

Holonomy reduction over singularity sets in conformal and projective geometry

Matthias Hammerl

University of Vienna, Faculty of Mathematics

30th Winter School Geometry and Physics
Srni, Jan 2010

Introduction

Given a manifold M carrying a geometric structure we consider a **solution σ of an invariant overdetermined system $\Theta(\sigma) = 0$** and assume that it vanishes at some point, $\sigma(x) = 0$.

We then want to understand the **zero set $M_0 := \sigma^{-1}(\{0\})$** and the **interior $M_- := M \setminus M_0$** .

It will be shown for some simple but interesting operators in projective and conformal geometry that the zero set M_0 inherits a geometric structure and M_- is equipped with a canonical connection.

The approach taken here is to regard the **holonomy reduction provided by the (prolonged) solution σ** , which yields different but related structures on the zero set M_0 and the interior M_- .

Some questions:

Two questions in Riemannian (or conformal) geometry:

- Let g be a pseudo-Riemannian metric on a manifold M . Can we rescale g conformally to $\hat{g} = fg$ for some positive function f such that \hat{g} is **Einstein**,

$$\text{Ric}(\hat{g}) = \lambda \hat{g} ?$$

- If M is even-dimensional, can one rescale a Riemannian metric g conformally to a **Kähler** metric?

Some questions:

Two questions in affine (or projective) geometry:

- If ∇ is an affine torsion-free connection on M , is it **metrizable**? I.e, can one describe its geodesics by an Riemannian metric?
- Does the affine connection allow a projectively equivalent **Ricci-flat** connection?

Prolongation

The above questions yield **overdetermined** PDEs $\Theta(\sigma) = 0$ on a function or field σ .

An overdetermined system is said to be brought into **closed** form, or it is said to be **prolonged**, if it is written in an **equivalent form** $\nabla s = 0$, where $s = (\sigma, \mu, \dots, \rho)$ is an extension of σ by new variables which encode partial finite jet information of σ , and ∇ is a linear connection that incorporates **differential consequences** of the original equation $\Theta(\sigma) = 0$.

The equivalent encoding as a closed system allows us to **globalize (jet-)information** on the solution, which will be used to study its behaviour and its singularities.

Some typical easy consequences of the prolonged form:

- If σ is non-trivial, s is nowhere vanishing. Sometimes already this is enough for regularity of the singularity set.
- Since s is determined by a finite jet of σ at a point x , any non-trivial solution σ of $\Theta(\sigma) = 0$ must already be non-vanishing on an open-dense subset.
- Sometimes we have a priori knowledge on $\text{Hol}(\nabla)$, like that it preserves a metric. This yields global invariants of σ and its derivatives which only depend on these data at one point.
- In some cases the prolongation connection ∇ is directly induced from the structural data of the underlying geometry. Then a solution of $\Theta(\sigma) = 0$ in fact yields a holonomy reduction of the geometry.

Example 1: Einstein rescalings of a (pseudo)-Riem. metric

The **Schouten tensor** $P(g)$ of a metric g is a linear combination of $\text{Ric}(g)$ and g - so g is **Einstein** iff $\text{Ric}(g)$ or equivalently $P(g)$ is **trace-free**.

If one rewrites the rescaled metric as $\hat{g} = \sigma^{-2}g$ for a $\sigma \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}_+)$ the explicit transformation law $P(g) \rightsquigarrow P(\hat{g})$ yields that $P(\hat{g})$ is trace-free iff

$$\Theta(\sigma) := \mathbf{tf}(D^g D^g \sigma + P(g)) = 0.$$

To rewrite $\Theta(\sigma) = 0$ in closed form we introduce **new variables** $\mu = D^g \sigma$ and $\rho = \frac{1}{n}(\Delta^g - J^g)\sigma$, where $\Delta^g = -\text{tr}^g \circ D^g \circ D^g$ and $J^g = \text{tr}(P(g))$.

Then

$$\Theta(\sigma) = 0 \text{ iff } \nabla \begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \mu \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D\rho - P^g(\cdot, \mu) \\ D\mu + \sigma P + \rho g \\ D\sigma - \mu \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Example 1: Einstein rescalings of a (pseudo)-Riem. metric

The prolongation connection ∇ preserves the bilinear-form \mathbf{h} given by the

(quadratic) formula
$$\begin{pmatrix} \rho \\ \mu \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix} \mapsto 2\sigma\rho + g(\mu, \mu).$$

In particular, for σ, μ, ρ corresponding to a solution of $\Theta(\sigma) = 0$, the expression $2\sigma\rho + g(\mu, \mu) \in C^\infty(M)$ is necessarily constant equal α , which shall be non-zero for our discussion.

