ON ISOTOPY OF SELF-HOMEOMORPHISMS OF QUADRATIC INVERSE LIMIT SPACES

H. BRUIN AND S. ŠTIMAC

Abstract. We prove that every self-homeomorphism on the inverse limit space of a quadratic map is isotopic to some power of the shift map.

1. Introduction

The two most prominent families of unimodal maps are the family of quadratic maps $Q_a$, $a \in [1, 4]$, and the family of tent maps $T_s$, $s \in [1, 2]$. The inverse limit spaces of quadratic and tent maps share a lot of common properties. For example, if $f$ is a map from one of these families, then 0 is a fixed point of $f$, the point $\tilde{0} := (\ldots,0,0,0)$ is contained in $\lim (\{0,1\}, f)$ and is an end-point. The arc-component $C$ of $\lim (\{0,1\}, f)$ which contains $\tilde{0}$ is a ray converging to, but (provided $a < 4$ and $s < 2$) disjoint from the inverse limit of the core $\lim ([c_2, c_1], f)$, and $\lim (\{0,1\}, f) = C \cup \lim ([c_2, c_1], f)$, where the critical or turning point is denoted as $c$ and $c_k := f^k(c)$. If $c$ is periodic with (prime) period $N$, then $\lim ([c_2, c_1], f)$ contains $N$ end-points.

The relationships between quadratic and tent maps, and between their inverse limits, are mostly well understood. Each quadratic map $Q_a$ with positive topological entropy is semi-conjugate to a tent map $T_s$ with $\log s = h_{\text{top}}(Q_a)$, and this semi-conjugacy collapses (pre)periodic intervals to points, [7]. If a quadratic map is not renormalizable and does not have an attracting periodic point then this semi-conjugacy is a conjugacy indeed.

It is clear that if two interval maps are topologically conjugate, then their inverse limit spaces are homeomorphic. The effect of renormalization on the structure of the inverse limit is also well-understood, [2]: it produces proper subcontinua that are periodic under the shift homeomorphism and homeomorphic with the inverse limit space of the renormalized map.
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A very interesting question is to characterize groups of homeomorphisms which act on inverse limits of unimodal maps. In [5] we proved that for every homeomorphism \( h : \lim(\mathbb{[0,1]}, T_s) \to \lim(\mathbb{[0,1]}, T_s) \) there exists \( R \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( h \) is isotopic to \( \sigma^R \), where \( \sigma \) is the standard shift map (see also [3, 4]). Thus it is natural to ask the same question for the ‘fuller’ quadratic family, which includes (infinitely) renormalizable maps. The answer does not follow in a straightforward way from [5]. Some work should be done, and this is what we have proved in this paper:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let \( H : \lim(\mathbb{[0,1]}, Q) \to \lim(\mathbb{[0,1]}, Q) \) be a homeomorphism. Then \( H \) is isotopic to \( \sigma^R \) for some \( R \in \mathbb{Z} \).

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives basic definitions. Section 3 gives the major step for the isotopy result from tent maps inverse limits to quadratic maps inverse limits. In Section 4 we show how homeomorphisms act on \( p \)-points and prove our main theorem. These last proofs depend largely on the results obtained in [5] and [1]. Finally, in Section 5 we use our main theorem to calculate topological entropy of self-homeomorphisms on inverse limits of quadratic maps.

### 2. Preliminaries

Let \( \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \ldots \} \) be the set of natural numbers and \( \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \). We consider two families of unimodal maps, the family of quadratic maps \( Q_a : \mathbb{[0,1]} \to \mathbb{[0,1]} \), with \( a \in [1, 4] \), defined as \( Q_a(x) = ax(1 - x) \), and the family of tent maps \( T_s : \mathbb{[0,1]} \to \mathbb{[0,1]} \) with slope \( \pm s \), \( s \in [1, 2] \), defined as \( T_s(x) = \min\{sx, s(1 - x)\} \). Let \( f \) be a map form any of these two families. The critical or turning point is \( c := 1/2 \). Write \( c_k := f^k(c) \). The closed \( f \)-invariant interval \( [c_2, c_1] \) is called the core.

