Fefferman–Graham ambient spaces of conformal Patterson–Walker metrics

Matthias Hammerl

University of Greifswald

Talk at HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN, Nov 13 2016

This talk is based on joint work with Katja Sagerschnig (Politecnico di Torino) and Josef Šilhan, Arman Taghavi-Chabert and Vojtěch Žádník (Masaryk University Brno).

Fefferman-Graham ambient spaces

- Let (M, [g]) be a conformal geometry of signature (p, q) with p + q = m the dimension of M.
 <u>A Fefferman-Graham ambient space</u> of (M, [g]) is a (pseudo-)Riemannian space (M, g) of signature (p + 1, q + 1) which is Ricci-flat and gives an equivalent encoding of [g].
- This description has been fundamental for constructing and classifying conformal invariants (Fefferman-Graham, 1984) and for constructing and studying conformally invariant differential operators (Graham-Jenne-Mason-Sparling, 1992).

Let $g \in [g]$ be some representative metric in the conformal class. The Fefferman-Graham ambient space can then be written as

$$\mathsf{M} = \underbrace{\mathbb{R}_+ \times M \times \mathbb{R}}_{(t, x, \rho)}$$

where

- $\mathbb{R}_+ \times M \subseteq \mathbf{M}$ is regarded as the ray bundle of metrics in the conformal class [g] parametrized by $(t, x) \mapsto t^2g$ and
- $\rho \in \mathbb{R}$ is a new transversal coordinate.

Let x denote local coordinates on M. Then an *ansatz* for the Fefferman-Graham ambient metric **g** is

$$\mathbf{g} = t^2 g_{ij}(x,\rho) dx^i \odot dx^j + 2\rho dt \odot dt + 2t dt \odot d\rho, \tag{FG}$$

where

$$g(x,0) = g_{ij}(x,0) dx^i dx^j$$

is the representative metric g.

The Fefferman-Graham metric **g** is homogeneous of degree 2 with respect to the *Euler field* $t\partial_t$ on **M**.

To show existence of a Fefferman-Graham ambient metric **g** for given g, the *ansatz* (FG) determines an iterative procedure to determine $g_{ij}(x, \rho)$ as a Taylor series in ρ satisfying

$\operatorname{Ric}(\mathbf{g}) = \mathbf{0}$ to infinite order at $\rho = \mathbf{0}$.

- For *m* odd existence (and a natural version of uniqueness) of **g** as an infinity-order series expansion in ρ is guaranteed for general $g_{ij}(x)$.
- For m = 2n even, the procedure for determining the expansion in ρ for $g_{ij}(x, \rho)$ such that $\text{Ric}(\mathbf{g}) = 0$ is generically obstructed at order n.
 - Existence of g_{ij}(x, ρ) as an infinity order series expansion in ρ with Ric(g) = 0 asymptotically at ρ = 0 is then equivalent to vanishing of the Fefferman–Graham obstruction tensor O, which is a conformal invariant.
 - ► Existence of g for m = 2n even does not in general guarantee uniqueness.

In general, it is not known whether a 'global' ambient space (\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{g}) satisfying $\operatorname{Ric}(\mathbf{g}) = 0$ on all on \mathbf{M} and not only asymptotically exists always in the odd-dimensional case or in the even, obstruction-flat situation.

Results which provide global Fefferman–Graham ambient metrics, where **g** can be constructed in a natural way from *g* and satisfies $\text{Ric}(\mathbf{g})$ globally and not just asymptotically at $\rho = 0$ are rare, both in the odd- and evendimensional situation.

- A special instance where ambient metrics can at least be shown to exist properly occurs for g real-analytic, and m either being odd or m even and with obstruction tensor O of g vanishing.
- The simplest case of geometric origin for which one has global ambient metrics consists of locally conformally flat structures (M, [g]), where (M, g) exists and is unique up to diffeomorphisms.
- A well known geometric case is formed by conformal structures (M, [g]) which contain an Einstein metric g: If Ric(g) = 2λ(m − 1)g, then g on ℝ₊ × M × ℝ can be written directly in terms of g as

$$\mathbf{g} = t^2 (1 + \lambda \rho)^2 g + 2\rho dt \odot dt + 2t dt \odot d\rho.$$
 (E)

