

Coupling solutions of BGG-equations in conformal spin geometry

Matthias Hammerl

University of Vienna, Faculty of Mathematics

Brno, Aug 2010

Setting

Let M be a smooth manifold and $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ a parabolic geometry of type (G, P) . Here G is a semi-simple Lie group and $P \subset G$ a parabolic subgroup. $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g})$ the Cartan connection form of the geometry with values in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G . Geometries of interest could for instance be projective structures, conformal structures or CR-structures.

Setting

Let M be a smooth manifold and $(\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ a parabolic geometry of type (G, P) . Here G is a semi-simple Lie group and $P \subset G$ a parabolic subgroup. $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g})$ the Cartan connection form of the geometry with values in the Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} of G . Geometries of interest could for instance be projective structures, conformal structures or CR-structures.

We are interested in overdetermined operators on such geometries which appear as the first operators in the BGG-sequence

$$\mathcal{H}_0 \xrightarrow{\Theta_0} \mathcal{H}_1 \xrightarrow{\Theta_1} \dots \xrightarrow{\Theta_{n-1}} \mathcal{H}_n$$

of natural differential operators as constructed by [Cap-Slovak-Souček].

Outline

- 1 BGG-equations, the prolongation connection and coupling
- 2 Coupling in conformal spin geometry
- 3 Generic twistor spinors and cKf decomposition

Outline

- 1 BGG-equations, the prolongation connection and coupling
- 2 Coupling in conformal spin geometry
- 3 Generic twistor spinors and cKf decomposition

Tractor bundles

For every G -representation V one associates the **tractor bundle**
 $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{G} \times_P V$.

\mathcal{V} carries its canonical **tractor connection**, denoted by $\nabla = \nabla^{\mathcal{V}}$, and this gives rise to a sequence

$$\mathcal{C}_0 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{d^{\nabla}} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{d^{\nabla}} \dots$$

on the chain spaces $\mathcal{C}_k = \Omega^k(M, \mathcal{V})$.

Tractor bundles

For every G -representation V one associates the **tractor bundle**
 $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{G} \times_P V$.

\mathcal{V} carries its canonical **tractor connection**, denoted by $\nabla = \nabla^{\mathcal{V}}$, and this gives rise to a sequence

$$\mathcal{C}_0 \xrightarrow{\nabla} \mathcal{C}_1 \xrightarrow{d^{\nabla}} \mathcal{C}_2 \xrightarrow{d^{\nabla}} \dots$$

on the chain spaces $\mathcal{C}_k = \Omega^k(M, \mathcal{V})$.

Moreover, one has the (algebraic) **Kostant co-differential** $\partial^* : \mathcal{C}_{k+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_k$,
 $\partial^* \circ \partial^* = 0$, which yields the complex

$$\mathcal{C}_0 \xleftarrow{\partial^*} \mathcal{C}_1 \xleftarrow{\partial^*} \mathcal{C}_2 \xleftarrow{\partial^*} \dots$$

This complex gives rise to spaces $\mathcal{Z}_k = \ker \partial^*$ of cycles, $\mathcal{B}_k = \text{im } \partial^*$ of borders and homologies $\mathcal{H}_k = \mathcal{Z}_k / \mathcal{B}_k$. The canonical surjections are denoted $\Pi_k : \mathcal{Z}_k \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_k$.

The BGG-operators and the prolongation connection

The BGG-machinery of [Čap-Slovak-Souček] is based on **canonical differential splitting operators** $L_k : \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_k) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}_k)$: A section $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}_k)$ is of the form $L_k \sigma, \sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_k)$ if and only if $d^\nabla s \in \ker \partial^*$.

The BGG-operators and the prolongation connection

The BGG-machinery of [Čap-Slovak-Souček] is based on **canonical differential splitting operators** $L_k : \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_k) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}_k)$: A section $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}_k)$ is of the form $L_k \sigma, \sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_k)$ if and only if $d^\nabla s \in \ker \partial^*$.

In particular, one can form the **first BGG-operator** $\Theta_0 = \Pi_1 \circ \nabla \circ L_0$, $\Theta_0 : \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_0) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1)$.

The BGG-operators and the prolongation connection

The BGG-machinery of [Čap-Slovak-Souček] is based on **canonical differential splitting operators** $L_k : \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_k) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}_k)$: A section $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}_k)$ is of the form $L_k \sigma, \sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_k)$ if and only if $d^\nabla s \in \ker \partial^*$.

In particular, one can form the **first BGG-operator** $\Theta_0 = \Pi_1 \circ \nabla \circ L_0$, $\Theta_0 : \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_0) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1)$.

If a section $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ is ∇ -parallel, we see that $\sigma = \Pi_0(s) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_0)$ lies in the kernel of Θ_0 . We say that σ is a **normal solution** of $\Theta_0(\sigma) = 0$.

The BGG-operators and the prolongation connection

The BGG-machinery of [Čap-Slovak-Souček] is based on **canonical differential splitting operators** $L_k : \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_k) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}_k)$: A section $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{Z}_k)$ is of the form $L_k \sigma, \sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_k)$ if and only if $d^\nabla s \in \ker \partial^*$.

