# Suites combinatoires modulo puissances de 3

# Christian Krattenthaler and Thomas W. Müller

Universität Wien; Queen Mary, University of London

# Combinatorial sequences modulo powers of 3

# Christian Krattenthaler and Thomas W. Müller

Universität Wien; Queen Mary, University of London

ELSEVIER

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SCIENCE () DIRECT.

JOURNAL OF Number Theory

Image: A math a math

Journal of Number Theory 117 (2006) 191-215

www.elsevier.com/locate/jnt

# Congruences for Catalan and Motzkin numbers and related sequences

Emeric Deutscha,\*, Bruce E. Saganb

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Polytechnic University, Brooklyn, NY 11201, USA <sup>b</sup>Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824-1027, USA

Received 19 July 2004

Available online 22 August 2005

Communicated by D. Goss

#### Abstract

We prove various congruences for Catalan and Motzkin numbers as well as related sequences. The common thread is that all these sequences can be expressed in terms of binomial coefficients. Our techniques are combinatorial and algebraic: group actions, induction, and Lucas' congruence for binomial coefficients come into play. A number of our results settle conjectures of Cloitre and Zumkeller. The Thue-Morse sequence appears in several contexts. © 2005 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

# Central trinomial coefficients modulo 3

#### Theorem (DEUTSCH AND SAGAN)

Let  $T_n$  denote the n-th central trinomial coefficient, that is, the coefficient of  $z^n$  in  $(1 + z + z^2)^n$ . Then

$$T_n \equiv egin{cases} 1 \pmod{3}, & \textit{if } n \in T(01), \ 0 \pmod{3}, & \textit{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

Here, T(01) denotes the set of all positive integers *n*, which have only digits 0 and 1 in their ternary expansion.

# Motzkin numbers modulo 3

Theorem (DEUTSCH AND SAGAN)

The Motzkin numbers  $M_n$  satisfy

$$M_n \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{3}, & \text{if } n \in 3T(01) \text{ or } n \in 3T(01) - 2, \\ -1 \pmod{3}, & \text{if } n \in 3T(01) - 1, \\ 0 \pmod{3}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here, T(01) denotes the set of all positive integers *n*, which have only digits 0 and 1 in their ternary expansion.

# Central binomial coefficients modulo 3

Theorem (DEUTSCH AND SAGAN)

The central binomial coefficients satisfy

$$\binom{2n}{n} \equiv \begin{cases} (-1)^{\delta_3(n)} \pmod{3}, & \text{if } n \in T(01), \\ 0 \pmod{3}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here, T(01) denotes the set of all positive integers n, which have only digits 0 and 1 in their ternary expansion, and  $\delta_3(n)$  denotes the number of 1s in the ternary expansion of n.

Catalan numbers modulo 3

Theorem (DEUTSCH AND SAGAN)

The Catalan numbers C<sub>n</sub> satisfy

$$C_n \equiv \begin{cases} (-1)^{\delta_3^*(n+1)} \pmod{3}, & \text{if } n \in T^*(01) - 1, \\ 0 \pmod{3}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here,  $T^*(01)$  denotes the set of all positive integers n, where all digits in their ternary expansion are 0 or 1 except for the right-most digit, and  $\delta_3^*(n)$  denotes the number of 1s in the ternary expansion of n ignoring the right-most digit.

# **Central Eulerian numbers modulo** 3

Let A(n, k) denote the number of permutations of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  with exactly k - 1 descents.

# Theorem (DEUTSCH AND SAGAN)

The central Eulerian numbers A(2n - 1, n) and A(2n, n) satisfy

$$A(2n-1,n)\equiv egin{cases} 1\pmod{3}, & \textit{if }n\in T(01)+1\ 0\pmod{3}, & \textit{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

and

$$A(2n, n) \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{3}, & \text{if } n \in T(01) + 1, \\ -1 \pmod{3}, & \text{if } n \in T(01) \text{ or } n \in T(01) + 2, \\ 0 \pmod{3}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here, T(01) denotes the set of all positive integers *n*, which have only digits 0 and 1 in their ternary expansion,  $r \in \mathbb{R}$ 

The paper by Deutsch and Sagan contains results of similar nature for *Motzkin prefix numbers*, *Riordan numbers*, *sums of central binomial coefficients*, *central Delannoy numbers*, *Schröder numbers*, and *hex tree numbers*.

3. 3

Show that:

э

э

Show that:

• All these results are trivial<sup>©</sup>!

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>©</sup>Doron Zeilberger: A computer can do them!

Show that:

- All these results are trivial<sup>©</sup>!
- There is a meta-theorem which covers them all.

<sup>©</sup>Doron Zeilberger: A computer can do them!

Show that:

- All these results are trivial<sup>©</sup>!
- There is a meta-theorem which covers them all.
- One can generalize everything to congruences modulo any power of 3.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>©</sup>Doron Zeilberger: A computer can do them!

# **Generating Functions!!**

э

∃ → < ∃</p>

Let us have another look at the central trinomial numbers theorem:

# Theorem (DEUTSCH AND SAGAN)

Let  $T_n$  denote the n-th central trinomial coefficient, that is, the coefficient of  $z^n$  in  $(1 + z + z^2)^n$ . Then

$${\mathcal T}_n \equiv egin{cases} 1 \pmod{3}, & \textit{if } n \in T(01), \ 0 \pmod{3}, & \textit{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where T(01) denotes the set of all positive integers n, which have only digits 0 and 1 in their ternary expansion.