- In work by Thomas Leistner and Pawel Nurowski (2010) it was shown that *pp-waves* admit global and explicit ambient metrics in the odd-dimensional case and under specific conditions which guarantee vanishing of the Fefferman-Graham obstruction tensor \mathcal{O} also in the even-dimensional case.
- Ambient metrics have also been constructed for
 - ... families of conformal structures induced by generic 2-distributions on 5-manifolds (Leistner-Nurowski 2012).
 - ... families of conformal structures induced by generic 3-distributions on 6 manifolds (Anderson-Leistner-Nurowski 2015).
 - Image: mail the second structures for which the equation Ric(g) = 0 becomes a linear PDE (Anderson-Leistner–Lischewski-Nurowski 2016).
- An explicit ambient metric for an example of an *homogeneous conformal structure* was obtained by (Willse 2014).
- We expand the geometric class of metrics for which canonical ambient metrics exist globally and in a canonical realization to *Patterson–Walker metrics*.

Patterson-Walker metrics

- Let N be a smooth manifold and $p: T^*N \to N$ its co-tangent bundle. The vertical subbundle $V \subseteq T(T^*N)$ of this projection is canonically isomorphic to T^*N .
- An <u>affine connection D on N</u> determines a complementary horizontal distribution H ⊆ T(T*N) that is isomorphic to TN via the tangent map of p.

The <u>Patterson–Walker metric</u> associated to a torsion-free affine connection D on N is the pseudo-Riemannian split-signature (n, n)-metric g on T^*N fully determined by the following conditions:

- both V and H are isotropic with respect to g,
- the value of g with one entry from V and another entry from H is given by the natural pairing between $V \cong T^*N$ and $H \cong TN$.

 \rightsquigarrow It follows that V is parallel with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the just constructed metric. Hence Patterson–Walker metrics are special cases of Walker metrics, which are metrics admitting a parallel isotropic distribution.

Local Formula for Patterson–Walker metrics

- Let *D* be a torsion-free affine connection on *N* which preserves a volume form.
- Denote local coordinates on N by x^A and the induced canonical fibre coordinates on T^*N by p_A .
- Let $\Gamma_A^{\ \ C}_B$ denote the Christoffel symbols of D.

Then

$$g = 2 \, \mathrm{d} x^{A} \odot \mathrm{d} p_{A} - 2 \, \Gamma_{A}{}^{C}{}_{B} \, p_{C} \, \mathrm{d} x^{A} \odot \mathrm{d} x^{B}$$

(PW)

is the Patterson–Walker metric induced on T^*N by D.

Properties of the induced Patterson–Walker space (M, g):

• (M,g) carries a parallel pure spinor $\chi\in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}_{-})$,

$$\widetilde{D}\chi = 0.$$

 \rightsquigarrow equivalent encoding of the parallel maximally isotropic distribution $V \subset TM.$

• (M,g) carries a homothety $k \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$,

$$\mathcal{L}_k g = 2 g.$$

 Any infinitesimal symmetry v^A of the affine connection D induces a Killing field v^a of g. Given an affine connection D on N we may *weaken* it to its projective equivalence class [D] and regard (N, [D]) as a projective structure:

 For this, recall that two affine connections D, D' on N are called projectively related if they have the same geodesics as unparameterized curves. This is the case if and only if there exists a 1-form Υ ∈ Ω¹(N) with

$$D'_X Y = D_X Y + \Upsilon(X) Y + \Upsilon(Y) X$$
(P)

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$.

It is an obviously interesting question to ask whether the association

$$N \rightsquigarrow T^*N, D \rightsquigarrow g$$

from an affine connection to its Patterson–Walker metric carries generalizes to a natural association from projective to conformal structures.

- In general, for projectively related metrics D, D', the associated Patterson–Walker metrics on $M = T^*N$ will fail to be conformally invariant.
- While one could nevertheless study the conformal class of one given Patterson–Walker metric, we will first lay out an adapted construction which produces a conformal class of metrics which only depends on [D].

Preliminaries: Projective Densities and Scales

For projective structures on an oriented manifold N it is often useful to employ suitably calibrated *projective density bundles of weight* w,

$$\mathcal{E}(w) := (\wedge^n TN)^{-\frac{w}{n+1}}$$

For the special case of weight w = 1 we call the ray bundle

$$\mathcal{E}_+(1)\subseteq \mathcal{E}(1)$$

the bundle of projective scales.

