

On Drinfel'd associators¹

G.H.E. Duchamp^{1,4}, V. Hoang Ngoc Minh^{2,4}, K.A. Penson³

¹Université Paris 13, 99 avenue Jean-Baptiste Clément, 93430 Villetaneuse, France.

²Université Lille 2, 1, Place Déliot, 59024 Lille, France.

³Université Paris VI, 75252 Paris Cedex 05, France

⁴LIPN-UMR 7030, 99 avenue Jean-Baptiste Clément, 93430 Villetaneuse, France.

79-th Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire,
XXI Incontro Italiano di Combinatoria Algebrica
March, 10-13, 2017, Bertinoro, Italy

Outline

1. Introduction

- 1.1 Zeta functions with several complex indices
- 1.2 Noncommutative, co-commutative bialgebras
- 1.3 First structures of polylogarithms and harmonic sums

2. Global renormalizations of divergent zeta values indexed by integral multi-indices

- 2.1 Noncommutative generating series
- 2.2 Abel like theorems for noncommutative generating series
- 2.3 Generalized Euler constants $\{\gamma_{s_1, \dots, s_r}\}_{(s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}^r, r \in \mathbb{N}}$

3. Polylogarithms and harmonic sums indexed by noncommutative rational series

- 3.1 Polylogarithms and harmonic sums by rational series
- 3.2 Euler-Mac Laurin constants $\{\gamma_{-s_1, \dots, -s_r}\}_{(s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r, r \in \mathbb{N}}$
- 3.3 Candidates for associators with rational coefficients

INTRODUCTION

Zeta functions with several complex indices

Let $\mathcal{H}_r = \{(s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r \mid \forall m = 1, \dots, r, \Re(s_1) + \dots + \Re(s_m) > m\}$, for $r \in \mathbb{N}_+$, the following zeta function converges for $(s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathcal{H}_r$

$$\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_r) = \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} n_1^{-s_1} \dots n_r^{-s_r}.$$

By a theorem by Abel, for $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $|z| < 1$, it can be obtained as

$$\zeta(s_1, \dots, s_r) = \lim_{N \rightarrow +\infty} H_{s_1, \dots, s_r}(N) = \lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \text{Li}_{s_1, \dots, s_r}(z),$$

where the following functions are well defined for $(s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$


$$\text{Li}_{s_1, \dots, s_r}(z) = \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} \frac{z^{n_1}}{n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\text{Li}_{s_1, \dots, s_r}(z)}{1-z} = \sum_{N \geq 0} H_{s_1, \dots, s_r}(N) z^N.$$

They do appear in the *regularization* of solutions of the following differential equation with noncommutative indeterminates in $X = \{x_0, x_1\}$

$$(DE) \quad dG = MG, \quad \text{with } M = \omega_0 x_0 + \omega_1 x_1, \quad \omega_0(z) = \frac{dz}{z}, \quad \omega_1(z) = \frac{dz}{1-z}.$$

Drinfel'd stated that² (DE) has a unique solution G_0 (resp. G_1), being group-like series, s.t. $G_0(z) \sim_0 e^{x_0 \log(z)}$ (resp. $G_1(z) \sim_1 e^{-x_1 \log(1-z)}$).

There is then a unique group-like series $\Phi_{KZ} \in \mathbb{R}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, so-called **Drinfel'd associator**, such that $G_0 = G_1 \Phi_{KZ}$.

²V. Drinfel'd, *On quasitriangular quasi-hopf algebra and a group closely connected with $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$* , Leningrad Math. J., 4, 829-860, 1991. 

Knizhnik-Zamolodchikov differential equations

The linear representations of the braid group B_n , via the monodromies of the KZ equations over $\mathbb{C}_*^n = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid z_i \neq z_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$, yield

$$\begin{cases} (KZ_n) & dF(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \Omega_n(z_1, \dots, z_n)F(z_1, \dots, z_n), \\ \text{where} & \Omega_n(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} t_{i,j} \frac{d(z_i - z_j)}{z_i - z_j}. \end{cases}$$

The system (KZ_n) is completely integrable iff³ $d\Omega_n - \Omega_n \wedge \Omega_n = 0$.

This condition is equivalent to the fact that the elements of

$\mathcal{T}_n = \{t_{i,j}\}_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ satisfy the following infinitesimal braid relations

$$\begin{cases} t_{i,j} = 0 & \text{for } i = j, \\ t_{i,j} = t_{j,i} & \text{for } i \neq j, \\ [t_{i,j}, t_{i,k} + t_{j,k}] = 0 & \text{for distinct } i, j, k, \\ [t_{i,j}, t_{k,l}] = 0 & \text{for distinct } i, j, k, l. \end{cases}$$

Example (KZ_3)

$\mathcal{T}_3 = \{t_{1,2}, t_{1,3}, t_{2,3}\}$, $[t_{1,3}, t_{1,2} + t_{2,3}] = [t_{2,3}, t_{1,2} + t_{1,3}] = 0$ and

$$\begin{cases} \Omega_3(z_1, z_2, z_3) = \frac{1}{2i\pi} \left[t_{1,2} \frac{d(z_1 - z_2)}{z_1 - z_2} + t_{1,3} \frac{d(z_1 - z_3)}{z_1 - z_3} + t_{2,3} \frac{d(z_2 - z_3)}{z_2 - z_3} \right], \\ F(z_1, z_2, z_3) = G((z_1 - z_2)/(z_1 - z_3))(z_1 - z_3)^{(t_{1,2} + t_{1,3} + t_{2,3})/2i\pi}, \end{cases}$$

where G satisfies (DE) with $x_0 := t_{1,2}/2i\pi$, $x_1 := -t_{2,3}/2i\pi$.