Let us have another look at the central trinomial numbers theorem:

# Theorem (DEUTSCH AND SAGAN)

Let  $T_n$  denote the n-th central trinomial coefficient, that is, the coefficient of  $z^n$  in  $(1 + z + z^2)^n$ . Then

$${\mathcal T}_n \equiv egin{cases} 1 \pmod{3}, & \textit{if } n \in T(01), \ 0 \pmod{3}, & \textit{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where T(01) denotes the set of all positive integers n, which have only digits 0 and 1 in their ternary expansion.

In other words: Let

T٢

$$\Psi(z) = \sum_{k \ge 0} \sum_{n_1 > \dots > n_k \ge 0} z^{3^{n_1} + 3^{n_2} + \dots + 3^{n_k}} = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1 + z^{3^j})$$
$$= 1 + z + z^3 + z^4 + z^9 + z^{10} + z^{12} + z^{13} + \dots$$
hen:
$$\sum_{n \ge 0} T_n z^n = \Psi(z) \quad \text{modulo } 3.$$

 $\sim$ 

Christian Krattenthaler and Thomas W. Müller Combinatorial sequences modulo powers of 3

æ

( ) < ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) < )
( ) <

#### Lemma

The series  $\Psi(z) = \prod_{j=0}^\infty (1+z^{3^j})$  satisfies

$$\Psi^2(z)=rac{1}{1+z}$$
 modulo 3.

# Proof.

We have

$$\begin{split} \Psi^2(z) &= \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1+z^{3^j})^2 = \frac{1}{1+z} (1+z) \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1+z^{3^j})^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{1+z} (1+z)^3 \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1+z^{3^j})^2 = \frac{1}{1+z} (1+z^3) \Psi^2(z^3) \mod 3 \\ &= \frac{1}{1+z} (1+z^9) \Psi^2(z^9) \mod 3 \\ &= \cdots \\ &= \frac{1}{1+z} \mod 3. \end{split}$$

#### Lemma

The series  $\Psi(z) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1+z^{3^j})$  satisfies  $\Psi^2(z) = rac{1}{1+z} \mod 3.$ 

3

#### Lemma

The series  $\Psi(z) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1+z^{3^j})$  satisfies

$$\Psi^2(z) = \frac{1}{1+z} \mod 3.$$

It is well-known that the generating function  $T(z) \sum_{n\geq 0} T_n z^n$  is given by  $T(z) = 1/\sqrt{1-2z-3z^2}$ , or, phrased differently,  $(1-2z-3z^2)T^2(z) - 1 = 0.$ 

Morover, this functional equation determines T(z) uniquely.

#### Lemma

The series 
$$\Psi(z) = \prod_{j=0}^{\infty} (1+z^{3^j})$$
 satisfies  $\Psi^2(z) = rac{1}{1+z} \mod 3.$ 

It is well-known that the generating function  $T(z) \sum_{n\geq 0} T_n z^n$  is given by  $T(z) = 1/\sqrt{1-2z-3z^2}$ , or, phrased differently,  $(1-2z-3z^2)T^2(z) - 1 = 0.$ 

Morover, this functional equation determines T(z) uniquely. Taken modulo 3, the above functional equation becomes:

$$(1+z)T^2(z) - 1 = 0$$
 modulo 3.

Consequently:

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} T_n z^n = \Psi(z) \mod 3.$$

Let us have another look at the Motzkin numbers theorem:

# Theorem (DEUTSCH AND SAGAN)

The Motzkin numbers  $M_n$  satisfy

$$M_n \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{3}, & \text{if } n \in 3T(01) \text{ or } n \in 3T(01) - 2, \\ -1 \pmod{3}, & \text{if } n \in 3T(01) - 1, \\ 0 \pmod{3}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us have another look at the Motzkin numbers theorem:

# Theorem (DEUTSCH AND SAGAN)

The Motzkin numbers  $M_n$  satisfy

$$M_n \equiv \begin{cases} 1 \pmod{3}, & \text{if } n \in 3T(01) \text{ or } n \in 3T(01) - 2, \\ -1 \pmod{3}, & \text{if } n \in 3T(01) - 1, \\ 0 \pmod{3}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Equivalently:

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} M_n z^n = z^{-1} - z^{-2} + (1 - z^{-1} + z^{-2})\Psi(z^3) \mod 3$$
$$= z^{-1} - z^{-2} + (z^{-1} + z^{-2})(1 + z)\Psi(z^3) \mod 3$$
$$= z^{-1} - z^{-2} + (z^{-1} + z^{-2})\Psi(z) \mod 3.$$

Want to prove:

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} M_n z^n = z^{-1} - z^{-2} + (z^{-1} + z^{-2}) \Psi(z) \quad \text{modulo 3.}$$

It is well-known (and easy to see) that the generating function  $M(z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} M_n z^n$  satisfies

$$z^2 M^2(z) + (z-1)M(z) + 1 = 0.$$

Hence, to verify the claim above, we substitute in the left-hand side:

$$z^{2}M^{2}(z) + (z-1)M(z) + 1 = z^{2}\left(z^{-1} - z^{-2} + (z^{-1} + z^{-2})\Psi(z)\right)^{2} + (z-1)\left(z^{-1} - z^{-2} + (z^{-1} + z^{-2})\Psi(z)\right) + 1.$$

