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In this short note we complement our study of the boundary behaviour of universal covering maps. We give several examples showing that the result in $[\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{M}]$ is best possible.

It is well known that the boundary behaviour of universal covering maps $P: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ can vary considerably, depending on topological and metric properties of the domain $\Omega$ and its boundary. To give an example, we let $\Omega$ be simply connected. Then the universal covering map is a conformal mapping, and by a result of Beurling, the set of $\theta$ where $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} P\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$ does not exist has vanishing logarithmic capacity. Moreover the set of $\theta$ for which $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} P^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$ exists and is finite has Hausdorff dimension 1; even the set of $\theta$ where $\int_{0}^{1}\left|P^{\prime \prime}\left(r \epsilon^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r<\infty$ has Hausdorff dimension equal to one. These are the results of N. Makarov [M] and the present authors [J-M 1] respectively. Similar results hold for the case of universal covering maps onto domains with uniformly perfect boundaries.

A very different picture arises, however, when one considers the twice punctured plane $\Omega=\mathbb{C} \backslash\{-1,1\}$. In that case the set of angles $\theta$ for which the boundary value of the universal covering map, $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} P\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)$, exists is at most countable. This should be compared with the result in [J-M ], that for every domain $\Omega$ one has

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|P^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r<\infty
$$

for at least countably many $\theta \in[0,2 \pi[$. Thus the result of $[\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{M}]$ is best possible in general simply because $P: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \backslash\{-1,1\}$ has boundary values for only countably many radii.

We will now consider domains $\Omega$ for which the boundary values of the universal covering map $P$ exist almost everywhere. It is natural to conjecture that for this class of domains there exist also non trivial lower bounds on the size of the following sets,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\{e^{i \theta}: \lim _{r \rightarrow 1} P^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \text { exists and is finite }\right\} \\
\left\{e^{i \theta}: \int_{0}^{1}\left|P^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r<\infty\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Below however we will give an example of a domain $\Omega_{0}$ with cap $\partial \Omega_{0}>0$ for which these sets are at most countable. Consequently the result of $[\mathrm{J}-\mathrm{M}]$ is best possible, even when the boundary values of $P$ exist almost everywhere.

Theorem 1 There exists a domain $\Omega_{0} \subseteq \mathbb{C}$, with universal covering map $P: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega_{0}$ such that

1. The boundary of $\Omega_{0}$ has positive logarithmic capacity (hence the boundary values for the universal covering map exist almost everywhere).
2. The set of angles $\epsilon^{i \theta}$ for which $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} P^{\prime}\left(r \epsilon^{i \theta}\right)$ exists and is finite, is at most countable.

We obtain the proof of Theorem 1 by linking lower bounds for $\left|P^{\prime}(z)\right|$ to the geometric estimates for the hyperbolic metric on the domain $\Omega$. By definition the density $\lambda_{\Omega}$ for the hyperbolic metric is given by

$$
\lambda_{\Omega}(P(z))\left|P^{\prime}(z)\right|=\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}
$$

where $P: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ is the universal covering map. The result of Beardon and Pommerenke $[\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{P}]$ provides geometric estimates for $\lambda_{\Omega}$ as follows:

$$
\lambda_{\Omega}(w) \sim \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}(w, \partial \Omega)(\beta(w)+1)}
$$

where

$$
\beta(w)=\inf \left\{|\log | \frac{w-a}{b-a}| |:|w-a|=\operatorname{dist}(w, E), a, b \in E\right\} .
$$

We will now define the domain $\Omega_{0}$ for which Theorem 1 holds. We let

$$
E_{n}=\left\{\left(1+2^{-2 n}\right) e^{2 \pi i 8^{-2 n} m}: 1 \leq m \leq 8^{2 n}\right\}
$$

The set $E_{n}$ consists of equidistributed points on the circle with radius $1+2^{-2 n}$. We point out that the (euclidian) distance between neighboring points in $E_{n}$ is much smaller than the (euclidian) distance between $E_{n}$ and $E_{n+1}$. Now we let $E=\overline{\mathbb{D}} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}$ and $\Omega_{0}=\mathbb{C} \backslash E$. The boundary of $\Omega_{0}$ is $\mathbb{T} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}$.

We have defined the domain $\Omega_{0}$ to be unbounded. This however is not essential for our purposes. We can define a bounded domain for which Theorem 1 holds by applying the inversion $i(z)=1 / z$ to $\Omega_{0}$. The resulting domain is now containd in the unit disk and the proof given below shows that also $i\left(\Omega_{0}\right)$ satisfies the conclusions of our Theorem 1.

Our proof of Theorem 1 relies on the following Lemma which gives lower bounds for the hyperbolic distance in $\mathbb{C} \backslash E$.

Lemma 1 Let $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$ be a sequence of points in $\mathbb{C} \backslash E$ such that $\left|w_{n}\right|=1+2^{-2 n-1}$. Then the hyperbolic distance in $\mathbb{C} \backslash E$ between $w_{n}$ and $w_{n+1}$ exeeds $c_{1} n$, and more generally

$$
d_{\mathbb{C}_{E}}\left(w_{n+k}, w_{n}\right) \geq c_{1} k n
$$

Comment: The points $w_{n}$ are chosen such that $w_{n}$ and $w_{n+1}$ are separated by the "barrier" formed by the points in $E_{n}$.

Proof. We let $B=\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}: 1+2^{-2 n-2} \leq|z| \leq 1+2^{-2 n}\right\}$. Note that $B$ is the annulus between $E_{n}$ and $E_{n+1}$. Next we select disjoint annuli contained in $B$ as follows: We define

$$
D_{j} \subseteq B, \quad j \in\{-4 n, \ldots, 0, \ldots 4 n\}
$$

such that for $w \in D_{j}$,

$$
2^{-|j|-2 n} \leq \operatorname{dist}(w, \partial B) \leq 2^{-|j|+1-2 n}
$$

Note that the annuli $D_{j}$ are chosen in such a way that their thicknes is proportional to their distance to $\partial B$; the distance of $D_{j}$ to $\partial B$ is $\geq 8^{-2 n}$. By drawing the picture we see the following two estimates for $w \in D_{j}$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(1-8^{-2 n}\right) \operatorname{dist}(w, E) \leq \operatorname{dist}(w, \partial B) \leq\left(1+8^{-2 n}\right) \operatorname{dist}(w, E) \\
|\log | \frac{w-a}{a-b}|\mid \geq 2
\end{gathered}
$$

whenever $a, b, \in E$ and $|w-a|=\operatorname{dist}(w, E)$.
Combining these observations, with the result of Beardon and Pommerenke we obtain the following lower bound for the density of the hyperbolic metric in $\mathbb{C} \backslash E$ : For $w \in D_{j}$ we have

$$
\lambda_{\mathbb{C} \backslash_{E}}(w) \geq c 2^{2 n+|. j|}
$$

Let now $\sigma$ be any curve connecting $w_{n-1}$ to $w_{n}$. For such a curve the euclidian diameter of $\sigma \cap D_{j}$ exeeds $2^{-2 n-|j|}$. Consequently we have the following minorization for the hyperbolic length of $\sigma \cap D_{j}$,

$$
\int_{\sigma \cap D_{j}} \lambda_{\mathbb{C} E}(w)|d w| \geq c
$$

and therefore we can estimate the hyperbolic length of $\sigma$ in $\mathbb{C} \backslash E$ as follows,

$$
\int_{\sigma} \lambda_{\mathfrak{C} E}(w)|d w| \geq \sum_{j=-4 n}^{4 n} \int_{\sigma \cap D_{j}} \lambda_{\mathfrak{C} \mid E}(w)|d w| \geq c(8 n-1)
$$

Proof of Theorem 1 For the domain $\Omega_{0}=\mathbb{C} \backslash\left(\cup E_{n} \cup \overline{\mathbb{D}}\right)$ we have

$$
\partial \Omega_{0}=\mathbb{T} \cup \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}
$$

Clearly $\partial \Omega$ has positive capacity (simply because $\mathbb{T}$ is connected). Hence by the theorem of R. Nevanlinna for the universal covering map $P: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega_{0}$ the boundary values exist almost everywhere, i.e., the set

$$
\left\{\theta: \lim _{r \rightarrow 1} P\left(r \epsilon^{i \theta}\right) \text { exists }\right\}
$$

has full measure in the interval $\left[0,2 \pi\left[\right.\right.$. Observe that $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}$ is a countable set of isolated points in $\partial \Omega_{0}$, hence the set

$$
\left\{\theta: \lim _{r \rightarrow 1} P\left(r \epsilon^{i \theta}\right) \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n}\right\}
$$

is at most countable. To prove the theorem it remains to show now that $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} P\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \in \mathbb{T}$ implies that

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1}\left|P^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|=\infty
$$

By our assumption that $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} P\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \in \mathbb{T}$, we can select a sequence of points $\left\{z_{n}\right\}$ on the ray $\left[0, e^{i \theta}\left[\right.\right.$ such that $P\left(z_{n}\right)=w_{n}$ satisfies
a) $\left|w_{n}\right|=1+2^{-2 n-1}$,
b) $1-\left|z_{n}\right|<1-\left|z_{n-1}\right|$,

Now we apply Lemma 1 to the sequence of points $\left\{w_{n}\right\}$, and we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{\mathbb{D}}\left(z_{n}, z_{n-1}\right) & \geq d_{\Omega_{0}}\left(P\left(z_{n}\right), P\left(z_{n-1}\right)\right) \\
& =d_{\Omega_{0}}\left(w_{n}, w_{n-1}\right) \\
& \geq c n
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\frac{1-\left|z_{n-1}\right|^{2}}{1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}} \geq c 2^{c n}
$$

where $c>0$ is a universal constant and $n \in \mathbb{N}$.
Now we present simple geometric considerations to prepare for the application of the result of Beardon and Pommerenke. First we remark that for points $w_{n} \in \Omega$, satisfying $\left|w_{n}\right|=1+2^{-2 n-1}$ we have

$$
\operatorname{dist}\left(w_{n-1}, E\right) \leq 5 \operatorname{dist}\left(w_{n}, E\right)
$$

Next we observe that for any $w \in \Omega_{0}$ with $|w|=1+2^{-2 n-1}$, and any $a \in E$ for which $|w-a|=\operatorname{dist}(w, E)$, there exists $b \in E$ such that

$$
|\log | \frac{w-a}{b-a}|\mid \leq 2
$$

It now remains to combine the defining equation

$$
\lambda_{\mathbb{C} \mid E}(P(z))\left|P^{\prime}(z)\right|=\frac{1}{1-|z|^{2}}
$$

with the estimate of Beardon and Pommerenke,

$$
\lambda_{\mathbb{C} \backslash E}(w) \sim \frac{1}{\operatorname{dist}(w, E)(\beta(w)+1)}
$$

to obtain a lower estimate for $\left|P^{\prime}(z)\right|$. Indeed we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left|P^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\right|}{\left|P^{\prime}\left(z_{n-1}\right)\right|} & =\frac{\lambda_{\mathbb{C} \mid E}\left(w_{n-1}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{n-1}\right|^{2}\right)}{\lambda_{\mathbb{C} \backslash E}\left(w_{n}\right)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)} \\
& \geq c \frac{\operatorname{dist}\left(w_{n}, E\right)\left(1-\left|z_{n-1}\right|^{2}\right)}{\operatorname{dist}\left(w_{n-1}, E\right)\left(1-\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)} \\
& \geq c 2^{c n},
\end{aligned}
$$

or,

$$
\left|P^{\prime}\left(z_{n}\right)\right| \geq c 2^{c n}\left|P^{\prime}\left(z_{n-1}\right)\right|
$$

We close this note by discussing another domain $\Omega_{1}$ with properties similar to those of $\Omega_{0}$. We let $F_{n}=\left\{2^{-2 n} e^{2 \pi i 8^{-2 n} m}: 1 \leq m \leq 8^{2 n}\right\}$ be a set consisting of equidistributed points on the circle of radius $2^{-2 n}$. Then we let $F=\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n} \cup\{0\}$, and

$$
\Omega_{1}=\mathbb{C} \backslash F .
$$

In the following list of remarks we sketch the boundary behavior of the universal covering map $P: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega_{1}$.

## Remarks:

1. The set $\left\{\theta: \lim _{r \rightarrow 1} P\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right.$ exists $\}$ has measure zero in the interval $[0,2 \pi[$. This follows from the fact that the boundary of $\Omega_{1}$ is countable.
2. The point $\{0\}$ is a cluster point in $\partial \Omega_{1}$. Hence by the theorem of J. Fernandez and M. Melian $[\mathrm{F}-\mathrm{M}]$, the set $\left\{\theta: \lim _{r \rightarrow 1} P\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=0\right\}$ has Hausdorff dimension equal to one.
3. One can now adapt the proof of Theorem 1 to show that

$$
\limsup _{r \rightarrow 1}\left|P^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|=\infty
$$

whenever $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} P\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)=0$.
4. The set $A=\left\{\theta: \lim _{r \rightarrow 1} P\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \in \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}\right\}$ is at most countable, and for $\theta \in A$ we have

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|P^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r<\infty
$$

This follows by Proposition 5 in [J-M] from the fact that the points in $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_{n}$ are isolated in $\partial \Omega_{1}$.
5. Our previous remarks 3 ) and 4) contain the following dichotomy: The boundary of $\Omega_{1}$ can be decomposed as $\partial \Omega_{1}=X \cup Y$, such that the following holds,
a) If $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} P\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \in X$ then $\lim \sup _{r \rightarrow 1}\left|P^{\prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|=\infty$.
b) If $\lim _{r \rightarrow 1} P\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \in Y$ then $\int_{0}^{1}\left|P^{\prime \prime}\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d r<\infty$.
6. Note that the same dichotomy is also present in the examples $\Omega_{0}$ and $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{-1,1\}$.
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