Facial Weak Order

Aram Dermenjian

Joint work with: Christophe Hohlweg (LACIM) and Vincent Pilaud (CNRS & LIX)

Université du Québec à Montréal

5 April 2016

History and Background

- The weak order was introduced on Coxeter groups by Björner in 1984, it was shown to be a lattice.

History and Background

- The weak order was introduced on Coxeter groups by Björner in 1984, it was shown to be a lattice.
- *Finite Coxeter System* (W, S) such that

$$W := \langle s \in S \,|\, (s_i s_j)^{m_{i,j}} = e \text{ for } s_i, s_j \in S \rangle$$

where $m_{i,j} \in \mathbb{N}^\star$ and $m_{i,j} = 1$ only if $i = j$.

- A *Coxeter diagram* Γ_W for a Coxeter System (W, S) has S as a vertex set and an edge labelled $m_{i,j}$ when $m_{i,j} > 2$.



History and Background

- The weak order was introduced on Coxeter groups by Björner in 1984, it was shown to be a lattice.

Example

$$W_{B_3} = \langle s_1, s_2, s_3 \mid s_1^2 = s_2^2 = s_3^2 = (s_1 s_2)^4 = (s_2 s_3)^3 = (s_1 s_3)^2 = e \rangle$$

Γ_{B_3} :



History and Background

- The weak order was introduced on Coxeter groups by Björner in 1984, it was shown to be a lattice.

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system.

- Let $w \in W$ such that $w = s_1 \dots s_n$ for some $s_i \in S$. We say that w has *length* n, $\ell(w) = n$, if n is minimal.
- Let the *(right) weak order* be the order on the Cayley graph where 

and $\ell(w) < \ell(ws)$.
- For finite Coxeter systems, there exists a longest element in the weak order, $w_\circ$.

History and Background

- The weak order was introduced on Coxeter groups by Björner in 1984, it was shown to be a lattice.

Example

Let Γ_{A_2} : 

.

$sts = w_\circ = tst$



Motivation

- In 2001, Krob, Latapy, Novelli, Phan, and Schwer extended the weak order to an order on all faces for type A using inversion tables. They
  1. gave a local definition of this order using covers,
  2. gave a global definition of this order combinatorially, and
  3. showed that the poset for this order is a lattice.
- In 2006, Ronco and Palacios extended this new order to Coxeter groups of all types using cover relations.

Motivation

- In 2001, Krob, Latapy, Novelli, Phan, and Schwer extended the weak order to an order on all faces for type A using inversion tables. They
  1. gave a local definition of this order using covers, ✓
  2. gave a global definition of this order combinatorially, and
  3. showed that the poset for this order is a lattice.
- In 2006, Ronco and Palacios extended this new order to Coxeter groups of all types using cover relations.

Motivation

- In 2001, Krob, Latapy, Novelli, Phan, and Schwer extended the weak order to an order on all faces for type A using inversion tables. They
  1. gave a local definition of this order using covers, ✓
  2. gave a global definition of this order combinatorially, and
  3. showed that the poset for this order is a lattice.
- In 2006, Ronco and Palacios extended this new order to Coxeter groups of all types using cover relations.
- Our motivation was to continue this work for all Coxeter groups.

Motivation

- In 2001, Krob, Latapy, Novelli, Phan, and Schwer extended the weak order to an order on all faces for type A using inversion tables. They
  1. gave a local definition of this order using covers, ✓
  2. gave a global definition of this order combinatorially, and ✓
  3. showed that the poset for this order is a lattice. ✓
- In 2006, Ronco and Palacios extended this new order to Coxeter groups of all types using cover relations.
- Our motivation was to continue this work for all Coxeter groups.

Motivation

- In 2001, Krob, Latapy, Novelli, Phan, and Schwer extended the weak order to an order on all faces for type A using inversion tables. They
  1. gave a local definition of this order using covers, ✓
  2. gave a global definition of this order combinatorially, and ✓
  3. showed that the poset for this order is a lattice. ✓
- In 2006, Ronco and Palacios extended this new order to Coxeter groups of all types using cover relations.
- Our motivation was to continue this work for all Coxeter groups.