Let [D] be a projective class which contains volume-preserving (also called special) connections. Then projective scales $s \in \mathcal{E}_+(1)$ correspond to a special affine connections $D \in [g]$.

If D and D' are special affine connectiosn corresponding to s and $s' = e^{f}s$, then D' is projectively related to D via

$$D'_X Y = D_X Y + \Upsilon(X)Y + \Upsilon(Y)X, \tag{P}$$

where $\Upsilon = df$.

Conformal Patterson–Walker metrics

We define

$M = T^*N(2) = T^*N \otimes \mathcal{E}(2)$

the (projectively) weighted co-tangent bundle of N.

Given a projective scale $s \in \mathcal{E}_+(1)$ we obtain a trivialization/identification of $T^*N(2) \cong T^*N$. With D the special affine connection corresponding to the scale s, we have the induced Patterson–Walker metric g_s on $T^*N(2)$.

If $s' = e^f s$ is another projective scale, then $g_{s'} = e^{2f} g_s$.

Thus, the projectively related affine connections D, D' on N induce conformally related Patterson–Walker metrics $g_s, g_{s'}$ on $M = T^*N(2)$, and we obtain a natural association

 $(N, [D]) \rightsquigarrow (M, [g]).$

Properties of conformal Patterson–Walker metrics:

- (M, [g]) carries a pure *twistor spinor* χ with (maximally isotropic, *n*-dimensional) integrable kernel ker χ .
- (M, [g]) carries a nowhere-vanishing conformal Killing field $k \in \ker \chi$

In addition, one can show the following:

• The Lie-derivative of χ with respect to the conformal Killing field k is

$$\mathcal{L}_k \chi = -\frac{1}{2} (n+1) \chi \,. \tag{L}$$

• The following integrability condition is satisfied for all $v^r, w^s \in \ker \chi$:

$$\widetilde{W}_{abcd}v^aw^d = 0. \tag{W}$$

Then:

- These conditions <u>characterize conformal Patterson–Walker metrics</u>.
- Under those conditions there always exist (at least locally) Patterson–Walker metrics $g \in [g]$, which satisfy $\widetilde{D}\chi = 0$.

It will be interesting to analyze when the Patterson–Walker metric g contains an Einstein metric in its conformal class [g]:

- If the affine connection *D* is Ricci-flat, then the induced Patterson–Walker metric *g* is Ricci-flat.
- If the affine connection D allows an Euler-type vector field ξ satisfying the projectively invariant equation

$$D_C \xi^A = \frac{1}{n} (D_P \xi^P) \delta^A_C, \quad \xi^D W_{DA}{}^C_B = 0,$$

then the induced Patterson–Walker metric g_{-} is conformal to a Ricci-flat metric $g_{+} = \sigma_{\xi}^{-2}g$ off the zero-set of a rescaling function σ_{ξ} .

Conversely, if the Patterson–Walker metric g is conformal to an Einstein metric $\sigma^{-2}g$, then there is a canonical decomposition

$$\sigma = \sigma_+ + \sigma_-$$

such that both $g_{-} = \sigma_{-}^{-2}g$ and $g_{+} = \sigma_{+}^{-2}g$ are Ricci-flat off the respective zero-sets and correspond to the two types above.

The Thomas cone connection

A much simpler analog of ambient spaces of conformal structures is available for projective structures due to Tracy Thomas (1934):

- The <u>Thomas cone</u> associated to a projective manifold (N, D) is the natural ray bundle C := ε₊(1)= (ΛⁿTN)^{-1/n+1}.
- The <u>Thomas cone connection</u> ∇ is a canonical affine, Ricci-flat connection on C.

Let $s: N \to \mathcal{E}_+(1)$ be the scale corresponding to an affine connection $D \in [D]$, providing a trivialization $\mathcal{E}_+(1) \cong \mathbb{R}_+ \times N$ via $(x^0, x) \mapsto s(x)x^0$. In this trivialization the Thomas cone connection is given by

$$\nabla_X Y = D_X Y - \frac{1}{n-1} \operatorname{Ric}(X, Y) Z, \ \nabla Z = \operatorname{id}_{TC}$$
(T)

where $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(N)$ and $Z = x^0 \partial_{x^0}$ is the Euler field on \mathcal{C} .