³P. Cartier, *Développements récents sur les groupes de tresses. Applications à la topologie et à l'algèbre*. Sémin BOURBAKI, 42^{ème} 1989-1990, n° 716. ▶

Indexing by words

Let $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus (]-\infty, 0] \cup [1, +\infty[)$ and $1_\Omega : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (mapping z to 1).

The polylogarithms can be viewed as **iterated integrals**, w.r.t. ω_0, ω_1 and associated to words in X^* : $\text{Li}_{s_1, \dots, s_r}(z) = \alpha_0^z(x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \dots x_0^{s_r-1} x_1)$, where

$$\alpha_0^z(1_{X^*}) = 1_\Omega \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_0^z(x_{i_1} \dots x_{i_k}) = \int_{z_0}^z \omega_{i_1}(z_1) \dots \int_{z_0}^{z_{k-1}} \omega_{i_k}(z_k)$$

(here, $(z_0, z_1, \dots, z_k, z)$ is a subdivision of the path $z_0 \rightsquigarrow z$).

They are single valued on Ω and alternatively they can be analytically continued and appear as multivalued functions over $B := \mathbb{C} - \{0, 1\}$ (more rigorously, we have analytic functions on the universal cover \tilde{B}).

Now, introducing $Y = \{y_k\}_{k \geq 1}$ and using the correspondence

$$(s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{N}_+^r \leftrightarrow y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r} \in Y^* \leftrightarrow x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \dots x_0^{s_r-1} x_1 \in X^* x_1,$$

we will denote $H_{y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r}} := H_{s_1, \dots, s_r}$ and $\text{Li}_{x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \dots x_0^{s_r-1} x_1} := \text{Li}_{s_1, \dots, s_r}$

and $\zeta(y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r}) := \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_r) =: \zeta(x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \dots x_0^{s_r-1} x_1)$.

Let $Y_0 = Y \cup \{y_0\}$. For $(s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r \leftrightarrow y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r} \in Y_0^*$, one defines

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Li}_{y_{s_1}^- \dots y_{s_r}^-}(z) &:= \text{Li}_{-s_1, \dots, -s_r}(z) = \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r} z^{n_1}, \\ H_{y_{s_1}^- \dots y_{s_r}^-}(N) &:= H_{-s_1, \dots, -s_r}(N) = \sum_{N \geq n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r}, \\ \zeta^-(y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r}) &:= \zeta(-s_1, \dots, -s_r) \leftrightarrow \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_r > 0} n_1^{s_1} \dots n_r^{s_r}. \end{aligned}$$

Noncommutative, co-commutative bialgebras

$\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle, \mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle, \mathbb{C}\langle Y_0 \rangle$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle, \mathbb{C}\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle, \mathbb{C}\langle\langle Y_0 \rangle\rangle$): sets of polynomials (resp. formal power series) over X, Y, Y_0 .

- ▶ $(\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle, \cdot, \Delta_{\sqcup}, 1_{X^*}, \mathbf{e})$: for $x, y \in X$ and $u, v \in X^*$, $u \sqcup 1_{X^*} = u$ and $xu \sqcup yv = x(u \sqcup yv) + y(xu \sqcup v)$; $\Delta_{\sqcup}(x) = x \otimes 1_{X^*} + 1_{X^*} \otimes x$.

$$\mathcal{D}_X := \sum_{w \in X^*} w \otimes w = \prod_{l \in \mathcal{Lyn}X} e^{S_l \otimes P_l} \quad (\text{MRS-factorization}),$$

where $\mathcal{Lyn}X$ is the set of Lyndon words over X (with $x_1 > x_0$), $\{P_l\}_{l \in \mathcal{Lyn}X}$ is a basis of Lie algebra of primitive elements and $\{S_l\}_{l \in \mathcal{Lyn}X}$ is a pure transcendence basis of $(\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle, \sqcup, 1_{X^*})$.

- ▶ $(\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle, \cdot, \Delta_{\sqcup}, 1_{Y^*}, \mathbf{e})$: for $y_i, y_j \in Y$ and $u, v \in Y^*$, $u \sqcup 1_{Y^*} = u$ and $y_i u \sqcup y_j v = y_i(u \sqcup y_j v) + y_j(y_i u \sqcup v) + y_{i+j}(u \sqcup v)$; $\Delta_{\sqcup}(y_i) = y_i \otimes 1_{Y^*} + 1_{Y^*} \otimes y_i + \sum_{k+l=i} y_k \otimes y_l$.

$$\mathcal{D}_Y := \sum_{w \in Y^*} w \otimes w = \prod_{l \in \mathcal{Lyn}Y} e^{\Sigma_l \otimes \Pi_l} \quad (\sqcup - \text{extended MRS-factorization}),$$

where $\mathcal{Lyn}Y$ is the set of Lyndon words over Y (with $y_1 > \dots$), $\{\Pi_l\}_{l \in \mathcal{Lyn}Y}$ is a basis of Lie algebra of primitive elements and $\{\Sigma_l\}_{l \in \mathcal{Lyn}Y}$ is a pure transcendence basis of $(\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle, \sqcup, 1_{Y^*})$.