In particular, one can form the **first BGG-operator** $\Theta_0 = \Pi_1 \circ \nabla \circ L_0$, $\Theta_0 : \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_0) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1)$.

If a section $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V})$ is ∇ -parallel, we see that $\sigma = \Pi_0(s) \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_0)$ lies in the kernel of Θ_0 . We say that σ is a **normal solution** of $\Theta_0(\sigma) = 0$.

In [H.-Somberg-Souček-Šilhan, 2010] a natural modification $\tilde{\nabla} = \nabla + \Psi$ with $\Psi \in \Omega^1(M, \text{End}(\mathcal{V}))$ was constructed which has the property that

Proposition (HSSS, 2010)

The solutions $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_0$ of $\Theta_0(\sigma) = 0$ are in 1 : 1-correspondence with the $\tilde{\nabla}$ -parallel sections of \mathcal{V} .

We call $\tilde{\nabla}$ the **prolongation connection** of Θ_0 .

An efficient condition for checking normality of $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_0)$, $\Theta_0(\sigma) = 0$

The **Cartan curvature form** $K \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{A})$ of the Cartan connection ω has values in the adjoint tractor bundle $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{G} \times_P \mathfrak{g}$, which naturally acts on the tractor bundle \mathcal{V} via $\bullet : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$.

An efficient condition for checking normality of $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_0)$, $\Theta_0(\sigma) = 0$

The **Cartan curvature form** $K \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{A})$ of the Cartan connection ω has values in the adjoint tractor bundle $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{G} \times_P \mathfrak{g}$, which naturally acts on the tractor bundle \mathcal{V} via $\bullet : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$.

Proposition

A solution σ of $\Theta_0(\sigma) = 0$ is normal if and only if $\partial^(K \bullet (L_0 \sigma)) = 0$.*

An efficient condition for checking normality of $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_0)$, $\Theta_0(\sigma) = 0$

The **Cartan curvature form** $K \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{A})$ of the Cartan connection ω has values in the adjoint tractor bundle $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{G} \times_P \mathfrak{g}$, which naturally acts on the tractor bundle \mathcal{V} via $\bullet : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$.

Proposition

A solution σ of $\Theta_0(\sigma) = 0$ is normal if and only if $\partial^(K \bullet (L_0 \sigma)) = 0$.*

Proof:

Since ∇ is the natural connection induced by ω on \mathcal{V} the curvature of ∇ is $R = K \bullet \in \Omega^2(M, \text{End}(\mathcal{V}))$.

An efficient condition for checking normality of $\sigma \in \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_0)$, $\Theta_0(\sigma) = 0$

The **Cartan curvature form** $K \in \Omega^2(M, \mathcal{A})$ of the Cartan connection ω has values in the adjoint tractor bundle $\mathcal{A} := \mathcal{G} \times_P \mathfrak{g}$, which naturally acts on the tractor bundle \mathcal{V} via $\bullet : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathcal{V})$.

Proposition

A solution σ of $\Theta_0(\sigma) = 0$ is normal if and only if $\partial^(K \bullet (L_0 \sigma)) = 0$.*

Proof:

Since ∇ is the natural connection induced by ω on \mathcal{V} the curvature of ∇ is $R = K \bullet \in \Omega^2(M, \text{End}(\mathcal{V}))$.

Denote $s = L_0 \sigma$. Now if $\partial^*(K \bullet s) = 0$, then since $R = d^\nabla \circ \nabla$ we have $d^\nabla(\nabla s) \in \ker \partial^*$. Thus

$$\nabla s = L_1(\Pi_1(s)) = L_1(\Theta_0 \sigma) = 0.$$

Coupling maps

Let now V, V' and W be G representations and $C : V \times V' \rightarrow W$ be a G -equivariant bilinear map. The corresponding tractor map is denoted

$$\mathbf{C} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \mathcal{W}.$$

Coupling maps

Let now V, V' and W be G representations and $C : V \times V' \rightarrow W$ be a G -equivariant bilinear map. The corresponding tractor map is denoted

$$\mathbf{C} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \mathcal{W}.$$

It induces the (differential) **coupling map** $\mathbf{c} : \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_0^V) \times \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_0^{V'}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1^W)$

$$(\sigma, \sigma') \mapsto \Pi_0^W(\mathbf{C}(L_0^V(\sigma), L_0^{V'}(\sigma'))).$$

Coupling maps

Let now V, V' and W be G representations and $C : V \times V' \rightarrow W$ be a G -equivariant bilinear map. The corresponding tractor map is denoted

$$\mathbf{C} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \mathcal{W}.$$

It induces the (differential) **coupling map** $\mathbf{c} : \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_0^V) \times \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_0^{V'}) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_1^W)$

$$(\sigma, \sigma') \mapsto \Pi_0^W(\mathbf{C}(L_0^V(\sigma), L_0^{V'}(\sigma'))).$$

Since $\mathbf{C} : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is algebraic and natural, we have that for all $s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}), s' \in \Gamma(\mathcal{V}')$,

$$\nabla^W \mathbf{C}(s, s') = \mathbf{C}(\nabla^V s, s') + \mathbf{C}(s, \nabla^{V'} s').$$

In particular, if $\sigma \in \mathcal{H}_0^V$ and $\sigma' \in \mathcal{H}_0^{V'}$ are normal solutions of Θ_0^V resp. $\Theta_0^{V'}$, then $\eta := \mathbf{c}(\sigma, \sigma')$ is a normal solution of Θ_0^W .