Parabolic Subgroups

Let $I \subseteq S$.

- $W_I = \langle I \rangle$ is the *standard parabolic subgroup* with long element denoted $w_{\circ,I}$.
- $W^I := \{w \in W \mid \ell(w) \leq \ell(ws), \text{ for all } s \in I\}$ is the set of minimal length coset representatives for W/W_I.
- Any element $w \in W$ admits a unique factorization $w = w^I \cdot w_I$ with $w^I \in W^I$ and $w_I \in W_I$.
- By convention in this talk xW_I means $x \in W^I$.
- *Coxeter complex* - $\mathcal{P}_W$ - the abstract simplicial complex whose faces are all the standard parabolic cosets of W.



Facial Weak Order

Definition (Krob et.al. [2001], Palacios, Ronco [2006])

The *(right) facial weak order* is the order $\leq_F$ on the Coxeter complex $\mathcal{P}_W$ defined by cover relations of two types:

$$(1) \qquad xW_I \lessdot xW_{I\cup\{s\}} \qquad \text{if } s \notin I \text{ and } x \in W^{I\cup\{s\}},$$

$$(2) \qquad xW_I \lessdot xw_{\circ,I}w_{\circ,I\smallsetminus\{s\}}W_{I\smallsetminus\{s\}} \qquad \text{if } s \in I,$$

where $I \subseteq S$ and $x \in W^I$.

Facial weak order example

(1) $xW_I \lessdot xW_{I\cup\{s\}}$ if $s \notin I$ and $x \in W^{I\cup\{s\}}$
(2) $xW_I \lessdot xw_{\circ,I}w_{\circ,I\smallsetminus\{s\}}W_{I\smallsetminus\{s\}}$ if $s \in I$



Motivation

- In 2001, Krob, Latapy, Novelli, Phan, and Schwer extended the weak order to an order on all faces for type A using inversion tables. They
  1. gave a local definition of this order using covers, ✓
  2. gave a global definition of this order combinatorially, and ✓
  3. showed that the poset for this order is a lattice. ✓
- In 2006, Ronco and Palacios extended this new order to Coxeter groups of all types using cover relations.
- Our motivation was to continue this work for all Coxeter groups.

Root System

- Let $(V, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$ be a Euclidean space.
- Let W be a group generated by a set of reflections S. $W \hookrightarrow O(V)$ gives representation as a finite reflection group.
- The reflection associated to $\alpha \in V \backslash \{0\}$ is

$$s_\alpha(v) = v - \frac{2\langle v, \alpha \rangle}{||\alpha||^2}\alpha \quad (v \in V)$$



- A *root system* is $\Phi := \{\alpha \in V \mid s_\alpha \in W, ||\alpha|| = 1\}$
- We have $\Phi = \Phi^+ \sqcup \Phi^-$ decomposable into positive and negative roots.

Inversion Sets

Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system.
Define *(left) inversion sets* as the set $\mathbf{N}(w) := \Phi^+ \cap w(\Phi^-)$.

Example

Let Γ_{A_2} :

, with Φ given by the roots



$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{N}(ts) &= \Phi^+ \cap ts(\Phi^-) \\ &= \Phi^+ \cap \{\alpha_t, \gamma, -\alpha_s\} \\ &= \{\alpha_t, \gamma\}\end{aligned}$$

Weak order and Inversion sets

Given $w, u \in W$ then $w \leq_R u$ if and only if $\mathbf{N}(w) \subseteq \mathbf{N}(u)$.

Example

Let Γ_{A_2} :

, with Φ given by the roots







Root Inversion Set

Definition (Root Inversion Set)

Let xW_I be a standard parabolic coset. The *root inversion set* is the set

$$\mathbf{R}(xW_I) := x(\Phi^- \cup \Phi_I^+)$$

Note that $N(x) = \mathbf{R}(xW_\varnothing) \cap \Phi^+$.