It is in fact easy to see directly from formula (T) that the thus defined affine connection ∇ on the Thomas cone C is independent of the choice of scale and Ricci-flat.

Combining the constructions

- Given a projective structure (N, [D]) on an n-dimensional manifold N, we can form the Thomas cone (C, ∇) and consider the associated Patterson-Walker metric g on M = T*C = T*C₊(1).
- Obviously: dim C = (n + 1), so $sig(\mathbf{g}) = (n + 1, n + 1)$.
- Since ∇ is Ricci-flat, so is its Patterson–Walker metric ${f g}$.

In particular, we may be tempted to investigate whether (\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{g}) is in fact the Fefferman–Graham ambient metric space associated to the conformal class (M, [g]):

$$(\mathcal{C}, \nabla) \xrightarrow{} (\mathbf{M}, \mathbf{g}) \qquad \dots \text{Ricci-flat, split-signature } (n+1, n+1)$$

$$(N, [D]) \xrightarrow{} (M, [g]) \qquad \dots \text{ conformal, split-signature } (n, n)$$

We also have the induced homothety \mathbf{k} on \mathbf{M} , which might be suspected to be a canonical candidate for the Euler-field of the ambient space.

Procedure:

- Compute the Thomas cone connection ∇ on C for given D.
- Compute the Patterson–Walker metric \mathbf{g} on $T^*\mathcal{C}$ associated to ∇ .
- Perform (locally) an appropriate coordinate change which shows that the resulting split-signature (n + 1, n + 1) pseudo-Riemannian metric **g** is a Fefferman–Graham ambient metric.

Concretely:

- We use a local coordinate patch on N which induces coordinates x^A, y_A on the co-tangent bundle T*N and coordinates x⁰, x^A, y_A, y₀ on T*C ≅ ℝ₊ × T*N × ℝ.
- Then the Patterson–Walker metric ${\bf g}$ associated to the Thomas cone connection ∇ is

$$\mathbf{g} = 2dx^{A} \odot dy_{A} + 2dx^{0} \odot dy_{0} - \frac{4}{x^{0}}y_{B}dx^{0} \odot dx^{B}$$
(1)
$$- 2y_{C}\Gamma_{A}^{\ \ C}{}_{B}dx^{A} \odot dx^{B} + 2\frac{x^{0}y_{0}}{n-1}\operatorname{Ric}_{AB}dx^{A} \odot dx^{B}.$$

• We employ the change of coordinates $t = x^0$, $\rho = \frac{y_0}{x^0}$, $p_A = \frac{y_A}{(x^0)^2}$.

Theorem (Local Statement)

For a given torsion–free, volume–preserving affine connection D with Christoffel symbols $\Gamma_A{}^C{}_B$,

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{\prime}\mathbf{g} &= 2\rho dt \odot dt + 2t dt \odot d\rho, \qquad (\text{PW-A}) \\ &+ t^2 (2dx^A \odot dp_A - 2p_C \Gamma_A{}^C{}_B dx^A \odot dx^B + \frac{2\rho}{n-1} \operatorname{Ric}_{AB} dx^A \odot dx^B), \end{aligned}$$

is the Fefferman-Graham ambient metric of the Patterson-Walker metric

$$g = 2dx^{A} \odot dp_{A} - 2p_{C} \Gamma_{A}^{C}{}_{B} dx^{A} \odot dx^{B}.$$
 (PW)

• Once one has the above formula, it can also be proved directly: One checks Ricci-flatness of (PW-A) for any given Christoffel symbols Γ^{A}_{BC} , satisfying $\Gamma^{A}_{BC} = \Gamma^{A}_{CB}, \ \partial_{A}\gamma^{P}_{BP} - \partial_{B}\Gamma^{P}_{AP}$

where the first condition corresponds to torsion–freeness of D and second condition to volume–preservation of D.

• It follows in particular that the Fefferman-Graham obstruction tensor \mathcal{O} vanishes for any Patterson–Walker metric.

Properties of the ambient metric ${f g}$

• As a Patterson–Walker metric (**M**, **g**) carries a naturally induced homothety

$$\mathbf{k} = 2p_A \partial_{p_A} + 2
ho \partial_{
ho}$$

of degree 2.