Coupling for $|1|$ -graded parabolic geometries with Θ_0^W of first order

The operators Θ_0^V and $\Theta_0^{V'}$ have prolongation connections $\tilde{\nabla}^V = \nabla^V + \Psi^V$, $\tilde{\nabla}^{V'} = \nabla^{V'} + \Psi^{V'}$. We write $s = L_0^V \sigma$, $s' = L_0^{V'} \sigma'$.

Coupling for $|1|$ -graded parabolic geometries with Θ_0^W of first order

The operators Θ_0^V and $\Theta_0^{V'}$ have prolongation connections $\tilde{\nabla}^V = \nabla^V + \Psi^V$, $\tilde{\nabla}^{V'} = \nabla^{V'} + \Psi^{V'}$. We write $s = L_0^V \sigma$, $s' = L_0^{V'} \sigma'$.

By definition, $t := \mathbf{C}(s, s')$ is a lift of $\eta = \mathbf{c}(\sigma, \sigma') = \Pi_0^W(t)$, but one doesn't necessarily have $t = L_0^W \eta$:

$\nabla^W t \in \mathcal{C}_1^W = \Omega^1(M, \mathcal{W})$ need not lie in $\mathcal{Z}_1^W = \ker \partial^*$.

Coupling for $|1|$ -graded parabolic geometries with Θ_0^W of first order

The operators Θ_0^V and $\Theta_0^{V'}$ have prolongation connections $\tilde{\nabla}^V = \nabla^V + \Psi^V$, $\tilde{\nabla}^{V'} = \nabla^{V'} + \Psi^{V'}$. We write $s = L_0^V \sigma$, $s' = L_0^{V'} \sigma'$.

By definition, $t := \mathbf{C}(s, s')$ is a lift of $\eta = \mathbf{c}(\sigma, \sigma') = \Pi_0^W(t)$, but one doesn't necessarily have $t = L_0^W \eta$:

$\nabla^W t \in \mathcal{C}_1^W = \Omega^1(M, \mathcal{W})$ need not lie in $\mathcal{Z}_1^W = \ker \partial^*$.

That Θ_0^W is an operator of first order implies that one has a canonical extension of $\Pi_1^W : \mathcal{Z}_1^W \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1^W$ to a map $\Pi_{1,\odot}^W : \mathcal{C}_1^W \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_1^W$ and then $\Theta_0^W(\eta) = \Pi_{1,\odot}^W(\nabla^W t)$.

Coupling for $|1|$ -graded parabolic geometries with Θ_0^W of first order

Now, for $\sigma \in \ker \Theta_0^V$ we have (equivalently) that $s = L_0^V$ satisfies

$$0 = \tilde{\nabla}^V s = \nabla^V s + \Psi^V s,$$

so $\nabla^V s = -\Psi^V s$, and analogously for $\sigma' \in \ker \Theta_0^{V'}$.

Coupling for $|1|$ -graded parabolic geometries with Θ_0^W of first order

Now, for $\sigma \in \ker \Theta_0^V$ we have (equivalently) that $s = L_0^V$ satisfies

$$0 = \tilde{\nabla}^V s = \nabla^V s + \Psi^V s,$$

so $\nabla^V s = -\Psi^V s$, and analogously for $\sigma' \in \ker \Theta_0^{V'}$.

Therefore $\nabla^W t = \nabla^W \mathbf{C}(s, s') = \mathbf{C}(\nabla^V s, s') + \mathbf{C}(s, \nabla^{V'} s') = -\mathbf{C}(\Psi^V s, s') - \mathbf{C}(s, \Psi^{V'} s')$.

Coupling for $|1|$ -graded parabolic geometries with Θ_0^W of first order

Now, for $\sigma \in \ker \Theta_0^V$ we have (equivalently) that $s = L_0^V$ satisfies

$$0 = \tilde{\nabla}^V s = \nabla^V s + \Psi^V s,$$

so $\nabla^V s = -\Psi^V s$, and analogously for $\sigma' \in \ker \Theta_0^{V'}$.

Therefore $\nabla^W t = \nabla^W \mathbf{C}(s, s') = \mathbf{C}(\nabla^V s, s') + \mathbf{C}(s, \nabla^{V'} s') = -\mathbf{C}(\Psi^V s, s') - \mathbf{C}(s, \Psi^{V'} s')$.

Thus, using $\Theta_0^W(\eta) = \Pi_{1,\odot}^W(\nabla^W t)$ we have

Proposition

For $\sigma \in \ker \Theta_0^V$, $\sigma' \in \ker \Theta_0^{V'}$ and $\eta = \mathbf{c}(\sigma, \sigma')$ one has $\Theta_0^W(\eta) = -\Pi_{1,\odot}^W(\mathbf{C}(\Psi^V s, s') + \mathbf{C}(s, \Psi^{V'} s'))$.

In particular, this yields necessary and sufficient **coupling conditions**.