This vanishes indeed modulo 3, once we invoke the relation

$$\Psi^2(z) = \frac{1}{1+z} \mod 3.$$

Want to prove:

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} M_n z^n = z^{-1} - z^{-2} + (z^{-1} + z^{-2}) \Psi(z) \mod 3.$$

It is well-known (and easy to see) that the generating function  $M(z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} M_n z^n$  satisfies

$$z^{2}M^{2}(z) + (z-1)M(z) + 1 = 0.$$

Hence, to verify the claim above, we substitute in the left-hand side:

$$z^{2}M^{2}(z) + (z-1)M(z) + 1 = z^{2}\left(z^{-1} - z^{-2} + (z^{-1} + z^{-2})\Psi(z)\right)^{2} + (z-1)\left(z^{-1} - z^{-2} + (z^{-1} + z^{-2})\Psi(z)\right) + 1.$$

This vanishes indeed modulo 3, once we invoke the relation

$$\Psi^2(z) = \frac{1}{1+z} \mod 3.$$

"Of course," one does not want to do this by hand, but by using the *computer*.

Let us have another look at the central binomial coefficients theorem:

# Theorem (DEUTSCH AND SAGAN)

The central binomial coefficients satisfy

$$\binom{2n}{n} \equiv \begin{cases} (-1)^{\delta_3(n)} \pmod{3}, & \text{if } n \in T(01), \\ 0 \pmod{3}, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\delta_3(n)$  denotes the number of 1s in the ternary expansion of n.

Let us have another look at the central binomial coefficients theorem:

# Theorem (DEUTSCH AND SAGAN)

The central binomial coefficients satisfy

$$\binom{2n}{n} \equiv \begin{cases} (-1)^{\delta_3(n)} \pmod{3}, & \text{if } n \in T(01), \\ 0 \pmod{3}, & \text{otherwise}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\delta_3(n)$  denotes the number of 1s in the ternary expansion of n.

Equivalently:

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \binom{2n}{n} z^n = \Psi(-z) \mod 3.$$

Want to prove:

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \binom{2n}{n} z^n = \Psi(-z) \mod 3.$$

It is well-known that

$$CB(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} {\binom{2n}{n}} z^n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-4z}},$$

and, hence,

$$(1-4z)CB^2(z)-1=0.$$

In view of

$$\Psi^2(-z) = \frac{1}{1-z} \quad \text{modulo } 3,$$

this is obvious.

э

э

Let us have another look at the Catalan numbers theorem:

# Theorem (DEUTSCH AND SAGAN)

The Catalan numbers C<sub>n</sub> satisfy

$$C_n \equiv \begin{cases} (-1)^{\delta_3^*(n+1)} \pmod{3}, & \text{if } n \in T^*(01) - 1, \\ 0 \pmod{3}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here,  $T^*(01)$  denotes the set of all positive integers *n*, where all digits in their ternary expansion are 0 or 1 except for the right-most digit, and  $\delta_3^*(n)$  denotes the number of 1s in the ternary expansion of *n* ignoring the right-most digit.

Let us have another look at the Catalan numbers theorem:

# Theorem (DEUTSCH AND SAGAN)

The Catalan numbers C<sub>n</sub> satisfy

$$C_n \equiv \begin{cases} (-1)^{\delta_3^*(n+1)} \pmod{3}, & \text{if } n \in T^*(01) - 1, \\ 0 \pmod{3}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Here,  $T^*(01)$  denotes the set of all positive integers n, where all digits in their ternary expansion are 0 or 1 except for the right-most digit, and  $\delta_3^*(n)$  denotes the number of 1s in the ternary expansion of n ignoring the right-most digit. Equivalently:

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} C_n z^n = -z^{-1} + (z^{-1} + 1 + z)\Psi(-z^3) \mod 3$$
$$= -z^{-1} + z^{-1}(1-z)^2\Psi(-z^3) \mod 3$$
$$= -z^{-1} + z^{-1}(1-z)\Psi(-z) \mod 3.$$

Want to prove:

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} C_n z^n = -z^{-1} + z^{-1}(1-z)\Psi(-z) \mod 3.$$

It is well-known that the generating function  $C(z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} C_n z^n$  satisfies

$$zC^{2}(z) - C(z) + 1 = 0.$$

Hence, to verify the claim above, we substitute in the left-hand side:

$$zC^{2}(z) - C(z) + 1 = z\left(-z^{-1} + z^{-1}(1-z)\Psi(-z)\right)^{2}$$
$$-\left(-z^{-1} + z^{-1}(1-z)\Psi(-z)\right) + 1.$$

This vanishes indeed modulo 3, once we invoke the relation

$$\Psi^2(-z) = \frac{1}{1-z} \mod 3.$$

Want to prove:

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} C_n z^n = -z^{-1} + z^{-1}(1-z)\Psi(-z) \mod 3.$$

It is well-known that the generating function  $C(z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} C_n z^n$  satisfies

$$zC^{2}(z) - C(z) + 1 = 0.$$

Hence, to verify the claim above, we substitute in the left-hand side:

$$zC^{2}(z) - C(z) + 1 = z\left(-z^{-1} + z^{-1}(1-z)\Psi(-z)\right)^{2}$$
$$-\left(-z^{-1} + z^{-1}(1-z)\Psi(-z)\right) + 1.$$

This vanishes indeed modulo 3, once we invoke the relation

$$\Psi^2(-z) = \frac{1}{1-z} \mod 3.$$

"Of course" again, one does not want to do this by hand, but by using the *computer*.

