

# A FAMILY OF $q$ -HYPERGEOMETRIC CONGRUENCES MODULO THE FOURTH POWER OF A CYCLOTOMIC POLYNOMIAL

VICTOR J. W. GUO AND MICHAEL J. SCHLOSSER

ABSTRACT. We prove a two-parameter family of  $q$ -hypergeometric congruences modulo the fourth power of a cyclotomic polynomial. Crucial ingredients in our proof are George Andrews' multiseries extension of the Watson transformation, and a Karlsson–Minton type summation for very-well-poised basic hypergeometric series due to George Gasper. The new family of  $q$ -congruences is then used to prove two conjectures posed earlier by the authors.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In 1914, Ramanujan [26] presented a number of fast approximations of  $1/\pi$ , including

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (6k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3 4^k} = \frac{4}{\pi}, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $(a)_n = a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)$  denotes the rising factorial. In 1997, Van Hamme [31] proposed 13 interesting  $p$ -adic analogues of Ramanujan-type formulas, such as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} (6k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{2})_k^3}{k!^3 4^k} \equiv p(-1)^{(p-1)/2} \pmod{p^4}, \quad (1.2)$$

where  $p > 3$  is a prime. Van Hamme's supercongruence (1.2) was first proved by Long [21]. It should be pointed out that all of the 13 supercongruences have been proved by different techniques (see [25, 29]). For some background on Ramanujan-type supercongruences, the reader is referred to Zudilin's paper [33].

In 2016, Long and Ramakrishna [22, Thm. 2] proved the following supercongruence:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (6k+1) \frac{(\frac{1}{3})_k^6}{k!^6} \equiv \begin{cases} -p\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^9 \pmod{p^6}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}, \\ -\frac{10p^4}{27}\Gamma_p\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^9 \pmod{p^6}, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33D15; Secondary 11A07, 11B65.

*Key words and phrases.* basic hypergeometric series; supercongruences;  $q$ -congruences; cyclotomic polynomial; Andrews' transformation, Gasper's summation.

The first author was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11771175).

The second author was partially supported by FWF Austrian Science Fund grant P 32305.

where  $\Gamma_p(x)$  is the  $p$ -adic Gamma function. This result for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$  confirms the (D.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme, which asserts a congruence modulo  $p^4$ .

During the past few years, many congruences and supercongruences were generalized to the  $q$ -setting by various authors (see, for instance, [4–18, 23, 24, 28, 30]). In particular, the authors [15, Thm. 2.3] proposed the following partial  $q$ -analogue of Long and Ramakrishna's supercongruence (1.3):

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [6k+1] \frac{(q; q^3)_k^6}{(q^3; q^3)_k^6} q^{3k} \equiv \begin{cases} 0 \pmod{[n]}, & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}, \\ 0 \pmod{[n]\Phi_n(q)}, & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

Here and throughout the paper, we adopt the standard  $q$ -notation (cf. [3]): For an indeterminate  $q$ , let

$$(a; q)_n = (1-a)(1-aq)\cdots(1-aq^{n-1})$$

be the  $q$ -shifted factorial. For convenience, we compactly write

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m; q)_n = (a_1; q)_n (a_2; q)_n \cdots (a_m; q)_n.$$

Moreover,  $[n] = [n]_q = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$  denotes the  $q$ -integer and  $\Phi_n(q)$  the  $n$ -th cyclotomic polynomial in  $q$ , which may be defined as

$$\Phi_n(q) = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq n \\ \gcd(n, k) = 1}} (q - \zeta^k),$$

where  $\zeta$  is an  $n$ -th primitive root of unity.

The authors [15, Conjectures 12.10 and 12.11] also proposed the following conjectures, the first one generalizing the  $q$ -congruence (1.4) for  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

**Conjecture 1.** *Let  $d \geq 3$  and  $n$  be positive integers with  $n \equiv -1 \pmod{d}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^M [2dk+1] \frac{(q; q^d)_k^{2d}}{(q^d; q^d)_k^{2d}} q^{d(d-2)k} \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]\Phi_n(q)^3},$$

where  $M = ((d-1)n-1)/d$  or  $n-1$ .

**Conjecture 2.** *Let  $d \geq 3$  and  $n > 1$  be integers with  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^M [2dk-1] \frac{(q^{-1}; q^d)_k^{2d}}{(q^d; q^d)_k^{2d}} q^{d^2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]\Phi_n(q)^3},$$

where  $M = ((d-1)n+1)/d$  or  $n-1$ .

