected abelian Lie group A is infinitely divisible on A.

In [5], after various preparatory results, the theorem is first proved for  $A = \mathbb{R}^n$ for any n, and then for a general A as above, namely  $A = \mathbb{T}^m \times \mathbb{R}^n$  for some mand n. In the proof of the general case a theorem from [3] on the embeddability of infinitely divisible probability measures on a class of Lie groups with compact (nontrivial) center is used. It turns out that the proof in [3] has an error; see [4] for details. In this context we describe here a modified proof of Theorem 1.1 as above.

As in [5] let S be the maximal torus in A, B the subgroup of A containing S and such that B/S is the vector subspace of A/S spanned by  $(\text{supp}\mu)S/S$ , V a vector subgroup of B such that B is the direct product of S and V. Let  $\Gamma$  be the group of automorphisms of B acting trivially on S,  $\Theta$  the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  consisting of automorphisms whose factor action on B/S is trivial, and  $\Delta$  the subgroup of  $\Gamma$  consisting of automorphisms leaving V invariant. Then the arguments in [5], until the penultimate paragraph of the proof show that there exists a compact subgroup K of  $\Delta$  such that  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible on  $B\Theta K$ . From this point the next step is to prove that there exists a periodic one-parameter subgroup  $\phi$  of  $\Theta K$  such that  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible on B. From this point the next step is to prove that there exists a periodic one-parameter subgroup  $\phi$  of  $\Theta K$  such that  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible on  $B\phi$ ; this would enable, together with Corollary 4.2 of [5] to conclude that  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible on B. To achieve this, in [5] we had appealed to a result from [3] on the embeddability of infinitely divisible measures on groups of the form  $B\Theta K$  as above, but the proof of that result is found to have an error.

We shall therefore now proceed as follows. Let M be a minimal closed subgroup of  $\Theta K$  of the form UC with U a vector subspace of  $\Theta$ , and C a compact subgroup of  $\Theta K$  (not necessarily contained in K), such that  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible on BM = BUC; such a subgroup exists, by considerations of dimension and the number of connected components. If  $M^0$  is the connected component of the identity in M then  $BM^0$  is a subgroup of finite index in BM, and an argument as in the penultimate paragraph of [5] shows that  $\mu$ , which is infinitely divisible on BM, would also be infinitely divisible on  $BM^0$ . The minimality condition on M therefore shows that  $M^0 = M$ , namely M is connected. Hence C is also connected.

Now let H be the subgroup of M consisting of all elements whose action on B leaves  $\mu$  invariant. Then H is a closed subgroup, and by Lemma 2.2 of [5]  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible on BH. A priori one does not know at this stage whether H is a semidirect product of a subspace of  $\Theta$  with a compact subgroup, so one can not conclude immediately that H = M. We shall however show that H is compact, and hence H = M = C.

We note firstly that if Q is a closed subgroup of M such that every element x of M which is of finite order can be expressed as  $hyh^{-1}$  for some  $h \in H$  and  $y \in Q$ , then  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible on BQ. This may be seen as follows: Let k be any natural number, and  $\nu$  be a k-th root of  $\mu$  on BM. Then it has the form  $\nu = \lambda x$  where  $\lambda$  is a probability measure on B and  $x \in M$  is such that  $x^k = e$ , the identity element; see [5]. Now let x be expressed as  $hyh^{-1}$ , with  $h \in H$  and  $y \in Q$  as above. Then  $(h^{-1}\nu h)^k = h^{-1}\mu h = \mu$ , since  $\mu$  is h-invariant. Thus  $h^{-1}\nu h$  is a k-th root of  $\mu$ . On the other hand,  $h^{-1}\nu h = (h^{-1}\lambda h)(h^{-1}xh) = (h^{-1}\lambda h)y$ , so its support is contained in BQ. This shows that  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible on BQ, as claimed.

We now return to the subgroup M = UC as above. The vector subspace U can be decomposed under the conjugation action of C as  $U_0 \oplus U_1$  such that  $U_0$  is pointwise fixed and  $U_1$  contains no nonzero fixed points. Then M is a direct product of  $M_1 = U_1C$  and  $U_0$ . Since  $\operatorname{supp} \mu \subset B \subset BM_1$  and  $BM/BM_1$  is a vector group, it follows that for every root  $\lambda$  of  $\mu$  on BM,  $\operatorname{supp} \lambda$  is contained in  $BM_1$ , and hence that  $\mu$  infinitely divisible on  $BM_1$ . By the minimality of M we get therefore that  $M_1 = M$ ; thus  $U_0$  is trivial and the action of C on U has no nonzero fixed point.

Consider now the subgroup  $\overline{UH}$  (the closure of UH). It is of the form UC' for some compact subgroup C' of C, and so by the minimality condition on M we get that  $M = \overline{UH}$ . We note that  $H \cap U$  is normalised by H and U, and hence the preceding conclusion implies that it is a normal subgroup of M. Let  $W = H \cap U$ . Then W can be expressed as a direct product of its identity component  $W^0$  with a discrete subgroup D which is invariant under the action of C. Since C is connected and its action on U has no nontrivial fixed point, it follows that D is trivial, and hence W is a vector subspace of U. We can now express U as  $U = W \oplus W'$  where W' is a C-invariant subspace of U. It can be verified, using elementary linear algebra, that if  $\tau$  is an affine automorphism of W of the form  $w \mapsto \sigma(w) + w_0$  for all  $w \in W$ , where  $\sigma$  is an automorphism of W and  $w_0 \in W$ , and if  $\tau$  is of finite order then  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  are conjugate as affine automorphisms, by a translation from W. Using this we see that every element x of UC which has finite order can be expressed as  $hyh^{-1}$ , with  $h \in W \subset H$  and  $y \in W'C$ . Therefore by the remark above  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible on BW'C, and hence by the minimality condition on M we have M = W'C. Thus, in the notation as above,  $H \cap U$  is trivial.

Let R be the (solvable) radical of (the connected Lie group) M and  $H^0$  be the connected component of the identity in H. Since R contains U,  $H^0R$  is normalised by U. It is also normalised by H, and since UH is dense in M it follows that  $H^0R$  is a normal Lie subgroup of M. Since M/R is a semisimple Lie group this implies that  $H^0R/R$  is closed, and furthermore M/R can be expressed as  $M_1(H^0R/R)$ , where  $M_1$  is a compact connected normal subgroup of M/R such that  $M_1 \cap (H^0R/R)$ , where  $M_1$  is a compact connected normal subgroup of M/R such that  $M_1 \cap (H^0R/R)$  is finite. Let T be a maximal torus in the compact group  $H^0R/R$  and let M' be the closed subgroup of M containing R and such that  $M'/R = M_1T$ . By the conjugacy of maximal tori (see [6], Chapter 5, Theorem 15) in  $H^0R/R$  we get that every xin M can be expressed as  $hyh^{-1}$  for some  $h \in H^0$ , and  $y \in M'$ . Therefore, by our observation above,  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible on BM', and hence by the minimality condition on M we have M' = M. Thus  $M/R = M'/R = M_1T$ , and since M/R is semisimple we see that T must be trivial. Therefore  $H^0$  is a solvable Lie group.

Let P be the connected component of the identity in  $\overline{HR}$ . Since  $H^0$  is solvable, by a theorem of L. Auslander (see [7], Theorem 8.2.4) P is solvable. As the subgroup P is normalised by UH and as the latter is dense in M, it follows that P is normal in M. As M/R is a semisimple Lie group and P/R is a connected solvable normal subgroup, it follows that P = R. This implies that HR is closed and R is open in HR. Also, as R contains U, HR has the form UC' for some compact subgroup C'of C. Since  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible on  $BH \subset BHR$ , the minimality condition on M now implies that M = HR. Also, since M is connected and R is open in HRwe further get that M = R. Thus M is solvable, and hence the compact connected subgroup C is abelian. Since  $H \cap U$  is trivial this further implies that H is abelian.

Now let  $p: M \to C$  be the canonical projection homomorphism, and H' = p(H). Then H' is a dense subgroup of C. Since C is an abelian group and its action on U has no nonzero fixed point, it follows that the set of elements of C whose action on U admits a nonzero fixed point is a proper closed subset of C. Therefore there exists  $h' \in H'$  whose action on U has no nonzero fixed point. Let  $h \in H$  be such that p(h) = h'. Then there exists a  $u \in U$  such that  $uhu^{-1} = h'$ . The centraliser of h' in M is compact and hence the preceding conclusion implies that the centraliser of h in M is compact. As H is abelian this shows that H is compact. As  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible on BH the minimality condition on M now implies that H = M = C. Since C is compact there exists a vector subgroup V of B such that V is invariant under the action of C and B = SV, a direct product. Hence BC is a direct product of S and VC, which shows in particular that it is a linear Lie group, namely a Lie group with a faithful finite-dimensional representation. Therefore by the general embedding theorem in [2] we get that  $\mu$ , which is infinitely divisible on BC, is embeddable on BC; the group involved here being a direct product of a group of rigid motions and a compact abelian group, embeddability in this case can also be obtained along the lines of the (simpler) proof in [1] for measures on the group of affine automorphisms of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ .

As in the argument in [5] for the vector group case we now deduce, from the embeddability of  $\mu$  on BC, that there exists a periodic one-parameter subgroup  $\phi$  of C such that  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible (in fact embeddable) on  $B\phi$ . Then by Corollary 4.2 of [5]  $\mu$  is infinitely divisible on B; this proves of the theorem.

## References

- S.G. Dani and M. McCrudden, Embedding infinitely divisible probability measures on the affine group, in: *Probability Measures on Groups IX* (Ed: H. Heyer), (Proceedings of a conf.: Oberwolfach, 1988), Lect. Notes in Math. 1379, Springer Verlag, 1989, pp. 36-49.
- [2] S.G. Dani and M. McCrudden, Embeddability of infinitely divisible distributions on linear Lie groups, Invent. Math. 110 (1992), 237-261.
- [3] S.G. Dani, M. McCrudden and S. Walker, On the embedding problem for infinitely divisible distributions on certain Lie groups with toral center, Math. Zeits. 245 (2003), 781-790. 1, 2
- [4] S.G. Dani, M. McCrudden and S. Walker, Erratum to our paper "On the embedding problem for infinitely divisible distributions on certain Lie groups with toral center, Math. Zeits. 245 (2003), 781-790.", Math. Zeits., to appear. 1
- [5] S.G. Dani and Klaus Schmidt, Affinely infinitely divisible distributions and the embedding problem, Math. Res. Letters 9 (2002), 607-620. 1, 2, 4
- [6] A.V. Onishchik and E.B. Vinberg, *Lie Groups and Algebraic Groups*, Springer, 1990. 3
- [7] M.S. Raghunathan, Discrete Subgroups of Lie Groups, Springer, 1972.

3

S.G. Dani, School of Mathematics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Colaba, Mumbai 400 005, India; (E-mail: dani@math.tifr.res.in) Klaus Schmidt, Mathematics Institute, University of Vienna, Nordbergstraße 15, A-1090 Vienna, Austria

and

Erwin Schrödinger Institute for Mathematical Physics, Boltzmanngaße 9, A-1090 Vienna, Austria (E-mail: klaus.schmidt@univie.ac.at)