What are the common features?

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

э

#### What are the common features?

 In each case, the generating function satisfied a quadratic equation (and, as a matter of fact, this applies as well for Motzkin prefix numbers, Riordan numbers, sums of central binomial coefficients, central Delannoy numbers, Schröder numbers, and hex tree numbers).

#### What are the common features?

- In each case, the generating function satisfied a quadratic equation (and, as a matter of fact, this applies as well for Motzkin prefix numbers, Riordan numbers, sums of central binomial coefficients, central Delannoy numbers, Schröder numbers, and hex tree numbers).
- In each case, one could express the generating function, after reduction of its coefficients modulo 3, as a linear expression in Ψ(±z).
#### What are the common features?

- In each case, the generating function satisfied a quadratic equation (and, as a matter of fact, this applies as well for Motzkin prefix numbers, Riordan numbers, sums of central binomial coefficients, central Delannoy numbers, Schröder numbers, and hex tree numbers).
- In each case, one could express the generating function, after reduction of its coefficients modulo 3, as a linear expression in Ψ(±z).

Can this be so many accidents?

## A meta-theorem

### Theorem

Let F(z) be a formal power series with integer coefficients which satisfies a quadratic equation

$$c_2(z)F^2(z) + c_1(z)F(z) + c_0(z) = 0 \text{ modulo } 3,$$

where

- $c_2(z) = z^{e_1}(1 + \varepsilon z^{\gamma})^{e_2}$  modulo 3, with non-negative integers  $e_1, e_2$  and  $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ ;
- $c_1^2(z) c_0(z)c_2(z) = z^{2f_1}(1 + \varepsilon z^{\gamma})^{2f_2+1} \text{ modulo 3, with } non-negative integers } f_1, f_2.$

Then

$$F(z) = rac{c_1(z)}{c_2(z)} \pm rac{z^{f_1}(1+arepsilon z^\gamma)^{f_2+1}}{c_2(z)} \Psi(arepsilon z^\gamma) \quad ext{modulo 3}.$$

ヨトィヨト

# Proof.

æ –



< ロ > < 部 > < 注 > < 注 > < </p>

э.

The corresponding choices of  $c_2(z), c_1(z), c_0(z)$  are:

	$c_2(z)$	$c_1(z)$	$c_0(z)$	$c_1^2(z) - c_0(z)c_2(z)$
				mod 3
trinomial	$1 - 2z - 3z^2$	0	$^{-1}$	1 + z
Motzkin	$z^2$	z - 1	1	1+z
cent.bin.	1 - 4z	0	-1	1-z
Catalan	Z	-1	1	1-z
Motz.pref.	$z - 3z^2$	1 - 3z	-1	1+z
Riordan	$z + z^2$	1+z	1	1+z
Delannoy	$1 - 6z + z^2$	0	-1	$1 + z^2$
Schröder	Z	z-1	1	$1 + z^2$
hex tree	$z^2$	3z - 1	1	$1 - z^2$

글 🖌 🖌 글 🕨

3

Maybe we can express the generating functions now as polynomials in  $\Psi(z)$  (or  $\Psi(-z)$ , or  $\Psi(z^2)$ , or ...)?

Maybe we can express the generating functions now as polynomials in  $\Psi(z)$  (or  $\Psi(-z)$ , or  $\Psi(z^2)$ , or ...)?

Certainly:

$$\left(\Psi^2(z)-\frac{1}{1+z}
ight)^{lpha}=0 \mod 3^{lpha}.$$

So, in particular,

$$\left(\Psi^2(z)-rac{1}{1+z}
ight)^{3^lpha}=0 \mod 3^{3^lpha}.$$

Maybe we can express the generating functions now as polynomials in  $\Psi(z)$  (or  $\Psi(-z)$ , or  $\Psi(z^2)$ , or ...)?

Certainly:

$$\left(\Psi^2(z)-\frac{1}{1+z}
ight)^{lpha}=0 \mod 3^{lpha}.$$

So, in particular,

$$\left(\Psi^2(z)-rac{1}{1+z}
ight)^{3^lpha}=0 \mod 3^{3^lpha}.$$

Expressing the generating function as a polynomial in  $\Psi(z)$  makes of course only sense, if we also know how to extract coefficients from powers  $\Psi^e(z)$  modulo a given power of 3; more on this later. The "method" for proving congruences modulo  $3^k$ 

∃ → < ∃ →</p>

3

# The "method" for proving congruences modulo 3<sup>k</sup>

We suppose that the generating function  $F(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} f_n z^n$  satisfies a differential equation of the form

$$\mathcal{P}(z;F(z),F'(z),F''(z),\ldots,F^{(s)}(z))=0,$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a polynomial with integer coefficients. We want to solve the problem of determining the coefficients  $f_n$  modulo powers of 3.

