Apparent Singularities of Differential Systems with Rational Function Coefficients.

M. A. Barkatou

XLIM UMR 7252, CNRS - University of Limoges - FRANCE

Workshop on "Computer Algebra in Combinatorics" ESI, Vienna, November 13 - 17, 2017

Includes joint work with Suzy Maddah

## **Introduction - Preliminaries**

### **Apparent Singularities**

 $\mathbf{K} = \mathbb{C}(z), \ ' = \frac{d}{dz}.$  For  $A \in Mat_n(\mathbf{K})$ , we denote by [A] the system: [A]  $\frac{dX}{dz} = A(z)X,$ 

- The (finite) singularities of system [A] are the poles of the entries of A(z).
- Singularities of solutions of the system [*A*] are among the singularities of [*A*], but the converse is not always true.

**Def.** An apparent singularity of [A] is a singular point where the general solution of [A] is holomorphic.

#### **Question:**

How to detect and remove the apparent singularities of a given system [*A*]?

### Example

$$[A] \qquad \frac{dX}{dz} = A(z)X, \quad A(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{-2}{z} & 1 + \frac{2}{z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

• A fundamental matrix solution of [A] is

$$\begin{bmatrix} e^z & 1+z+\frac{z^2}{2} \\ e^z & 1+z \end{bmatrix}$$

٠

- Hence z = 0 is an apparent singularity for [A].
- The polynomial gauge transformation

$$X = T(z) Y, \quad T(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & z^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

takes [A] into the equivalent system

$$[B] \quad \frac{d}{dz}Y = B Y$$

where

$$B = T[A] := T^{-1} \left( AT - \frac{dT}{dz} \right) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z^2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

- A general fact : Any system [A] with rational coefficients can be reduced to a *gauge equivalent* system [B] with rational coefficients, such that the finite singularities of [B] coincide with the non-apparent singularities of [A].
- Such a system [B] will be called a complete desingularization of [A]
- We present an algorithm which outputs a desingularization for any input system [A].
- More generally, given [A], to find a polynomial matrix T ∈ GL<sub>n</sub>(C(z)) such that B = T[A] satisfies den(B) | den(A), and den(B) is as "small" as possible.

### Previous and related works

- Desingularization of linear difference/ differential (and more generally Ore) operators, e.g.
  - Abramov, van Hoeij 1999
  - Tsai 2000
  - Abramov-B.-van Hoeij'2006,
  - Chen-Jaroschek-Kauers-Singer'2013, Chen-Kauers-Singer'2015
  - Yi Zhang, ISSAC'2016
- Desingularization of linear differential systems:
  - ▶ B.'2010,
  - B.-Maddah ISSAC'2015
- Desingularization of linear difference systems Maximilian Jaroschek' talk

### Classification of Singularities

Consider a System of first order linear differential equations:

$$[A] \qquad \frac{dX}{dz} = A(z)X, \ A(z) \in Mat_n(\mathbb{C}(z))$$

• A pole *z*<sub>0</sub> of *A*(*z*) is a regular singular point for [*A*] if there is a fundamental solution *W* of [*A*] has the form:

$$W(z) = \Phi(z)(z-z_0)^{\Lambda}$$

where  $\Phi(z)$  is holomorphic and  $\Lambda$  is a constant matrix.

- Otherwise  $z_0$  is called an irregular singular point.
- A system [A] has regular singularity at *z*<sub>0</sub> iff it is gauge equivalent to a system [B] with a simple pole at *z*<sub>0</sub>.
- We shall refer to simple poles of A(z) as simple singularities of [A].
- if *z*<sub>0</sub> is an apparent singularity then *z*<sub>0</sub> is a regular singularity and thus can be reduced to a simple one.

### Apparent singularities are removable

**Prop.0** If  $z = z_0$  is a finite apparent singularity of [*A*] then there exists a polynomial matrix T(z) with

det  $T(z) = c(z - z_0)^{\alpha}, \ c \in \mathbb{C}^*, \alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ 

such that [B] := T[A] has no pole at  $z = z_0$ .

Proof.

