### A local characterization of quasi-crystal graphs

#### Inês Rodrigues

(joint work with Alan J. Cain, António Malheiro and Fátima Rodrigues)

Center for Mathematics and Applications (NOVA Math), NOVA SST

89th Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire and Brenti Fest Bertinoro, March 27, 2023



This work is funded by national funds through the FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the scope of the projects

UIDB/00297/2020 and UIDP/00297/2020 (Center for Mathematics and Applications).

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 目 ・ ・ 目 ・ の へ で 1/19

#### **Plactic monoid**

[Lascoux, Schützenberger '81]

#### Plactic monoid

[Lascoux, Schützenberger '81]

 Young tableaux, Schensted insertion



Knuth relations

$$acb \equiv cab, a \leq b < c$$

$$bac \equiv bca, a < b \leq c$$

Crystals



◆□ ▶ ◆ ● ▶ ◆ ● ▶ ● ● ⑦ Q ○ 2/19

Schur functions  $s_{\lambda}$ .

#### Plactic monoid

[Lascoux, Schützenberger '81]

 Young tableaux, Schensted insertion



Knuth relations

$$acb \equiv cab, a \leq b < c$$

$$bac \equiv bca, a < b \leq c$$

Crystals



Schur functions  $s_{\lambda}$ .

#### Hypoplactic monoid [Krob, Thibon '97], [Novelli '00]

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ り ♀ ≥ り ♀ ? <sub>2/19</sub>

#### Plactic monoid

[Lascoux, Schützenberger '81]

 Young tableaux, Schensted insertion



Knuth relations

$$\mathit{acb} \equiv \mathit{cab}, \ \mathit{a} \leq \mathit{b} < \mathit{c}$$

$$bac \equiv bca, a < b \leq c$$

Crystals



Schur functions s<sub>λ</sub>.

### Hypoplactic monoid

[Krob, Thibon '97], [Novelli '00]

 Quasi-ribbon tableaux, Krob–Thibon insertion



Knuth + quartic relations

 $\mathit{cadb} \equiv \mathit{acbd}, \, \mathit{a} \leq \mathit{b} < \mathit{c} \leq \mathit{d}$ 

 $\mathit{bdac} \equiv \mathit{dbca}, \, \mathit{a} < \mathit{b} \leq \mathit{c} < \mathit{d}$ 

Quasi-crystals



► Fundamental quasisymmetric functions  $F_{\alpha}$ .

# Crystals

#### Definition

A **crystal** of type  $A_{n-1}$  is a non-empty set C together with maps

| $\widetilde{e}_i, \widetilde{f}_i: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \sqcup \{\bot\}$           | (Kashiwara operators) |
|---|-----------------------|
| $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i, \tilde{\varphi}_i: \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty\}$ | (length functions)    |
| wt : $\mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$   | (weight function)     |

for  $i \in I := \{1, ..., n-1\}$ , satisfying the following: **C1.** For any  $x, y \in C$ ,  $\tilde{e}_i(x) = y$  iff  $x = \tilde{f}_i(y)$ , and in that case

$$wt(y) = wt(x) + \alpha_i, \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}_i(y) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i(x) + 1, \quad \tilde{\varphi}_i(y) = \tilde{\varphi}_i(x) - 1$$
C2.  $\tilde{\varphi}_i(x) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i(x) + \langle wt(x), \alpha_i \rangle$   
where  $\alpha_i = (0, \dots, 0, 1, -1, 0, \dots, 0).$ 

(This definition is generalized for other Cartan types)

A word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_k \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$  has an *i*-inversion, if (i + 1)i occurs as a subword of w.

▶ To compute  $\tilde{f}_i(w)$  and  $\tilde{e}_i(w)$  on a word  $w \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$ :

consider the subword with only symbols i and i + 1, replace each i with ) and each i + 1 with (.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ E ▶ ◆ E ▶ E の Q @ 4/19

- cancel all pairs (), until there are no pairs left.
- $\tilde{e}_i$  changes the *leftmost* ( to ), if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .
- $\tilde{f}_i$  changes the *rightmost* ) to (, if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .

A word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_k \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$  has an *i*-inversion, if (i + 1)i occurs as a subword of w.

1-inversion

- ▶ To compute  $\tilde{f}_i(w)$  and  $\tilde{e}_i(w)$  on a word  $w \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$ :
  - consider the subword with only symbols i and i + 1, replace each i with ) and each i + 1 with (.

- cancel all pairs (), until there are no pairs left.
- $\tilde{e}_i$  changes the *leftmost* (to), if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .
- $\tilde{f}_i$  changes the *rightmost* ) to (, if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .

A word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_k \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$  has an *i*-inversion, if (i + 1)i occurs as a subword of w.

2-inversion

- ▶ To compute  $\tilde{f}_i(w)$  and  $\tilde{e}_i(w)$  on a word  $w \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$ .
  - consider the subword with only symbols i and i + 1, replace each i with ) and each i + 1 with (.

- cancel all pairs (), until there are no pairs left.
- $\tilde{e}_i$  changes the *leftmost* (to), if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .
- $\tilde{f}_i$  changes the *rightmost* ) to (, if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .

A word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_k \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$  has an *i*-inversion, if (i + 1)i occurs as a subword of w.

▶ To compute  $\tilde{f}_i(w)$  and  $\tilde{e}_i(w)$  on a word  $w \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$ :

consider the subword with only symbols i and i + 1, replace each i with ) and each i + 1 with (.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆ E ▶ ◆ E ▶ E の Q @ 4/19

- cancel all pairs (), until there are no pairs left.
- $\tilde{e}_i$  changes the *leftmost* ( to ), if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .
- $\tilde{f}_i$  changes the *rightmost* ) to (, if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{A}_n = \{1 < \cdots < n\}.$$

A word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_k \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$  has an *i*-inversion, if (i + 1)i occurs as a subword of w.

- ▶ To compute  $\tilde{f}_i(w)$  and  $\tilde{e}_i(w)$  on a word  $w \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$ :
  - consider the subword with only symbols i and i + 1, replace each i with ) and each i + 1 with (.
  - cancel all pairs (), until there are no pairs left.
  - $\tilde{e}_i$  changes the *leftmost* ( to ), if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .
  - $\tilde{f}_i$  changes the *rightmost* ) to (, if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .

 $1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 1 \ 1 \ 3 \ 2 \ 4$ 

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ り < ○ <sub>4/19</sub>

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{A}_n = \{1 < \cdots < n\}.$$

A word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_k \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$  has an *i*-inversion, if (i + 1)i occurs as a subword of w.

- ▶ To compute  $\tilde{f}_i(w)$  and  $\tilde{e}_i(w)$  on a word  $w \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$ :
  - consider the subword with only symbols i and i + 1, replace each i with ) and each i + 1 with (.
  - cancel all pairs (), until there are no pairs left.
  - $\tilde{e}_i$  changes the *leftmost* ( to ), if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .
  - $\tilde{f}_i$  changes the *rightmost* ) to (, if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .

**1 2 3 1 1 3 2** 4

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ り < ○ <sub>4/19</sub>

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{A}_n = \{1 < \cdots < n\}.$$

A word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_k \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$  has an *i*-inversion, if (i + 1)i occurs as a subword of w.

- ▶ To compute  $\tilde{f}_i(w)$  and  $\tilde{e}_i(w)$  on a word  $w \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$ :
  - consider the subword with only symbols i and i + 1, replace each i with ) and each i + 1 with (.
  - cancel all pairs (), until there are no pairs left.
  - $\tilde{e}_i$  changes the *leftmost* ( to ), if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .
  - $\tilde{f}_i$  changes the *rightmost* ) to (, if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .

1 2 3 1 1 3 2 4 ) ( ) ) (

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{A}_n = \{1 < \cdots < n\}.$$

A word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_k \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$  has an *i*-inversion, if (i + 1)i occurs as a subword of w.

- ▶ To compute  $\tilde{f}_i(w)$  and  $\tilde{e}_i(w)$  on a word  $w \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$ :
  - consider the subword with only symbols i and i + 1, replace each i with ) and each i + 1 with (.
  - cancel all pairs (), until there are no pairs left.
  - $\tilde{e}_i$  changes the *leftmost* ( to ), if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .
  - $\tilde{f}_i$  changes the *rightmost* ) to (, if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .

1 2 3 1 1 3 2 4 ) ( ) ) (

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{A}_n = \{1 < \cdots < n\}.$$

A word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_k \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$  has an *i*-inversion, if (i + 1)i occurs as a subword of w.

- ▶ To compute  $\tilde{f}_i(w)$  and  $\tilde{e}_i(w)$  on a word  $w \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$ :
  - consider the subword with only symbols i and i + 1, replace each i with ) and each i + 1 with (.
  - cancel all pairs (), until there are no pairs left.
  - $\tilde{e}_i$  changes the *leftmost* ( to ), if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .
  - $\tilde{f}_i$  changes the *rightmost* ) to (, if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .

1 2 3 1 1 3 2 4 ) ) (

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ り < ○ <sub>4/19</sub>

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{A}_n = \{1 < \cdots < n\}.$$

A word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_k \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$  has an *i*-inversion, if (i + 1)i occurs as a subword of w.

- ▶ To compute  $\tilde{f}_i(w)$  and  $\tilde{e}_i(w)$  on a word  $w \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$ :
  - consider the subword with only symbols i and i + 1, replace each i with ) and each i + 1 with (.
  - cancel all pairs (), until there are no pairs left.
  - $\tilde{e}_i$  changes the *leftmost* ( to ), if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .
  - $\tilde{f}_i$  changes the *rightmost* ) to (, if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{A}_n = \{1 < \cdots < n\}.$$

A word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_k \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$  has an *i*-inversion, if (i + 1)i occurs as a subword of w.

- ▶ To compute  $\tilde{f}_i(w)$  and  $\tilde{e}_i(w)$  on a word  $w \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$ :
  - consider the subword with only symbols i and i + 1, replace each i with ) and each i + 1 with (.
  - cancel all pairs (), until there are no pairs left.
  - $\tilde{e}_i$  changes the *leftmost* ( to ), if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .
  - $\tilde{f}_i$  changes the *rightmost* ) to (, if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{A}_n = \{1 < \cdots < n\}.$$

A word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_k \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$  has an *i*-inversion, if (i + 1)i occurs as a subword of w.

▶ To compute  $\tilde{f}_i(w)$  and  $\tilde{e}_i(w)$  on a word  $w \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$ :

- consider the subword with only symbols i and i + 1, replace each i with ) and each i + 1 with (.
- cancel all pairs (), until there are no pairs left.
- $\tilde{e}_i$  changes the *leftmost* ( to ), if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .
- $\tilde{f}_i$  changes the *rightmost* ) to (, if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{A}_n = \{1 < \cdots < n\}.$$

A word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_k \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$  has an *i*-inversion, if (i + 1)i occurs as a subword of w.

▶ To compute  $\tilde{f}_i(w)$  and  $\tilde{e}_i(w)$  on a word  $w \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$ :

- consider the subword with only symbols i and i + 1, replace each i with ) and each i + 1 with (.
- cancel all pairs (), until there are no pairs left.
- $\tilde{e}_i$  changes the *leftmost* ( to ), if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .
- $\tilde{f}_i$  changes the *rightmost* ) to (, if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .

$$\blacktriangleright \mathcal{A}_n = \{1 < \cdots < n\}.$$

A word  $w = w_1 \cdots w_k \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$  has an *i*-inversion, if (i + 1)i occurs as a subword of w.

▶ To compute  $\tilde{f}_i(w)$  and  $\tilde{e}_i(w)$  on a word  $w \in \mathcal{A}_n^*$ :

- consider the subword with only symbols i and i + 1, replace each i with ) and each i + 1 with (.
- cancel all pairs (), until there are no pairs left.
- $\tilde{e}_i$  changes the *leftmost* ( to ), if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .
- $\tilde{f}_i$  changes the *rightmost* ) to (, if possible; if not, it is  $\perp$ .