# The "method" for proving congruences modulo 3<sup>k</sup>

We suppose that the generating function  $F(z) = \sum_{n\geq 0} f_n z^n$  satisfies a differential equation of the form

$$\mathcal{P}(z;F(z),F'(z),F''(z),\ldots,F^{(s)}(z))=0,$$

where  $\mathcal{P}$  is a polynomial with integer coefficients. We want to solve the problem of determining the coefficients  $f_n$  modulo powers of 3. *Idea*:

Make the Ansatz

$$F(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{2 \cdot 3^{\alpha}-1} a_i(z) \Psi^i(z) \mod 3^{3^{\alpha}},$$

where the  $a_i(z)$ 's are (at this point) undetermined elements of  $\mathbb{Z}[z, z^{-1}, (1+z)^{-1}]$ .

Then, gradually determine approximations  $a_{i,\beta}(z)$  to  $a_i(z)$  such that the differential equation above holds modulo  $3^{\beta}$ , for  $\beta = 1, 2, ..., 3^{\alpha}$ .

The "method" for proving congruences modulo 3<sup>k</sup>

The base step:

Substitute

$$F(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{2\cdot 3^lpha - 1} a_{i,1}(z) \Psi^i(z) \mod 3$$

into the differential equation, considered modulo 3,

$$\mathcal{P}(z;F(z),F'(z),F''(z),\ldots,F^{(s)}(z))=0 \quad \text{modulo } 3,$$

use  $\Psi'(z) = \Psi(z)/(1+z)$  modulo 3, reduce high powers of  $\Psi(z)$ modulo the polynomial relation of degree  $2 \cdot 3^{\alpha}$  satisfied by  $\Psi(z)$ , and compare coefficients of powers  $\Psi^{k}(z)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \ldots, 2 \cdot 3^{\alpha} - 1$ . This yields a system of  $2 \cdot 3^{\alpha}$  (algebraic differential) equations (modulo 3) for the unknown Laurent polynomials  $a_{i,1}(z)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2 \cdot 3^{\alpha} - 1$ , which may or may not have a solution. The "method" for proving congruences modulo  $3^k$ 

### The iteration:

Provided we have already found  $a_{i,\beta}(z)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, ..., 2 \cdot 3^{\alpha} - 1$ , such that

$$F(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{2\cdot 3^{\alpha}-1} a_{i,\beta}(z) \Psi^i(z)$$

solves our differential equation modulo  $3^{\beta}$ , we put

$$a_{i,eta+1}(z) := a_{i,eta}(z) + 3^eta b_{i,eta+1}(z), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, 2 \cdot 3^lpha - 1,$$

where the  $b_{i,\beta+1}(z)$ 's are (at this point) undetermined Laurent polynomials in z. Next we substitute

$$F(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{2\cdot 3^{lpha}-1} a_{i,eta+1}(z) \Psi^i(z)$$

in the differential equation.

### The "method" for proving congruences modulo $3^k$

The iteration:

One uses 
$$\Psi'(z) = \Psi(z) \sum_{j=0}^{\beta} \frac{3^j z^{3^j-1}}{1+z^{3^j}} \mod 3^{\beta+1},$$

one reduces high powers of  $\Psi(z)$  using the polynomial relation satisfied by  $\Psi(z)$ , and one compares coefficients of powers  $\Psi^j(z)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \ldots, 2 \cdot 3^{\alpha} - 1$ . After simplification, this yields a system of  $2 \cdot 3^{\alpha}$  (linear differential) equations (modulo 3) for the unknown Laurent polynomials  $b_{i,\beta+1}(z)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2 \cdot 3^{\alpha} - 1$ , which may or may not have a solution.

#### Theorem

Let  $\alpha$  be some positive integer. Furthermore, suppose that the formal power series F(z) with integer coefficients satisfies the functional-differential equation  $c_2(z)F^2(z) + c_1(z)F(z) + c_0(z)$ 

where  $+ 3Q(z; F(z), F'(z), F''(z), \dots, F^{(s)}(z)) = 0,$ 

•  $c_2(z) = z^{e_1}(1 + \varepsilon z^{\gamma})^{e_2}$  modulo 3, with non-negative integers  $e_1, e_2$  and  $\varepsilon \in \{1, -1\}$ ;

 $c_1^2(z) - c_0(z)c_2(z) = z^{2f_1}(1 + \varepsilon z^{\gamma})^{2f_2+1} \text{ modulo 3, with } non-negative integers } f_1, f_2;$ 

**③** Q is a polynomial with integer coefficients.

Then F(z), when coefficients are reduced modulo  $3^{3^{\alpha}}$ , can be expressed as a polynomial in  $\Psi(\varepsilon z^{\gamma})$  of the form

$$F(z) = a_0(z) + \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i(z) \Psi^i(\varepsilon z^{\gamma}) \mod 3^{3^{\alpha}},$$

where the coefficients  $a_i(z)$ ,  $i = 0, 1, ..., 2 \cdot 3^{\alpha} - 1$ , are Laurent polynomials in z and  $1 + \varepsilon z^{\gamma}$ .

# Sketch of proof.

Base step:

$$F(z) = \frac{c_1(z)}{c_2(z)} \pm \frac{z^{f_1}(1 + \varepsilon z^{\gamma})^{f_2 + (3^{\alpha} + 1)/2}}{c_2(z)} \Psi^{3^{\alpha}}(\varepsilon z^{\gamma})$$

solves the equation modulo 3.