Note that Conjecture 1 does not hold for  $d=2$  while Conjecture 2 is still true for  $d=2$ . In fact, the first author and Wang [17] proved that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n-1)/2} [4k+1] \frac{(q; q^2)_k^4}{(q^2; q^2)_k^4} \equiv q^{(1-n)/2} [n] + \frac{(n^2-1)(1-q)^2}{24} q^{(1-n)/2} [n]^3 \pmod{[n]\Phi_n(q)^3}$$

for odd  $n$ , and the authors [14] showed that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{(n+1)/2} [4k-1] \frac{(q^{-1}; q^2)_k^4}{(q^2; q^2)_k^4} q^{4k} \equiv -(1+3q+q^2)[n]^4 \pmod{[n]^4 \Phi_n(q)}$$

for odd  $n > 1$ .

The last two  $q$ -congruences are quite special, as they are rare examples of  $q$ -hypergeometric congruences that were rigorously shown to hold modulo a high (fourth and even fifth) power of a cyclotomic polynomial. The main purpose of this paper is to add a complete two-parameter family of  $q$ -hypergeometric congruences to the list of such  $q$ -congruences (see Theorem 1).

We shall also prove that Conjectures 1 and 2 are true. Our proof relies on the following result:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $d, r, n$  be integers satisfying  $d \geq 3$ ,  $r \leq d-2$  (in particular,  $r$  may be negative), and  $n \geq d-r$ , such that  $d$  and  $r$  are coprime, and  $n \equiv -r \pmod{d}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [2dk+r] \frac{(q^r; q^d)_k^{2d}}{(q^d; q^d)_k^{2d}} q^{d(d-1-r)k} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^4}. \quad (1.5)$$

This result is similar in nature to the two-parameter result in [8, Thm. 1.1] which, however, only concerned a  $q$ -congruence modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ .

Note that the  $q$ -congruence (1.5) is still true when the sum is over  $k$  from 0 to  $((d-1)n-r)/d$ , since  $(q^r; q^d)_k / (q^d; q^d)_k \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$  for  $((d-1)n-r)/d < k \leq n-1$ . (Also, we must have  $((d-1)n-r)/d \leq n-1$  since  $n \geq d-r$ .) Thus, Theorem 1 implies that Conjectures 1 and 2 hold modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^4$ .

To prove that Conjectures 1 and 2 also hold modulo  $[n]$  (which in conjunction with Theorem 1 would fully establish the validity of the conjectures), we need to prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $d \geq 3$  and  $n$  be positive integers with  $\gcd(d, n) = 1$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [2dk+1] \frac{(q; q^d)_k^{2d}}{(q^d; q^d)_k^{2d}} q^{d(d-2)k} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}, \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [2dk-1] \frac{(q^{-1}; q^d)_k^{2d}}{(q^d; q^d)_k^{2d}} q^{d^2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}. \quad (1.7)$$

We shall prove Theorem 1 in Section 2 by making a careful use of Andrews' multiseried generalization (2.2) of the Watson transformation [1, Theorem 4], combined with a special case of Gasper's very-well-poised Karlsson–Minton type summation [2, Eq. (5.13)]. We point out that Andrews' transformation plays an important role in combinatorics and number theory. For example, this transformation was utilized by Zudilin [32] to solve

a problem of Asmus Schmidt. It was used by Krattenthaler and Rivoal [20] to provide an alternative proof of a result by Zudilin that relates a very-well-poised hypergeometric series with a Vasilenko–Vasilev-type multiple integral, the latter serving as a tool in the study of the arithmetic behaviour of values of the Riemann zeta function at integers. Andrews' transformation was also used by the first author, Jouhet and Zeng [11] to prove some  $q$ -congruences involving  $q$ -binomial coefficients. The couple Hessami Pilehrood [19] used this transformation to give a short proof of a theorem of Zagier. Recently, the present authors [13, 16] applied Andrews' transformation to establish some  $q$ -congruences for truncated basic hypergeometric series. We shall prove Theorem 2 in Section 3. The proof of Conjectures 1 and 2 will be given in Section 4. We conclude this short paper by Section 5, where we state an open problem involving a  $q$ -hypergeometric congruence modulo the fifth power of a cyclotomic polynomial.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

We first give a simple  $q$ -congruence modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ , which was already used in [16].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $\alpha, r$  be integers and  $n$  a positive integer. Then*

$$(q^{r-\alpha n}, q^{r+\alpha n}; q^d)_k \equiv (q^r; q^d)_k^2 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}. \quad (2.1)$$

*Proof.* For any integer  $j$ , it is easy to check that

$$(1 - q^{\alpha n - dj + d - r})(1 - q^{\alpha n + dj - d + r}) + (1 - q^{dj - d + r})^2 q^{\alpha n - dj + d - r} = (1 - q^{\alpha n})^2$$

and  $1 - q^{\alpha n} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$ , and so

$$(1 - q^{\alpha n - dj + d - r})(1 - q^{\alpha n + dj - d + r}) \equiv -(1 - q^{dj - d + r})^2 q^{\alpha n - dj + d - r} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)^2}.$$

The proof then follows easily from the above  $q$ -congruence.  $\square$

We will make use of a powerful transformation formula due to Andrews [1, Theorem 4], which can be stated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{(a, q\sqrt{a}, -q\sqrt{a}, b_1, c_1, \dots, b_m, c_m, q^{-N}; q)_k}{(q, \sqrt{a}, -\sqrt{a}, aq/b_1, aq/c_1, \dots, aq/b_m, aq/c_m, aq^{N+1}; q)_k} \left( \frac{a^m q^{m+N}}{b_1 c_1 \cdots b_m c_m} \right)^k \\ &= \frac{(aq, aq/b_m c_m; q)_N}{(aq/b_m, aq/c_m; q)_N} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1} \geq 0} \frac{(aq/b_1 c_1; q)_{j_1} \cdots (aq/b_{m-1} c_{m-1}; q)_{j_{m-1}}}{(q; q)_{j_1} \cdots (q; q)_{j_{m-1}}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(b_2, c_2; q)_{j_1} \cdots (b_m, c_m; q)_{j_1 + \cdots + j_{m-1}}}{(aq/b_1, aq/c_1; q)_{j_1} \cdots (aq/b_{m-1}, aq/c_{m-1}; q)_{j_1 + \cdots + j_{m-1}}} \\ & \quad \times \frac{(q^{-N}; q)_{j_1 + \cdots + j_{m-1}}}{(b_m c_m q^{-N}/a; q)_{j_1 + \cdots + j_{m-1}}} \frac{(aq)^{j_{m-2} + \cdots + (m-2)j_1} q^{j_1 + \cdots + j_{m-1}}}{(b_2 c_2)^{j_1} \cdots (b_{m-1} c_{m-1})^{j_1 + \cdots + j_{m-2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

This transformation actually constitutes a multiseried generalization of Watson's  ${}_8\phi_7$  transformation formula (see [3, Appendix (III.18)]) which we state here in standard notation for basic hypergeometric series [3, Section 1]:

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_8\phi_7 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, -qa^{\frac{1}{2}}, b, c, d, e, q^{-n} \\ a^{\frac{1}{2}}, -a^{\frac{1}{2}}, aq/b, aq/c, aq/d, aq/e, aq^{n+1} \end{matrix} ; q, \frac{a^2q^{n+2}}{bcde} \right] \\ &= \frac{(aq, aq/de; q)_n}{(aq/d, aq/e; q)_n} {}_4\phi_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} aq/bc, d, e, q^{-n} \\ aq/b, aq/c, deq^{-n}/a \end{matrix} ; q, q \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Next, we recall the following very-well-poised Karlsson–Minton type summation by Gasper [2, Eq. (5.13)] (see also [3, Ex. 2.33 (i)]).

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a, q\sqrt{a}, -q\sqrt{a}, b, a/b, d, e_1, aq^{n_1+1}/e_1, \dots, e_m, aq^{n_m+1}/e_m; q)_k}{(q, \sqrt{a}, -\sqrt{a}, aq/b, bq, aq/d, aq/e_1, e_1q^{-n_1}, \dots, aq/e_m, e_mq^{-n_m}; q)_k} \left( \frac{q^{1-\nu}}{d} \right)^k \\ &= \frac{(q, aq, aq/bd, bq/d; q)_{\infty}}{(bq, aq/b, aq/d, q/d; q)_{\infty}} \prod_{j=1}^m \frac{(aq/be_j, bq/e_j; q)_{n_j}}{(aq/e_j, q/e_j; q)_{n_j}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where  $n_1, \dots, n_m$  are nonnegative integers,  $\nu = n_1 + \dots + n_m$ , and  $|q^{1-\nu}/d| < 1$  when the series does not terminate. For an elliptic extension of the terminating  $d = q^{-\nu}$  case of (2.4), see [27, Eq. (1.7)].

In particular, we note that for  $d = bq$  the right-hand side of (2.4) vanishes. Putting in addition  $b = q^{-N}$  we obtain the following terminating summation:

$$\sum_{k=0}^N \frac{(a, q\sqrt{a}, -q\sqrt{a}, e_1, aq^{n_1+1}/e_1, \dots, e_m, aq^{n_m+1}/e_m, q^{-N}; q)_k}{(q, \sqrt{a}, -\sqrt{a}, aq/e_1, e_1q^{-n_1}, \dots, aq/e_m, e_mq^{-n_m}, aq^{N+1}; q)_k} q^{(N-\nu)k} = 0, \quad (2.5)$$

valid for  $N > \nu = n_1 + \dots + n_m$ .