# Crystals

• A crystal is **seminormal** if, for all  $i \in I$  and  $x \in C$ ,

 $\widetilde{\varepsilon_i}(x) = \max\{k : \widetilde{e_i}(x)^k \neq \bot\}, \qquad \widetilde{\varphi_i}(x) = \max\{k : \widetilde{f_i}(x)^k \neq \bot\}$ 

► The crystal graph associated to a crystal C is the directed weighted graph where x → y iff f̃<sub>i</sub>(x) = y.

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ り < ♡ 5/19

# Crystals

A crystal is **seminormal** if, for all  $i \in I$  and  $x \in C$ ,

 $\widetilde{\varepsilon_i}(x) = \max\{k : \widetilde{e_i}(x)^k \neq \bot\}, \qquad \widetilde{\varphi_i}(x) = \max\{k : \widetilde{f_i}(x)^k \neq \bot\}$ 

▶ The **crystal graph** associated to a crystal C is the directed weighted graph where  $x \xrightarrow{i} y$  iff  $\tilde{f}_i(x) = y$ .



- A Stembridge crystal is a seminormal crystal of simply-laced type that satisfies some local axioms [Stembridge '03].
- These are the crystal graphs that correspond to representations of Lie algebras.
- Nice properties on the connected components:
  - Uniqueness of highest weight element (source vertex).
  - All vertices can be reached from the highest weight element.
  - In type A, the highest weight is dominant and, if it is a partition, the character of the component is a Schur function s<sub>λ</sub>.
  - All components whose highest weight elements have the same weight are isomorphic.

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ り ♀ 6/19

Local axioms

**S1.** If  $\tilde{e}_i(x) = y$ , then  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j(y)$  is equal to  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j(x)$  or  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j(x) + 1$  (the second case is possible only if |i - j| = 1).

S2. If 
$$\tilde{e}_i(x) = y$$
 and  $\tilde{e}_j(x) = z$ , and  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i(z) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i(x)$  then

$$\tilde{e}_i \tilde{e}_j(x) = \tilde{e}_j \tilde{e}_i(x) \neq \bot.$$

**S3.** If 
$$\tilde{e}_i(x) = y$$
 and  $\tilde{e}_j(x) = z$ , and  
 $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i(z) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i(x) + 1$  and  
 $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j(y) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_j(x) + 1$  then

$$\tilde{e}_i \tilde{e}_j^2 \tilde{e}_i(x) = \tilde{e}_j \tilde{e}_i^2 \tilde{e}_j(x) \neq \bot.$$



(and dual axioms for  $\tilde{f}_i$ ,  $\tilde{f}_j$ )



Local axioms

**S1.** If  $\tilde{e}_i(x) = y$ , then  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j(y)$  is equal to  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j(x)$  or  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j(x) + 1$  (the second case is possible only if |i - j| = 1).

**S2.** If 
$$\tilde{e}_i(x) = y$$
 and  $\tilde{e}_j(x) = z$ , and  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i(z) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i(x)$  then

**S3.** If 
$$\tilde{e}_i(x) = y$$
 and  $\tilde{e}_j(x) = z$ , and  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i(z) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i(x) + 1$  and  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j(y) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_j(x) + 1$  then

$$\tilde{e}_i \tilde{e}_j^2 \tilde{e}_i(x) = \tilde{e}_j \tilde{e}_i^2 \tilde{e}_j(x) \neq \bot.$$



 $\tilde{e}_i \tilde{e}_i(x) = \tilde{e}_i \tilde{e}_i(x) \neq \bot.$ 

(and dual axioms for  $\tilde{f}_i$ ,  $\tilde{f}_j$ )



・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 三 ・ ・ 三 ・ ク へ で 7/19

Local axioms

**S1.** If  $\tilde{e}_i(x) = y$ , then  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j(y)$  is equal to  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j(x)$  or  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j(x) + 1$  (the second case is possible only if |i - j| = 1).