*Iteration step*: Works smoothly; in fact, the system of equations which one has to solve is already in diagonal form for each iteration.

## Motzkin numbers modulo 27

## Theorem

We have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} M_n z^n = 13z^{-1} + 14z^{-2} + (9z + 12 + 24z^{-1} + 21z^{-2}) \Psi(z^3) + (9z^5 + 12z^4 + 10z^3 + 23z^2 + 25z + 19 + 14z^{-1} + 4z^{-2}) \Psi^3(z^3) - (9z^7 + 3z^6 + 24z^5 + 30z^4 + 6z^3 + 21z^2 + 6z + 3 + 24z^{-1} + 12z^{-2}) \Psi^5(z^3) modulo 27.$$

(4) E > (4) E >

э

## Central trinomial numbers modulo 27

## Theorem

We have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} T_n z^n = -(9z^2 + 24z + 15) \Psi(z^3) + (15z^5 + 25z^4 + 4z^3 + 12z^2 + 10z + 19) \Psi^3(z^3) + (9z^8 + 6z^7 + 6z^6 + 9z^5 + 21z^4 + 3z^3 + 15z + 24) \Psi^5(z^3) modulo 27.$$

э

A B M A B M

### Central binomial coefficients modulo 27



4) Q (?

-

**ヨト イヨト イヨト** 

## Catalan numbers modulo 27



~ ) Q (?

3

伺 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

# **Coefficient extraction**

Christian Krattenthaler and Thomas W. Müller Combinatorial sequences modulo powers of 3

э

A 10

★ 문 ► ★ 문 ►

### **Coefficient extraction**

Can we extract coefficients from powers of  $\Psi(z)$  (modulo  $3^k$ )?

- ₹ 🖬 🕨

э

#### **Coefficient extraction**

Can we extract coefficients from powers of  $\Psi(z)$  (modulo  $3^k$ )? For accomplishing this, we need an extension of the relation

$$\Psi^2(z) = rac{1}{1+z}$$
 modulo 3

to higher powers of 3. This extension comes from the identity

$$\Psi^2(z) = rac{1}{1+z} \sum_{s \geq 0} \sum_{k_1 > \cdots > k_s \geq 0} 3^s \prod_{j=1}^s rac{z^{3^{k_j}}(1+z^{3^{k_j}})}{1+z^{3^{k_j+1}}}.$$

The identity again:

$$\Psi^{2}(z) = \frac{1}{1+z} \sum_{s \ge 0} 3^{s} \sum_{k_{1} > \cdots > k_{s} \ge 0} \prod_{j=1}^{s} \frac{z^{3^{k_{j}}}(1+z^{3^{k_{j}}})}{1+z^{3^{k_{j}+1}}}.$$

æ

⊸ ≣ ⊁

P

The identity again:

$$\Psi^{2}(z) = \frac{1}{1+z} \sum_{s \geq 0} 3^{s} \sum_{k_{1} > \cdots > k_{s} \geq 0} \prod_{j=1}^{s} \frac{z^{3^{k_{j}}}(1+z^{3^{k_{j}}})}{1+z^{3^{k_{j}+1}}}.$$

Let us write

$$\widetilde{H}_{a_1,a_2,...,a_s}(z) := \sum_{k_1 > \cdots > k_s \ge 0} \prod_{j=1}^s \left( rac{z^{3^{k_j}}(1+z^{3^{k_j}})}{1+z^{3^{k_j+1}}} 
ight)^{a_j}$$

.

Using this notation, the above identity can be rephrased as

$$\Psi^2(z) = rac{1}{1+z} \sum_{s \geq 0} 3^s \widetilde{H}_{\underbrace{1,1,\ldots,1}_{s ext{ times}}}(z).$$

It is not difficult to see that powers of  $\Psi(z)$  can be expressed using the series  $\widetilde{H}_{a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_r}(z)$ .

The identity again:

$$\Psi^{2}(z) = \frac{1}{1+z} \sum_{s \geq 0} \sum_{k_{1} > \cdots > k_{s} \geq 0} 3^{s} \prod_{j=1}^{s} \frac{z^{3^{k_{j}}}(1+z^{3^{k_{j}}})}{1+z^{3^{k_{j}+1}}}.$$

Let us write

$$\widetilde{H}_{a_1,a_2,...,a_s}(z) := \sum_{k_1 > \cdots > k_s \ge 0} \prod_{j=1}^s \left( rac{z^{3^{k_j}}(1+z^{3^{k_j}})}{1+z^{3^{k_j+1}}} 
ight)^{a_j}$$

.

It is not difficult to see that powers of  $\Psi(z)$  can be expressed in the form

$$\Psi^{2K}(z) = \frac{1}{(1+z)^K} \sum_{r=1}^K \sum_{a_1,\dots,a_r \ge 1} c_{2K}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \widetilde{H}_{a_1,a_2,\dots,a_r}(z),$$

respectively

$$\Psi^{2K+1}(z) = \frac{1}{(1+z)^K} \Psi(z) \sum_{r=1}^K \sum_{a_1,\dots,a_r \ge 1} c_{2K}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \widetilde{H}_{a_1,a_2,\dots,a_r}(z),$$

where the coefficients  $c_{2K}(a_1, a_2, ..., a_r)$  are suitable combinatorial coefficients, which can be written down explicitly.