The inverse limit space \( \lim([0,1], f) \) is the collection of all backward orbits

\[
\{x = (\ldots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0) : f(x_{-i-1}) = x_{-i} \in [0, c_1] \text{ for all } i \in \mathbb{N}_0\},
\]
equipped with metric \( d(x, y) = \sum_{n \leq 0} 2^n |x_n - y_n| \) and induced, or shift homeomorphism

\[
\sigma(x) := \sigma_f(\ldots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0) = (\ldots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0, f(x_0)).
\]

Let \( \pi_k : \lim([0,1], f) \to [0, c_1], \pi_k(x) = x_{-k} \) be the \( k \)-th projection map. For any point \( x \in \lim([0,1], f) \), the composant of \( x \) in \( \lim([0,1], f) \) is the union of all proper subcontinua of \( \lim([0,1], f) \) containing \( x \), and the arc-component of \( x \) in \( \lim([0,1], f) \) is the union of all arcs in \( \lim([0,1], f) \) containing \( x \).
We review some of the main tools introduced in [1] and which are necessary here as well. We define $p$-points as those points $x = (\ldots, x_{-2}, x_{-1}, x_0) \in \lim (\{0, 1\}, f)$ such that $x_{-p-k} = c$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$. The supremum $k$ of the set of integers with this property is called the $p$-level of $x$, $L_p(x) := k$. Note that $k$ can be $\infty$, and this will happen if, for example, the turning point is periodic, say of period $N$. In this case the corresponding inverse limit will have $N + 1$ end-points: One is $0$ and the others are $p$-points with $p$-level $\infty$ for every $p$.

Among the $p$-points of $C$ there are special ones, called salient, which are center points of symmetries in $C$. Homeomorphisms preserve these symmetries to such an extent that it is possible to prove that salient points map close to salient points.

We call a $p$-point $y \in C$ salient if $0 \leq L_p(x) < L_p(y)$ for every $p$-point $x \in (0, y)$. Let $(s_p^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the sequence of all salient $p$-points of $C$, ordered such that $s_p^i \in (0, s_p^{i+1})$ for all $i \geq 1$. Since by definition $L_p(s_p^i) > 0$, for all $i \geq 1$, we have $L_p(s_p^1) = 1$. Also, since $s_i^p = \sigma_i^{-1}(s_0^p)$, we have $L_p(s_i^p) = i$, for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, for every $p$-point $x$ of $\lim (\{0, 1\}, f)$ with $L_p(x) \neq 0$, there exists a unique salient $p$-point $s_p^i$ such that $L_p(x) = L_p(s_p^i) = k$. Note that the salient $p$-points depend on $p$: if $p \geq q$, then the salient $p$-point $s_p^i$ equals the salient $q$-point $s_q^{i+p-q}$.

A continuum is chainable if for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a cover $\{\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_n\}$ of open sets (called links) of diameter less than $\varepsilon$ such that $\ell_i \cap \ell_j \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $|i - j| \leq 1$. Such a cover is called a chain. Clearly the interval $[0, c_1]$ is chainable. Throughout, we will use sequence of chains $C_p$ of $\lim (\{0, 1\}, f)$ satisfying the following properties:

1. there is a chain $\{I_p^1, \ldots, I_p^n\}$ of $[0, c_1]$ such that $\ell_p := \pi_p^{-1}(I_p^i)$ are the links of $C_p$;
2. each point $x \in \bigcup_{i=0}^n f^{-i}(c)$ is a boundary point of some link $I_p^i$;
3. for each $i$ there is $j$ such that $f(I_p^i+1) \subset I_p^j$.

If $\max \{|I_p^i| < \varepsilon s^{-p}/2$ then $\text{mesh}(C_p) := \max\{\text{diam}(\ell_p) : \ell_p \in C_p\} < \varepsilon$, which shows that $\lim (\{0, 1\}, f)$ is indeed chainable. Condition (3) ensures that $C_{p+1}$ refines $C_p$ (written $C_{p+1} \preceq C_p$).

Note that all $p$-points of $p$-level $k$ belong to the same link of $C_p$. (This follows by property (1) of $C_p$, because $L_p(x) = L_p(y)$ implies $\pi_p(x) = \pi_p(y)$.) Therefore, every link of $C_p$ which contains a $p$-point of $p$-level $k$, contains also the salient $p$-point $s_p^k$.