• The infinitesimal affine symmetry Z of the affine connection ∇ lifts to the Killing field

$$\xi = t\partial_t - 2p_A\partial_{p_A} - 2\rho\partial_{\rho}.$$

 The Euler field of the Fefferman–Graham ambient metric g can be written as the sum ξ + k of this Killing field and the homothety k:

$$t\partial_t = \xi + \mathbf{k}.$$

- *T*M carries the maximally isotropic (*n* + 1)-dimensional subspace spanned by {∂_{p_A}, ∂_ρ} which is preserved by ∇. This subspace can be equivalently described by a ∇-parallel pure spinor s on M.
- In particular,

$$\operatorname{Hol}(\mathbf{g}) \subseteq \operatorname{SL}(n+1) \ltimes \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^{n+1,n+1}.$$

Theorem (Global statement)

Given a projective structure (N, [D]) on an n-dimensional manifold N, the geometric constructions indicated in the following diagram commute:

In particular, the induced conformal structure [g] admits a globally Ricci-flat Fefferman–Graham ambient metric **g** which is itself a Patterson–Walker metric.

Q-Curvature

- Q-curvature Q_g of a given metric g on an even-dimensional manifold is a Riemannian scalar invariant with a particularly simple (linear) transformation law with respect to conformal change of metric (Thomas Branson 1993).
- Computation of *Q*-curvature is notoriously difficult since it typically requires knowledge of the Fefferman-Graham ambient metric:
 - Formulas in terms of underlying data can in principle be obtained algorithmically for each given dimension, but the resulting formulas are not (at the moment) accessible to human inspection.
 - ► An explicit form of a Fefferman–Graham ambient metric g for a given metric g allows a computation of Q_g. Using the fact that g is actually a Patterson–Walker metric, this computation is particularly simple.

Theorem

The Patterson–Walker metric g associated to a volume–preserving, torsion–free affine connection D has vanishing Q-curvature Q_g .

Computation:

• According to (Fefferman-Hirachi, 2003), we have to compute

$$Q_g = \left(-\boldsymbol{\Delta}^n \log(t)\right)_{|\{1\} \times T^* N \times \{0\}},$$

- where Δ is the ambient Laplacian on $\mathbf{M} = \mathbb{R}_+ \times T^* N \times \mathbb{R}$,
- ▶ $t: \mathbf{M} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ is the first coordinate projection and
- the subscript denotes restriction to $T^*N \hookrightarrow \mathbf{M}$.
- To show that Q-curvature vanishes for g, it is in particular sufficient to show that $\Delta \log(t) = 0$.
- We observe that the function t : M → ℝ₊ is horizontal since it is just the pullback of the coordinate function x⁰ : C → ℝ₊ on the Thomas cone C ≅ ℝ₊ × N.
- The explicit formula for the Christoffel symbols of a Patterson-Walker metric shows that Δ vanishes on any horizontal function. Thus in particular Δ log(t) = 0, and then also Q_g = 0.

The hidden machinery: Parabolic geometries and the BGG-machinery

• The original oriented projective structure (M, [D]) and the conformal spin structure (M, [g]) can both be equivalently described/encoded as Cartan geometries.

This viewpoint can be used to relate the respective geometries via a Fefferman-type construction, which is based on a group inclusion $SL(n+1) \hookrightarrow Spin(n+1, n+1)$ of the underlying (Cartan) structure groups.

- The Fefferman-type construction allows a systematic approach to find the characterizing properties of the induced conformal spaces:
 - It also allows a systematic approach to study <u>special properties</u> of the induced spaces.

This requires applications of (parts of) the <u>BGG-machinery</u> for parabolic geometries, which in particular relate parallel objects to solutions of overdetermined equations.

The Fefferman-type construction

Let s_E, s_F be complementary *pure spinors* in the spin-representation of Spin(n + 1, n + 1) providing a decomposition

 $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n+1} = \ker s_E \oplus \ker s_F$

into complementary, maximally isotropic subspaces. We obtain a canonical embedding

$$SL(n+1) \hookrightarrow Spin(n+1, n+1)$$

as the joint stabilizer of s_E and s_F .

- This is an embedding of the structure group of a projective Cartan geometry into the structure group of a conformal Cartan geometry.
- For a projective structure (*M*, [*D*]) encoded as a Cartan geometry we can then perform a natural extension of structure group

$$(\mathcal{G},\omega) \rightsquigarrow (\widetilde{\mathcal{G}},\widetilde{\omega})$$

to obtain a conformal structure encoded as a Cartan geometry.