Let us write

$$\widetilde{H}_{a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_s}(z) := \sum_{k_1 > \cdots > k_s \ge 0} \prod_{j=1}^s \left( \frac{z^{3^{k_j}}(1+z^{3^{k_j}})}{1+z^{3^{k_j+1}}} \right)^{a_j}$$

It is not difficult to see that powers of  $\Psi(z)$  can be expressed in the form

$$\Psi^{2K}(z) = \frac{1}{(1+z)^K} \sum_{r=1}^K \sum_{a_1,\dots,a_r \ge 1} c_{2K}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \widetilde{H}_{a_1,a_2,\dots,a_r}(z),$$

respectively

$$\Psi^{2K+1}(z) = \frac{1}{(1+z)^{K}} \Psi(z) \sum_{r=1}^{K} \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_r \ge 1} c_{2K}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \widetilde{H}_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r}(z),$$

where the coefficients  $c_{2K}(a_1, a_2, ..., a_r)$  are suitable combinatorial coefficients, which can be written down explicitly.

Let us write

$$\widetilde{H}_{a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_s}(z) := \sum_{k_1 > \cdots > k_s \ge 0} \prod_{j=1}^s \left( \frac{z^{3^{k_j}}(1+z^{3^{k_j}})}{1+z^{3^{k_j+1}}} \right)^{a_j}$$

It is not difficult to see that powers of  $\Psi(z)$  can be expressed in the form

$$\Psi^{2K}(z) = \frac{1}{(1+z)^K} \sum_{r=1}^K \sum_{a_1,\dots,a_r \ge 1} c_{2K}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \widetilde{H}_{a_1,a_2,\dots,a_r}(z),$$

respectively

$$\Psi^{2K+1}(z) = \frac{1}{(1+z)^K} \Psi(z) \sum_{r=1}^K \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_r \ge 1} c_{2K}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r) \widetilde{H}_{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r}(z),$$

where the coefficients  $c_{2K}(a_1, a_2, ..., a_r)$  are suitable combinatorial coefficients, which can be written down explicitly.

Consequently, the coefficient extraction problem will be solved if we are able to say how to extract coefficients from the series

$$(1+z)^{K}\widetilde{H}_{a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{r}}(z)$$
 and  $(1+z)^{K}\Psi(z)\widetilde{H}_{a_{1},a_{2},\ldots,a_{r}}(z).$ 

#### Theorem

The binomial coefficient  $\binom{2n}{n}$ , when reduced modulo 9, equals

- 0, if, and only if, n contains at least two digits 2 or the string 12 in its 3-adic expansion;
- 3, if, and only if, n contains the string 02, no other digit 2, and an odd number of digits 1 in its 3-adic expansion;
- 6, if, and only if, n contains the string 02, no other digit 2, and an even number of digits 1 in its 3-adic expansion;
- **1**, if, and only if, the 3-adic expansion of n is an element of

$$\{0\} \cup \bigcup_{k \ge 0} (11^*00^*)^{3k+2} 11^*0^*,$$

where the number of digits 1 is even;

**(3)** 4, if, and only if, the 3-adic expansion of n is an element of

$$\bigcup_{k\geq 0} \left(11^*00^*\right)^{3k+1}11^*0^*,$$

where the number of digits 1 is even;

**0** 7, if, and only if, the 3-adic expansion of n is an element of

$$\bigcup_{k\geq 0} (11^*00^*)^{3k} 11^*0^*,$$

where the number of digits 1 is even;

**②** 2, if, and only if, the 3-adic expansion of n is an element of

$$\bigcup_{k\geq 0} (11^*00^*)^{3k} 11^*0^*,$$

where the number of digits 1 is odd;

• 5, if, and only if, the 3-adic expansion of n is an element of

$$\bigcup_{k\geq 0} \left(11^*00^*\right)^{3k+1} 11^*0^*,$$

where the number of digits 1 is odd;

**2** 8, if, and only if, the 3-adic expansion of n is an element of

$$\bigcup_{k\geq 0} \left(11^*00^*\right)^{3k+2} 11^*0^*,$$

where the number of digits 1 is odd.

**Central Eulerian numbers** 

・ 同 ト ・ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ト

æ

#### **Central Eulerian numbers**

The Eulerian number A(n, k) is defined as the number of permutations of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  with exactly k - 1 descents. It is well-known that

$$A(n,k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{n+1}{k-j} j^{n}.$$

We are interested in analysing central Eulerian numbers, that is, the numbers A(2n, n) = A(2n, n+1) and A(2n-1, n), modulo powers of 3.

#### **Central Eulerian numbers**

The Eulerian number A(n, k) is defined as the number of permutations of  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  with exactly k - 1 descents. It is well-known that

$$A(n,k) = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{k-j} \binom{n+1}{k-j} j^{n}.$$

We are interested in analysing central Eulerian numbers, that is, the numbers A(2n, n) = A(2n, n+1) and A(2n-1, n), modulo powers of 3.

**Problem:** There is (provably?) no functional or differential equation for the corresponding generating functions  $\sum_{n\geq 0} A(2n, n)z^n$  or  $\sum_{n\geq 0} A(2n-1, n)z^n$ .

