## Homework 3 <br> Metamathematics II

Math 503, Spring 2006 Due April 28.

1. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be a numerical language and $\Sigma$ be a set of $\mathcal{L}$-sentences. Suppose $\Sigma \vdash S^{m} 0 \neq S^{n} 0$ whenever $m \neq n$. (This condition is satisfied for $\Sigma=\mathrm{N}$.) Show that if the function $F: \mathbb{N}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is $\Sigma$-represented by the $\mathcal{L}$-formula $\varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}, y\right.$ ), then the graph of $F$ (as a subset of $\left.\mathbb{N}^{m+1}\right)$ is $\Sigma$-represented by $\varphi\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}, y\right)$.
2. Suppose $\mathcal{A} \models \mathrm{N}$. Show that there is a unique $\mathcal{L}(\mathrm{N})$-embedding $\iota: \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$, and show that $\iota$ satisfies, for all $a \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :
(a) if $a<\mathcal{A} \iota(n)$, then $a=\iota(m)$ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m<n$;
(b) if $a \notin \iota(\mathbb{N})$, then $\iota(n)<^{\mathcal{A}} a$.
3. Suppose $\Sigma$ is a computable and consistent set of sentences in the finite numerical language $\mathcal{L}$. Show that every $\Sigma$-representable set $R \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{n}$ is computable. (You may appeal to the Church-Turing thesis.)
4. Suppose $\mathcal{L}$ is a finite numerical language and $\Sigma \supseteq \mathrm{N}$ is consistent.
(a) Show that $\ulcorner\mathrm{Th}(\Sigma)\urcorner$ is not $\Sigma$-representable.
(b) A truth definition for $\Sigma$ is an $\mathcal{L}$-formula $t(y)$ such that for all $\mathcal{L}$-sentences $\sigma$,

$$
\Sigma \vdash \sigma \longleftrightarrow t\left(S^{n} 0\right), \quad \text { where } n=\ulcorner\sigma\urcorner \text {. }
$$

Show that there is no truth definition for $\Sigma$.
(Hint: use the Fixed Point Lemma.)