- ▶ $\pi_Y : (\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle, \cdot) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle, \cdot)$, $x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \dots x_0^{s_r-1} x_1 \dots y_{s_1} \cdot \dots \cdot y_{s_r}$

First structures of polylogarithms and harmonic sums

1. Completing with $\text{Li}_{x_0^k}(z) := \log^k(z)/k!$, the family $\{\text{Li}_w\}_{w \in X^*}$ is \mathbb{C} -linearly independent. Hence, the following morphism of algebras is **injective**

$$\text{Li}_\bullet : (\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle, \sqcup, 1_{X^*}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}\{\text{Li}_w\}_{w \in X^*}, \cdot, 1), \quad u \mapsto \text{Li}_u.$$

It follows that, $\{\text{Li}_I\}_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}}X}$ (resp. $\{\text{Li}_{\Sigma_I}\}_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}}X}$) is algebraically independent and, for any $u, v \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}}X - X$, $\zeta(u \sqcup v) = \zeta(u)\zeta(v)$.

2. The following morphism of algebras is **injective**

$$\text{H}_\bullet : (\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle, \uplus, 1_{Y^*}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}\{\text{H}_w\}_{w \in Y^*}, \cdot, 1), \quad u \mapsto \text{H}_u.$$

Hence, $\{\text{H}_w\}_{w \in Y^*}$ is linearly independent. It follows that, $\{\text{H}_I\}_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}}Y}$ (resp. $\{\text{H}_{\Sigma_I}\}_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}}Y}$) is algebraically independent and, for any $u, v \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}}Y - \{y_1\}$, $\zeta(u \uplus v) = \zeta(u)\zeta(v)$.

3. There exists, at least, an associative law of algebra \top , in $\mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0 \rangle$, **not dualizable** such that the following morphism is a **surjective**

$$\text{Li}_\bullet^- : (\mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0 \rangle, \top) \rightarrow (\mathbb{Q}\{\text{Li}_w^-\}_{w \in Y_0^*}, \cdot), \quad w \mapsto \text{Li}_w^-,$$

and $\ker \text{Li}_\bullet^- = \mathbb{Q}\{w - w \top 1_{Y_0^*} \mid w \in Y_0^*\}$.

Moreover, let $\top' : \mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0 \rangle \times \mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0 \rangle \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0 \rangle$ be a law such that Li_\bullet^- is a morphism for \top' and $(1_{Y_0^*} \top' \mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0 \rangle) \cap \ker(\text{Li}_\bullet^-) = \{0\}$. Then $\top' = g \circ \top$, where $g \in \text{GL}(\mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0 \rangle)$ such that $\text{Li}_\bullet^- \circ g = \text{Li}_\bullet^-$.

Bi-integro-differential algebra $\mathcal{C}\{\text{Li}_w\}_{w \in X^*}$

Let $\mathcal{C} := \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}, (1-z)^{-1}]$ be the differential ring (with $\partial_z := d/dz$).

Let $\theta_0 := z\partial_z$ and $\theta_1 := (1-z)\partial_z$ be differential operators.

Let ι_0 and ι_1 be sections of them⁴, i.e. $\theta_0\iota_0 = \theta_1\iota_1 = \text{Id}$. Then

$[\theta_0, \theta_1] = \theta_0 + \theta_1 = \partial_z$, $(\theta_0\iota_1)(\theta_1\iota_0) = (\theta_1\iota_0)(\theta_0\iota_1) = \text{Id}$, $[\theta_0\iota_1, \theta_1\iota_0] = 0$.

Proposition

1. If $w = x_0^{s_1-1} x_1 \dots x_0^{s_r-1} x_1 \in X^* x_1$ and $u = y_{t_1} \dots y_{t_r} \in Y_0^*$ then $\text{Li}_w = (\iota_0^{s_1-1} \iota_1 \dots \iota_0^{s_r-1} \iota_1) \mathbf{1}_\Omega$ and $\text{Li}_u^- = (\theta_0^{t_1+1} \iota_1 \dots \theta_0^{t_r+1} \iota_1) \mathbf{1}_\Omega$.
2. For $w \in Y_0^*$, $\text{Li}_w^-(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[(1-z)^{-1}] \subsetneq \mathcal{C}$ and $H_w^-(N) \in \mathbb{Q}[N]$ of degree $|w| + (w)$ and of valuation 1.
3. The families $\{\text{Li}_{y_k}^-\}_{k \geq 0}$ and $\{H_{y_k}^-\}_{k \geq 0}$ are \mathbb{Q} -linearly independent.
4. The alg. $\mathcal{C}\{\text{Li}_w\}_{w \in X^*} \cong \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}\{\text{Li}_w\}_{w \in X^*}$ is closed by $\{\theta_i, \iota_i\}_{i=0,1}$.
5. The bi-integro differential ring $\mathcal{C}\{\text{Li}_w\}_{w \in X^*}$ is closed under the action of the group of transformations permuting $\{0, 1, +\infty\}$,
 $\mathcal{G} := \{z \mapsto z, z \mapsto 1-z, z \mapsto z^{-1}, z \mapsto (1-z)^{-1}, z \mapsto 1-z^{-1}, z \mapsto z(1-z)^{-1}\}$:
 $\forall h \in \mathcal{C}\{\text{Li}_w\}_{w \in X^*}, \forall g \in \mathcal{G}, h(g(z)) \in \mathcal{C}\{\text{Li}_w\}_{w \in X^*}$.