By suitably combining (2.2) with (2.5), we obtain the following multiseried summation formula:

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $m \geq 2$ . Let  $q, a$  and  $e_1, \dots, e_{m+1}$  be arbitrary parameters with  $e_{m+1} = e_1$ , and let  $n_1, \dots, n_m$  and  $N$  be nonnegative integers such that  $N > n_1 + \dots + n_m$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{m-1} \geq 0} \frac{(e_1q^{-n_1}/e_2; q)_{j_1} \cdots (e_{m-1}q^{-n_{m-1}}/e_m; q)_{j_{m-1}}}{(q; q)_{j_1} \cdots (q; q)_{j_{m-1}}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{(aq^{n_2+1}/e_2, e_3; q)_{j_1} \cdots (aq^{n_m+1}/e_m, e_{m+1}; q)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}}{(e_1q^{-n_1}, aq/e_2; q)_{j_1} \cdots (e_{m-1}q^{-n_{m-1}}, aq/e_m; q)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{(q^{-N}; q)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}}{(e_1q^{n_m - N + 1}/e_m; q)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}} \frac{(aq)^{j_{m-2} + \dots + (m-2)j_1} q^{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-1}}}{(aq^{n_2+1}e_3/e_2)_{j_1} \cdots (aq^{n_{m-1}+1}e_m/e_{m-1})_{j_1 + \dots + j_{m-2}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

*Proof.* By specializing the parameters in the multisum transformation (2.2) by  $b_i \mapsto aq^{n_i+1}/e_i$ ,  $c_i \mapsto e_{i+1}$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  (where  $e_{m+1} = e_1$ ), and dividing both sides of

the identity by the prefactor of the multisum, we obtain that the series on the right-hand side of (2.6) equals

$$\frac{(e_m q^{-n_m}, aq/e_1; q)_N}{(aq, e_m q^{-n_m}/e_1; q)_N} \times \sum_{k=0}^N \frac{(a, q\sqrt{a}, -q\sqrt{a}, e_1, aq^{n_1+1}/e_1, \dots, e_m, aq^{n_m+1}/e_m, q^{-N}; q)_k}{(q, \sqrt{a}, -\sqrt{a}, aq/e_1, e_1 q^{-n_1}, \dots, aq/e_m, e_m q^{-n_m}, aq^{N+1}; q)_k} q^{(N-\nu)k},$$

with  $\nu = n_1 + \dots + n_m$ . Now the last sum vanishes by the special case of Gasper's summation stated in (2.5).  $\square$

We collected enough ingredients and are ready to prove Theorem 1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* The left-hand side of (1.5) can be written as the following multiple of a terminating  ${}_{2d+4}\phi_{2d+3}$  series:

$$\frac{1 - q^r}{1 - q} \sum_{k=0}^{((d-1)n-r)/d} \frac{(q^r, q^{d+\frac{r}{2}}, -q^{d+\frac{r}{2}}, q^r, \dots, q^r, q^{d+(d-1)n}, q^{r-(d-1)n}; q^d)_k}{(q^d, q^{\frac{r}{2}}, -q^{\frac{r}{2}}, q^d, \dots, q^d, q^{r-(d-1)n}, q^{d+(d-1)n}; q^d)_k} q^{d(d-1-r)k}.$$

Here, the  $q^r, \dots, q^r$  in the numerator refers to  $2d - 1$  instances of  $q^r$ , and similarly, the  $q^d, \dots, q^d$  in the denominator to  $2d - 1$  instances of  $q^d$ . Now, by the  $m = d$  case of Andrews' transformation (2.2), we can write the above expression as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1 - q^r)(q^{d+r}, q^{-(d-1)n}; q^d)_{((d-1)n-r)/d}}{(1 - q)(q^d, q^{r-(d-1)n}; q^d)_{((d-1)n-r)/d}} \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{d-1} \geq 0} \frac{(q^{d-r}; q^d)_{j_1} \cdots (q^{d-r}; q^d)_{j_{d-1}}}{(q^d; q^d)_{j_1} \cdots (q^d; q^d)_{j_{d-1}}} \\ & \times \frac{(q^r, q^r; q^d)_{j_1} \cdots (q^r, q^r; q^d)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{d-2}} (q^r, q^{d+(d-1)n}; q^d)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{d-1}}}{(q^d, q^d; q^d)_{j_1} \cdots (q^d, q^d; q^d)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{d-1}}} \\ & \times \frac{(q^{r-(d-1)n}; q^d)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{d-1}} q^{(d+r)(j_{d-2} + \dots + (d-2)j_1)} q^{d(j_1 + \dots + j_{d-1})}}{(q^{d+r}; q^d)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{d-1}} q^{2rj_1} \cdots q^{2r(j_1 + \dots + j_{d-2})}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

It is easy to see that the  $q$ -shifted factorial  $(q^{d+r}; q^d)_{((d-1)n-r)/d}$  contains the factor  $1 - q^{(d-1)n}$  which is a multiple of  $1 - q^n$ . Similarly, the  $q$ -shifted factorial  $(q^{-(d-1)n}; q^d)_{((d-1)n-r)/d}$  contains the factor  $1 - q^{-(d-1)n}$  (again being a multiple of  $1 - q^n$ ) since  $((d-1)n-r)/d \geq 1$  holds due to the conditions  $d \geq 3$ ,  $r \leq d - 2$ , and  $n \geq d - r$ . This means that the  $q$ -factorial  $(q^{d+r}, q^{-(d-1)n}; q^d)_{((d-1)n-r)/d}$  in the numerator of the fraction before the multisummation is divisible by  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ . Moreover, it is easily seen that the  $q$ -factorial  $(q^d, q^{r-(d-1)n}; q^d)_{((d-1)n-r)/d}$  in the denominator is coprime with  $\Phi_n(q)$ .

Note that the non-zero terms in the multisummation in (2.7) are those indexed by  $(j_1, \dots, j_{d-1})$  that satisfy  $j_1 + \dots + j_{d-1} \leq ((d-1)n-r)/d$  because of the appearance of the factor  $(q^{r-(d-1)n}; q^d)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{d-1}}$  in the numerator. None of the factors appearing in the denominator of the multisummation of (2.7) contain a factor of the form  $1 - q^{\alpha n}$  (and are therefore coprime with  $\Phi_n(q)$ ), except for  $(q^{d+r}; q^d)_{j_1 + \dots + j_{d-1}}$  when  $j_1 + \dots + j_{d-1} =$

$((d-1)n-r)/d$ . (In this case, the factor  $1 - q^{(d-1)n}$  appears in the numerator.) Writing  $n = ad - r$  (with  $a \geq 1$ ), we have  $j_1 + \cdots + j_{d-1} = a(d-1) - r$ . Since  $r \leq d-2$ , there must be an  $i$  with  $j_i \geq a$ . Then  $(q^{d-r}; q^d)_{j_i}$  contains the factor  $1 - q^{d-r+d(a-1)} = 1 - q^n$  which is a multiple of  $\Phi_n(q)$ . So the denominator of the reduced form of the multisum in (2.7) is coprime with  $\Phi_n(q)$ . What remains is to show that the multisum in (2.7), without the prefactor, is divisible by  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ , i.e. vanishes modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^2$ .

By repeated applications of Lemma 1, the multisum in (2.7), without the prefactor, is modulo  $\Phi_n(q)^2$  congruent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j_1, \dots, j_{d-1} \geq 0} \frac{(q^{d-r}; q^d)_{j_1} \cdots (q^{d-r}; q^d)_{j_{d-1}}}{(q^d; q^d)_{j_1} \cdots (q^d; q^d)_{j_{d-1}}} \\ & \times \frac{(q^{r-(d-2)n}, q^{r+(d-2)n}; q^d)_{j_1} \cdots (q^{r-n}, q^{r+n}; q^d)_{j_1+\cdots+j_{d-2}} (q^r, q^{d+(d-1)n}; q^d)_{j_1+\cdots+j_{d-1}}}{(q^{d+(d-1)n}, q^{d-(d-1)n}; q^d)_{j_1} \cdots (q^{d+2n}, q^{d-2n}; q^d)_{j_1+\cdots+j_{d-2}} (q^{d+n}, q^{d-n}; q^d)_{j_1+\cdots+j_{d-1}}} \\ & \times \frac{(q^{r-(d-1)n}; q^d)_{j_1+\cdots+j_{d-1}} q^{(d+r)(j_{d-2}+\cdots+(d-2)j_1)} q^{d(j_1+\cdots+j_{d-1})}}{(q^{d+r}; q^d)_{j_1+\cdots+j_{d-1}} q^{2rj_1} \cdots q^{2r(j_1+\cdots+j_{d-2})}}. \end{aligned}$$

However, this sum vanishes due to the  $m = d$ ,  $q \mapsto q^d$ ,  $a \mapsto q^r$ ,  $e_1 \mapsto q^{d+(d-1)n}$ ,  $e_i \mapsto q^{r+(d-i+1)n}$ ,  $n_1 = 0$ ,  $n_i \mapsto (n+r-d)/d$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq d$ ,  $N = ((d-1)n-r)/d$ , case of Lemma 2.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We first give the following result, which is a generalization of [15, Lemma 3.1].

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $d, m$  and  $n$  be positive integers with  $m \leq n-1$  and  $dm \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$ . Then, for  $0 \leq k \leq m$ , we have*

$$\frac{(aq; q^d)_{m-k}}{(q^d/a; q^d)_{m-k}} \equiv (-a)^{m-2k} \frac{(aq; q^d)_k}{(q^d/a; q^d)_k} q^{m(dm-d+2)/2+(d-1)k} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

*Proof.* In view of  $q^n \equiv 1 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(aq; q^d)_m}{(q^d/a; q^d)_m} &= \frac{(1-aq)(1-aq^{d+1}) \cdots (1-aq^{dm-d+1})}{(1-q^d/a)(1-q^{2d}/a) \cdots (1-q^{dm}/a)} \\ &\equiv \frac{(1-aq)(1-aq^{d+1}) \cdots (1-aq^{dm-d+1})}{(1-q^{d-dm-1}/a)(1-q^{2d-dm-1}/a) \cdots (1-q^{-1}/a)} \\ &= (-a)^m q^{m(dm-d+2)/2} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Furthermore, modulo  $\Phi_n(q)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(aq; q^d)_{m-k}}{(q^d/a; q^d)_{m-k}} &= \frac{(aq; q^d)_m}{(q^d/a; q^d)_m} \frac{(1 - q^{dm-dk+d}/a)(1 - q^{dm-dk+2d}/a) \cdots (1 - q^{dm}/a)}{(1 - aq^{dm-dk+1})(1 - aq^{dm-dk+d+1}) \cdots (1 - aq^{dm-d+1})} \\ &\equiv \frac{(aq; q^d)_m}{(q^d/a; q^d)_m} \frac{(1 - q^{d-dk-1}/a)(1 - q^{2d-dk-1}/a) \cdots (1 - q^{-1}/a)}{(1 - aq^{-dk})(1 - aq^{d-dk}) \cdots (1 - aq^{-d})} \\ &= \frac{(aq; q^d)_m}{(q^d/a; q^d)_m} \frac{(aq; q^d)_k}{(q^d/a; q^d)_k} a^{-2k} q^{(d-1)k}, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (3.1) establishes the assertion.  $\square$

Similarly, we have the following  $q$ -congruence.

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $d$ ,  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers with  $m \leq n - 1$  and  $dm \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . Then, for  $0 \leq k \leq m$ , we have*

$$\frac{(aq^{-1}; q^d)_{m-k}}{(q^d/a; q^d)_{m-k}} \equiv (-a)^{m-2k} \frac{(aq^{-1}; q^d)_k}{(q^d/a; q^d)_k} q^{m(dm-d-2)/2+(d+1)k} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

The proof of Lemma 4 is completely analogous to that of Lemma 3 and thus omitted.

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Since  $\gcd(d, n) = 1$ , there exists a positive integer  $m \leq n - 1$  such that  $dm \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$ . By the  $a = 1$  case of Lemma 3 one sees that, for  $0 \leq k \leq m$ , the  $k$ -th and  $(m - k)$ -th terms on the left-hand side of (1.6) cancel each other modulo  $\Phi_n(q)$ , i.e.,

$$[2d(m - k) + 1] \frac{(q; q^d)_{m-k}^{2d}}{(q^d; q^d)_{m-k}^{2d}} q^{d(d-2)(m-k)} \equiv -[2dk + 1] \frac{(q; q^d)_k^{2d}}{(q^d; q^d)_k^{2d}} q^{d(d-2)k} \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}.$$

This proves that

$$\sum_{k=0}^m [2dk + 1] \frac{(q; q^d)_k^{2d}}{(q^d; q^d)_k^{2d}} q^{d(d-2)k} \equiv 0 \pmod{\Phi_n(q)}. \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, since  $dm \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$ , the expression  $(q; q^d)_k$  contains a factor of the form  $1 - q^{an}$  for  $m < k \leq n - 1$ , and is therefore congruent to 0 modulo  $\Phi_n(q)$ . At the same time the expression  $(q^d; q^d)_k$  is relatively prime to  $\Phi_n(q)$  for  $m < k \leq n - 1$ . Therefore, each summand in (1.6) with  $k$  in the range  $m < k \leq n - 1$  is congruent to 0 modulo  $\Phi_n(q)$ . This together with (3.2) establishes the  $q$ -congruence (1.6).

Similarly, we can use Lemma 4 to prove (1.7). The proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF CONJECTURES 1 AND 2

As mentioned in the introduction, we only need to show that Conjectures 1 and 2 are also true modulo  $[n]$ . We first give a detailed proof of the  $q$ -congruences modulo  $[n]$  in Conjecture 1.



*Proof of Conjecture 1.* We need to show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{((d-1)n-1)/d} [2dk+1] \frac{(q; q^d)_k^{2d}}{(q^d; q^d)_k^{2d}} q^{d(d-2)k} \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]}, \quad (4.1)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [2dk+1] \frac{(q; q^d)_k^{2d}}{(q^d; q^d)_k^{2d}} q^{d(d-2)k} \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]}. \quad (4.2)$$

Let  $\zeta \neq 1$  be an  $n$ -th root of unity, not necessarily primitive. Clearly,  $\zeta$  is a primitive root of unity of degree  $s$  with  $s \mid n$  and  $s > 1$ . Let  $c_q(k)$  denote the  $k$ -th term on the left-hand side of (4.1) or (4.2), i.e.,

$$c_q(k) = [2dk+1] \frac{(q; q^d)_k^{2d}}{(q^d; q^d)_k^{2d}} q^{d(d-2)k}.$$

The  $q$ -congruences (3.2) and (1.6) with  $n \mapsto s$  imply that

$$\sum_{k=0}^m c_\zeta(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} c_\zeta(k) = 0,$$

where  $dm \equiv -1 \pmod{s}$  and  $1 \leq m \leq s-1$ . Observing that

$$\frac{c_\zeta(\ell s + k)}{c_\zeta(\ell s)} = \lim_{q \rightarrow \zeta} \frac{c_q(\ell s + k)}{c_q(\ell s)} = c_\zeta(k), \quad (4.3)$$

we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_\zeta(k) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n/s-1} \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} c_\zeta(\ell s + k) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n/s-1} c_\zeta(\ell s) \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} c_\zeta(k) = 0, \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{((d-1)n-1)/d} c_\zeta(k) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} c_\zeta(\ell s) \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} c_\zeta(k) + c_\zeta(Ns) \sum_{k=0}^m c_\zeta(k) = 0,$$

where

$$N = \frac{(d-1)n - dm - 1}{ds}.$$

(It is easy to check that  $N$  is a positive integer.) This means that the sums  $\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_q(k)$  and  $\sum_{k=0}^{((d-1)n-1)/d} c_q(k)$  are both divisible by the cyclotomic polynomial  $\Phi_s(q)$ . Since this is true for any divisor  $s > 1$  of  $n$ , we deduce that they are divisible by

$$\prod_{s \mid n, s > 1} \Phi_s(q) = [n],$$

thus establishing the  $q$ -congruences (4.1) and (4.2).  $\square$

Similarly, we can prove Conjecture 2.

*Proof of Conjecture 2.* This time we need to show that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{((d-1)n+1)/d} [2dk - 1] \frac{(q^{-1}; q^d)_k^{2d}}{(q^d; q^d)_k^{2d}} q^{d^2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]},$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} [2dk - 1] \frac{(q^{-1}; q^d)_k^{2d}}{(q^d; q^d)_k^{2d}} q^{d^2k} \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]}.$$

Again, let  $\zeta$  be a primitive root of unity of degree  $s$  with  $s \mid n$  and  $s > 1$ , and let

$$c_q(k) = [2dk - 1] \frac{(q^{-1}; q^d)_k^{2d}}{(q^d; q^d)_k^{2d}} q^{d^2k}.$$

Just like before, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^m c_\zeta(k) = \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} c_\zeta(k) = 0,$$

where  $dm \equiv 1 \pmod{s}$  and  $1 \leq m \leq s - 1$ . Furthermore, we also have (4.3), (4.4), and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{((d-1)n+1)/d} c_\zeta(k) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{N-1} c_\zeta(\ell s) \sum_{k=0}^{s-1} c_\zeta(k) + c_\zeta(Ns) \sum_{k=0}^m c_\zeta(k) = 0,$$

where  $N = \frac{(d-1)n-dm+1}{ds}$  this time. The rest is exactly the same as in the proof of Conjecture 1 and is omitted here.  $\square$

## 5. AN OPEN PROBLEM

Recently, the first author [9, Theorem 5.4] proved that

$$\sum_{k=0}^M [4k - 1]_{q^2} [4k - 1]^2 \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k^4}{(q^4; q^4)_k^4} q^{4k} \equiv 0 \pmod{[n]_{q^2} \Phi_n(q^2)^2},$$

where  $n$  is odd and  $M = (n+1)/2$  or  $n-1$ . We take this opportunity to propose a unified generalization of [9, Conjectures 6.3 and 6.4], involving a remarkable  $q$ -hypergeometric congruence modulo the fifth power of a cyclotomic polynomial:

**Conjecture 3.** *Let  $n > 1$  be an odd integer. Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^M [4k - 1]_{q^2} [4k - 1]^2 \frac{(q^{-2}; q^4)_k^4}{(q^4; q^4)_k^4} q^{4k} \equiv (2q + 2q^{-1} - 1) [n]_{q^2}^4 \pmod{[n]_{q^2}^4 \Phi_n(q^2)},$$