**S2.** If 
$$\tilde{e}_i(x) = y$$
 and  $\tilde{e}_j(x) = z$ , and  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i(z) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i(x)$  then

$$\tilde{e}_i \tilde{e}_j(x) = \tilde{e}_j \tilde{e}_i(x) \neq \bot.$$



(and dual axioms for  $\tilde{f}_i$ ,  $\tilde{f}_j$ )

**S3.** If  $\tilde{e}_i(x) = y$  and  $\tilde{e}_j(x) = z$ , and  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_i(z) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_i(x) + 1$  and  $\tilde{\varepsilon}_j(y) = \tilde{\varepsilon}_j(x) + 1$  then

$$ilde{e}_i ilde{e}_j^2 ilde{e}_i(x) = ilde{e}_j ilde{e}_i^2 ilde{e}_j(x) 
e \bot.$$



- ► Quasi-crystals were first introduced by Cain and Malheiro (2017), to provide another characterization of the hypoplactic monoid of type *A*, where u ≡<sub>hypo</sub> v iff u and v are in the same position of isomorphic quasi-crystal components.
- Each connected component has a unique highest weight element, and is isomorphic to a quasi-crystal of quasi-ribbon tableaux, which are indexed by compositions.
- The characters are fundamental quasisymmetric functions  $F_{\alpha}$ .
- Noting the decomposition of Schur functions into fundamental quasi-symmetric functions, Maas-Gariépy (2023) independently introduced quasi-crystals, as subgraphs of a connected component of a crystal graph.
- Cain, Guilherme and Malheiro (2023) recently provided a definition of abstract quasi-crystals for other Cartan types.

QC

#### Definition (Cain, Guilherme, Malheiro '23)

A **quasi-crystal** of type  $A_{n-1}$  is a non-empty set Q together with maps

$$\begin{array}{l} \ddot{e}_i, f_i : \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q} \sqcup \{\bot\} \qquad (\text{quasi-Kashiwara operators})\\ \ddot{\varepsilon}_i, \ddot{\varphi}_i : \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \sqcup \{-\infty, +\infty\}\\ wt : \mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n \end{array}$$

for  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ , satisfying the following: **QC1.** For any  $x, y \in C$ ,  $\ddot{e}_i(x) = y$  iff  $x = \ddot{f}_i(y)$ , and in that case

$$wt(y) = wt(x) + \alpha_i, \quad \ddot{\varepsilon}_i(y) = \ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x) + 1, \quad \ddot{\varphi}_i(y) = \ddot{\varphi}_i(x) - 1$$
QC2.  $\ddot{\varphi}_i(x) = \ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x) + \langle wt(x), \alpha_i \rangle$ 
QC3. If  $\ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x) = +\infty$ , then  $\ddot{e}_i(x) = \ddot{f}_i(x) = \bot$ .

- A crystal is a quasi-crystal Q where \(\vec{\varepsilon}\_i(x)\) \(\neq +\infty\) and \(\vec{\varphi}\_i(x)\) \(\neq +\infty\), for all \(i \in I, x \in Q\).
- A quasi-crystal is **seminormal** if, for all  $i \in I$  and  $x \in Q$ ,

$$ilde{arepsilon_i}(x) = \max\{k : ilde{e_i}(x)^k \neq \bot\}$$
  
 $ilde{arphi_i}(x) = \max\{k : ilde{f_i}(x)^k \neq \bot\}$ 

whenever  $\ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x) \neq +\infty$ .

- A crystal is seminormal (as a crystal) iff it is seminormal as a quasi-crystal.
- For the quasi-crystal of words:
  - $\ddot{\varepsilon}_i(w) = +\infty$  iff w has an *i*-inversion.
  - $\ddot{e}_i(w)$  coincides with  $\tilde{e}_i(w)$  if w has no *i*-inversions, otherwise  $\ddot{e}_i(w) = \bot$ .