⁴i.e. these operators take primitives for the corresponding differential operators w.r.t. to the function.

GLOBAL RENORMALIZATIONS OF DIVERGENT ZETAS VALUES INDEXED BY INTEGRAL MULTI-INDICES

Chen series and noncommutative generating series

$$S_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} := (\alpha_{z_0}^z \otimes \text{Id}) \mathcal{D}_X \quad L := (\text{Li} \bullet \otimes \text{Id}) \mathcal{D}_X \quad H := (H \bullet \otimes \text{Id}) \mathcal{D}_Y$$

$$= \prod_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}} X} e^{\alpha_{z_0}^z(S_I) P_I}, \quad = \prod_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}} X} e^{\text{Li} S_I P_I}, \quad = \prod_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}} Y} e^{H_{\Sigma_I} \Pi_I}.$$

$$Z_{\sqcup} := \prod_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}} X - X} e^{\zeta(S_I) P_I} \quad \text{and} \quad Z_{\sqcup\sqcup} := \prod_{I \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}} Y - \{y_1\}} e^{\zeta(\Sigma_I) \Pi_I}.$$

$S_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z}, L, Z_{\sqcup}$ (resp. $H, Z_{\sqcup\sqcup}$) are groupe-like, for Δ_{\sqcup} (resp. $\Delta_{\sqcup\sqcup}$).

Theorem (Drinfel'd associator)

1. L is a solution of (DE) satisfying $\lim_{z \rightarrow 0} L(z) e^{-x_0 \log(z)} = 1_{X^*}$ and $\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} e^{x_1 \log(1-z)} L(z) = Z_{\sqcup}$.
2. $L(1-z) = \sigma(L(z)) Z_{\sqcup}$, where $\sigma(x_0) = -x_1$ and $\sigma(x_1) = -x_0$.
Hence, $L(1-z) \underset{z \rightarrow 0}{\sim} e^{-x_1 \log(z)} Z_{\sqcup}$.
3. $S_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z}$ is the **unique** solution of (DE) satisfying $S_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z_0} = 1_{X^*}$, and $S_{z_0 \rightsquigarrow z} = L(z)(L(z_0))^{-1}$.
4. L is the **unique** solution of (DE) satisfying the asymptotic condition at 0. Hence, $\Phi_{KZ} = Z_{\sqcup}$ is **unique**.

Abel like theorems for noncommutative generating series

Theorem (HNM, 2005)

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \exp \left[-y_1 \log \frac{1}{1-z} \right] \pi_Y L(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \exp \left[\sum_{k \geq 1} H_{y_k}(n) \frac{(-y_1)^k}{k} \right] H(n) = \pi_Y Z_{\sqcup}.$$

Theorem (Duchamp, HNM, Ngô, 2015)

$$L^- := \sum_{w \in Y_0^*} \text{Li}_w^-, H^- := \sum_{w \in Y_0^*} H_w^-, C^- := \sum_{w \in Y_0^*} \left(\prod_{w=uv, v \neq 1_{Y_0^*}} \frac{1}{(v)^+ |v|} \right) w.$$

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 1} \Lambda^{\odot -1}((1-z)^{-1}) \odot \text{Li}^-(z) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \Upsilon^{\odot -1}(n) \odot H^-(n) = C^-,$$

where $\Lambda(t) = \sum_{w \in Y_0^*} ((w)^+ |w|)! t^{(w)+|w|} w$ and $\Upsilon(t) = \sum_{w \in Y_0^*} t^{(w)+|w|} w$.

Moreover, H^- and C^- are group-like, respectively, for Δ_{\sqcup} and Δ_{\sqcup} .