Let $\ell_0, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_k$ be those links in $C_p$ that are successively visited by an arc $A \subset C$ (hence $\ell_i \neq \ell_{i+1}$, $\ell_i \cap \ell_{i+1} \neq \emptyset$ and $\ell_i = \ell_{i+2}$ is possible if $A$ turns in $\ell_{i+1}$). We call the arc $A$ $p$-link-symmetric if $\ell_i = \ell_{k-i}$ for $i = 0, \ldots, k$, and maximal $p$-link-symmetric if it is
\(p\)-link-symmetric and there is no \(p\)-link-symmetric arc \(B \supset A\) and passing through more links than \(A\). In any of these cases, \(k\) is even and the link \(\ell^{k/2}\) is called the central link of \(A\).

For \(x \in X\), define the itinerary \(i(x) = \{i_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\) as the sequence where

\[
i_n(x) = \begin{cases} 
0 & x_n \leq c, \\
1 & x_n \geq c,
\end{cases}
\]

and if \(x_n = c\), we write \(i_n(x) = 0\).

### 3. Pseudo-isotopy

Let \(Q\) be a quadratic map of entropy \(h_{\text{top}}(Q) > \frac{1}{2} \log 2\), which is renormalizable, but (due to the entropy restraint) of period \(N > 2\). Let \(\{J_j\}_{j=0}^{N-1}\) be the periodic cycle of intervals numbered so that \(c \in J_0\) and \(Q(J_1) = J_{i+1} (\mod N)\). Denote \(J = \bigcup_{j=0}^{N-1} J_j\). Also assume that \(J_i = [p_i, \hat{p}_i]\), where \(p_i\) is \(N\)-periodic and \(Q^N(\hat{p}_i) = p_i\). Let \(T := T_s\) be the semiconjugate tent map with \(s = \exp(h_{\text{top}}(Q))\). Then \(T\) has an \(N\)-periodic critical point.

Let \(X := \lim([c_2, c_1], Q)\) and \(\tilde{X} := \lim([\tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_1], T)\). Let \(G_j := \{x \in X : \pi_k(x) \in J_{j-k \ (\mod N)}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}, j \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\}\). These are the (maximal) proper subcontinua of \(X\) that are not arcs or points. On the other hand, all proper subcontinua of \(\tilde{X}\) are arcs and points and \(\tilde{X}\) has \(N\) endpoints \(e_j\), where \(\tilde{\pi}_0(e_j) = \tilde{c}_j\). Here \(\pi_i : X \rightarrow [c_2, c_1]\) and \(\tilde{\pi}_i : \tilde{X} \rightarrow [\tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_1]\) are the coordinate projections.

There is a unique arc-component \(Z_j\) of \(X \cap \pi_0^{-1}(J_j)\) that compactifies exactly on \(G_j\). This is a ray, and we can extent it on one side with an arc \(Z_j^s\) such that \(\pi_0(Z_j^s) = [r_{j,0}, p_j]\) (where the point \(r_{j,0}\) close to \(p_j\) is chosen below).

**Theorem 3.1.** There exists a continuous onto map \(\phi : \lim([c_2, c_1], Q) \rightarrow \lim([\tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_1], T)\) such that \(\phi(G_j) = e_j\) and \(\phi\) is one-to-one on \(\lim([c_2, c_1], Q) \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{N-1} G_j\).

**Proof.** For the quadratic map, let \((r_{j,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}\) be a monotone sequence of points such that \(r_{j,k}\) belong to a single component of \([c_2, c_1] \setminus J\), and \(r_{j,k} \rightarrow p_j\) (see Figure 1).

\[\text{Figure 1. The intervals } J_j \text{ with sequences } r_{j,k} \rightarrow p_j.\]
Without loss of generality, we can set $Q(r_{j,k}) = r_{j+1,k}$ for $0 \leq j < N - 1$ and $Q(r_{N-1,k+1}) = r_{0,k}$ for $k \geq 0$. This means that the sequence $(r_{j,k} : j = 0, \ldots, N-1, k \in \mathbb{N}_0)$, starting from $r_{0,0}$, forms a single backward orbit.

Similarly, for the tent map $T$, let $(\tilde{r}_{j,k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ be a monotone sequence of points such that $\tilde{r}_{j,k}$ belong to a single component of $[\tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_1] \setminus \text{orb}_T(\tilde{c})$, and $\tilde{r}_{j,k} \to \tilde{c}_j$. Again we set $T(\tilde{r}_{j,k}) = \tilde{r}_{j+1,k}$ for $0 \leq j < N - 1$, and $T(\tilde{r}_{N-1,k+1}) = \tilde{r}_{0,k}$ for $k \geq 0$.