The **quasi-crystal graph** associated to a quasi-crystal  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the directed weighted graph where:

$$\blacktriangleright x \stackrel{i}{\longrightarrow} y \text{ iff } \ddot{f}_i(x) = y.$$

• x has an *i*-labelled loop if 
$$\ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x) = +\infty$$

The **quasi-crystal graph** associated to a quasi-crystal  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the directed weighted graph where:

$$\blacktriangleright x \stackrel{\prime}{\longrightarrow} y \text{ iff } \ddot{f}_i(x) = y.$$

• x has an *i*-labelled loop if  $\ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x) = +\infty$ 



# Quasi-tensor product

Cain, Guilherme, and Malheiro (2023) introduced a notion of quasi-tensor product of seminormal quasi-crystals, denoted  $\mathcal{Q} \stackrel{.}{\otimes} \mathcal{Q}'$ , which has  $\mathcal{Q} \times \mathcal{Q}'$  as underlying set and maps:

▶ If  $\ddot{\varphi}_i(x) > 0$  and  $\ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x') > 0$ ,  $\ddot{e}_i(x \otimes x') = \ddot{f}_i(x \otimes x') = \bot$  and  $\ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x \otimes x') = \ddot{\varphi}_i(x \otimes x') = +\infty$ , otherwise,

$$\ddot{e}_{i}(x \ddot{\otimes} x') = \begin{cases} \ddot{e}_{i}(x) \ddot{\otimes} x' & \text{if } \ddot{\varphi}_{i}(x) \geq \ddot{\varepsilon}_{i}(x') \\ x \ddot{\otimes} \ddot{e}_{i}(x') & \text{if } \ddot{\varphi}_{i}(x) < \ddot{\varepsilon}_{i}(x') \end{cases}$$
$$\ddot{f}_{i}(x \ddot{\otimes} x') = \begin{cases} \ddot{f}_{i}(x) \ddot{\otimes} x' & \text{if } \ddot{\varphi}_{i}(x) > \ddot{\varepsilon}_{i}(x') \\ x \ddot{\otimes} \ddot{f}_{i}(x') & \text{if } \ddot{\varphi}_{i}(x) \leq \ddot{\varepsilon}_{i}(x') \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{split} \ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x) &= \max\{\ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x), \ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x') - \langle wt(x), \alpha_i \rangle\}\\ \ddot{\varphi}_i(x) &= \max\{\ddot{\varphi}_i(x) + \langle wt(x'), \alpha_i \rangle, \ddot{\varphi}_i(x')\} \end{split}$$

(With this convention  $x \otimes y$  is identified with the word yx.)

# Quasi-tensor product

•  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is the standard crystal of type  $A_{n-1}$ :

$$1 \xrightarrow{1} 2 \xrightarrow{2} 3 \xrightarrow{3} \cdots \xrightarrow{n-1} n$$

Similarly to the case of the plactic monoid, each component of the hypoplactic monoid is isomorphic to some B<sup>⊗</sup><sub>n</sub><sup>k</sup>.

# Quasi-tensor product

•  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is the standard crystal of type  $A_{n-1}$ :

$$1 \xrightarrow{1} 2 \xrightarrow{2} 3 \xrightarrow{3} \cdots \xrightarrow{n-1} n$$

► Similarly to the case of the plactic monoid, each component of the hypoplactic monoid is isomorphic to some B<sup>ö</sup><sub>n</sub> <sup>k</sup>.



 $\mathcal{B}_3$ 

 $\mathcal{B}_3 \overset{.}{\otimes} \mathcal{B}_3$ 

Local quasi-crystal axioms

LQC1. 
$$\ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x) = 0$$
 iff  $\ddot{\varphi}_{i+1}(x) = 0$ , for  $i \in \{1, ..., n-2\}$ .  
LQC2. If  $\ddot{e}_i(x) = y$ , then:  
For  $|i - j| > 1$ ,  $\ddot{\varepsilon}_j(x) = \ddot{\varepsilon}_j(y)$ .  
For  $j = i + 1$ ,  
 $\ddot{\varepsilon}_{i+1}(x) \neq \ddot{\varepsilon}_{i+1}(y) \Leftrightarrow (\ddot{\varepsilon}_{i+1}(x) = +\infty \land \ddot{\varepsilon}_i(y) = 0) \Rightarrow \ddot{\varepsilon}_{i+1}(y) > 0$ .  
For  $j = i - 1$ ,  
 $\ddot{\varphi}_{i-1}(x) \neq \ddot{\varphi}_{i-1}(y) \Leftrightarrow (\ddot{\varphi}_{i-1}(y) = +\infty \land \ddot{\varphi}_i(x) = 0) \Rightarrow \ddot{\varphi}_{i-1}(x) > 0$ .  
LQC3. If both  $\ddot{e}_i(x)$  and  $\ddot{e}_i(x)$  are defined, for  $i \neq i$ , then

**QC3.** If both  $e_i(x)$  and  $e_j(x)$  are defined, for  $i \neq j$ , the  $\ddot{e}_i\ddot{e}_j(x) = \ddot{e}_j\ddot{e}_i(x) \neq \bot$  (and dual axiom for  $\ddot{f}_i, \ddot{f}_j$ .)