If $\sigma(x) = 0$, then $g_x(D\sigma(x), D\sigma(x)) \neq 0$ and we see that $D\sigma$ is non-vanishing along M_0 , which shows that M_0 is a hypersurface in M .

Moreover, $T_x M_0 = D\sigma(x)^\perp \subset T_x M$ and depending on whether $\alpha = g(D\sigma(x), D\sigma(x))$ is greater or smaller zero, M_0 inherits a signature $(p-1, q)$ resp. $(p, q-1)$ -metric.

Example 2: Projectively equivalent Ricci-flat connections

Let D be a **torsion-free affine connection** on the n -manifold M and assume that D induces the flat connection on $\Lambda^n T^*M$, which is equivalent to $\text{Ric}(D)$ being symmetric.

We ask whether there is a projectively equivalent connection \hat{D} ,

$$\hat{D}_a \varphi_b = D_a \varphi_b - \Upsilon_a \varphi_b - \Upsilon_b \varphi_a$$

for some $\Upsilon \in \Omega^1(M)$ with

$$\text{Ric}(\hat{D}) = 0.$$

If we restrict to exact $\Upsilon = D \log\left(\frac{1}{|\sigma|}\right)$ one obtains that $\text{Ric}(\hat{D}) = 0$ iff

$$\Theta(\sigma) = DD\sigma + \frac{1}{n-1} \text{Ric}(D)\sigma = 0.$$

Example 2: Projectively equivalent Ricci-flat connections

The prolongation of this equation introduces a new variable $\varphi = D\sigma$, and then

$$\Theta(\sigma) = 0 \text{ iff } \nabla \begin{pmatrix} \varphi \\ \sigma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} D\varphi + \frac{1}{n-1} \text{Ric}(D)\sigma \\ D\sigma - \varphi \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If we allow a non-trivial solution σ of $\Theta(\sigma) = 0$ to have a zero at $x \in M$, then necessarily $D\sigma(x) \neq 0$. In particular $M_0 = \sigma^{-1}(\{0\})$ is a hypersurface in M with $T_x M_0 = (D\sigma(x))^\circ \subset T_x M$.

Example 2: Projectively equivalent Ricci-flat connections

If $\xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{X}(M_0)$ are vector fields along M_0 , then $0 = \phi(\eta)$ along M_0 , and then also

$$0 = (D_\xi \phi)(\eta(x)) + \phi(D_\xi(\eta)).$$

But $D_\xi(\phi)(\eta(x)) = -\frac{1}{n-1} \text{Ric}(D)(\xi, \eta)\sigma(x) = 0$, and therefore $0 = \phi(D_\xi(\eta)) = (D_\xi \eta) \cdot \sigma$ and $D_\xi \eta \in \mathfrak{X}(M_0)$, which says that D restricts to a connection on M_0 .

Let now $[D]$ be a projective class of connections, i.e., a class of torsion-free affine connections with the same geodesics as unparameterized curves. Then we see that a solution of $\Theta(\sigma) = 0$ provides a canonical Ricci-flat connection on M_- and the singularity hypersurface M_0 is totally geodesic in $(M, [D])$.

Example 3: The twistor spinor equation in split signature

Let g be a **split signature** (n, n) metric on the $2n$ -manifold M endowed with a **spin structure** and corresponding positive and negative (real 2^{n-1} -dimensional) **Spin bundles** S_+, S_- .

A positive twistor spinor is a solution $\chi \in \Gamma(S_+)$ of

$$\Theta(\chi) = D\chi + \frac{1}{2n}\gamma\mathcal{D}\chi = 0,$$

where γ is Clifford multiplication and \mathcal{D} is the Dirac operator.

The prolongation connection ∇ of this system lives on $S_+ \oplus S_-$, acts on $(\chi, \mathcal{D}\chi)$ and has

$$\text{Hol}(\nabla) \subset \text{Spin}(n+1, n+1).$$

Example 3: The twistor spinor equation in split signature

Assume that $\chi(x) = 0$ for some $x \in M$ and that $\not{D}\chi(x)$ is pure, i.e., the kernel of $\not{D}\chi(x)$ is maximal isotropic in $T_x M$.

Then, since $\text{Hol}(\nabla) \subset \text{Spin}(n+1, n+1)$, $\not{D}\chi(x)$ is also pure at any other $x \in M_0 = \chi^{-1}(\{0\})$.