Let $\mathcal{Z} := \text{span}_{\mathbb{Q}} \{ \zeta(s_1, \dots, s_r) \}_{(s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathcal{H}_r \cap \mathbb{N}^r}$. Then, for any $w \in X^* x_1$, there exists $a_i, b_{i,j} \in \mathcal{Z}$ and $\gamma_{\pi_Y w}, \alpha_i, \beta_{i,j} \in \mathcal{Z}[\gamma]$ such that

$$\text{Li}_w(z) \underset{z \rightarrow 1}{\asymp} \sum_{i=1}^{(w)} a_i \log^i(1-z) + \langle Z_{\sqcup} | \pi_X w \rangle + \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}_+, j \in \mathbb{N}_-} b_{i,j} \frac{\log^i(1-z)}{(1-z)^j},$$

$$\text{and } H_{\pi_Y w}(n) \underset{n \rightarrow +\infty}{\asymp} \sum_{i=1}^{|\mathcal{W}|} \alpha_i \log^i(n) + \gamma_{\pi_Y w} + \sum_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}_+} \beta_{i,j} \frac{\log^i(n)}{n^j}.$$

Generalized Euler constants $\{\gamma_{s_1, \dots, s_r}\}_{(s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r}$

The map $\gamma_\bullet : (\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle, \sqcup, 1_{Y^*}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, \cdot, 1)$ is a character since its graph

$$Z_\gamma := \sum_{w \in Y^*} \gamma_w w \quad \text{satisfies} \quad \Delta_{\sqcup}(Z_\gamma) = Z_\gamma \otimes Z_\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \langle Z_\gamma | 1_{Y^*} \rangle = 1.$$

The first Abel like theorem leads to

$$Z_\gamma = B(y_1) \pi_Y Z_{\sqcup}, \quad \text{where} \quad B(y_1) = \exp\left(\gamma y_1 - \sum_{k \geq 2} \zeta(k) \frac{(-y_1)^k}{k}\right)$$

and then, by **cancellation** via the \sqcup -extended MRS-factorization, one has $Z_{\sqcup} = B'(y_1) \pi_Y Z_{\sqcup}$, where $B'(y_1) = \exp(-\gamma y_1) B(y_1)$.

Let $B_{i,j}$'s are Bell polynomials. For $k \in \mathbb{N}_+$, $w \in Y^+$, one has

$$\gamma_{y_1^k} = \langle Z_\gamma | y_1^k \rangle = \sum_{\substack{s_1, \dots, s_k > 0 \\ s_1 + \dots + ks_k = k}} \frac{(-1)^k}{s_1! \dots s_k!} (-\gamma)^{s_1} \left(-\frac{\zeta(2)}{2}\right)^{s_2} \dots \left(-\frac{\zeta(k)}{k}\right)^{s_k},$$

$$\gamma_{y_1^k w} = \langle Z_\gamma | y_1^k w \rangle = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{\zeta(x_0 [(-x_1)^{k-i} \sqcup \pi_X w])}{i!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^i B_{i,j}(\gamma, -\zeta(2), 2\zeta(3), \dots) \right).$$

Example (generalized Euler constants)

$$\gamma_{1,1} = [\gamma^2 - \zeta(2)]/2,$$

$$\gamma_{1,1,1} = [\gamma^3 - 3\zeta(2)\gamma + 2\zeta(3)]/6,$$

$$\gamma_{1,1,1,1} = [80\zeta(3)\gamma - 60\zeta(2)\gamma^2 + 6\zeta(2)^2 + 10\gamma^4]/240,$$

$$\gamma_{1,7} = \zeta(7)\gamma + \zeta(3)\zeta(5) - 54\zeta(2)^4/175,$$

$$\gamma_{1,1,6} = 4\zeta(2)^3\gamma^2/35 + [\zeta(2)\zeta(5) + 2\zeta(3)\zeta(2)^2 - 4\zeta(7)]\gamma/5 + \zeta(6, 2) + 19\zeta(2)^4/35 + \zeta(2)\zeta(3)^2/2 - 4\zeta(3)\zeta(5).$$

$$\gamma_{1,1,1,5} = 3\zeta(6, 2)/4 - 14\zeta(3)\zeta(5)/3 + 3\zeta(2)\zeta(3)^2/4 + 809\zeta(2)^4/1400$$

$$- (2\zeta(7) - 3\zeta(2)\zeta(5)/2 + \zeta(3)\zeta(2)^2/10)\gamma + (\zeta(3)^2/4 - \zeta(2)^3/5)\gamma^2 + \zeta(5)\gamma^3/6.$$

POLYLOGARITHMS AND HARMONIC SUMS INDEXED BY NONCOMMUTATIVE RATIONAL SERIES

Rational series, $\mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$

$\mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$: set of noncommutative rational series⁵.

Theorem (Schützenberger, 1961)

$S \in \mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ iff there is a linear representation, (ν, μ, η) of dimension $n > 0$, i.e. $\nu \in M_{1,n}(\mathbb{C})$, $\eta \in M_{n,1}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mu : X^* \rightarrow M_{n,n}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$S = \nu \left(\sum_{w \in X^*} \mu(w) w \right) \eta = \nu((\mu \otimes \text{Id}) \mathcal{D}_X) \eta.$$

Theorem (HNM, 1995)

Let (ν, μ, η) be a linear representation of $S \in \mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$.

Then the series $\sum_{w \in X^*} \langle S | w \rangle \alpha_{z_0}^z(w)$ is convergent.

Noting this extension by $\alpha_{z_0}^z(S)$, one has

$$\alpha_{z_0}^z(S) = \nu \left(\prod_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}} X} e^{\alpha_{z_0}^z(S_l) \mu(P_l)} \right) \eta.$$

And, for any $T = R$ or $S \in \mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, one has the convergent series $\alpha_{z_0}^z(T) = \sum_{w \in X^*} \langle T | w \rangle \alpha_{z_0}^z(w)$ and identity $\alpha_{z_0}^z(R \sqcup S) = \alpha_{z_0}^z(R) \alpha_{z_0}^z(S)$.