Note that if $x \in \tilde{X}$ is such that $\pi_n(x) \notin J$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then there is a unique point $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{X}$ such that $x$ and $\tilde{x}$ have the same itinerary. Without loss of generality, we can indeed assume that $\text{orb}(r_{0,0}) \cap J = \emptyset$, and then indeed choose $\tilde{r}_{0,0}$ with the same itinerary as $r_{0,0}$. Then $r_{j,k}$ and $\tilde{r}_{j,k}$ have the same itinerary for every $j, k$.

Now take $x \in X$. Depending on whether $\pi_0(x) \in \bigcup_j (r_{j,0}, \hat{p}_j)$ or not, and on whether $G_j$ are the Knaster continua or not, we have different algorithms to define $\phi(x)$.

1. **The case $\pi_0(x) \notin \bigcup_j (r_{j,0}, \hat{p}_j)$**. Suppose that $x$ belongs to a component $W$ of $X \setminus \pi_0^{-1}(\bigcup_j (r_{j,0}, \hat{p}_j))$. There is a unique component $\tilde{W}$ of $\tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{\pi}_0^{-1}(\bigcup_j (\tilde{r}_{j,0}, \tilde{c}_j))$ which has the same backward itinerary as $W$. Define $\phi : W \to \tilde{W}$ such that $\tilde{\pi}_0 \circ \phi \circ \pi^{-1}$ is an affine map from $\pi_0(W)$ to $\tilde{\pi}_0(\tilde{W})$.

2. **The case $\pi_0(x) \in \bigcup_j (r_{j,0}, \hat{p}_j)$, non-Knaster construction**. Assume that $\pi_0(x) \in [r_{j,0}, \hat{p}_j]$ for some $j$, and let $W$ be the component of $\pi_0^{-1}([r_{j,0}, \hat{p}_j])$ containing $x$, and $V \subset W$ be the corresponding component of $\pi_0^{-1}([p_j, \hat{p}_j])$. Let

$$\Lambda = \sup \{ L(y) : y \in V \text{ is a } p\text{-point} \},$$

where $L := L_p$ is the $p$-level of a $p$-point. The definition of $\phi|_W$ will depend on the value of $\Lambda$ (see Figure 2).

(2.1) If $\Lambda = 0$, then $\pi_0 : W \to [r_{j,0}, \hat{p}_j]$ is injective. Let $\tilde{W} \subset \tilde{X}$ be the unique component of $\tilde{\pi}_0^{-1}([\tilde{r}_{j,0}, \tilde{c}_j])$ that has the same backward itinerary as $W$. Define $\phi : W \to \tilde{W}$ to be the homeomorphism such that $\tilde{\pi}_0 \circ \phi \circ \pi^{-1}$ is the affine map from $[r_{j,0}, \hat{p}_j] = \pi_0(W)$ onto $[\tilde{r}_{j,0}, \tilde{c}_j] = \tilde{\pi}_0(\tilde{W})$ so that $\tilde{\pi}_0 \circ \phi \circ \pi^{-1}(r_{j,0}) = \tilde{r}_{j,0}$.

(2.2) If $0 < \Lambda < \infty$, then let $m \in W$ be the $p$-point of level $L(m) = \Lambda$. In fact, $m$ is a unique point with this property: it is the midpoint of $W$. Furthermore, there are two finite sequences $\{v_k\}_{k=1}^\Lambda$ and $\{\hat{v}_k\}_{k=1}^\Lambda$ inside $V$ such that $\partial V = \{v_1, \hat{v}_1\}$, $v_\Lambda = \hat{v}_\Lambda = m$, $v_{k+1}$ is the $p$-point in $[v_k, m]$ such that $L(v_{k+1}) > L(v_k)$ and no point in $(v_k, v_{k+1})$ has the level larger than the level of $v_k$, and $\hat{v}_{k+1}$ is the $p$-point in $[\hat{v}_k, m]$.
such that $L(\hat{v}_{k+1}) > L(\hat{v}_k)$ and no point in $(\hat{v}_k, \hat{v}_{k+1})$ has the level larger than the level of $\hat{v}_k$.

Let $\tilde{W} \subset \tilde{X}$ be the component of $\tilde{\pi}_0^{-1}([\tilde{r}_{j,0}, \tilde{c}_j])$ so that the two endpoints of $\tilde{W}$ have the same itineraries as the corresponding endpoints of $W$. Note that the midpoint $\tilde{m}$ of $\tilde{W}$ has the level $L(\tilde{m}) = \Lambda$.

