

Symplectic manifolds and harmonic classes

Stefan Haller
University of Vienna

(M, ω) symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$, need not be compact, might have non-empty boundary.

Have $\sharp : \Omega^1(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ vector bundle isomorphism. Extends to $\sharp : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^k(M)$ graded algebra isomorphism. Libermann introduced symplectic star operator:

$$* : \Omega^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{n+k}(M), \quad *\alpha := i_{\sharp\alpha} \frac{\omega^n}{n!}$$

satisfies $*^2 = 1$. Set

$$\delta : \Omega^{k+1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^k(M), \quad \delta := (-1)^k * d *$$

Satisfies $\delta^2 = 0$. But $\Delta := \delta d + d\delta = 0$.

Brylinski introduced $H_0^k(M) \subseteq H^k(M)$, space of harmonic cohomology classes, those having representatives α with $d\alpha = \delta\alpha = 0$.

Example [Brylinski]. On closed Kähler manifolds $H_0^*(M) = H^*(M)$.

(M, ω) is said to satisfy Hard Lefschetz Theorem if the wedge product

$$[\omega]^k : H^{n-k}(M) \rightarrow H^{n+k}(M)$$

is onto, for all $k \geq 0$.

Example. Closed Kähler manifolds.

Theorem [Mathieu]. $H_0^*(M)$ does only depend on $[\omega] \in H^2(M)$ and the following are equivalent:

1. $H_0^*(M) = H^*(M)$.
2. M satisfies Hard Lefschetz Theorem.

Counter Examples:

Thurston '76: 4-dimensional, closed.

McDuff '84: simply connected 10-dimensional, closed.

Questions.

- How to compute harmonic Betti numbers

$$b_0^k(M) := \dim H_0^k(M)$$

in terms of $[\omega] \in H^*(M)$?

- E.g. what is $H_0^*(M_1 \times M_2)$?
- What sort of Poincaré duality on $H_0^*(M)$?
- $g : M_1 \rightarrow M_2$. When do we have $g^* : H_0^*(M_2) \rightarrow H_0^*(M_1)$?
- [Khesin and McDuff]. Which closed manifolds admit a family ω_t such that b_0^k varies? These are called flexible.

$\mathfrak{g} := \mathfrak{sl}(2; \mathbb{R})$ with base $\{e, f, h\}$ and relations

$$[h, e] = 2e, \quad [h, f] = -2f, \quad [e, f] = h.$$

On a symplectic manifold $\Omega^*(M)$ becomes a \mathfrak{g} -module via

$$e \cdot \alpha = \omega \wedge \alpha$$

$$f \cdot \alpha = i_{\#}\omega \alpha$$

$$h \cdot \alpha = (|\alpha| - n)\alpha$$

Note that only finitely many weight spaces - thus good representation theory.

$\mathfrak{a} := \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathfrak{g}$ semi direct product, \mathbb{R}^2 standard \mathfrak{g} -representation. Is \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{a}_{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{a}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_2$$

with base $\{f, \delta, h, d, e\}$ respectively.

Operators d and δ make $\Omega^*(M)$ an \mathfrak{a} -module.

$\mathfrak{b} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ subalgebra with base $\{e, h\}$.

Example If V is an \mathfrak{a} -module, then $H^*(V) := \ker d / \text{img } d$ inherits a \mathfrak{b} -module structure.

\mathbb{R}_k 1-dimensional \mathfrak{b} -module with base $\{z\}$ and action $hz := kz, ez := 0$.

Theorem [Mathieu]. *Suppose V a \mathfrak{b} -module. Then there exists a unique filtration by submodules V_m of V , such that $V_m = 0$ for m small enough, $V_m = V$ for m large enough and such that $(V_m/V_{m-1}) \otimes \mathbb{R}_{-m}$ is a \mathfrak{g} -module. Moreover*

$$V \simeq \bigoplus_m V_m/V_{m-1}$$

as \mathfrak{b} -modules, but not canonically.

Theorem [Mathieu] \mathfrak{a} -module V , $H_0^*(V)$ harmonic cohomology classes, $H^*(V)_0$ filtration space from above. Then $H_0^*(V) = H^*(V)_0$.

Description of the other filtration spaces $H^*(V)_m$ in terms of ‘harmonicity conditions’ on representatives.

Definition. V an \mathfrak{a} -module.