- Every fundamental solution *F* of [*A*] is holomorphic (in a neighborhood of *z*<sub>0</sub>);
- There exists matrices  $P(z) \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}[z])$ , and  $Q(z) \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}[[z z_0]])$  such that

$$P(z)F(z)Q(z) = Diag((z-z_0)^{\alpha_1},\ldots,(z-z_0)^{\alpha_n})$$

where  $\alpha_1, \ldots \alpha_n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

Take

$$T(z) = P^{-1}(z) Diag((z-z_0)^{\alpha_1}, \dots, (z-z_0)^{\alpha_n})$$

### Characterization of Regular Singularities

### How to recognize regular singularities?

**Problem 1**: Given a system [*A*] and a pole  $z_0$  of order  $p_{z_0}(A) > 1$  to decide whether  $z_0$  is regular singular or not.

In other words, to decide if the order of a given singularity can be reduced to 1 or not?

► There is no analogue of the Fuchs' Criterion.

**Problem 2**: Given a system [*A*] and a pole  $z_0$  of order  $p_{z_0}(A) > 1$ , to decide whether there exists  $T \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}((z - z_0)))$  such that  $p_{z_0}(T[A]) < p_{z_0}(A)$ .

► There is a method due to Moser (1960) which solve these two problems.

▶ Rational Moser -Algorithm Barkatou'1995: It transforms a given system over  $\mathbb{C}(z)$  into an equivalent one for which the orders of the finite poles are reduced to their minimal values.

▶ Other methods for reducing the rank of a singularity (to its minimal value) do exist: Levelt (1992), Wagenfurer (1989), ..., B.-Pfluegel (2007, 2008), B.-El Bacha (2012).

### An example



▶ When applied to [A] and the roots of the irreducible polynomial  $z^3 - 2$ , Algorithm [Bar'95] produces the equivalent matrix

$$B = T[A] = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{10 z^3 + 4}{z(z^3 - 2)} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{1}{z^3(z^3 - 2)} & -\frac{8 z^3 + 2}{z(z^3 - 2)} & 0 & \frac{z^2}{z^3 - 2} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{z^4(z^3 - 2)} & \frac{z^3 - 8}{z(z^3 - 2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{z^3(z^3 - 2)} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the gauge transformation

$$T = \left[ egin{array}{cccc} 0 & \left(z^3-2
ight)^3 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & z^3-2 & 0 \ \left(z^3-2
ight)^4 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{array} 
ight]$$

- The denominator of the matrix *B* is  $z^4(z^3 2)$ .
- Hence the system [A] has regular singularities at the zeros of  $q = z^3 2$ .

Applied to [B] and  $z_0 = 0$ , Algorithm [Bar'95] produces the equivalent matrix

$$C = S[B] = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{z(z^3-2)} & \frac{15z^3-6}{z(z^3-2)} \\ \frac{1}{z^2(z^3-2)} & -\frac{12z^3-6}{z(z^3-2)} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{z^2(z^3-2)} & -\frac{4+z^3}{z(z^3-2)} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{15z^3-6}{z(z^3-2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

and the transformation

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -z^5 \\ 0 & z^4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & z^2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

▶ z = 0 is still a pole of order > 1, hence the point z = 0 is an irregular singular point of the original system [*A*].

### Moser's Method

•We assume here  $z_0 = 0$ 

$$A(z)=rac{1}{z^{
ho}}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}A_kz^k, \ A_k\in M_n(\mathbb{C}), \ A_0
eq 0.$$

▶ A necessary condition that there exist a gauge transformation  $T \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}((z)))$  such that  $T[A] = \frac{1}{x^{p'+1}}(B_0 + B_1z + \cdots)$  with p' < p  $(B_0 \neq 0)$ , is that  $A_0$  is nilpotent.

**Moser rank**:  $m(A) = p - 1 + \frac{rank(A_0)}{n}$  if p > 1, otherwise m(A) = 1.

Moser invariant:  $\mu(A) = \min \{ m(T[A]) \mid T \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}((z))) \}$ 

**Definition.** [*A*] is said to be Moser-reducible if  $m(A) > \mu(A)$ .

- [A] is Moser-reducible  $\iff \exists T \in GL(n, \mathbb{C}((z)))$  such that m(T[A]) < m(A).
- z = 0 is regular singular for  $[A] \iff \mu(A) = 1$ .