where  $M = (n+1)/2$  or  $n-1$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] G.E. Andrews, Problems and prospects for basic hypergeometric functions, in: *Theory and Application for Basic Hypergeometric Functions*, R.A. Askey, ed., Math. Res. Center, Univ. Wisconsin, Publ. No. 35, Academic Press, New York, 1975, pp. 191–224.
- [2] G. Gasper, Elementary derivations of summation and transformation formulas for  $q$ -series, in *Special Functions,  $q$ -Series and Related Topics* (M.E.H. Ismail, D.R. Masson and M. Rahman, eds.), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., Fields Inst. Commun. **14** (1997), 55–70.
- [3] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, second edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications **96**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [4] O. Gorodetsky,  $q$ -Congruences, with applications to supercongruences and the cyclic sieving phenomenon, *Int. J. Number Theory* **15** (2019), 1919–1968.
- [5] V.J.W. Guo, A  $q$ -analogue of a Ramanujan-type supercongruence involving central binomial coefficients, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **458** (2018), 590–600.
- [6] V.J.W. Guo, A  $q$ -analogue of the (I.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme, *Int. J. Number Theory* **15** (2019), 29–36.
- [7] V.J.W. Guo, A  $q$ -analogue of a curious supercongruence of Guillera and Zudilin, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **25** (2019), 342–350.
- [8] V.J.W. Guo, Factors of some truncated basic hypergeometric series, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **476** (2019), 851–859.
- [9] V.J.W. Guo, Common  $q$ -analogues of some different supercongruences, *Results Math.* **74** (2019), Art. 131.
- [10] V.J.W. Guo, Some  $q$ -congruences with parameters, *Acta Arith.* **190** (2019), 381–393.
- [11] V.J.W. Guo, F. Jouhet, and J. Zeng, Factors of alternating sums of products of binomial and  $q$ -binomial coefficients, *Acta Arith.* **127** (2007), 17–31.
- [12] V. J. W. Guo, H. Pan and Y. Zhang, The Rodriguez-Villegas type congruences for truncated  $q$ -hypergeometric functions, *J. Number Theory* **174** (2017), 358–368.
- [13] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Some new  $q$ -congruences for truncated basic hypergeometric series, *Symmetry* **11** (2019), no. 2, Art. 268.
- [14] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Proof of a basic hypergeometric supercongruence modulo the fifth power of a cyclotomic polynomial, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **25**(7) (2019), 921–929.
- [15] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Some  $q$ -supercongruences from transformation formulas for basic hypergeometric series, *Constructive Approx.*, to appear.
- [16] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Some new  $q$ -congruences for truncated basic hypergeometric series: even powers, *Results Math.* **75** (2020), Art. 1.
- [17] V.J.W. Guo and S.-D. Wang, Some congruences involving fourth powers of central  $q$ -binomial coefficients, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A*, Section A **150** (2020), 1127–1138.
- [18] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, A  $q$ -microscope for supercongruences, *Adv. Math.* **346** (2019), 329–358.
- [19] Kh. Hessami Pilehrood and T. Hessami Pilehrood, An alternative proof of a theorem of Zagier, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **449** (2017), 168–175.
- [20] C. Krattenthaler and T. Rivoal, An identity of Andrews, multiple integrals, and very-well-poised hypergeometric series, *Ramanujan J.* **13**(1-3) (2007), 203–219.
- [21] L. Long, Hypergeometric evaluation identities and supercongruences, *Pacific J. Math.* **249** (2011), 405–418.
- [22] L. Long and R. Ramakrishna, Some supercongruences occurring in truncated hypergeometric series, *Adv. Math.* **290** (2016), 773–808.
- [23] H.-X. Ni and H. Pan, On a conjectured  $q$ -congruence of Guo and Zeng, *Int. J. Number Theory* **14** (2018), 1699–1707.

- [24] H.-X. Ni and H. Pan, Some symmetric  $q$ -congruences modulo the square of a cyclotomic polynomial, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **481** (2020), Art. 123372.
- [25] R. Osburn and W. Zudilin, On the (K.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **433** (2016), 706–711.
- [26] S. Ramanujan, Modular equations and approximations to  $\pi$ , *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)* **45** (1914), 350–372.
- [27] H. Rosengren and M.J. Schlosser, On Warnaar’s elliptic matrix inversion and Karlsson–Minton-type elliptic hypergeometric series, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **178** (2005), 377–391.
- [28] A. Straub, Supercongruences for polynomial analogs of the Apéry numbers, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **147** (2019), 1023–1036.
- [29] H. Swisher, On the supercongruence conjectures of van Hamme, *Res. Math. Sci.* (2015) 2:18.
- [30] R. Tauraso, Some  $q$ -analogues of congruences for central binomial sums, *Colloq. Math.* **133** (2013), 133–143.
- [31] L. Van Hamme, Some conjectures concerning partial sums of generalized hypergeometric series, in:  *$p$ -Adic Functional Analysis* (Nijmegen, 1996), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **192**, Dekker, New York (1997), 223–236.
- [32] W. Zudilin, On a combinatorial problem of Asmus Schmidt, *Electron. J. Combin.* 11 (2004), #R22.
- [33] W. Zudilin, Ramanujan-type supercongruences, *J. Number Theory* **129** (2009), no. 8, 1848–1857.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUAIYIN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, HUAI’AN 223300, JIANGSU, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*Email address:* jwguo@hytc.edu.cn

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, A-1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA

*Email address:* michael.schlosser@univie.ac.at