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## Urefinable Partitions

## Definition

A partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{t}\right)$ of $N \in N$ is such that $\lambda_{1} \leq \lambda_{2} \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_{t}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{t} \lambda_{i}=N$. We write $\lambda \vdash N$.
A partition into distinct parts is a partition such that $\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\ldots<\lambda_{t}$. Let $D_{N}$ the set of partition into distinct parts of $N$.
We call missing parts of $\lambda$ the positive integers belonging to:

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}=\left\{1,2,3, \ldots, \lambda_{t}\right\} \backslash\left\{\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{t}\right\}
$$

A partition into distinct parts $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{t}\right)$ is refinable if there exist $\lambda_{i} \in \lambda$ and $m_{j}, m_{k} \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ such that $m_{j}+m_{k}=\lambda_{i}$.
Otherwise the partition is unrefinable
The set $U_{N}$ denotes the set of unrefinable partitions of $N$

## Strategy

If $N \geq 3$ we can take the corresponding $\pi_{n}$ or $\pi_{n, d}$ and to obtain a new unrefinable partition $\lambda \vdash N$ we start to remove $1 \leq a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{h} \leq n$ and to add $n+1 \leq \alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}<\ldots<\alpha_{j}$ (if $N=T_{n, d}$ $\alpha_{1}$ might be equal to d) such that:

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{h} a_{i}=\sum_{l=1}^{j} \alpha_{l}
$$

Now we can estimate the value of $\lambda_{t}=\alpha$

$$
\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}=h+\left(\lambda_{t}-n-j\right) \leq\left\lfloor\frac{\lambda_{t}}{2}\right\rfloor
$$

It is easy to think that if one partition has fewer missing parts than another then it is more likely to be unrefinable, but if we take:

$$
\lambda=(1,2,3,5,6,8,12) \quad \lambda^{\prime}=(1,2,3,5,6,8,11,12)
$$

we can observe that $\lambda$ is unrefinable and $\lambda^{\prime}$ is refinable because $\lambda_{7}^{\prime}=11=4+7$ despite $\left|\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}\right|>\left|\mathcal{M}_{\lambda^{\prime}}\right|$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Simple Properties } \\
\text { - If }\left|\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}\right|=\{0,1\} \text { then } \lambda \text { is clearly unrefinable.We define: } \\
\pi_{n}=(1,2, \ldots, n-1, n) \vdash \frac{n(n+1)}{2}=T_{n} \\
\pi_{n, d}=(1,2, \ldots, \widehat{d}, \ldots, n) \vdash T_{n}-d=T_{n, d}
\end{gathered}
$$

We can conclude that every integer $n \geq 3$ admits at least one unrefinable partition.

- The anti-symmetric property: if $m \in \mathcal{M}_{\lambda}$ and $m \neq \frac{\lambda_{t}}{2}$ then the element $\lambda_{t}-m$ must be a part of $\lambda$, otherwise the partition is refinable. We obtain:

$$
\left|\mathcal{M}_{\lambda}\right| \leq\left\lfloor\frac{\lambda_{t}}{2}\right\rfloor
$$

## Upper Bound

## Proposition 1:

If $\lambda \vdash T_{n}$ necessarily $h>j$ and we have: $\mathbf{n} \leq \lambda_{t} \leq \mathbf{2 n - 4}$
Proposition 2:

If $\lambda \vdash T_{n, d}$ we obtain:

## Maximal Unrefinable Partitions

## Definition

Let $N \in N$. An unrefinable partition $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{t}\right)$ is called maximal if

$$
\lambda_{t}=\max _{\left(\lambda_{1}^{\prime}, \lambda_{2}^{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{t}^{\prime}\right) \in U_{N}} \lambda_{t}^{\prime}
$$

We denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{N}$ the set of the maximal unrefinable partitions of $N$

If we observe an unrefinable partition we can define three areas:

- The $a_{i}$ s elements are all in the First area and in the Free area;
- The $\alpha_{l}$ elements are all in the Last area, except when $\alpha_{1}=d$;
- If exists an $a_{i}$ in the First area necessarily must exist a corresponding $\alpha_{i}=$ $\lambda_{t}-a_{i}$ in the Last area.


## $T_{n}$ Existence

## Theorem 1:

Let $N=T_{n}$ such that $n \geq 6$ :

- if $j=h-1$ only one maximal unrefinable partition
$\widetilde{\pi}_{n}=(1,2, \ldots, n-3, n+1,2 n-4) ;(\boldsymbol{n}-\mathbf{4}, \boldsymbol{n}-\mathbf{3}, \boldsymbol{n}-\mathbf{2}),(\boldsymbol{n}-\mathbf{3}, \boldsymbol{n}-\mathbf{2}, \boldsymbol{n})$

$$
(n-4, n-2, n-1),(n-2, n-1, n) .
$$

- if $j=h-2$ maximal unrefinable partitions exist if and only if $n$ is an odd number and we can divide them into 4 families according to the removed elements in the Free area

| $T_{n, d}$ | Existence |
| :---: | :---: |
| Theorem 2: <br> When $N=T_{n, d}$ we obtain: <br> - only one maximal partition when $d=1, d=2$ : $\begin{aligned} & (1,2, \ldots, n-2,2 n-2) ; \\ & (1,2, \ldots, n-2,2 n-3) ; \end{aligned}$ <br> - if $d=3$ and $n$ is odd exist only one maximal partition $(1,2,3, \ldots, n-2,2 n-4)$ <br> - when $d=4$ and $n$ is even exist the maximal partition $(1,2,3,4, \ldots, n-2,2 n-5) ;$ | - When $d=n-(2 k-1)$ we found 4 families of maximal unrefinable partitions: $\begin{array}{ll} (n-4, n-3, n-2), & (n-3, n-2, n), \\ (n-4, n-2, n-1), & (n-2, n-1, n) . \end{array}$ <br> - If $d=n-2 k$ we have 8 families, the first 4 when $h$ is even, and the other when is odd: $\begin{array}{ll} (n-5, n-4, n-3) & (n-4, n-2, n) \\ (n-5, n-2, n-1) & (n-3, n-1, n) \\ (n-5, n-4, n-2) & (n-4, n-3, n) \\ (n-5, n-3, n-1) & (n-2, n-1, n) \end{array}$ |

## A New Representation

We observe that all the maximal unrefinable partitions that belong to families may be represented considering only the $a_{i} \mathrm{~s}<\frac{\lambda_{t}}{2}$ by the anti-symmetric property. For example if we take
$\lambda=(1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9,11,13,16,18,20,30) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}_{T_{17}}$ we have:
$\begin{array}{lllllllllllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & 15\end{array}$
$\bullet \quad \bullet \quad \circ \quad \circ \quad \circ \quad \circ \quad \circ \quad \circ \quad \circ \quad \bullet \quad \circ \quad \bullet \quad \circ \quad \bullet \quad \bullet$
2928272625242322212019181716 and we can write $\lambda \sim \lambda^{*}=(10,12,14)$

## The Bijections

By the new representation we can describe two func-
tions:

- if $\lambda_{t}=2 n-4$ we define $\phi$ such that $\phi\left(\lambda_{i}^{*}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{\lambda_{t}}{2}\right\rfloor-\lambda_{i}^{*}$
$\bullet$ if $\lambda_{t}=2 n-5$ we define $\psi$ as $\psi\left(\lambda_{i}^{*}\right)=2 \lambda_{i}^{*}-1$
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllll}1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14\end{array}$
$\circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ \circ$ • $\circ$ • $\circ$ •
$\vee_{\phi}$

| First | Free | Last |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| area | area | area |