### A Criterion for Moser-reducibility

Theorem. [Moser 1960]

• If p > 1 then A is Moser-reducible iff the polynomial

$$\mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{A}}(\lambda) := z^{\operatorname{rank}(\mathcal{A}_0)} \det \left( \lambda I - \mathcal{A}_0 / z - \mathcal{A}_1 \right)_{|_{z=0}} \equiv 0.$$

If A is Moser-reducible then the reduction can be carried out with a transformation of the form
 T = (P<sub>0</sub> + zP<sub>1</sub>)diag(1,...,1,z,...,z), P<sub>i</sub> ∈ C<sup>n×n</sup>, detP<sub>0</sub> ≠ 0.

- Applying Moser's Theorem several times, if necessary,  $\mu(A)$  can be determined.
- Further, a polynomial matrix *T* such that *m*(*T*[*A*]) = μ(*A*) can be computed in this way

### Review of Moser-reduction Algorithms

- There are various algorithms to compute *T* such that *T*[*A*] is Moser-reduced.
- Moser's paper: no constructive algorithm given.
- Dietrich (1978), Hilali/Wazner (1987): first efficient algorithms,
- Bar'1995: version for rational function coefficients, implemented in ISOLDE
- B.-Pflügel (2007): New reduction algorithm + complexity analysis.

### Description of Moser Algorithm

• By a constant gauge transformation we can put A<sub>0</sub> in the form:

$$A_0 = \begin{pmatrix} A_0^{11} & 0 \\ A_0^{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, A_0^{11} \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times r} r = rank(A_0).$$

• Let  $A_1$  be partitioned so that  $A_1^{11}$  is a square matrix of order *r*:

$$A_1 = \left( \begin{array}{cc} A_1^{11} & A_1^{12} \\ A_1^{21} & A_1^{22} \end{array} \right),$$

Consider

$$G_{\lambda}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} A_0^{11} & A_1^{12} \\ A_0^{21} & A_1^{22} + \lambda I_{n-r} \end{pmatrix}.$$

- Then det  $G_{\lambda}(A)) = \mathcal{B}_{A}(\lambda)$ .
- A is Moser-reducible  $\iff$  det  $G_{\lambda}(A) \equiv 0$ .

Case 1:  $rank(A_0^{11} A_1^{12}) < r$  (I)

A is Moser-reducible 
$$\iff \begin{vmatrix} A_0^{11} & A_1^{12} \\ A_0^{21} & A_1^{22} + \lambda I_{n-r} \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

**Proposition 1** If m(A) > 1 and  $rank(A_0^{11} A_1^{12}) < r$ , then A is M-reducible and the reduction can be carried out with the gauge transformation

 $T = diag(zI_r, I_{n-r}).$ 

**Proof**: Let 
$$B = T[A] = T^{-1}AT - T^{-1}\frac{dT}{dz}$$
.

$$B = z^{-p}[B_0 + zB_1 + \cdots] + z^{-1}diag(I_r, 0)$$

where

$$B_0=\left(egin{array}{cc} A_0^{11}&A_1^{12}\ 0&0 \end{array}
ight),$$

Since p > 1, then  $m(B) = p - 1 + rank(B_0)/n < m(A) = p - 1 + r/n$ .

# Case 1: $rank(A_0^{11} A_1^{12}) < r$ An example

$$A = z^{-2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + z^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 4 & 0 \\ 0 & -3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -4 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
  
Here  $p = 2, r = 1 \Rightarrow m(A) = 1 + 1/2 = 3/2 > 1.$   

$$det G_{\lambda}(A) = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 2 & -3 + \lambda \end{vmatrix} = 0 \Rightarrow A \text{ is Moser-reducible.}$$
  
Take  

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} z & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$B := T[A] = T^{-1}AT - T^{-1}T' = \frac{1}{z} \begin{pmatrix} 3 & -4 \\ 2 & -3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

• The system Z' = BZ has a singularity of first kind at z = 0.

• Hence Y' = AY has a regular singularity at z = 0.