And since $D\chi(x) = -\frac{1}{2n}\gamma\not{D}\chi$ this implies that $D\chi$ has constant rank along M_0 , which is therefore a submanifold.

A more detailed analysis of the $\text{Spin}(n+1, n+1)$ -orbit of $(0, \tau)$, pure τ and some more work gives:

Proposition

- ① *The zero set $M_0 = \chi^{-1}(\{0\})$ is a totally geodesic maximally isotropic submanifold of M .*
- ② *χ is pure on the open dense complement $M_- = M \setminus M_0$ and determines a foliation of M_- by maximally isotropic leaves.*

We now summarize what happened in these examples and then switch to a new viewpoint:

- We had an overdetermined system $\sigma, \Theta(\sigma) = 0$.
- Introducing new variables for some derivatives of σ one can write down an equivalent closed system $s, \nabla s = 0$.
- Parellelicity of s implies that information on σ and its derivatives globalizes in some way.

Another interpretation: Holonomy reduction for Cartan geometries

Projective, conformal and conformal spin structures can be **equivalently encoded as Cartan geometries**.

A Cartan geometry has a type (G, P) , with G a Lie group and $P \subset G$ a closed subgroup.

A **Cartan geometry of type (G, P) on a manifold M** is given by a P -principal bundle \mathcal{G} over M endowed with a 1-form $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g})$ satisfying some properties making it into a Cartan connection form.

We are interested in cases where the overdetermined system $\Theta(\sigma) = 0$ is **canonically associated to an irreducible G -representation V** via the BGG-construction of [Čap-Slovak-Souček, 2001].

Holonomy reduction for Cartan geometries

It is **not easy to describe the operator** Θ induced by the G -representation V quickly.

Also, for every system $\Theta(\sigma) = 0$ of BGG-type the **prolongation connection** ∇ that rewrites this system into $\nabla s = 0$ exists [H.-Šilhan-Somberg-Souček], but in most cases it is a complicated object.

We restrict our discussion here to some very special cases, which include the three examples above, where it is very **easy to describe the prolongation connection** ∇ of this system: It is a linear connection induced by the Cartan connection form:

Holonomy reduction for Cartan geometries

The Cartan connection form is not a principal connection form, but we can form the extended bundle $\hat{\mathcal{G}} := \mathcal{G} \times_P G$ and extend ω canonically to a G -principal connection form $\hat{\omega}$.

Then, given a G -representation V , we form the associated bundle $\mathbf{V} = \mathcal{G} \times_P V$, which carries the linear connection ∇ induced by $\hat{\omega}$ since we can also write $\mathbf{V} = \hat{\mathcal{G}} \times_G V$.

Then for the examples discussed above parallel sections $s \in \Gamma(\mathbf{V})$, $\nabla s = 0$ correspond to solutions of the overdetermined system $\Theta(\sigma) = 0$, which therefore reduce the holonomy of $\hat{\omega}$.

Holonomy reduction for Cartan geometries

While it is clear that one has an holonomy reduction of the extended bundle, this **doesn't give a global reduction** of the underlying Cartan geometry.

In fact, we cannot expect to obtain a global reduction over all of M , since we saw in the behaviour exhibited above that we should obtain **different Cartan geometries over different subsets of M** .

In fact, it turns out that the holonomy reduction does yield Cartan reductions over the correct submanifolds of M .

Holonomy reduction for Cartan geometries

Let $\hat{s} : \hat{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow V$ be the G -equivariant map corresponding to the section $s \in \Gamma(\mathbf{V})$ and take $u \in \mathcal{G} \in \hat{\mathcal{G}}$ with $\hat{s}(u) = v$. Then one has the the **holonomy reduction** $\mathcal{H} \subset \hat{\mathcal{G}}$ through u : \hat{s} restricts to the constant function $v \in V$ on \mathcal{H} .

Then $\hat{\mathcal{G}} = \mathcal{H} \cdot G$, which implies $\hat{s}(\hat{\mathcal{G}}) = G \cdot v$. We say that σ resp. s has **G -orbit type** $G \cdot v$.

The different behaviour of σ on different subsets of M stems from the fact that the single G -orbit $G \cdot v$ decomposes into a disjoint union on P -orbits $G \cdot v = \cup_i P \cdot v_i$ for some $v_i \in G \cdot v$.