Outline

- 1 BGG-equations, the prolongation connection and coupling
- 2 Coupling in conformal spin geometry
- 3 Generic twistor spinors and cKf decomposition

Conformal spin structures

A conformal spin structure of signature (p, q) on an $n = p + q$ -manifold M is a reduction of structure group of TM from $GL(n)$ to $CSpin(p, q) = \mathbb{R}_+ \times Spin(p, q)$.

This induces a **conformal class** \mathcal{C} of pseudo-Riemannian signature (p, q) -metrics on M . The associated bundle to the spin representation $\Delta^{p,q}$ of $CSpin(p, q)$ with \mathbb{R}_+ acting trivially is the (unweighted) **conformal spin bundle** \mathcal{S} .

We will often employ the **conformal density bundles** $\mathcal{E}[w]$, $w \in \mathbb{R}$, which are associated to the 1-dimensional \mathbb{R}_+ representations $c \mapsto c^w$. We also employ abstract index notation $\mathcal{E}_a = \Omega^1(M)$, $\mathcal{E}^a = \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

Conformal spin structures

A conformal spin structure of signature (p, q) on an $n = p + q$ -manifold M is a reduction of structure group of TM from $GL(n)$ to $CSpin(p, q) = \mathbb{R}_+ \times Spin(p, q)$.

This induces a **conformal class** \mathcal{C} of pseudo-Riemannian signature (p, q) -metrics on M . The associated bundle to the spin representation $\Delta^{p,q}$ of $CSpin(p, q)$ with \mathbb{R}_+ acting trivially is the (unweighted) **conformal spin bundle** \mathcal{S} .

We will often employ the **conformal density bundles** $\mathcal{E}[w]$, $w \in \mathbb{R}$, which are associated to the 1-dimensional \mathbb{R}_+ representations $c \mapsto c^w$. We also employ abstract index notation $\mathcal{E}_a = \Omega^1(M)$, $\mathcal{E}^a = \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

A conformal spin structure of signature (p, q) is described by a **parabolic geometry of type** $(Spin(p+1, q+1), P)$, with $P \subset G = Spin(p+1, q+1)$ the stabilizer of an isotropic ray in the standard representation on $\mathbb{R}^{p+1, q+1}$.

The almost Einstein scale operator $\Theta_0^{\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}}$

With $T = \mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}$ the standard representation of $\text{Spin}(p+1, q+1)$, one obtains the **standard tractor bundle** $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{G} \times_P \mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}$ together with its **tractor metric \mathbf{h}** . It has a semidirect composition series $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{E}[1] \oplus \mathcal{E}_a[1] \oplus \mathcal{E}[-1]$.

The almost Einstein scale operator $\Theta_0^{\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}}$

With $T = \mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}$ the standard representation of $\text{Spin}(p+1, q+1)$, one obtains the **standard tractor bundle** $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{G} \times_P \mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}$ together with its **tractor metric** \mathbf{h} . It has a semidirect composition series $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{E}[1] \oplus \mathcal{E}_a[1] \oplus \mathcal{E}[-1]$.

With respect to the Levi-Civita connection D of a metric $g \in \mathcal{C}$ the first BGG-operator of T is

$$\begin{aligned}\Theta_0^T &: \mathcal{E}[1] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{(ab)}[2], \\ \sigma &\mapsto \mathbf{tf}(DD\sigma + P\sigma),\end{aligned}$$

with $P \in \mathcal{E}_{(ab)}$ the Schouten tensor of g .

In this case the standard tractor connection ∇^T is already the prolongation connection.

The conformal Killing form operator $\Theta_0^{\wedge^{k+1}\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}}$

Now let $V = \Lambda^{k+1}\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}$ for $k \geq 1$ be an exterior power of the standard representation and $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{G} \times_P V$ the associated tractor bundle. \mathcal{V} has a semidirect composition series

$$\mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_k]}[k+1] \oplus (\mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_{k+1}]}[k+1] \oplus \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_{k-1}]}[k-1]) \oplus \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_k]}[k-1].$$

The conformal Killing form operator $\Theta_0^{\wedge^{k+1}\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}}$

Now let $V = \Lambda^{k+1}\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}$ for $k \geq 1$ be an exterior power of the standard representation and $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{G} \times_P V$ the associated tractor bundle. \mathcal{V} has a semidirect composition series

$$\mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_k]}[k+1] \oplus (\mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_{k+1}]}[k+1] \oplus \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_{k-1}]}[k-1]) \oplus \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_k]}[k-1].$$

The first BGG-operator of V is

$$\Theta_0^V : \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_k]}[k+1] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{c[a_1 \dots a_k]}[k+1],$$

$$\sigma_{a_1 \dots a_k} \mapsto D_c \sigma_{a_1 \dots a_k} - D_{[a_0} \sigma_{a_1 \dots a_k]} - \frac{k}{n-k+1} g_{c[a_1} g^{pq} D_{|p} \sigma_{q|a_2 \dots a_k]}$$

and its solutions are the **conformal Killing forms**.