For $m = 0$: Z_0^k space of $v \in V^k$ with:

$$0 \xleftarrow{\delta} v \xrightarrow{d} 0$$

For $m > 0$: Z_m^k space of $v \in V^k$, s.t. $\exists v_j$ with:

$$0 \leftarrow v_m \rightarrow \cdots \leftarrow v_2 \rightarrow \leftarrow v_1 \rightarrow \leftarrow v \rightarrow 0$$

For $m < 0$: Z_m^k space of $v \in V^k$, s.t. $\exists v_j$ with:

$$v \leftarrow v_1 \rightarrow \leftarrow v_2 \rightarrow \cdots \leftarrow v_{-m-1} \rightarrow \leftarrow v_{-m}$$

Finally set

$$H_m^k(V) := \frac{Z_m^k}{Z_m^k \cap \text{img } d} \subseteq H^k(V).$$

This is filtration of $H^*(V)$.

For an \mathfrak{a} -module V we have two filtrations on $H^*(V)$:

1. $H_m^*(V)$ from the previous slide.
2. $H^*(V)_m$ Mathieus filtration from the \mathfrak{b} -module structure on $H^*(V)$.

Proposition. V an \mathfrak{a} -module. Then $H_m^*(V) = H^*(V)_m$.

Proof. Check, that $H_m^*(V)$ are \mathfrak{b} -submodules.

Check, that $H_m^*(V) = 0$ for m small.

Check, that $H_m^*(V) = H^*(V)$ for m large.

One then can explicitly extend the \mathfrak{b} -module structure on $(H_m^*(V)/H_{m-1}^*(V)) \otimes \mathbb{R}_{-m}$ to a \mathfrak{g} -module structure.

Now done, since a filtration with these properties is unique. \square

Proposition. Let V be a \mathfrak{b} -module and set $\tilde{V}_m^* := V_m^*/V_{m-1}^*$. Then:

1. $e^k : \tilde{V}_m^{m-k} \rightarrow \tilde{V}_m^{m+k}$ isomorphism.
2. $e^k : V_m^{m-k} \rightarrow V_m^{m+k}$ onto.
3. $e^{k+1} : V^{m-k}/V_m^{m-k} \rightarrow V^{m+k+2}/V_m^{m+k+2}$ injective.
4. V finite dim., $\rho_j^i := \text{rank}(e^j : V^{i-2j} \rightarrow V^i)$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \dim V^{m-k} - \dim V_m^{m-k} &= \\
 &= \rho_k^{m+k} - \dim V_m^{m+k} \\
 &= \sum_{l \geq 1} \rho_{k+2l-1}^{m+k+2l} - \rho_{k+2l}^{m+k+2l}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Corollary. V a \mathfrak{b} -module then $V_m = V$ iff $e^k : V^{m-k} \rightarrow V^{m+k}$ is onto for all $k \geq 0$.

Theorem. Suppose (M, ω) symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$. Then

1. $H_m^*(M)$ does only depend on $[\omega] \in H^*(M)$.

2. $g : M' \rightarrow M$, $\dim M' = 2n'$, $g^*[\omega] = [\omega']$.
Then g^* maps $H_{m-n}^*(M)$ to $H_{m-n'}^*(M')$.

3. If $H^*(M)$ finite dimensional we set

$$\rho_j^i := \text{rank} \left([\omega]^j : H^{i-2j}(M) \rightarrow H^i(M) \right)$$

and get

$$\begin{aligned} b^{n+m-k} - b_m^{n+m-k} &= \\ &= \rho^{n+m+k} - b_m^{n+m+k} \\ &= \sum_{l \geq 1} \rho_{k+2l-1}^{n+m+k+2l} - \rho_{k+2l}^{n+m+k+2l}. \end{aligned}$$

where $b_m^i := \dim H_m^i(M)$

Theorem. *Suppose M symplectic manifold of dimension $2n$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. $H_m^*(M) = H^*(M)$.

2. $[\omega]^k : H^{n+m-k}(M) \rightarrow H^{n+m+k}(M)$ is onto for all $k \geq 0$.