Root Inversion Set

Example

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{R}(sW_{\{t\}}) &= s(\Phi^- \cup \Phi^+_{\{t\}}) \\ &= s(\{-\alpha_s, -\alpha_t, -\gamma\} \cup \{\alpha_t\}) \\ &= \{\alpha_s, -\gamma, -\alpha_t, \gamma\}\end{aligned}$$







Root Inversion Set

Example

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{R}(sW_{\{t\}}) &= s(\Phi^- \cup \Phi^+_{\{t\}}) \\ &= s(\{-\alpha_s, -\alpha_t, -\gamma\} \cup \{\alpha_t\}) \\ &= \{\alpha_s, -\gamma, -\alpha_t, \gamma\}\end{aligned}$$







Equivalent definitions

Theorem (D., Hohlweg, Pilaud [2016])

The following conditions are equivalent for two standard parabolic cosets xW_I and yW_J in the Coxeter complex $\mathcal{P}_W$

1. $xW_I \leq_F yW_J$
2. $\mathbf{R}(xW_I) \setminus \mathbf{R}(yW_J) \subseteq \Phi^-$ *and* $\mathbf{R}(yW_J) \setminus \mathbf{R}(xW_I) \subseteq \Phi^+$.
3. $x \leq_R y$ *and* $xw_{\circ,I} \leq_R yw_{\circ,J}$.

Remark Note that showing (1) $\Rightarrow$ (3) and (3) $\Rightarrow$ (2) is easy, but (2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) is more difficult. We used induction on the symmetric difference between the root inversion sets for the proof.

Equivalence for type A_2 Coxeter System



$xW_I \leq_F yW_J$





$\mathbf{R}(xW_I) \setminus \mathbf{R}(yW_J) \subseteq \Phi^-$

$\mathbf{R}(yW_J) \setminus \mathbf{R}(xW_I) \subseteq \Phi^+$

$x \leq_R y$

$xw_{\circ,I} \leq_R yw_{\circ,J}$

Equivalence for type A_2 Coxeter System



$xW_I \leq_F yW_J$





$\mathbf{R}(xW_I) \setminus \mathbf{R}(yW_J) \subseteq \Phi^-$

$\mathbf{R}(yW_J) \setminus \mathbf{R}(xW_I) \subseteq \Phi^+$

$x \leq_R y$

$xw_{\circ,I} \leq_R yw_{\circ,J}$

Motivation

- In 2001, Krob, Latapy, Novelli, Phan, and Schwer extended the weak order to an order on all faces for type A using inversion tables. They
  1. gave a local definition of this order using covers, ✓
  2. gave a global definition of this order combinatorially, and ✓
  3. showed that the poset for this order is a lattice. ✓
- In 2006, Ronco and Palacios extended this new order to Coxeter groups of all types using cover relations.
- Our motivation was to continue this work for all Coxeter groups.

Facial weak order lattice

Theorem (D., Hohlweg, Pilaud [2016])

The facial weak order $(\mathcal{P}_W, \leq_F)$ is a lattice with the meet and join of two standard parabolic cosets xW_I and yW_J given by:

$$xW_I \wedge yW_J = z_\wedge W_{K_\wedge},$$
$$xW_I \vee yW_J = z_\vee W_{K_\vee}.$$

where,

$z_\wedge = x \wedge y$ *and* $K_\wedge = D_L\left(z_\wedge^{-1}(xw_{\circ,I} \wedge yw_{\circ,J})\right)$, *and*

$z_\vee = xw_{\circ,I} \vee yw_{\circ,J}$ *and* $K_\vee = D_L\left(z_\vee^{-1}(x \vee y)\right)$

Corollary (D., Hohlweg, Pilaud [2016])

The weak order is a sublattice of the facial weak order lattice.