٠

# Case 2: $rank(A_0^{11} \ A_1^{12}) = r$ (I)

**Proposition 2** If *A* is M-reducible and  $rank(A_0^{11} A_1^{12}) = r$ , then there exists a constant matrix *Q* such that the matrix  $G_{\lambda}(Q[A])$  has the form has the following particular form:

$$G_{\lambda}(A) = \begin{pmatrix} A_0^{11} & U_1 & U_2 \\ V_1 & W_1 + \lambda I_{n-r-h} & W_2 \\ 0 & 0 & W_3 + \lambda I_h \end{pmatrix},$$
(1)

where  $1 \le h \le n - r$ , W1, W3 are square matrices of order (n - r - h) and h respectively, W<sub>3</sub> is upper triangular with zero diagonal with the condition

$$rank(A_0^{11} \ U_1) < r \tag{2}$$

# Case 2: $rank(A_0^{11} A_1^{12}) = r$ (II)

**Proposition 3** If m(A) > 1 and  $G_{\lambda}(A)$  has the form (1) with the condition (2), then *A* is reducible and the reduction can be carried out with the transformation

 $T = diag(zI_r, I_{n-r-h}, zI_h)$ 

**Proof**: Put  $B = T[A] = T^{-1}AT - T^{-1}\frac{dT}{dz}$ . One has

$$B = z^{-p}[B_0 + zB_1 + \cdots] + z^{-1}\text{diag}(I_r, 0, I_h)$$

where

$$B_0 = \left( egin{array}{ccc} A_0^{11} & U_1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} 
ight),$$

and then  $rank(B_0) = rank(A_0^{11} \ U_1) < r = rank(A_0)$ . On the other hand since p > 1, then  $m(B) = p - 1 + rank(B_0)/n$ . Hence m(B) < m(A).

### Moser-reduction (End)

If *A* is Moser-reducible and m(A) > 1 then one can construct a matrix polynomial *S* of the form :

S = Udiag $(z, z, \cdots, z, 1, 1, \cdots, 1)$ 

where  $U \in GL(n, \mathbb{C})$ , such that m(S[A]) < m(A).

- Moser's Theorem allows us to check whether A is Moser-reducible.
- If A is Moser-reducible then by the above theorem we can find a matrix S such that m(S[A]) < m(A).</li>
- After this reduction has been carried out we can apply Moser's Theorem to check whether further reduction is possible and so on.
- After at most n(p-1) steps we obtain an equivalent matrix *B* such that  $m(B) = \mu(A)$ .
- The nature of the singularity depends on the first n(p-1) coefficients in the series expansion of A

## **Removal of Apparent Singularities**

**Prop.1**: If  $z = z_0$  is a finite apparent singularity of [*A*] then one can <u>construct</u> a <u>polynomial</u> matrix T(z) with det  $T(z) = c(z - z_0)^{\alpha}$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  such that T[A] has at worst a simple pole at  $z = z_0$ .

This follows from the fact that:

- if  $z_0$  is an apparent singularity then  $z_0$  is a regular singularity,
- and that a system with a regular singularity at  $z_0$  is equivalent to a system with a simple pole at  $z_0$ .
- The transformation *T* can be constructed using the *rational Moser algorithm* (developed in Bar'1995).

### How to detect and remove an apparent singularity? (II)

**Prop.2** Suppose that A(z) has simple pole at  $z = z_0$  and let

$$A(z) = rac{A_0}{(z-z_0)} + \sum_{k\geq 1} A_k (z-z_0)^{k-1}, \ A_k \in \mathbb{C}^{n imes n}.$$

If  $z_0$  is an apparent singularity then the eigenvalues of  $A_0$  are nonnegative integers and  $A_0$  is diagonalizable.

This follows from the fact that:

• A system having a simple singularity at  $z = z_0$  with residue matrix  $A_0$  possesses a local fundamental solution of the form:

$$\Phi(z)(z-z_0)^{\Lambda}$$

where  $\Phi(z)$  is holomorphic at  $z = z_0$  and  $\Lambda$  is a constant matrix with

 $spec(\Lambda) \subset spec(A_0) - \mathbb{N}$ 

• When *A*<sub>0</sub> is not diagonalizable, the local solutions at *z*<sub>0</sub> involve logarithmic terms.

M. Barkatou

### How to detect and remove an apparent singularity? (III)

**Prop.3**: Suppose that  $z = z_0$  is a simple pole of A(z) and that its residue matrix  $A_0$  has only nonnegative integer eigenvalues. Then one can construct a polynomial matrix T(z) with

 $\det T(z) = c(z-z_0)^{\alpha}$ 

for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$B := T[A] = B_0(z - z_0)^{-1} + \cdots$$

has at worst a simple pole at  $z = z_0$  with

 $B_0 = mI_n + N$ 

where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and N nilpotent.

- Moreover  $z_0$  is an apparent singularity iff N = 0.
- In this case the gauge transformation  $Y = (z z_0)^m \tilde{Y}$  leads to a system for which  $z = z_0$  is an ordinary point.

How to detect and remove an apparent singularity? (IV)

Skech of the proof of Prop. 3:

• The eigenvalues of A<sub>0</sub> of are nonnegative integers:

 $m_1 > m_2 > \ldots > m_s, \ m_i - m_{i+1} = \ell_i \in \mathbb{N}^*, \ i = 1, \ldots, s - 1.$ 

• By applying a constant gauge transformation we can assume that:

$${\cal A}_0 = \left( egin{array}{cc} {\cal A}_0^{11} & 0 \ 0 & {\cal A}_0^{22} \end{array} 
ight),$$

where  $A_0^{11}$  is an  $\nu_1$  by  $\nu_1$  matrix having one single eigenvalue  $m_1$ 

$$A_0^{11} = m_1 I_{\nu_1} + N_1$$

N<sub>1</sub> being a nilpotent matrix.

• Apply the gauge transformation  $U = diag((z - z_0)I_{\nu_1}, I_{n-\nu_1})$  yields the new system:

$$Z' = (z - z_0)^{-1} \tilde{A}(z) Z, \quad \tilde{A}(z) = (z - z_0) U^{-1} A(z) U - (z - z_0) U^{-1} U'$$

with the leading matrix:

$$\tilde{A}(z_0) = \left(A_0 + (z - z_0)U^{-1}A_1U - (z - z_0)U^{-1}U'\right)_{|z=z_0}$$

• Let  $A_1$  be partitioned as  $A_0$ :

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1^{11} & A_1^{12} \\ A_1^{21} & A_1^{22} \end{pmatrix}, \quad A_1^{11} \in \mathbb{C}^{\nu_1 \times \nu_1}$$

Then

$$\widetilde{A}(z_0) = \left( egin{array}{cc} A_0^{11} - I_{
u_1} & A_1^{12} \ 0 & A_0^{22} \end{array} 
ight).$$

Hence the eigenvalues of  $\tilde{A}(0)$  are:  $m_1 - 1, m_2, ..., m_s$ , each with the same initial multiplicity  $\nu_i$ .

### How to detect and remove an apparent singularity? (V)

By repeating this process  $\ell_1$  times, the eigenvalues become:

 $m_1 - \ell_1 = m_2, m_2, \ldots, m_s.$ 

► Thus, after  $\ell_1 + \ldots + \ell_{s-1}$  steps, the eigenvalues  $m_1, \ldots, m_s$  are reduced to one single eigenvalue  $m_s$  of multiplicity  $\nu_1 + \ldots + \nu_s = n$ .

▶  $z_0$  is an apparent singularity iff N = 0.

▶ In this case the gauge transformation  $Y = (z - z_0)^{m_s} \tilde{Y}$  leads to a system for which  $z = z_0$  is an ordinary point.

• The matrix *T* in Prop3 is obtained as a product of invertible constant matrices or diagonal matrices of the form  $U = diag((z - z_0)I_{\nu}, I_{n-\nu})$ .

Hence *T* is a polynomial matrix with det  $T(z) = c(z - z_0)^{\alpha}$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$ .

• Due to the form of its determinant, the gauge transformation T(z) in the above proposition does not affect the other finite singularities of [A].

**Theorem** One can construct a polynomial matrix T(z) which is invertible in  $\mathbb{C}(z)$  such that the finite poles of B := T[A] are exactly the true singularities for [A].

## Algorithm of Desingularization

Step 1 Reduce the rank of each singularity to its minimal value :

Compute a polynomial matrix T(z) such that

- the zeros of det T(z) are in  $\mathcal{P}(A)$
- ► *T*[*A*] has the same poles as *A* with minimal orders.
- Step 2 Select simple singularities with nonnegative exponents:

For each simple pole  $z_0$  compute  $A_{0,z_0} := res_{z=z_0}A(z)$  and its spectrum.

 $\mathcal{A}pp(A) := \{z_0 \text{ simple singularities such that } spec(A_{0,z_0}) \subset \mathbb{N}\}$ 

Step 3 Make all exponents equal:

For  $z_0 \in App(A)$  compute a polynomial matrix  $T_{z_0}(z)$  with det  $T_{z_0}(z) = c(z - z_0)^{\alpha}$  such that  $T_{z_0}[A]$  has at worst a simple pole at  $z = z_0$  with residue matrix of the form  $R_{z_0} = m_{z_0}I_n + N_{z_0}$  where  $m_{z_0} \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $N_{z_0}$  nilpotent.

Step 4 Determine the apparent singularities of [A]:

Keep in App(A) only the points  $z_0$  for which  $N_{z_0} = 0$ .

Step 5 Shift the exponent to 0 :

Apply the scalar transformation  $T = \prod_{z_0 \in App(A)} (z - z_0)^{m_{z_0}} I_n$ .

### Application to Desingularization of Scalar Differential Equations

### Desingularization of Scalar differential Equations

- Removing apparent singularities of  $L \in \mathbb{C}(z)[\partial]$ :
- $\rightarrow$  to construct another operator  $\tilde{L} \in \mathbb{C}(z)[\partial]$  such that:
  - (*i*) any solution of L(y) = 0 is a solution of  $\tilde{L}(y) = 0$ , i.e.  $\tilde{L} = R \circ L$  for some  $R \in \mathbb{C}(z)[\partial]$
- (*ii*) and the singularities of  $\tilde{L}$  are exactly the singularities of *L* that are not apparent.
  - Such an operator  $\tilde{L}$  is called a desingularization of *L*.

**Example:**  $L = \partial - \frac{\mu}{z}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{N}.$ 

The operator  $\tilde{L} = \partial^{\mu+1}$  is a desingularization of *L*.

• Several algorithms have been developed for linear differential (and more generally Ore) operators, e.g.

- Abramov-B.-van Hoeij'2006,
- Chen-Jaroschek-Kauers-Singer'2013, Chen-Kauers-Singer'2015
- We developed, in [ABH 2006]<sup>1</sup> an algorithm that, given an operator *L* of order *n*, produces a desingularization  $\tilde{L}$  with minimal order  $m \ge n + 1$ .
- This algorithm has been implemented in Maple.
- I will refer to this algorithm as ABH method.

<sup>1</sup> S. ABRAMOV, M. BARKATOU and M. van HOEIJ AAECC 2006

### Example 1

Consider the second order operator

$$L:=\partial^2-\frac{(z+2)}{z}\partial+\frac{2}{z}.$$

- z = 0 is a singularity of *L*.
- The general solution of L(y) = 0 is given by

$$c_1e^z+c_2\left(1+z+rac{z^2}{2}
ight)$$
  $c_1,c_2\in\mathbb{C}.$ 

- *L* has an apparent singularity at z = 0.
- The desingularization computed by ABH method is of order 4

$$\tilde{L} = \partial^4 + \left(-1 + \frac{z}{4}\right)\partial^3 + \left(-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{3z}{8}\right)\partial^2 + \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{z}{8}\right)\partial - \frac{1}{4}$$

- The apparent singularity of L at z = 0 can be removed by computing a gauge equivalent first-order differential system with coefficient in C(z) of size ord(L) = 2.
- Consider the first-order differential system associated with L

$$[A] \qquad \frac{d}{dz}X = A(z)X, \quad A(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ \frac{-2}{z} & 1 + \frac{2}{z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Set

$$X = T(z) Y$$
, where  $T(z) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & z^2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

• The new variable Y satisfies the gauge equivalent first-order differential system of the same dimension given by

$$[B] \quad \frac{d}{dz} Y = B Y$$

where

$$B := T^{-1}AT - T^{-1}\frac{d}{dz}T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & z^2 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

#### Example 2

Consider

$$L = \partial^2 + \frac{(3 z^2 - 4)}{z (z^2 + 2)} \partial - 2 \frac{-1 + 2 z^2}{z^2 + 2}$$

• *L* has an apparent singularity at z = 0 with local exponents 0 and 3.

• The desingularization computed by ABH method is of order 4

$$\tilde{L} = \partial^4 + 1/2 \frac{z \left(24+7 z^2\right)}{z^2+2} \ \partial^3 + 1/2 \frac{\left(58 z^2+88+27 z^4\right)}{\left(z^2+2\right)^2} \ \partial^2$$
$$-1/2 \frac{z \left(-4 z^2+4+93 z^4+28 z^6\right)}{\left(z^2+2\right)^3} \ \partial -4 \frac{44 z^2+16+42 z^4+7 z^6}{\left(z^2+2\right)^3}.$$

• The companion matrix of *L* is

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 2 \frac{-1+2z^2}{z^2+2} & -\frac{3z^2-4}{z(z^2+2)} \end{bmatrix}$$

- It has a simple pole at z = 0 with a residue matrix  $A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$ .
- Our algorithm computes the following gauge transformation T

$$T = \left[ \begin{array}{rrr} 1 & 0 \\ z & -z^2 \end{array} \right]$$

• The matrix of the new equivalent system is

• It has z = 0 as ordinary point.