⁵A series S is called *rational* iff it belongs to the closure, by $\{+, \text{conc}, *\}$, of $\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle$ in $\mathbb{C}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$.

Exchangeable series, $\mathbb{C}_{\text{exc}} \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$

The power series S belongs to $\mathbb{C}_{\text{exc}} \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, iff

$$(\forall u, v \in X^*) ((\forall x \in X) (|u|_x = |v|_x)) \Rightarrow \langle S|u \rangle = \langle S|v \rangle.$$

$$\text{If } S = \sum_{i_0, i_1 \geq 0} s_{i_0, i_1} x_0^{i_0} \sqcup x_1^{i_1} \text{ then } \alpha_{z_0}^z(S) = \sum_{i_0, i_1 \geq 0} s_{i_0, i_1} \frac{(\alpha_{z_0}^z(x_0))^{i_0}}{i_0!} \frac{(\alpha_{z_0}^z(x_1))^{i_1}}{i_1!}.$$

Lemma (Duchamp, HNM, Ngô, 2016)

1. $\mathbb{C}_{\text{exc}}^{\text{rat}} \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle := \mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}} \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle \cap \mathbb{C}_{\text{exc}} \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle = \mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}} \langle\langle x_0 \rangle\rangle \sqcup \mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}} \langle\langle x_1 \rangle\rangle.$
2. For any $x \in X$, one has $\mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}} \langle\langle x \rangle\rangle = \text{span}_{\mathbb{C}} \{ (ax)^* \sqcup \mathbb{C} \langle x \rangle \mid a \in \mathbb{C} \}.$
3. The family $\{x_0^*, x_1^*\}$ is algebraically independent over $(\mathbb{C} \langle X \rangle, \sqcup, 1_{X^*})$ within $(\mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}} \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle, \sqcup, 1_{X^*}).$
4. The module $(\mathbb{C} \langle X \rangle, \sqcup, 1_{X^*}) [x_0^*, x_1^*, (-x_0)^*]$ is $\mathbb{C} \langle X \rangle$ -free and $\{(x_0^*)^{\sqcup k} \sqcup (x_1^*)^{\sqcup l}\}^{(k,l) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}}$ forms a $\mathbb{C} \langle X \rangle$ -basis of it.
Hence, $\{w \sqcup (x_0^*)^{\sqcup k} \sqcup (x_1^*)^{\sqcup l}\}_{w \in X^*}^{(k,l) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}}$ is a \mathbb{C} -basis of it.

Theorem (extension of Li_\bullet , Duchamp, HNM, Ngô, 2016)

$$\text{Li}_\bullet : (\mathbb{C} [x_0^*, x_1^*, (-x_0)^*] \sqcup \mathbb{C} \langle X \rangle, \sqcup, 1_{X^*}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C} \{ \text{Li}_w \}_{w \in X^*, \dots, 1_\Omega}, R \longmapsto \text{Li}_R).$$

Li_\bullet is *surjective* and $\ker \text{Li}_\bullet$ is the shuffle ideal generated by

$$x_0^* \sqcup x_1^* - x_1^* + 1.$$

Polylogarithms and harmonic sums by rational series

One has, $\mathbb{C}_{\text{exc}}^{\text{rat}} \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle \subset \text{Dom}(\text{Li}_\bullet) \cap \mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}} \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, and, on it,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Li}_S(z) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\langle S | x_0^n \rangle}{n!} \log^n(z) + \sum_{k \geq 1} \sum_{w \in x_0^* \sqcup x_1^k} \langle S | w \rangle \text{Li}_w(z) \\ &= \nu \left(\prod_{l \in \mathcal{L}_{\text{yn}} X - \{x_0\}} e^{\text{Li}_{S_l}(z) \mu(P_l)} \right) e^{\log(z) \mu(x_0)} \eta, \end{aligned}$$

where (ν, μ, η) is a linear representation of $S \in \mathbb{C}^{\text{rat}} \langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$.

Example (of polylogarithms indexed by rational series)

For any $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$, $\alpha_1^z((ax_0)^*) = z^a$ and $\alpha_0^z((bx_1)^*) = (1-z)^{-b}$. Then

1. $\text{Li}_{x_0^*}(z) = z$, $\text{Li}_{x_1^*}(z) = (1-z)^{-1}$, $\text{Li}_{(x_0+x_1)^*}(z) = z(1-z)^{-1}$.
2. Since $(x^*) \sqcup^n = (nx)^*$, one has $\text{Li}_{(x_0^*) \sqcup^n}(z) = z^n$,
 $\text{Li}_{(x_1^*) \sqcup^k}(z) = (1-z)^{-k}$, $\text{Li}_{(x_0^*) \sqcup^n \sqcup (x_1^*) \sqcup^k}(z) = z^n(1-z)^{-k}$.
3. Since $(ax)^{*n} = (ax)^* \sqcup (1-ax)^{n-1}$ then

$$\text{Li}_{(ax_0)^{*n}}(z) = z^a \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{(a \log z)^k}{k!},$$

$$\text{Li}_{(ax_1)^{*n}}(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^a} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \frac{1}{k!} \left(a \log \frac{1}{1-z} \right)^k.$$