For $x \in M$ and \mathcal{G}_x the fiber in P -principal bundle \mathcal{G} over x one has $\hat{s}(\mathcal{G}_x) = P \cdot v_i$ for some i and we say that σ has **P -orbit type** $P \cdot v_i$ at x .

Holonomy reduction for Cartan geometries

We define $M_i \subset M$ as the set of all x with $\sigma(x)$ having orbit type $P \cdot v_i$.

The reduction now proceeds in four steps:

- ① Show that M_i are submanifolds of M .
- ② With $M_i \xrightarrow{j} M$, show that $j^*\mathcal{G}$ and $j^*\mathcal{H}$ intersect in a P_{v_i} -principal bundle \mathcal{G}_i over M_i .
- ③ Show that the pullback of the Cartan connection form $j^*\omega$ restricts to a Cartan connection form on \mathcal{G}_i of type (G_{v_i}, P_{v_i}) .
- ④ Show that the reduced connection satisfies special curvature properties due to the normalization condition on the original curvature.

- In [Example 1, Conformal Einstein] from above this method yields
 - M_0 inherits **normal parabolic geometry** describing an **induced conformal structure**.
 - M_- inherits a torsion-free reductive Cartan geometry describing the distinguished (pseudo)-Riemannian metric. The normalization condition implies that this is an **Einstein metric**.
- In [Example 2, Projective Ricci-flat] one obtains that
 - M_0 is a **totally geodesic hypersurface** and the restricted Cartan connection form is **normal**.
 - M_- inherits a **Ricci-flat torsion-free connection**.
- In [Example 3, Twistor spinor with $\chi(x) = 0$, $\not{D}\chi(x)$ pure] one has
 - M_0 is a **maximally isotropic totally geodesic submanifold** and the restricted Cartan connection form is **normal**.
 - On M_- one has that χ is **pure** and induces a **foliation by integrable maximally isotropic distributions**.

Reductions of the homogenous model

A Cartan geometry has its **homogeneous model** G/P with maximal symmetry group G . We can always use this model case to understand what kind of reductions a parallel section $s \in \Gamma(\mathbf{V})$ provides.

The prolongation connection ∇ of the system $\Theta(\sigma) = 0$ lives on the bundle $\mathbf{V} = G \times_P V$: it is the **flat connection** with respect to the trivialization $(gP, v) \mapsto [g, g^{-1}v] \in \mathbf{V}$. In particular, the homogeneous model allows also the maximal number of solutions to $\Theta(\sigma) = 0$.

Given an element $v \in V$, we now study the corresponding **parallel section of type** $G \cdot v$, which is given by the equivariant map $g \mapsto g^{-1}v$.

We have that

$$M_i := \{x = gP \in G/P : g^{-1} \cdot v \in P \cdot v_i\}$$

is G_{v_i} -homogeneous and $M_i = G_{v_i}/P_{v_i}$.

Example: The reduction of $\mathbb{R}P^n$

The homogeneous model of projective structures in dimension n is

$$M = G/P = \mathrm{SL}(n+1)/P = \mathbb{R}P^n,$$

with P the stabilizer of some line $\mathbb{R}e_+$ in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

The overdetermined system $\sigma, \in C^\infty(M)$,

$$DD\sigma + P\sigma = 0$$

is associated to the **dual standard representation** $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^*$.

Example: The reduction of $\mathbb{R}P^n$

There is only **one G -orbit type** $G \cdot v$, $0 \neq v \in (\mathbb{R}^{n+1})^*$, but

$$G \cdot v = P \cdot v_0 \cup P \cdot v_-,$$

with $(e_+, v_0) = 0$, $(e_+, v_-) = 1$.

Then $G_{v_-} \cong G_{v_0} \cong \mathrm{SL}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$. We have $P_{v_-} = \mathrm{SL}(n)$, and thus the geometry over M_- is reduced to a (torsion-free) geometry of type $(\mathrm{SL}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n, \mathrm{SL}(n))$. $M_- \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}P^n$ as **flat affine space \mathbb{R}^n** .

Let be \bar{P} the stabilizer of the line through $e_+ \in v_0^o \cong \mathbb{R}^n$. in $\mathrm{SL}(n) \subset G_{v_0}$. Then $P_{v_0} = \bar{P} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n$, and the geometry over M_0 is reduced to $(\mathrm{SL}(n) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n, \bar{P} \ltimes \mathbb{R}^n)$, which factorizes to a geometry of type $(\mathrm{SL}(n), \bar{P})$: We have $M_0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}P^n$ as **$\mathbb{R}P^{n-1}$** .