Define $\phi : W \to \tilde{W}$ to be the homeomorphism such that

(a) $\tilde{\pi}_0 \circ \phi \circ \pi^{-1}$ maps $[r_{j,0}, p_j]$ affinely onto $[\tilde{r}_{j,0}, \tilde{r}_{j,1}]$;

(b) $[v_k, v_{k+1}]$ and $[\hat{v}_k, \hat{v}_{k+1}]$ are mapped to the two components of $\tilde{\pi}_0^{-1}([\tilde{r}_{j,k}, \tilde{r}_{j,k+1}]) \cap \tilde{W}$, for $0 \leq k < \Lambda - 1$.

(c) $[v_{\lambda-1}, \hat{v}_{\lambda-1}]$ is mapped onto $\tilde{\pi}_0^{-1}([\tilde{r}_{j,\lambda-1}, \tilde{c}_j]) \cap \tilde{W}$ in such a way that $\tilde{\pi}_0 \circ \phi(m) = \tilde{c}_j$.

(2.3) If $\Lambda = \infty$ and $x \in Z_j$ (but $Z_j \cap G_j = \emptyset$ since we are in the non-Knaster case), then $V = Z_j \subset W$ is a ray, and we can define an infinite sequence $\{v_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ so that $\pi_0(v_1) = p_j$ and $v_{k+1}$ is the $p$-point on $Z_j \setminus [v_1, v_k]$ such that $L(v_{k+1}) > L(v_k)$ and $\phi$ is a homeomorphism.

Let $\tilde{W}$ be the component of $\tilde{\pi}_0^{-1}([\tilde{r}_{j,0}, \tilde{c}_j])$ having $e_j$ as boundary point. Define $\phi : W \to \tilde{W}$ to be the homeomorphism such that

(a) $\tilde{\pi}_0 \circ \phi \circ \pi^{-1}$ maps $[r_{j,0}, p_j]$ affinely onto $[\tilde{r}_{j,0}, \tilde{r}_{j,1}]$;

(b) $[v_k, v_{k+1}]$ is mapped to $\tilde{\pi}_0^{-1}([\tilde{r}_{j,k}, \tilde{r}_{j,k+1}]) \cap \tilde{W}$, for $k \geq 1$.

(2.4) $\phi(x) = e_j$ for every $x \in G_j$.

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2.png}
\caption{The non-Knaster case: the arcs $W$ and their images under $\phi$. The labels refer to the $\pi_0$-images of the points.}
\end{figure}

(3) The case $\pi_0(x) \in \bigcup_j (r_{j,0}, \bar{p}_j)$, Knaster construction. Now we adapt the construction for the case that the renormalization $Q^N|_{J_0}$ is a full unimodal map (i.e., $G_j$ is the Knaster continuum). In this case $Z_j \subset G_j$ and the construction of $\phi : W \to \tilde{W}$ for
item (2.1) remains the same. Item (2.2) is changed into (3.2) below, and items (2.3) and (2.4) are combined into item (3.4).

(3.2) With $\tilde{W}$ and sequence $\{v_k\}_{k=1}^\lambda$ and $\{\hat{v}_k\}_{k=1}^\lambda$ with $v_\lambda = \hat{v}_\lambda = m$ as before, define $\phi : W \to \tilde{W}$ to be the homeomorphism such that

(a) $\pi_0 \circ \phi \circ \pi^{-1}$ maps $[r_{j,0}, p_j]$ affinely onto $[\tilde{r}_{j,0}, \tilde{r}_{j,\lambda+1}]$;

(b) $[v_k, v_{k+1}]$ and $[\hat{v}_k, \hat{v}_{k+1}]$ are mapped to the two components of $\tilde{\pi}_0^{-1}([\tilde{r}_{j,\lambda+k}, \tilde{r}_{j,\lambda+k+1}]) \cap \tilde{W}$, for $1 \leq k < \lambda - 1$.

(c) $[v_{\lambda-1}, \hat{v}_{\lambda-1}]$ is mapped onto $\tilde{\pi}_0^{-1}([\tilde{r}_{j,2\lambda-1}, \tilde{c}_j]) \cap \tilde{W}$ in such a way that $\tilde{\pi}_0 \circ \phi(m) = \tilde{c}_j$ (see Figure 3).

\[ \Lambda = 0 \quad \Lambda = 1 \quad \Lambda = \infty \]

\[ W \quad W \quad W \setminus G_j \]

\[ \tilde{r}_{j,0} \quad \tilde{v}_j \quad \tilde{r}_{j,0} \quad \tilde{r}_{j,1} \quad \tilde{r}_{j,2} \quad \tilde{c}_j \quad \tilde{r}_{j,0} \quad \tilde{c}_j \]

**Figure 3.** The Knaster case: the arcs $W$ and their images under $\phi$. The labels refer to the $\pi_0$-images of the points.