The prolongation connection of $\Theta_0^{\wedge^{k+1}\mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1}}$

The prolongation connection is $\tilde{\nabla}^V = \nabla^V + \Psi^V$ for $\Psi^V \in \Omega^1(M, \text{End}(\mathcal{V}))$. Here it is enough to know its part of lowest homogeneity, which is

$$\bar{\Psi}^V \in \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_k]}[k+1], \mathcal{E}_c \otimes (\mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_{k+1}]}[k+1] \oplus \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_{k-1}]}[k-1])),$$
$$\sigma \mapsto L(\sigma) \oplus R(\sigma)$$

with

$$L(\sigma) = \frac{k+1}{2} C_{[a_0 a_1] | c}^p \sigma_{p|a_2 \dots a_k} + \frac{(k-1)(k+1)}{2n} g_{c[a_0} C_{a_1 a_2}^{pq} \sigma_{|pq|a_3 \dots a_k}$$
$$R(\sigma) = \frac{(k-1)(n-2)}{2(n-k)n} C_{c[a_2}^{pq} \sigma_{|pq|a_3 \dots a_k} - \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{2(n-k)n} C_{[a_2 a_3}^{pq} \sigma_{|cpq|a_4 \dots a_k}.$$

The twistor spinor operator $\Theta_0^{\Delta^{p+1,q+1}}$

With $\Delta^{p+1,q+1}$ the spin representation of $\text{Spin}(p+1, q+1)$ we form the associated **spin tractor bundle** $\Sigma := \mathcal{G} \times_P \Delta^{p+1,q+1}$. Recall the the (unweighted) spin bundle \mathcal{S} of the conformal structure. Then Σ has a semidirect composition series $\Sigma = \mathcal{S}[\frac{1}{2}] \ltimes \mathcal{S}[-\frac{1}{2}]$.

The twistor spinor operator $\Theta_0^{\Delta^{p+1,q+1}}$

With $\Delta^{p+1,q+1}$ the spin representation of $\text{Spin}(p+1, q+1)$ we form the associated **spin tractor bundle** $\Sigma := \mathcal{G} \times_P \Delta^{p+1,q+1}$. Recall the the (unweighted) spin bundle \mathcal{S} of the conformal structure. Then Σ has a semidirect composition series $\Sigma = \mathcal{S}[\frac{1}{2}] \ltimes \mathcal{S}[-\frac{1}{2}]$.

With respect to the Levi-Civita connection D of a metric $g \in \mathcal{C}$ the first BGG-operator of $\Delta^{p+1,q+1}$ is $\Theta_0^{\Delta^{p+1,q+1}} : \Gamma(\mathcal{S}[\frac{1}{2}]) \rightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{E}_c \otimes \mathcal{S}[\frac{1}{2}])$,

$$\chi \mapsto D_c \chi + \frac{1}{n} \gamma_c \not{D} \chi,$$

where $\gamma \in \mathcal{E}_c \otimes \text{End}(\mathcal{S})$ the Christoffel symbol of \mathcal{S} and $\not{D} \chi = g^{pq} \gamma_p D_q \chi$.

The solutions of $\Theta_0^{\Delta^{p+1,q+1}}(\chi) = 0$ are **twistor spinors**, and the tractor connection ∇ coincides with the prolongation connection.

Clifford action and invariant pairing

For every $g \in \mathcal{C}$ we obtain identifications $\mathcal{T} \cong \mathcal{E}[1] \oplus \mathcal{E}_a[1] \oplus \mathcal{E}[-1]$ and $\mathcal{S} \cong \mathcal{S}[\frac{1}{2}] \oplus \mathcal{S}[-\frac{1}{2}]$.

Then the tractor Clifford multiplication is given by

$$\Gamma : \mathcal{T} \otimes \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S},$$

$$(\sigma, \varphi_a, \rho) \cdot (\chi, \tau) = (\varphi_a \cdot \chi - \sqrt{2}\sigma\tau, -\varphi_a \cdot \tau + \sqrt{2}\rho\chi).$$

With $\mathbf{b} : \mathcal{S}[\frac{1}{2}] \otimes \mathcal{S}[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the invariant pairing of the (weighted) conformal spin bundle the tractor spinor pairing is

$$\mathbf{B} : \Sigma \otimes \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbf{B}((\chi, \tau), (\chi', \tau')) = \mathbf{b}(\chi, \tau') + (-1)^{p+1} \mathbf{b}(\chi', \tau).$$

Wedge coupling of conformal Killing forms

Given $s \in \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+1}\mathcal{T})$ and $s' \in \Gamma(\Lambda^{k'+1}\mathcal{T})$ we form $\mathbf{C}^\wedge(s, s') := s \wedge s'$.

We obtain the coupling map

$$\mathbf{c}^\wedge : \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_k]}[k+1] \times \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_{k'}]}[k'+1] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_{k+k'+1}]}[k+k'+2]$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_{a_1 \dots a_k}, \sigma'_{a_1 \dots a_{k'}}) \mapsto & (k+1)\sigma_{[a_1 \dots a_k} D_{a_{k+1}} \sigma'_{a_{k+2} \dots a_{k+k'+1}}] \\ & + (-1)^{(k+1)(k'+1)}(k'+1)\sigma'_{[a_1 \dots a_{k'} D_{a_{k'+1}} \sigma_{a_{k'+2} \dots a_{k+k'+1}]}]. \end{aligned}$$

Wedge coupling of conformal Killing forms

Given $s \in \Gamma(\Lambda^{k+1}\mathcal{T})$ and $s' \in \Gamma(\Lambda^{k'+1}\mathcal{T})$ we form $\mathbf{C}^\wedge(s, s') := s \wedge s'$.

We obtain the coupling map

$$\mathbf{c}^\wedge : \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_k]}[k+1] \times \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_{k'}]}[k'+1] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_{k+k'+1}]}[k+k'+2]$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_{a_1 \dots a_k}, \sigma'_{a_1 \dots a_{k'}}) \mapsto & (k+1)\sigma_{[a_1 \dots a_k} D_{a_{k+1}} \sigma'_{a_{k+2} \dots a_{k+k'+1}}] \\ & + (-1)^{(k+1)(k'+1)}(k'+1)\sigma'_{[a_1 \dots a_{k'}} D_{a_{k'+1}} \sigma_{a_{k'+2} \dots a_{k+k'+1}}]. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that σ and σ' are conformal Killing forms. Then the coupled $k+k'+1$ -form $\eta = \mathbf{c}^\wedge(\sigma, \sigma')$ is a conformal Killing form if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} (-1)^{k+1} C_{[a_1 a_2 \dots a_k | c}^p \sigma_{p | a_{k+1} \dots a_{k+k'+1}}] \sigma'_{a_{k+3} \dots a_{k+k'+1}} + \sigma_{[a_1 \dots a_k} C_{a_{k+1} a_{k+2} \dots a_{k+k'+1} | c}^p \sigma'_{p | a_{k+3} \dots a_{k+k'+1}}] \\ \stackrel{\odot}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

This generalizes formulas of [Gover-Šilhan, 2008].

Contraction coupling of conformal Killing forms

Let now $k' > k$. We employ the tractor metric \mathbf{h} to form a contraction map $\mathbf{C}^\vee : \Lambda^{k+1}\mathcal{T} \times \Lambda^{k'+1}\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \Lambda^{k'-k}\mathcal{T}$.

The coupling map is then

$$\mathbf{c}^\vee : \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_k]}[k+1] \times \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_{k'}]}[k'+1] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_{k'-k-1}]}[k'-k],$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_{a_1 \dots a_k}, \sigma'_{a_1 \dots a_{k'}}) \mapsto & (k+1) \sigma^{p_1 \dots p_k} D^q \sigma'_{q p_1 \dots p_k a_1 \dots a_{k'-k-1}} \\ & + (n - k' + 1) \sigma'_{p_0 \dots p_k a_1 \dots a_{k'-k-1}} D^{p_0} \sigma^{p_1 \dots p_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Contraction coupling of conformal Killing forms

Let now $k' > k$. We employ the tractor metric \mathbf{h} to form a contraction map $\mathbf{C}^\vee : \Lambda^{k+1}\mathcal{T} \times \Lambda^{k'+1}\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \Lambda^{k'-k}\mathcal{T}$.

The coupling map is then

$$\mathbf{c}^\vee : \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_k]}[k+1] \times \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_{k'}]}[k'+1] \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_{k'-k-1}]}[k'-k],$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\sigma_{a_1 \dots a_k}, \sigma'_{a_1 \dots a_{k'}}) \mapsto & (k+1) \sigma^{p_1 \dots p_k} D^q \sigma'_{q p_1 \dots p_k a_1 \dots a_{k'-k-1}} \\ & + (n - k' + 1) \sigma'_{p_0 \dots p_k a_1 \dots a_{k'-k-1}} D^{p_0} \sigma^{p_1 \dots p_k}. \end{aligned}$$

If σ and σ' are conformal Killing forms the coupled $k' - k - 1$ -form $\eta = \mathbf{c}(\sigma, \sigma')$ is also be a conformal Killing form if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} (n - k') C^{p_0 p_1}_{q_0} \sigma^{q_0 p_2 \dots p_k} \eta_{p_0 \dots p_k a_1 \dots a_{k'-k-1}} \\ - (k' - 1) \sigma^{p_1 \dots p_k} C_{c p_1}^{q_1 q_2} \eta_{q_1 q_2 p_2 \dots p_k a_1 \dots a_{k'-k-1}} \stackrel{\odot}{=} 0. \end{aligned}$$

Twistor spinor coupling

Let $X, X' \in \Gamma(\Sigma)$ and fix a $k \geq 0$. We define an element in $\Lambda^{k+1}\mathcal{T} \cong \Lambda^{k+1}\mathcal{T}^*$ by

$$\mathbf{C}^k(X, X')(\Phi) = \mathbf{B}(\Phi \cdot X, X') \quad \forall \Phi \in \Lambda^{k+1}\mathcal{T}.$$

This yields the invariant pairing from spinors to forms,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}^k : \Gamma(S[\tfrac{1}{2}]) \times \Gamma(S[\tfrac{1}{2}]) &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_k]}[k+1], \\ (X, X') &\mapsto \mathbf{b}(X, \gamma_{[a_1} \cdots \gamma_{a_k]} X'). \end{aligned}$$

Twistor spinor coupling

Let $X, X' \in \Gamma(\Sigma)$ and fix a $k \geq 0$. We define an element in $\Lambda^{k+1}\mathcal{T} \cong \Lambda^{k+1}\mathcal{T}^*$ by

$$\mathbf{C}^k(X, X')(\Phi) = \mathbf{B}(\Phi \cdot X, X') \quad \forall \Phi \in \Lambda^{k+1}\mathcal{T}.$$

This yields the invariant pairing from spinors to forms,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{c}^k : \Gamma(S[\tfrac{1}{2}]) \times \Gamma(S[\tfrac{1}{2}]) &\rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_k]}[k+1], \\ (\chi, \chi') &\mapsto \mathbf{b}(\chi, \gamma_{[a_1} \cdots \gamma_{a_k]} \chi'). \end{aligned}$$

Since the prolongation connection of Σ coincides with the tractor connection this (well known) map always produces a conformal Killing k -form from two given twistor spinors.

Conformal Killing forms - twistor spinor coupling

Let $k \geq 0$. The tractor Clifford multiplication provides a map

$$\mathbf{c}^\gamma : \Lambda^{k+1}\mathcal{T} \otimes \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$$

and the corresponding coupling map is

$$\mathbf{c}^\gamma : \mathcal{E}_{[a_1 \dots a_k]}[k+1] \times \Gamma(S[\frac{1}{2}]) \rightarrow \Gamma(S[\frac{1}{2}]),$$
$$\varphi \times \chi \mapsto (-1)^{k+1} \frac{2(k+1)}{n} \varphi \cdot \not{D}\chi + (d\varphi) \cdot \chi + \frac{k(k+1)}{(n-k+1)} (\delta\varphi) \cdot \chi.$$

Here $d\varphi = D_{[a_0} \varphi_{a_1 \dots a_k]}$ is the exterior derivative of φ and $\delta\varphi = -g^{pq} D_p \varphi_{qa_2 \dots a_k}$ is the divergence of φ .

Conformal Killing forms - twistor spinor coupling

This will be a twistor spinor iff $k \leq 1$ or $k \geq 2$ and

$$C_{ca_1}{}^{pq} \sigma_{pq a_2 \dots a_k} \gamma^{a_1 \dots a_k} \chi \stackrel{\odot}{=} 0.$$

In particular, since this corresponds to the cases $k = 0$ or $k = 1$, pairing an almost Einstein scale or a conformal Killing field with a twistor spinor always yields another twistor spinor.

One can show that if the compatibility condition is satisfied, then in fact $L_0^{\wedge^{k+1}}(\sigma) \cdot L_0^\Sigma(\chi)$ is ∇^Σ -parallel.

Outline

- 1 BGG-equations, the prolongation connection and coupling
- 2 Coupling in conformal spin geometry
- 3 Generic twistor spinors and cKf decomposition**

Generic twistor spinors

We start with an algebraic observation. Take a $k \geq 0$ and the map

$$C : \Delta^{p+1,q+1} \times \Delta^{p+1,q+1} \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+1} \mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1},$$

realized with respect to the $\text{Spin}(p+1, q+1)$ -invariant pairing

$$B \in \Delta^{p+1,q+1*} \otimes \Delta^{p+1,q+1*}.$$

Generic twistor spinors

We start with an algebraic observation. Take a $k \geq 0$ and the map

$$C : \Delta^{p+1,q+1} \times \Delta^{p+1,q+1} \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+1} \mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1},$$

realized with respect to the $\text{Spin}(p+1, q+1)$ -invariant pairing $B \in \Delta^{p+1,q+1*} \otimes \Delta^{p+1,q+1*}$.

For a fixed $X \in \Delta^{p+1,q+1}$ we can form

$$i_X C : \Delta^{p+1,q+1} \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+1} \mathbb{R}^{p+1,q+1},$$

which is $G := \text{Spin}(p+1, q+1)_X$ -invariant.

Generic twistor spinors

Lemma

Assume that $B(X, X) \neq 0$. Then, after some suitable rescaling, one has that the map

$$P : \Lambda^{k+1} \mathbb{R}^{p+1, q+1} \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+1} \mathbb{R}^{p+1, q+1},$$
$$\Phi \mapsto i_X C(\Phi \cdot X)$$

satisfies $P \circ P = \pm P$.

Generic twistor spinors

Lemma

Assume that $B(X, X) \neq 0$. Then, after some suitable rescaling, one has that the map

$$P : \Lambda^{k+1} \mathbb{R}^{p+1, q+1} \rightarrow \Lambda^{k+1} \mathbb{R}^{p+1, q+1},$$
$$\Phi \mapsto i_X C(\Phi \cdot X)$$

satisfies $P \circ P = \pm P$.

Then $\ker P = \ker \Gamma X$ and we obtain a G -invariant decomposition

$$\Lambda^{k+1} \mathbb{R}^{p+1, q+1} = \ker \Gamma X \oplus \operatorname{im} P.$$

Definition

We say that a twistor spinor $\chi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}[\frac{1}{2}])$ is **generic** if $\mathbf{b}(\chi, \not{D}\chi) \neq 0$. This is the case if and only if the corresponding parallel tractor $X = L_0^{\Delta^{p+1, q+1}} \chi$ satisfies $\mathbf{B}(X, X) \neq 0$.

Definition

We say that a twistor spinor $\chi \in \Gamma(\mathcal{S}[\frac{1}{2}])$ is **generic** if $\mathbf{b}(\chi, \not{D}\chi) \neq 0$. This is the case if and only if the corresponding parallel tractor $X = L_0^{\Delta^{p+1, q+1}} \chi$ satisfies $\mathbf{B}(X, X) \neq 0$.

Now for a twistor spinor χ the coupling map $\mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathcal{S}[\frac{1}{2}] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}[\frac{1}{2}]$ can be rewritten into

$$\xi \times \chi \mapsto D_\xi \chi - \frac{1}{4}(D_{[a}\xi_{b]}) \cdot \chi + \frac{1}{2n}(D_p \xi^p) \chi.$$

For a conformal Killing field $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ this is just the Lie derivative of the (weighted) spinor χ with respect to ξ . Our algebraic observation from above tells us that every generic twistor spinor χ provides a decomposition

$$\mathbf{cKf}(M, \mathcal{C}) = \mathbf{cKf}_\chi(M, \mathcal{C}) \oplus \mathbf{cKf}_\chi^\perp(M, \mathcal{C})$$

of conformal Killing fields into a part which also preserves χ and a complement.

Generic twistor spinors in low dimension [HS, 2010]

Let (M, \mathcal{C}, χ) be a conformal spin structure of **signature (2, 3)** with a generic twistor spinor χ .

Genericity of χ implies that $\mathcal{D}_\chi = \ker \gamma\chi$ is a **generic rank 2 distribution on M** : One has that the subbundle $[\mathcal{D}_\chi, \mathcal{D}_\chi]$ of TM spanned by Lie brackets of sections of \mathcal{D}_χ is 3-dimensional and $TM = [\mathcal{D}_\chi, [\mathcal{D}_\chi, \mathcal{D}_\chi]]$.

Generic twistor spinors in low dimension [HS, 2010]

Let (M, \mathcal{C}, χ) be a conformal spin structure of signature $(2, 3)$ with a generic twistor spinor χ .

Genericity of χ implies that $\mathcal{D}_\chi = \ker \gamma \chi$ is a generic rank 2 distribution on M : One has that the subbundle $[\mathcal{D}_\chi, \mathcal{D}_\chi]$ of TM spanned by Lie brackets of sections of \mathcal{D}_χ is 3-dimensional and $TM = [\mathcal{D}_\chi, [\mathcal{D}_\chi, \mathcal{D}_\chi]]$.

Proposition (H.-Sagerschnig, 2010)

The conformal spin structure \mathcal{C} together with its generic twistor spinor χ is uniquely determined by \mathcal{D} .

This is shown via a Fefferman-type construction which starts from any generic 2-distribution $\mathcal{D} \subset TM$ and associates $(\mathcal{C}_\mathcal{D}, \chi_\mathcal{D})$. In particular, there are non-flat conformal spin structures with generic twistor spinors.

Generic twistor spinors in low dimension [HS, 2010]

The space of conformal Killing fields of (\mathcal{C}, χ) decomposes into symmetries of the generic distribution $\mathcal{D}_\chi = \ker \gamma\chi$ and a complement $\text{cKf}_\chi^\perp(M, \mathcal{C})$. In this situation it can be shown that the space $\text{cKf}_\chi^\perp(M, \mathcal{C})$ can be identified with the space of almost Einstein scales $\text{aEs}(M, \mathcal{C})$, so

$$\text{cKf}(M, \mathcal{C}) = \text{sym}(\mathcal{D}_\chi) \oplus \text{aEs}(M, \mathcal{C}).$$

Generic twistor spinors in low dimension [HS, 2010]

The space of conformal Killing fields of (\mathcal{C}, χ) decomposes into symmetries of the generic distribution $\mathcal{D}_\chi = \ker \gamma_\chi$ and a complement $\text{cKf}_\chi^\perp(M, \mathcal{C})$. In this situation it can be shown that the space $\text{cKf}_\chi^\perp(M, \mathcal{C})$ can be identified with the space of almost Einstein scales $\text{aEs}(M, \mathcal{C})$, so

$$\text{cKf}(M, \mathcal{C}) = \text{sym}(\mathcal{D}_\chi) \oplus \text{aEs}(M, \mathcal{C}).$$

Using the appropriate coupling maps one obtains explicit formulas: for a $g \in \mathcal{C}$, the almost Einstein scale part of a conformal Killing field $\xi \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ is given by $\sigma = \mathbf{b}(\chi, \frac{4}{5}\xi \cdot \not{D}\chi + (D_{[a}\xi_{b]}) \cdot \chi) \in \mathcal{E}[1]$.

Conversely, an almost Einstein scale $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}[1]$ is mapped to a conformal Killing field $\xi_a = \mathbf{b}(\gamma_a\chi, -\frac{2}{5}\sigma\not{D}\chi + (D\sigma) \cdot \chi) \in \mathcal{E}_a[2] \cong \mathfrak{X}(M)$