However: If one considers (the coefficients in the) generating functions  $\sum_{n\geq 0} A(2n, n)z^n$  and  $\sum_{n\geq 0} A(2n-1, n)z^n$  modulo a fixed power of 3,  $3^k$  say, then they do satisfy functional equations, modulo  $3^k$ !
#### First key observation

Let us consider A(2n, n) = A(2n, n+1), given explicitly by

$$A(2n, n+1) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-j} {2n+1 \choose n+1-j} j^{2n}.$$

Since  $\varphi(3^{\beta}) = 2 \cdot 3^{\beta-1}$  (with  $\varphi(.)$  denoting the Euler totient function), we have

$$\begin{array}{l} {\cal A}(2n,n+1)\equiv \sum_{j=0}^{n+1}(-1)^{n+1-j}\binom{2n+1}{n+1-j}j^{2s} \pmod{3^{\beta}}\\ {\rm for} \quad n\equiv s \ ({\rm mod} \ 3^{\beta-1}) \ {\rm and} \ n,s\geq \frac{1}{2}(\beta-1). \end{array}$$

-

## Second key observation

We have

$$A(2n, n+1) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-j} {2n+1 \choose n+1-j} j^{2s} \pmod{3^{\beta}}$$
  
for  $n \equiv s \pmod{3^{\beta-1}}$  and  $n, s \ge \frac{1}{2}(\beta-1)$ .

æ

□ ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶ ▲ 臣 ▶

#### Second key observation

We have

$$A(2n, n+1) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-j} {2n+1 \choose n+1-j} j^{2s} \pmod{3^{\beta}}$$
  
for  $n \equiv s \pmod{3^{\beta-1}}$  and  $n, s \ge \frac{1}{2}(\beta-1)$ .

#### Proposition

For any positive integer s, we have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} z^n \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-j} {2n+1 \choose n+1-j} j^{2s} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \sqrt{1+4z} \right) \left( 1 + 3p_s(z) \right),$$

where  $p_s(z)$  is a polynomial in z with integer coefficients, and which satisfies  $p_s(0) = 0$ .

< A >

3.1

## Proposition

For any positive integer s, we have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} z^n \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-j} {2n+1 \choose n+1-j} j^{2s} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \sqrt{1+4z} \right) \left( 1 + 3p_s(z) \right),$$

where  $p_s(z)$  is a polynomial in z with integer coefficients, and which satisfies  $p_s(0) = 0$ .

э

## Proposition

For any positive integer s, we have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} z^n \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-j} {2n+1 \choose n+1-j} j^{2s} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \sqrt{1+4z} \right) \left( 1 + 3p_s(z) \right),$$

where  $p_s(z)$  is a polynomial in z with integer coefficients, and which satisfies  $p_s(0) = 0$ .

That is, if we denote the generating function on the left-hand side by  $E_s(z)$ , then it satisfies the equation

$$E_s^2(z) - E_s(z) - z - 3p_s(z)E_s(z) - z3p_s(z)(2 + 3p_s(z)) = 0.$$

## Proposition

For any positive integer s, we have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} z^n \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} (-1)^{n+1-j} {2n+1 \choose n+1-j} j^{2s} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 + \sqrt{1+4z} \right) \left( 1 + 3p_s(z) \right),$$

where  $p_s(z)$  is a polynomial in z with integer coefficients, and which satisfies  $p_s(0) = 0$ .

That is, if we denote the generating function on the left-hand side by  $E_s(z)$ , then it satisfies the equation

$$E_s^2(z) - E_s(z) - z - 3p_s(z)E_s(z) - z3p_s(z)(2 + 3p_s(z)) = 0.$$

This is an equation of the form

$$c_2(z)F^2(z) + c_1(z)F(z)(z) + c_0(z) + 3Q(\dots) = 0$$

as in our theorem!!

## Recipe for treating central Eulerian numbers modulo $3^{\beta}$

- First consider A(2n, n+1) only for  $n \equiv s \pmod{3^{\beta-1}}$ , with s fixed.
- Solve the functional equation for  $E_s(z)$  modulo  $3^{\beta}$ .
- Only the coefficients of z<sup>n</sup> in E<sub>s</sub>(z) with n ≡ s (mod 3<sup>β-1</sup>) are of interest to us; compute the corresponding section of the series.
- Add the various sections for s = 0, 1, 2, ..., 3<sup>β-1</sup> − 1. This yields the desired polynomial in Ψ(z).

Something similar works for A(2n - 1, n).

# The corresponding results modulo 27

#### Theorem

We have

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} A(2n, n+1) z^n = 14 + 3 (3z^2 - 4z + 2) \Psi(z) + (21z^3 + 20z^2 + 13z + 23) \Psi^3(z) + 3 (6z^4 + 4z^3 + 3z^2 + 4) \Psi^5(z) \mod 27.$$

э

э

## The corresponding results modulo 27

#### Theorem

We have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} A(2n, n+1) z^n = 14 + 3 (3z^2 - 4z + 2) \Psi(z) + (21z^3 + 20z^2 + 13z + 23) \Psi^3(z) + 3 (6z^4 + 4z^3 + 3z^2 + 4) \Psi^5(z) \mod 27.$$

#### Theorem

We have

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} A(2n-1,n) z^{n} = -3z (3z^{2}+5) \Psi(z) + z (24z^{3}+15z^{2}+10z+19) \Psi^{3}(z) + 3z (3z^{4}+6z^{3}+2z^{2}+7z+8) \Psi^{5}(z) \mod 27.$$