Indexing polylogarithms by rational series

$$\text{Li}_{y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r}}^- = \sum_{k_1=0}^{s_1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{s_1+s_2-k_1} \dots \sum_{k_r=0}^{(s_1+\dots+s_r)-(k_1+\dots+k_{r-1})} \binom{s_1}{k_1} \binom{s_1+s_2-k_1}{k_2} \dots \binom{s_1+\dots+s_r-k_1-\dots-k_{r-1}}{k_r} (\theta_0^{k_1} \lambda) \dots (\theta_0^{k_r} \lambda),$$

$$\theta_0^{k_i}(\lambda(z)) = \frac{1}{1-z} \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} S_2(k_i, j) j! (\lambda(z))^j, \quad \text{for } k_i > 0,$$

where $\lambda : z \mapsto z(1-z)^{-1}$ and S_2 are Stirling numbers of second kind.

Lemma (Encoding polylogarithms by rational series)

$\text{Li}_{y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r}}^- = \text{Li}_{R_{y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r}}}$, where $R_{y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r}} \in (\mathbb{C}[x_1^*], \sqcup, 1_{X^*})$ given by

$$R_{y_{s_1} \dots y_{s_r}} = \sum_{k_1=0}^{s_1} \sum_{k_2=0}^{s_1+s_2-k_1} \dots \sum_{k_r=0}^{(s_1+\dots+s_r)-(k_1+\dots+k_{r-1})} \binom{s_1}{k_1} \binom{s_1+s_2-k_1}{k_2} \dots \binom{\sum_{i=1}^r s_i - \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} k_i}{k_r}$$

$$\rho_{k_i} = \begin{cases} x_1^* - 1_{X^*}, & \text{if } k_i = 0, \\ x_1^* \sqcup \sum_{j=1}^{k_i} S_2(k_i, j) j! (x_1^* - 1_{X^*})^{\sqcup j}, & \text{if } k_i > 0. \end{cases}$$

By linearity, R_\bullet is extended over $\mathbb{Q}\langle Y_0 \rangle$. Hence, for any $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, one has

$$\text{Li}_{R_{y_k} \sqcup R_{y_l}} = \text{Li}_{R_{y_k}} \text{Li}_{R_{y_l}} = \text{Li}_{y_k}^- \text{Li}_{y_l}^- = \text{Li}_{y_k \uplus y_l}^- = \text{Li}_{R_{y_k \uplus y_l}}$$

Euler-Mac Laurin constants $\{\gamma_{-s_1, \dots, -s_r}\}_{(s_1, \dots, s_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r, r \in \mathbb{N}}$

Definition (Double regularization)

$\zeta_{\sqcup} : (\mathbb{C}\langle X \rangle, \sqcup, 1_{X^*}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, \cdot, 1)$ and $\gamma_{\bullet} : (\mathbb{C}\langle Y \rangle, \sqcup, 1_{Y^*}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, \cdot, 1)$,
s.t. $\zeta_{\sqcup}(x_1) = 0$, $\gamma_{y_1} = \gamma$ and $\forall l \in \mathcal{Lyn} X - X$, $\zeta_{\sqcup}(l) = \gamma_{\pi_Y l} = \zeta(l)$.

For any $k \geq 1$, there exists **unique** $R_{y_k} \in (\mathbb{C}[x_1^*], \sqcup, 1_{X^*})$ such that
 $\text{Li}_{-k} = \text{Li}_{y_k}^- = \text{Li}_{R_{y_k}}$ and $\text{H}_{-k} = \text{H}_{y_k}^- = \text{H}_{\pi_Y(R_{y_k})}$.

Theorem (Extended double regularization)

$\zeta_{\sqcup}((tx_1)^*) = 1$ and⁶ $\gamma_{\pi_Y(tx_1)^*} = \exp\left(\gamma t - \sum_{n \geq 2} \zeta(n) \frac{(-t)^n}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+t)}$.

Example (of regularizations)

$$\text{Li}_{-1, -1} = -\text{Li}_{x_1^*} + 5\text{Li}_{(2x_1)^*} - 7\text{Li}_{(3x_1)^*} + 3\text{Li}_{(4x_1)^*},$$

$$\text{Li}_{-2, -1} = \text{Li}_{x_1^*} - 11\text{Li}_{(2x_1)^*} + 31\text{Li}_{(3x_1)^*} - 33\text{Li}_{(4x_1)^*} + 12\text{Li}_{(5x_1)^*},$$

$$\text{Li}_{-1, -2} = \text{Li}_{x_1^*} - 9\text{Li}_{(2x_1)^*} + 23\text{Li}_{(3x_1)^*} - 23\text{Li}_{(4x_1)^*} + 8\text{Li}_{(5x_1)^*},$$