• The induced Cartan connection form $\tilde{\omega}$ needs to be <u>normalized to $\tilde{\omega}^{nor}$.</u>

Holonomy reduction

- Associated to the conformal Cartan bundle $(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}, \tilde{\omega})$ we have the associated spin tractor bundle \mathcal{S} .
- The pure spinors $s_E, s_F \in \Delta$ give rise to canonical pure spin tractors $\mathbf{s}_E, \mathbf{s}_F \in \Gamma(S)$ by defining constant, spinor representation valued functions along the reduction

$$(\mathcal{G},\omega) \hookrightarrow (\widetilde{\mathcal{G}},\widetilde{\omega}).$$

 The Cartan connection form ω̃ which is induced from (G, ω) preserves the spinors above and in particular has holonomy Hol(ω) ⊆ SL(n+1).

After conformal normalization to $\tilde{\omega}^{nor}$, only the tractor spinor \mathbf{s}_F remains parallel. Consequently,

 $\mathsf{Hol}([g]) = \mathsf{Hol}(\widetilde{\omega}^{nor}) \subseteq \ker s_F = \mathrm{SL}(n+1) \ltimes \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^{n+1,n+1}.$

Induced BGG-Solutions

Let V be Spin(n+1, n+1) representation.

 According to the general principles of the <u>BGG-machinery</u> (Čap-Slovak-Souček, 2001) one has a naturally associated first BGG-operator / first BGG-equation,

$\Theta_0(\sigma) = 0.$

• The associated <u>tractor bundle</u> $\widetilde{\mathcal{V}}$ carries its canonically induced <u>tractor connection</u> $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}}$ (and a *prolongation connection* $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}, pro}$ specifically associated to the underlying BGG-equation). One has:

{parallel sections of $\nabla^{\mathcal{V}(,pro)}$ } \longleftrightarrow {solutions of associated BGG-equation}.

In particular, for a conformal structure induced via the extension $SL(n+1) \rightsquigarrow Spin(n+1, n+1)$:

- The parallel tractor $\mathbf{s}_F \in \mathcal{S}$ corresponds to a pure twistor spinor χ .
- Likewise, a canonical involution K on ℝ^{n+1,n+1} gives rise to a conformal Killing field k.

Decomposition of conformal solutions

• Let V be a Spin(n + 1, n + 1)-representation and let

 $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_r$

be the decomposition of V into SL(n + 1)-representations.

- A solution σ of the first conformal BGG-equation Θ₀(σ) = 0 corresponds to a parallel conformal tractor s ∈ V (either with respect to the normal conformal tractor connection or, generally, the prolongation connection).
- Along the reduction of Cartan geometries

$$(\mathcal{G},\omega) \hookrightarrow (\widetilde{\mathcal{G}},\widetilde{\omega})$$

we can decompose $s \in \mathcal{V}$ into projective tractors

$$s = s_1 \oplus \cdots s_r$$
 with $s_1 \in \mathcal{V}_1, \ldots, s_n \in \mathcal{V}_r$,

and each term will correspond to a solution of a projective BGG-equation, $\Theta_1(\sigma_1) = 0, \ldots, \Theta_r(\sigma_r) = 0.$

Examples of decompositions of solutions

• The decomposition of <u>Einstein metrics</u> discussed above corresponds to the decomposition

 $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,n+1} = \ker s_E \oplus \ker s_F.$

 \rightsquigarrow The corresponding projective bundles are the <u>standard</u> and <u>dual standard</u> projective tractor bundles. It follows in particular that Hol([D]) = SL(n+1) obstructs the existence of Einstein-metrics in the induced conformal class.

• We have a decomposition of conformal Killing fields which corresponds to the decomposition of $\mathfrak{so}(n+1, n+1)$ into its $\mathrm{SL}(n+1)$ -irreducible components,

 $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(n+1) \oplus \Lambda^2 \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \oplus \Lambda^2 (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^*.$

In particular, each conformal Killing field $\tilde{\xi}$ decomposes uniquely into

$$\xi = \widetilde{v}^a_+ + \widetilde{v}^a_0 + \widetilde{v}^a_- + c \, k^a \quad \text{where} \quad$$

• k^a is the canonical homothety of the Patterson–Walker metric g,

• \tilde{v}^a corresponds to a symmetry of the projective structure [D].