For a symplectic manifold we set:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{H}_m^k(M) &:= H_m^k(M)/H_{m-1}^k(M) \\ \tilde{b}_m^k &:= \dim \tilde{H}_m^k(M) \\ \tilde{p}_m(t) &:= \sum_k \tilde{b}_m^k t^k\end{aligned}$$

Proposition. *Suppose M_1 and M_2 symplectic manifolds with finite dimensional cohomology. Then*

$$\tilde{p}_m^{M_1 \times M_2}(t) = \sum_{m_1 + m_2 = m} \tilde{p}_{m_1}^{M_1}(t) \tilde{p}_{m_2}^{M_2}(t).$$

Proof. Have isomorphism of \mathfrak{b} -modules

$$H^*(M_1) \otimes H^*(M_2) \rightarrow H^*(M_1 \times M_2)$$

Mathieus filtration of tensor product is the tensor product of the filtrations ...

Theorem. *Suppose M is a $2n$ -dimensional closed symplectic manifold and $m, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the well defined bilinear pairing*

$$\tilde{H}_{-m}^{n-k}(M) \otimes \tilde{H}_m^{n+k}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

$$([\alpha], [\beta]) := \int_M \alpha \wedge \beta$$

is non-degenerate. Moreover if n even

$$\text{sign}(M) = \text{sign} \left(\tilde{H}_0^n(M) \otimes \tilde{H}_0^n(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \right).$$

Proof. Poincaré duality

$$\phi : H(M) \rightarrow H(M)^*, \quad \phi(\alpha)(\beta) = \int_M \alpha \wedge \beta$$

is an isomorphism of \mathfrak{b} -modules. Mathieus filtration of the dual is the dual filtration ...

Well known fact. On a closed Kähler manifold the Betti numbers in odd degree are even.

This fails to be true for general closed symplectic manifolds, but

Theorem. *Suppose M is a $2n$ -dimensional closed symplectic manifold. Then the well defined bilinear pairing*

$$\tilde{H}_0^k(M) \otimes \tilde{H}_0^k(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \langle\langle [\alpha], [\beta] \rangle\rangle := \int_M \alpha \wedge * \beta$$

is non-degenerate. It is symmetric for k even and skew symmetric for k odd. Particularly $\tilde{b}_0^k(M)$ is even for odd k .

Nilmanifolds.

\mathfrak{g} nilpotent Lie algebra

G simply connected Lie group to \mathfrak{g}

Suppose $\Lambda \subseteq G$ cocompact lattice. This exists iff structure constants are rational [Malcev]. Essentially unique. $M := G/\Lambda$ is called nilmanifold.

Nomizu's theorem: $H^*(\mathfrak{g}; \mathbb{R}) = H^*(M)$.

Sometimes these admit symplectic structures.

For instance Thurstens example is a nilmanifold where \mathfrak{g} has a base $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ and structure $[e_1, e_2] = e_3$, $[e_i, e_j] = 0$ otherwise.

$M = G/\Lambda$ symplectic nilmanifold. Then $C^*(\mathfrak{g}; \mathbb{R})$ is an \mathfrak{a} -module, too.

Proposition. $H_m^*(\mathfrak{g}; \mathbb{R}) = H_m^*(M)$. *Particularly if a cohomology class in $H^*(M)$ is harmonic it even has a G -invariant harmonic representative.*

D. Salamon gave a complete classification of 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras - all 33 of them have rational structure constants. All but 8 admit symplectic structures.

Ibáñez, Rudyak, Tralle and Ugarte computed b_0^4 and b_0^5 for every single case and concluded

Theorem [Ibáñez, Rudyak, Tralle, Ugarte]

There are at least 5 flexible nilmanifolds of dimension 6.

Corollary. There are more than 5 flexible nilmanifolds of dimension 6.

Example. $M \subseteq \mathbb{C}P^n$, codimension $2k$ symplectic submanifold.

\tilde{X} , the blow up of $\mathbb{C}P^n$ along M .

McDuff constructed symplectic form on \tilde{X} .

One can show

$$\tilde{p}_m^{\tilde{X}}(t) = \tilde{p}_m^{\mathbb{C}P^n}(t) + \tilde{p}_m^M(t)(t^2 + \dots + t^{2k-2}).$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_0^{\mathbb{C}P^n}(t) &= 1 + t^2 + \dots + t^{2n} \\ \tilde{p}_m^{\mathbb{C}P^n}(t) &= 0, \quad \text{for } m \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Particularly \tilde{X} satisfies Hard Lefschetz Theorem iff M does.

Main point in the proof. Have splitting short exact sequence of \mathfrak{b} -modules

$$H^*(M) \otimes W \rightarrow H^*(\tilde{X}) \rightarrow H^*(\mathbb{C}P^n)$$

where $W := \bigoplus_{j>0} H^j(\mathbb{C}P^{k-1})$.