$$\text{H}_{-1, -1} = -\text{H}_{\pi_Y(x_1^*)} + 5\text{H}_{\pi_Y((2x_1)^*)} - 7\text{H}_{\pi_Y((3x_1)^*)} + 3\text{H}_{\pi_Y((4x_1)^*)},$$

$$\text{H}_{-2, -1} = \text{H}_{\pi_Y(x_1^*)} - 11\text{H}_{\pi_Y((2x_1)^*)} + 31\text{H}_{\pi_Y((3x_1)^*)} - 33\text{H}_{\pi_Y((4x_1)^*)} + 12\text{H}_{\pi_Y((5x_1)^*)},$$

$$\text{H}_{-1, -2} = \text{H}_{\pi_Y(x_1^*)} - 9\text{H}_{\pi_Y((2x_1)^*)} + 23\text{H}_{\pi_Y((3x_1)^*)} - 23\text{H}_{\pi_Y((4x_1)^*)} + 8\text{H}_{\pi_Y((5x_1)^*)}.$$

Therefore, $\zeta_{\sqcup}(-1, -1) = 0$, $\zeta_{\sqcup}(-2, -1) = -1$, $\zeta_{\sqcup}(-1, -2) = 0$, and

$$\gamma_{-1, -1} = -\Gamma^{-1}(2) + 5\Gamma^{-1}(3) - 7\Gamma^{-1}(4) + 3\Gamma^{-1}(5) = 11/24,$$

$$\gamma_{-2, -1} = \Gamma^{-1}(2) - 11\Gamma^{-1}(3) + 31\Gamma^{-1}(4) - 33\Gamma^{-1}(5) + 12\Gamma^{-1}(6) = -73/120,$$

$$\gamma_{-1, -2} = \Gamma^{-1}(2) - 9\Gamma^{-1}(3) + 23\Gamma^{-1}(4) - 23\Gamma^{-1}(5) + 8\Gamma^{-1}(6) = -67/120.$$

$${}^6\text{H}_{\pi_Y(tx_1)^*} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \text{H}_{y_1^n} t^n = \exp\left(-\sum_{n \geq 1} \text{H}_{y_n} (-t)^n / n\right).$$

Candidates for associators with rational coefficients

$\Upsilon := ((H_{\bullet} \circ \pi_Y \circ R_{\bullet}) \otimes \text{Id}) \mathcal{D}_Y$ and $\Lambda := ((\text{Li}_{\bullet} \circ R_{\bullet} \circ \hat{\pi}_Y) \otimes \text{Id}) \mathcal{D}_X$,
 $Z_{\gamma}^{-} := ((\gamma_{\bullet} \circ \pi_Y \circ R_{\bullet}) \otimes \text{Id}) \mathcal{D}_Y$ and $Z_{\zeta}^{-} := ((\zeta_{\sqcup} \circ R_{\bullet} \circ \hat{\pi}_Y) \otimes \text{Id}) \mathcal{D}_X$,
 where, the morphism of algebras $\hat{\pi}_Y$ is defined, over an algebraic basis, by
 $\forall l \in \mathcal{Lyn} X - \{x_0\}, \hat{\pi}_Y S_l = \pi_Y S_l$ and $\hat{\pi}_Y(x_0) = x_0$
 (such that $\text{Li}_{R_{\hat{\pi}_Y x_0}}(z) = \log(z)$ and then $\zeta(R_{\hat{\pi}_Y x_0}) = 0$).
 Hence, $Z_{\zeta}^{-} \in \mathbb{Z}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$ and $Z_{\gamma}^{-} \in \mathbb{Q}\langle\langle Y \rangle\rangle$. One also has

Theorem (Associators with rational coefficients)

$\Delta_{\sqcup}(\Upsilon) = \Upsilon \otimes \Upsilon$ and $\Delta_{\sqcup}(\Lambda) = \Lambda \otimes \Lambda$,
 $\Delta_{\sqcup}(Z_{\gamma}^{-}) = Z_{\gamma}^{-} \otimes Z_{\gamma}^{-}$ and $\Delta_{\sqcup}(Z_{\zeta}^{-}) = Z_{\zeta}^{-} \otimes Z_{\zeta}^{-}$,
 and all constant terms are 1. It follows then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \Upsilon &= \prod_{l \in \mathcal{Lyn} Y} e^{H_{\pi_Y R_{\Sigma_l}} \Pi_l} & \text{and} & & \Lambda &= \prod_{l \in \mathcal{Lyn} X} e^{\text{Li}_{R_{\hat{\pi}_Y S_l}} P_l}, \\
 Z_{\gamma}^{-} &= \prod_{l \in \mathcal{Lyn} Y} e^{\gamma_{\pi_Y R_{\Sigma_l}} \Pi_l} & \text{and} & & Z_{\zeta}^{-} &= \prod_{l \in \mathcal{Lyn} X} e^{\zeta_{\sqcup} (R_{\hat{\pi}_Y S_l}) P_l}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, for any $g \in \mathcal{G}$, there exists a morphism of linear substitution, σ_g , and a Lie series $C \in \mathcal{L}ie_{\mathbb{C}}\langle\langle X \rangle\rangle$, such that $\Lambda(g) = \sigma_g(\Lambda)e^C$.

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION