

Univ.-Prof. Dr. Radu Ioan Boţ

Vienna, January 17, 2020 To be discussed on January 24, 2020

Nonlinear Optimization Exercise session 7

46. Consider the optimization problem

min
$$f(x) := (x_1 + 1)^2 + (x_2 + 2)^2$$

s.t. $g_1(x) := -x_1 \le 0$
 $g_2(x) := -x_2 \le 0$

with $x = (x_1, x_2)^T$. For $\alpha > 0$, find the minimum $x^*(\alpha)$ of the penalty function

$$P(x;\alpha) := f(x) + \frac{\alpha}{2} ||g_+(x)||^2,$$

and the limits $x^* := \lim_{\alpha \to +\infty} x^*(\alpha)$ and $\lambda^* := \lim_{\alpha \to +\infty} \alpha g_+(x^*(\alpha))$. Find out if (x^*, λ^*) is a KKT point of the optimization problem. (3 points)

47. Consider the optimization problem

(P) min
$$f(x) := x^2$$

s.t. $g(x) := 1 - \ln(x) \le 0$

and the penalized optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}} P(x; \alpha) := f(x) + \alpha \varphi \left(\frac{g(x)}{\alpha} \right)$$

with $\varphi(t) = e^t - 1$ (exponential penalty function). For $\alpha > 0$, find the optimal solution $x^*(\alpha)$ of the penalty optimizaton problem and prove that $x^* := \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} x^*(\alpha)$ is an optimal solution of the problem (P). (3 point)

48. Consider the optimization problem

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min & x^2 \\ \text{s.t.} & x-1 = 0 \end{array}$$

and its optimal solution $x^* = 1$. Find $\bar{\alpha} > 0$ such that the ℓ_1 -penalty function $P_1(\cdot; \alpha)$ is exact at x^* for every $\alpha \ge \bar{\alpha}$. (3 points)

49. Consider the optimization problem (P) in Exercise 44.

(a) Prove that

$$\mu^* := \lim_{\alpha \to \infty} \alpha h(x^*(\alpha))$$

is the Lagrange multiplier which corresponds to the optimal solution x^* .

(b) Consider the penalized optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} P_1(x; \alpha) := f(x) + \alpha |h(x)|.$$

Find $\bar{\alpha} > 0$ such that $P_1(\cdot; \alpha)$ is exact at x^* for every $\alpha \geq \bar{\alpha}$.

Hint. Use μ^* to find $\bar{\alpha}$.

- 50. Prove that the following functions are NCP-functions:
 - (a) the minimum function:

$$\varphi(a,b) = \min\{a,b\}.$$

(b) the Fischer-Burmeister function:

$$\varphi(a,b) = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} - a - b.$$

(c) the penalized minimum function:

$$\varphi(a,b) = 2\lambda \min\{a,b\} + (1-\lambda)a_+b_+,$$

where $a_{+} := \max\{a, 0\}, b_{+} := \max\{b, 0\}$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

(3 points)

51. Let $(x^*, \lambda^*, \mu^*) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^p$ be a KKT point of the optimization problem (all functions are assumed to be twice continuously differentiable)

min
$$f(x)$$

s.t. $g_i(x) \le 0, i = 1, ..., m$
 $h_j(x) = 0, j = 1, ..., p$

fulfilling:

- (i) $g_i(x^*) + \lambda_i^* \neq 0$ for all i = 1, ..., m (strict complementarity);
- (ii) the gradients $\nabla g_i(x^*), i \in \mathcal{A}(x^*) = \{i = 1, ..., m : g_i(x^*) = 0\}$, and $\nabla h_j(x^*), j = 1, ..., p$, are linearly independent (*LICQ*);
- (c) it holds $d^T \nabla^2_{xx} L(x^*, \lambda^*, \mu^*) d > 0$ for all $d \neq 0$ with $\nabla g_i(x^*)^T d = 0, i \in \mathcal{A}(x^*)$, and $\nabla h_j(x^*)^T d = 0, j = 1, ..., p$ (second order sufficient optimality condition).

Further, let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{n+m+p} \to \mathbb{R}^{n+m+p}$ be defined by

$$\Phi(x,\lambda,\mu) := \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_x L(x,\lambda,\mu) \\ h(x) \\ \phi(-g(x),\lambda) \end{pmatrix}$$

(4 points)

and

$$\phi(-g(x),\lambda) = (\varphi(-g_1(x),\lambda_1),...,\varphi(-g_m(x),\lambda_m))^T \in \mathbb{R}^m,$$

where $\varphi:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}$ is the minimum function

$$\varphi(a,b) = \min\{a,b\}.$$

Prove that the matrix $\nabla \Phi(x^*, \lambda^*, \mu^*)$ is well-defined and regular. (4 points)

52. Implement the Lagrange-Newton algorithm in MATLAB. Use

function [LN, Iter] = lagrange_newton(func, x0, $\mu 0$, kmax, epsilon)

as first line. Here, func denotes a function handle, x0 and μ 0 denote the starting vectors, kmax the maximal number of allowed iterations, and epsilon the parameter for the stopping criterion. A matrix $LN = [x0, \mu0, x1, \mu1, x2, \mu2, ...]$ containing the iteration history and the number of performed iterations Iter should be returned.

The implemented algorithm should be tested on the following functions, starting values, and parameters:

- (a) $f(x1, x_2) = 2x_1^4 + x_2^4 + 4x_1^2 x_1x_2 + 6x_2^2$, $h(x_1, x_2) = 2x_1 x_2 + 4$ with $x0=(0,0)^T$, $\mu 0 = 0$, kmax=200 and epsilon= 10^{-3} .
- (b) $f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 1000 x_1^2 2x_2^2 x_3^2 x_1x_2 x_1x_3$, $h_1(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 25$, $h_2(x_1, x_2, x_3) = 8x_1 + 14x_2 + 7x_3 - 56$ with $x0 = (3, 0.2, 3)^T$, $\mu 0 = (0, 0)^T$, kmax=200 and epsilon= 10^{-5} .

(5 points)

The generated files should be sent by January 31, 2020 to radu.bot@univie.ac.at.