< □ ▶ < 酉 ▶ < ≧ ▶ < ≧ ▶ ≧ の Q @ 14/19

Local quasi-crystal axioms

LQC1. 
$$\ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x) = 0$$
 iff  $\ddot{\varphi}_{i+1}(x) = 0$ , for  $i \in \{1, ..., n-2\}$ .  
LQC2. If  $\ddot{e}_i(x) = y$ , then:  
For  $|i - j| > 1$ ,  $\ddot{\varepsilon}_j(x) = \ddot{\varepsilon}_j(y)$ .  
For  $j = i + 1$ ,  
 $\ddot{\varepsilon}_{i+1}(x) \neq \ddot{\varepsilon}_{i+1}(y) \Leftrightarrow (\ddot{\varepsilon}_{i+1}(x) = +\infty \land \ddot{\varepsilon}_i(y) = 0) \Rightarrow \ddot{\varepsilon}_{i+1}(y) > 0$ .  
For  $j = i - 1$ ,  
 $\ddot{\varphi}_{i-1}(x) \neq \ddot{\varphi}_{i-1}(y) \Leftrightarrow (\ddot{\varphi}_{i-1}(y) = +\infty \land \ddot{\varphi}_i(x) = 0) \Rightarrow \ddot{\varphi}_{i-1}(x) > 0$ .  
LQC3. If both  $\ddot{e}_i(x)$  and  $\ddot{e}_j(x)$  are defined, for  $i \neq j$ , then  
 $\ddot{e}_i \ddot{e}_j(x) = \ddot{e}_j \ddot{e}_i(x) \neq \bot$  (and dual axiom for  $\ddot{f}_i, \ddot{f}_j$ .)



◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ◆ ■ ▶ ● ■ ∽ Q ♀ 14/19

#### Theorem (Cain, Malheiro, Rodrigues, R. '23)

If Q is a quasi-crystal of type A (not necessarily seminormal) satisfying the local axioms, and such that  $\ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x) \neq +\infty$  and  $\ddot{\varphi}_i(x) \neq +\infty$ , for all  $i \in I, x \in Q$ , then Q is a weak Stembridge crystal (i.e. not necessarily seminormal).

#### Theorem (Cain, Malheiro, Rodrigues, R. '23)

If Q is a quasi-crystal of type A (not necessarily seminormal) satisfying the local axioms, and such that  $\ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x) \neq +\infty$  and  $\ddot{\varphi}_i(x) \neq +\infty$ , for all  $i \in I, x \in Q$ , then Q is a weak Stembridge crystal (i.e. not necessarily seminormal).

#### Theorem (Cain, Malheiro, Rodrigues, R. '23)

Let Q be a connected component of a seminormal quasi-crystal graph of type A, weighted in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ , satisfying the local axioms. Then, Q has a unique highest weight element, whose weight is a composition.

#### Theorem (Cain, Malheiro, Rodrigues, R. '23)

If Q is a quasi-crystal of type A (not necessarily seminormal) satisfying the local axioms, and such that  $\ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x) \neq +\infty$  and  $\ddot{\varphi}_i(x) \neq +\infty$ , for all  $i \in I, x \in Q$ , then Q is a weak Stembridge crystal (i.e. not necessarily seminormal).

#### Theorem (Cain, Malheiro, Rodrigues, R. '23)

Let Q be a connected component of a seminormal quasi-crystal graph of type A, weighted in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ , satisfying the local axioms. Then, Q has a unique highest weight element, whose weight is a composition.

#### Theorem (Cain, Malheiro, Rodrigues, R. '23)

Let Q and Q' be connected components of seminormal quasi-crystal graphs of type A satisfying the local axioms, with highest weight elements u and v. If wt(u) = wt(v), then there exists a weight-preserving isomorphism between Q and Q'.

#### Theorem (Cain, Malheiro, Rodrigues, R. '23)

Let Q and Q' be seminormal quasi-crystal graphs satisfying the local axioms. Then,  $Q \otimes Q'$  is a seminormal quasi-crystal that satisfies the same axioms.

- The standard crystal  $\mathcal{B}_n$  satisfies the local axioms.
- ▶ In particular, the quasi-crystal of words satisfies the local axioms.
- As a consequence, every connected component of a seminormal quasi-crystal satisfying the local axioms is isomorphic a quasi-crystal of quasi-ribbon tableaux.

Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \tilde{f}_i, \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{\varepsilon}_i, \tilde{\varphi}_i)$  be a connected component of a Stembridge crystal, weighted in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ , and define  $(\mathcal{Q}, \ddot{f}_i, \ddot{e}_i, \ddot{\varepsilon}_i, \ddot{\varphi}_i)$  to have the same underlying set as  $\mathcal{C}$  and define:

$$\ddot{arepsilon}_i(x) := egin{cases} arepsilon_i(x) & ext{if } arepsilon_i(x) = wt_{i+1}(x) \ +\infty & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and  $\ddot{\varphi}_i(x) := \ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x) + \langle wt(x), \alpha_i \rangle$ .

$$\ddot{e}_i(x) := egin{cases} ilde{e}_i(x) & ext{if } ec{arepsilon}_i(x) 
eq +\infty \ ot & ot &$$

< □ → < 団 → < Ξ → < Ξ → Ξ ・ ⑦ Q (~ 17/19

and  $\ddot{f}_i(y) := x$  iff  $\ddot{e}_i(x) = y$ .

Let  $(\mathcal{C}, \tilde{f}_i, \tilde{e}_i, \tilde{\varepsilon}_i, \tilde{\varphi}_i)$  be a connected component of a Stembridge crystal, weighted in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ , and define  $(\mathcal{Q}, \ddot{f}_i, \ddot{e}_i, \ddot{\varepsilon}_i, \ddot{\varphi}_i)$  to have the same underlying set as  $\mathcal{C}$  and define:

$$\ddot{arepsilon}_i(x) := egin{cases} arepsilon_i(x) & ext{if } arepsilon_i(x) = wt_{i+1}(x) \ +\infty & ext{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and  $\ddot{\varphi}_i(x) := \ddot{\varepsilon}_i(x) + \langle wt(x), \alpha_i \rangle$ .

$$\ddot{e}_i(x) := egin{cases} ilde{e}_i(x) & ext{if } ec{arepsilon}_i(x) 
eq +\infty \ ot & ot &$$

and  $\ddot{f}_i(y) := x$  iff  $\ddot{e}_i(x) = y$ .

Theorem (Cain, Malheiro, Rodrigues, R. '23)

 $\mathcal Q$  is a seminormal quasi-crystal that satisfies the local axioms.



























This also illustrates  $s_{2,1} = F_{2,1} + F_{1,2}$ .

# Some references

- A.J. Cain, R.P. Guilherme, A. Malheiro "Quasi-crystals for arbitrary root systems and associated generalizations of the hypoplactic monoid". arXiv:2301.00271.
- A.J. Cain, A. Malheiro "Crystallizing the hypoplactic monoid: from quasi-Kashiwara operators to the Robinson–Schensted–Knuth-type correspondence for quasi-ribbon tableaux", J. Algebr. Comb. 45 (2), 475–524 (2017).
  - D. Krob, J.-Y. Thibon "Noncommutative symmetric functions. IV: Quantum linear groups and Hecke Algebras at q = 0", J. Algebr. Comb. **6** (4), 339 376 (1997).
- J.-C. Novelli "On the hypoplactic monoid", Discrete Math., **217** (1–3), 315–336 (2000).
- J.R. Stembridge "A local characterization of simply-laced crystals", Trans. Am. Math. Soc. **355** (12), 4807–4823 (2003).

### Thank you!