(3.4) If $\Lambda = \infty$ and $x \in Z_j^* \cup G_j$, then let $\tilde{W}$ be the component of $\tilde{X}$ with boundary point $e_j$ and $\tilde{\pi}_0(\tilde{W}) = [\tilde{r}_{j,0}, \tilde{c}_j]$. Let $\phi : Z_j^* \to \tilde{W}$ be such that $\pi_0 \circ \phi \circ \pi^{-1} : [r_{j,0}, p_j] \to [\tilde{r}_{j,0}, \tilde{c}_j]$ is affine and $\phi(x) = e_j$ if $x \in G_j$.

By construction, $\phi : W \to \tilde{W}$ is always a homeomorphism for all the components $W$ in this construction, and their union together with $\bigcup_{j=0}^{N-1} G_j$ is the entire $X$, just as the union of all $\tilde{W}$ together with $\bigcup_{j=0}^{N-1} \{e_j\}$ is the entire $\tilde{X}$. Therefore $\phi$ is onto and one-to-one on $\tilde{X} \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{N-1} G_j$.

The construction of $\phi : W \to \tilde{W}$ essentially depends only on the value of $\Lambda$, in the sense that without loss of generality,

\[ (3.1) \quad \tilde{\pi}_0 \circ \phi \circ \pi^{-1} : \pi_0(W) \to \tilde{\pi}_0(\tilde{W}) \]

can be chosen to depend only on $\Lambda = \Lambda(W)$. This makes $\phi$ continuous on $X \setminus \bigcup_{j=0}^{N-1} (G_j \cup Z_j)$. Finally, in the non-Knaster case, $W \to Z_j \cup G_j$ in Hausdorff metric if and only if $\Lambda(W) \to \infty$ and $\Lambda(W) \pmod N = j$. We can specify $\phi|_{Z_j}$ to have the limit dynamics of $\phi|_W$ for $W \to Z_j \cup G_j$, thus achieving continuity of $\phi$ on each $Z_j \cup G_j$. 
In the Knaster case, $\text{diam}(\phi(W)) \to 0$ as $W \to G_j$, so here $\phi$ is also continuous on each $G_j$.  

**Corollary 3.2.** Given a homeomorphism $h : X \to X$, the map $\tilde{h} := \phi \circ h \circ \phi^{-1}$ is a well-defined homeomorphism on $\lim([\tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_1], T)$.

**Proof.** The end-points $e_j$ are the only points where $\phi^{-1}$ is not single-valued. Therefore $\tilde{h}$ is well-defined on $\lim([\tilde{c}_2, \tilde{c}_1], T) \setminus \{e_0, \ldots, e_{N-1}\}$. However, since $h$ is a homeomorphism, it has to permute the subcontinua $G_j$, and therefore $h \circ \phi^{-1}(e_j) = G_i$ for some $i$ and $\phi \circ h \circ \phi^{-1}(e_j) = e_i$. Therefore $\tilde{h}$ is defined (in a single-valued way) also at the endpoints, and it permutes them by the same permutation as $h$ permutes the subcontinua $G_j$.

Since $\phi$ is continuous and injective outside $G_j$, $\tilde{h}$ is continuous on $\tilde{X} \setminus \{e_0, \ldots, e_{N-1}\}$. To conclude continuity of $\tilde{h}$ at the endpoints $e_j$, observe that for every $\Lambda_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a neighborhood $U$ of $G_j$ such that $\pi_0^{-1}(J) \cap W \subset U$ only if $\Lambda(W) \geq \Lambda_0$ (where components $W$ and its maximal level $\Lambda(W)$ are as in the proof of Theorem 3.1). On the other hand, for every neighborhood $\tilde{U}$ of $e_i = \tilde{h}(e_j)$, $\phi(W) \cap \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(\tilde{c}_j) \cap \tilde{U} \neq \emptyset$ only if $\Lambda(W)$ is sufficiently large. Therefore $\tilde{h}$ maps small neighborhood of $e_j$ into small neighborhoods of $e_i$, and the continuity of $\tilde{h}$ at $\{e_0, \ldots, e_{N-1}\}$ follows. \hfill \Box

Recall that $\lim([0,1], Q) = C \cup X$, where $X = \lim([c_2, c_1], Q)$ and $C$ is the ray containing $\tilde{0}$ that compactifies on $X$. Analogously, $\lim([0,1], T) = \tilde{C} \cup \tilde{X}$.