Example: A_2 and B_2





Example: A_2 and B_2

Example (Meet example)

Recall

$$xW_I \wedge yW_J = z_\wedge W_{K_\wedge}$$
$$\text{where} \quad z_\wedge = x \wedge y$$
$$K_\wedge = D_L(z_\wedge^{-1}(xw_{\circ,I} \wedge yw_{\circ,J}))$$

We compute $ts \wedge stsW_{\{t\}}$.

$$z_\wedge = ts \wedge sts = e$$
$$K_\wedge = D_L(z_\wedge^{-1}(tsw_{\circ,\emptyset} \wedge stsw_{\circ,t}))$$
$$= D_L(e(ts \wedge stst))$$
$$= D_L(ts) = \{t\}.$$



Proof outline

Recall that $xW_I \leq_F yW_J \Leftrightarrow x \leq_r y$, and $xw_{\circ,I} \leq_R yw_{\circ,J}$.
We want to show that $xW_I \wedge yW_J = z_\wedge W_{K_\wedge}$ where $z_\wedge = x \wedge y$ and $K_\wedge = D_L\left(z_\wedge^{-1}(xw_{\circ,I} \wedge yw_{\circ,J})\right)$

- First we show that this element is in the Coxeter complex $z_\wedge \in W^{K_\wedge}$.
- We then show it's an upper bound: $x \wedge y \leq_R x, y$. Also, $w_{\circ,K_\wedge} \leq_R z_\wedge^{-1}(xw_{\circ,I} \wedge yw_{\circ,J})$ implies $z_\wedge w_{\circ,K_\wedge} \leq_R xw_{\circ,I} \wedge yw_{\circ,J}$.
- Finally we show uniqueness by supposing there exists another element $zW_K \leq_F xW_I, yW_J$. Then we have $z \leq_R x \wedge y = z_\wedge$. Showing $zw_{\circ,K} \leq_R z_\wedge w_{\circ,K_\wedge}$ is done by looking at descents and the fact that $z \leq_R z_\wedge$.
- Join is found by an anti-automorphism.

Möbius function

Recall that the *Möbius function* of a poset $(P, \leq)$ is the function $\mu : P \times P \to \mathbb{Z}$ defined inductively by

$$\mu(p,q) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } p = q, \\ -\sum_{p \leq r < q} \mu(p,r) & \text{if } p < q, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proposition (D., Hohlweg, Pilaud [2016])

The Möbius function of the facial weak order is given by

$$\mu(eW_\varnothing, yW_J) = \begin{cases} (-1)^{|J|}, & \text{if } y = e, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Quotients of the facial weak order

Lattice Congruences

Definition

An *order congruence* is an equivalence relation $\equiv$ on a poset $(P, \leq)$ such that:

1. Every equivalence class under $\equiv$ is an interval of $(P, \leq)$.
2. The projection $\pi^{\uparrow} : P \to P$ (resp. $\pi_{\downarrow} : P \to P$), which maps an element of P to the maximal (resp. minimal) element of its equivalence class, is order preserving.

Theorem (D., Hohlweg, Pilaud [2016])

The equivalence classes, denoted $\equiv$, given by the following projection give an order congruence on $(\mathcal{P}_W, \leq_F)$. Let $\Pi^{\uparrow}(xW_I)$ be the largest standard parabolic coset in $[\pi^{\uparrow}(x), \pi^{\uparrow}(xw_{\circ,I})]$ containing $\pi^{\uparrow}(x)$.

Facial Boolean Lattice

Corollary (D., Hohlweg, Pilaud [2016])

Let the (left) root descent set of a coset xW_I be the set of roots

$$\mathbf{D}(xW_I) := \mathbf{R}(xW_I) \cap \pm\Delta \subseteq \Phi.$$

Let $xW_I \equiv^{\text{des}} yW_J$ if and only if $\mathbf{D}(xW_I) = \mathbf{D}(yW_J)$.







Facial Cambrian Lattice

Corollary (D., Hohlweg, Pilaud [2016])

Let c be any Coxeter element of W. Let $\equiv^c$ be the c-Cambrian congruence (see Reading [Cambrian Lattice, 2004]). Then let $xW_I \equiv^c yW_J \iff x \equiv^c y$ and $xw_{\circ,I} \equiv^c yw_{\circ,J}$.






srtsrt
rtsrt
srtst
srtsr
rtst
rtsr
srst
stsr
rst
tsr
rts
srt
srs
sts
rs
ts
rt
st
r
t
s
e

Thank you!


r
t
e