

# The Lebesgue Density Theorem

Throughout this note, let  $m$  stand for Lebesgue measure (although the results also hold for Lebesgue-Stieltjes measures in general).

**Definition 1.** Let  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  be a measurable set and  $x \in E$ . The **density** of  $E$  at the point  $x$  is

$$d_E(x) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{m(E \cap [x - h, x + h])}{m([x - h, x + h])}$$

if the limit exists. The point  $x$  is called a **density point** if  $d_E(x) = 1$ . Let  $D(E)$  be the set of density points of  $E$ .

**Remark 1.** It is not necessary for a density point  $x$  to belong to  $E$ . For example, 0 is a density point of  $E = \mathbb{R} \setminus \{x\}$ .

**Example 1.** The point  $x = 0$  has density  $0, 1, \frac{1}{2}$  for the set  $E = [1, 2]$ ,  $E = [-1, 1]$  and  $E = [0, 1]$ , respectively. Other densities are possible too. For example  $x = 0$  has density  $\frac{1}{4}$  w.r.t. the set  $E = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} [\frac{1}{2n+1}, \frac{1}{2n}]$ .

**Proposition 1.** The following properties hold for densities:

1.  $d_\emptyset(x) = 0$  and  $d_{\mathbb{R}}(x) = 1$ ;
2.  $d_{E^c}(x) = 1 - d_E(x)$ ;
3. If  $A \subset B$ , then  $d_A(x) \leq d_B(x)$  if these densities exist. Hence  $D(A) \subset D(B)$ .
4. If  $m(A \Delta B) = 0$ , then  $d_A(x) = d_B(x)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , so  $D(A) = D(B)$ ; ( $A \Delta B$  stands for the symmetric difference:  $A \Delta B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$ .)
5.  $D(A \cap B) = D(A) \cap D(B)$ .

*Proof.* We only prove property 5. The others are straightforward.

Property 3. gives  $D(A \cap B) \subset D(A) \cap D(B)$ . For the other inclusion, let  $I$  be any interval. We have  $I \setminus (A \cap B) = (I \setminus A) \cup (I \setminus B)$ , so

$$m(I) - m(A \cap B \cap I) \leq m(I) - m(A \cap I) + m(I) - m(B \cap I),$$

and

$$\frac{m(A \cap I)}{m(I)} + \frac{m(B \cap I)}{m(I)} - 1 \leq \frac{m(A \cap B \cap I)}{m(I)}.$$

Now take  $I = [x - h, x + h]$  and let  $h \rightarrow 0$ . If the RHS  $< 1$ , so  $x \notin D(A \cap B)$ , then one of the first terms on the LHS  $< 1$  as well, so  $x \notin D(A)$  or  $x \notin D(B)$ . Hence  $D(A \cap B)^c \subset D(A)^c \cup D(B)^c$  and thus  $D(A \cap B) \supset D(A) \cap D(B)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1** (Lebesgue Density Theorem). For every Lebesgue measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $m(E \Delta D(E)) = 0$ .

**Remark 2.** *The analogous statement holds in higher dimensional Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , as it does for Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure. In particular, for the extreme case that the Lebesgue-Stieltjes is Dirac measure  $\delta_p$ , then every  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$  has at most one density point, namely  $p$  if  $p \in E$ .*

*Proof.* We will prove that  $m(E \setminus D(E)) = 0$ . This will suffice because of Proposition 1, proerty 2. and 3., and the fact that  $D(E) \setminus E \subset E^c \setminus D(E^c)$ .

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $E$  is bounded. Write  $E \setminus D(E) = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} A_n$ , where

$$A_n = \left\{ x \in E : \liminf_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{m(E \cap [x - h, x + h])}{m([x - h, x + h])} < 1 - \frac{1}{n} \right\}.$$

It suffices to prove that  $m(A_n) = 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

Assume by contradiction that  $n \geq 1$  and  $A := A_n$  are such that  $m^*(A) > 0$  (outer measure!)

Thus there is a bounded open set  $G$  such that  $A \subset G$  and  $m(G) < \frac{n}{n-1}m^*(A)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be the collection of all closed intervals  $I \subset G$  such that  $m(E \cap I) \leq \frac{n}{n-1}m(I)$ . Then

(i) Every  $x \in A$  is the center of arbitrarily small intervals in  $\mathcal{C}$ .

(ii) Whenever  $\{I_k\} \subset \mathcal{C}$  are pairwise disjoint, then  $m^*(A \setminus \bigcup_k I_k) > 0$ .

Property (i) follows by definition of  $A$ . Property (ii) follows because

$$m^*(A \cap \bigcup_k I_k) \leq \sum_k m^*(A \cap I_k) \leq \sum_k m(E \cap I_k) \leq \frac{n-1}{n} \sum_k m(I_k) \leq \frac{n-1}{n}m(G) < m^*(A).$$

Take  $I_1 \in \mathcal{C}$  arbitrary, and if  $I_1, \dots, I_k$  have been selected, set

$$\mathcal{C}_k = \{I \in \mathcal{C} : I \text{ is disjoint from } I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_k\}.$$

By properties (i) and (ii),  $\mathcal{C}_k$  is nonempty, so we can always find a next interval in  $\mathcal{C}$  disjoint from the previous ones. Let

$$s_k = \sup\{m(I) : I \in \mathcal{C}_k\} > 0$$

and pick  $I_{k+1}$  so that  $m(I_{k+1}) > s_k/2$ .

Set  $B = A \setminus \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} I_k$ . By property (ii),  $m^*(B) > 0$ . So there exists  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  so that

$$\sum_{k > K} m(I_k) < m^*(B)/3. \tag{1}$$

Let  $J_k$  be the interval concentric with  $I_k$  so that  $m(J_k) = 3m(I_k)$ .

By (1),  $\bigcup_{k > K} J_k \not\supset B$ , so there is some  $x \in B \setminus \bigcup_{k > K} J_k$ . By property (i), there is an  $I \in \mathcal{C}_K$  centered at  $x$ . If  $I \cap I_k = \emptyset$  for all  $k > K$ , then  $m(I) \leq s_k < 2m(I_{k+1})$  for all  $k > K$ , contradicting that  $\sum_{k > K} m(I_k) \leq m(G) < \infty$ .

Thus there is  $k > K$  such that  $I \cap I_k \neq \emptyset$ , and therefore  $x \in I \subset J_k$ . But this contradicts that  $x \notin \bigcup_{k > K} J_k$ .  $\square$