**Remark 3.3.** Let $H : \lim([0,1], Q) \to \lim([0,1], Q)$ be a homeomorphism. In a straightforward way it is possible to expand our construction of $\phi : X \to \tilde{X}$ to get the continuous map $\Phi : \lim([0,1], Q) \to \lim([0,1], T)$ such that $\Phi|_X = \phi$ and the map $\tilde{H} := \Phi \circ H \circ \Phi^{-1}$ is a well-defined homeomorphism on $\lim([0,1], T)$. Obviously $\tilde{H}|_{\tilde{X}} = \tilde{h}$.

In [5] we have proved that every homeomorphism on $\lim([0,1], T)$ is isotopic to some power of the shift map. Therefore, $\tilde{H}$ is isotopic to $\sigma^R$ for some $R \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\Phi$ is injective on $\lim([0,1], Q) \setminus (\bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} G_i)$ and $\Phi \circ H = \tilde{H} \circ \Phi$, $H$ restricted to $\lim([0,1], Q) \setminus (\bigcup_{i=0}^{N-1} G_i)$ is pseudo-isotopic to $\sigma^R$, and $H$ permutes the $G_i$’s in the same way as $\sigma^R$.

### 4. Isotopy

Let $C_k$ denote a natural chain of $\lim([0,1], Q)$. Then, by construction of $\Phi$, $\Phi(C_k)$ is a natural chain of $\lim([0,1], T)$. Also, for every $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Phi$ maps the salient $k$-point of $C$ of $k$-level $l$ to the salient $k$-point of $\tilde{C}$ of the same $k$-level $l$. 


Let \( p, q \) be such that \( H(C_p) \preceq C_q \). Then, by construction of \( \Phi \), \( \tilde{H}(\Phi(C_p)) \preceq \Phi(C_q) \). Let \( \{ t_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \} \) denote all salient \( p \)-points of \( C \) and \( \{ s_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \} \) all salient \( p \)-points of \( \tilde{C} \). Let \( \{ t'_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \} \) denote all salient \( q \)-points of \( C \) and \( \{ s'_i : i \in \mathbb{N} \} \) all salient \( q \)-points of \( \tilde{C} \).

Let \( A_i \) be the maximal \( p \)-link-symmetric arc centered at \( t_i \). Since \( A_i \) is \( p \)-link-symmetric, and \( H(C_p) \preceq C_q \), the image \( D_i := H(A_i) \) is \( q \)-link-symmetric and therefore has a well-defined central link \( \ell_q \) and a well-defined center, we denote it as \( m'_i \). In fact, \( H(t_i) \) and \( m'_i \) belong to the central link \( \ell_p \) and \( m'_i \) is the \( q \)-point with the highest \( q \)-level of all \( q \)-points of the arc component of \( \ell_p \) which contains \( H(t_i) \). We will write that \( H(d) \approx b \) if \( H(d) \) and \( b \) belong not only to the same link, but to the same arc-component of that link. Thus \( H(t_i) \approx m'_i \). To prove our main theorem we should show the following lemma:

**Lemma 4.1.** There exists \( R \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that \( m'_i = t'_{i+R} \) for all sufficiently large integers \( i \in \mathbb{N} \).

The analogous statement for the tent map inverse limit spaces is Theorem 4.1 in \([1]\), and its proof relies on properties of tent maps. Therefore, we will provide here a new proof for the inverse limits of quadratic maps.

**Proof.** Let \( \Phi \) and \( H \) be as in Remark 3.3. Let \( R \) be such that \( \tilde{H} \) is isotopic to \( \sigma^R \). Recall that \( \Phi \) maps the salient \( p \)-point of \( C \) of \( p \)-level \( i \) to the salient \( p \)-point of \( \tilde{C} \) of the same \( p \)-level \( i \), that is \( \Phi(t_i) = s_i \), for all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \), and analogously for salient \( q \)-points, \( \Phi(t'_i) = s'_i \), for all \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). Since by \([8, \text{Theorem 4.12}]\) and \([1, \text{Theorem 4.1}]\), \( \tilde{H}(s_i) \approx s'_{i+R} \) for all \( i \) sufficiently large, and \( \tilde{H} \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ H \), it follows that \( H(t_i) \approx t'_{i+R} \) for all sufficiently large \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). \( \square \)

To finish the proof of the main theorem we will invoke statements from \([1]\) and \([5]\). Although all of them are stated for tent maps inverse limits, their proofs work in the same way for the inverse limits of quadratic maps.

In the same way as in the proof of \([1, \text{Proposition 4.2}]\), but using Lemma 4.1 instead of \([1, \text{Theorem 4.1}]\), it follows that for every \( p \)-point \( x \in C \) of \( p \)-level \( i \) there exists a \( q \)-point \( x' \in C \) of \( q \)-level \( i + R \) such that \( H(x) \approx x' \), for all sufficiently large integers \( i \in \mathbb{N} \). Also, in the same way as in the proof of \([1, \text{Theorem 1.3}]\), it follows that the homeomorphism \( H : \lim (\mathbb{R}, [0, 1], Q) \to \lim (\mathbb{R}, [0, 1], Q) \) is pseudo-isotopic to \( \sigma^R \).

Note that the only places in the proofs of \([5]\) that rely on properties of tent maps are those where Theorem 4.1, Proposition 4.2 or Theorem 1.3 from \([1]\) are cited. Having
now the analogous results proved for the quadratic family, the proof of our main theorem follows from [5].

5. Application to entropy

In [6, Theorem 1.1] we proved that for any self-homeomorphism \( h : \lim \left( [0,1], T_s \right) \to \lim \left( [0,1], T_s \right) \), of the inverse limit space of a tent map with slope \( s \in (\sqrt{2}, 2] \), the topological entropy \( h_{\text{top}}(h) = |R| \log s \), where \( R \in \mathbb{Z} \) is such that \( h \) is isotopic to \( \sigma^R \).

We call the fact that the homeomorphisms on a space \( X \) can only take specific values entropy rigidity.

If a quadratic map \( Q_a \) has no non-trivial periodic intervals (that is, \( Q_a \) is not renormalizable), then the same theorem applies to a homeomorphism on its inverse limit space. Otherwise, the first return map to such a periodic interval is a new unimodal map, called the renormalization of the previous; thus we get a (possibly infinite) sequence of nested cycles of periodic intervals, with periods \( (p_i)_{i \geq 0} \), where \( p_i \) divides \( p_{i+1} \) and \( p_0 = 1 \). The effect of renormalization on the structure of the inverse limit space is well understood [2]: The core of the inverse limit of \( Q_a \) has \( p_1 \) proper subcontinua \( G_k, k = 0, \ldots, p_1 - 1 \), which are permuted cyclically by the shift homeomorphism, and each of them is homeomorphic to the inverse limit space of the renormalization. Outside \( \bigcup_k G_k \), the core inverse limit space has no other subcontinua than points and arcs. Hence, each homeomorphism \( H \) of \( \lim \left( [0,1], Q_a \right) \) can at most permute the subcontinua \( G_k, k = 0, \ldots, p_1 - 1 \), in some way (isotopically to \( \sigma^R \)). In the proof of [6, Theorem 1.2] the erroneous suggestion was made, that within \( G_k, k = 0, \ldots, p_1 - 1 \), the homeomorphism \( H \) need not act isotopically to \( \sigma^R \), and that “it can still be arranged that \( H \) maps \( G_k \) to \( G_{k+R \mod p_1} \) isotopically to some other(!) power of \( \sigma \)” ([6, p. 999]). However, the main result of this paper, Theorem 1.1, shows that this can not happen (hence, the rest of the proof of [6, Theorem 1.2] is superfluous). This leads to the corrected version of [6, Theorem 1.2]:

**Theorem 5.1.** Assume that \( Q \) is a quadratic map with positive topological entropy and \( \log s = h_{\text{top}}(Q) \). If \( H \) is a homeomorphism on the inverse limit space \( \lim \left( [0,1], Q \right) \), then the topological entropy \( h_{\text{top}}(H) = |R| \log s \), where \( R \in \mathbb{Z} \) is such that \( H \) is isotopic to \( \sigma^R \).

The theorem has the same form as [6, Theorem 1.1] and can be proved in an analogous way.
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