### Example 3

• Let  $\partial = \frac{d}{dz}$  and consider

$$L = \partial^2 - \frac{(z^2 - 3)(z^2 - 2z + 2)}{(z - 1)(z^2 - 3z + 3)z} \partial + \frac{(z - 2)(2z^2 - 3z + 3)}{(z - 1)(z^2 - 3z + 3)z}.$$

- *L* has apparent singularities at z = 0 and the roots of  $z^2 3z + 3 = 0$ .
- A desingularization computed by the classical algorithm<sup>2</sup> is given by:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{L}_{Classical} &= (z-1)(z^4-z^3+3\,z^2-6\,z+6)\partial^4 \\ &-(z^5-2\,z^4+z^3-12\,z^2+24\,z-24)\,\partial^3 \\ &-(3\,z^3+9\,z^2)\,\partial^2+(6\,z^2+18\,z)\,\partial-(6\,z+18). \end{split}$$

<sup>2</sup>Exm 1, Chen-Kauers-Singer'14

• A desingularization computed by the probabilistic method of CKS14<sup>3</sup> is given by:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{CKS} &= (z-1) \left( z^6 - 3 \, z^5 + 3 \, z^4 - z^3 + 6 \right) \partial^4 \\ &- \left( 2 \, z^6 - 9 \, z^5 + 15 \, z^4 - 11 \, z^3 + 3 \, z^2 - 24 \right) \partial^3 \\ &- \left( z^7 - 4 \, z^6 + 6 \, z^5 - 4 \, z^4 + z^3 + 6 \, z - 6 \right) \partial \\ &+ \left( 2 \, z^6 - 9 \, z^5 + 15 \, z^4 - 11 \, z^3 + 3 \, z^2 - 24 \right). \end{split}$$

• The removal of one apparent singularity introduces new singularities. The latter can then be removed by using a trick introduced in ABH algorithm.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Exm 7(1), Chen-Kauers-Singer'14

• The desingularization computed by ABH method is:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{ABH} &= \partial^4 + \frac{(16\,z^4 - 55\,z^3 + 63\,z^2 - 42\,z + 36)}{9\,(z-1)}\,\partial^3 \\ &- \frac{(64\,z^5 - 316\,z^4 + 591\,z^3 - 468\,z^2 + 123\,z + 42)}{9\,(z-1)^2}\,\partial^2 \end{split}$$

$$-\frac{96 \, z^5-570 \, z^4+1333 \, z^3-1597 \, z^2+993 \, z-219}{9 \, (z-1)^3}$$

$$+\frac{\beta}{9(z-1)^3}\partial$$

where

$$\beta = (48 z^6 - 197 z^5 + 148 z^4 + 488 z^3 - 1162 z^2 + 999 z - 288).$$

• The companion matrix of *L* is

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1\\ \frac{(z-2)(2z^2-3z+3)}{(z-1)(z^2-3z+3)z} & \frac{(z^2-3)(z^2-2z+2)}{(z-1)(z^2-3z+3)z} \end{bmatrix}$$

Our new algorithm computes the following gauge transformation T

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & (-z^2 + 3z - 3)z^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The matrix of the new equivalent system is

$$B = T^{-1}(AT - T') = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -z^2 (z^2 - 3z + 3) \\ 0 & \frac{2}{1-z} \end{bmatrix}$$

- It has z = 0 and roots of  $z^2 3z + 3 = 0$  as ordinary points.
- No new apparent singularities are introduced.

#### **Comments**

- The desingularization algorithms developed specifically for scalar equations are based on computing a least common left multiple of the operator in question and an appropriately chosen operator.
- This outputs an equation whose solution space contains strictly the solution space of the input equation.
- The new algorithm is based on an adequate choice of a gauge transformation.
- The desingularized output system is always equivalent to the input system and the dimension of the solution space is preserved.
- The transformations and the equivalent systems computed by our algorithm, have rational function coefficients.