

Hausdorff Metric, the Construction of a Hausdorff Quotient Space, and Boundaries of Symmetric Spaces*

Yu. A. Neretin

UDC 512.54

As is known, in many interesting cases, the quotient space of a metric space (topological space, algebraic variety) turns out to be non-Hausdorff. In algebraic geometry, a number of methods are known for constructing a Hausdorff quotient space from a non-Hausdorff one (the closure in the Chow scheme, blow-up of singularities, and the spectrum of an algebra of invariant functions; see [11]). The objective of the present note is to suggest a very simple construction (in essence, of general topological nature) for a Hausdorff quotient space and to discuss some of its applications.

1. Non-Hausdorff quotient space. Let M be a compact metric space and let $M = \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} M_\alpha$ be its partition into pairwise disjoint sets. The topology of the quotient space A is defined as follows: $B \subset A$ is closed if and only if $\bigcup_{\alpha \in B} M_\alpha$ is closed in M . Accordingly, a sequence $\alpha_j \in A$ converges to $\alpha \in A$ provided that there exist $m_j \in M_{\alpha_j}$ and $m \in M_\alpha$ such that m_j converges to m in the topology of the space M . It is well known that this topology can be non-Hausdorff.

2. Admissible sets. Let S be the set of all subsets of M which are unions of elements of the partition M_α . Let the partition $\bigcup M_\alpha$ satisfy the following additional condition: if a set Q belongs to S , then its closure $\text{Clos}(Q)$ also belongs to S .

In what follows, we choose an open dense set $\mathcal{M} \subset M$ such that $\mathcal{M} \in S$. Denote by \mathcal{A} the set of all $\alpha \in A$ such that $M_\alpha \subset \mathcal{M}$.

We will now construct a Hausdorff metric space $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ from these data.

Take a sequence $\alpha_j \in \mathcal{A}$. We say that α_j is *rigidly convergent* if any limit point of the sequence α_j in A is a limit of this sequence in A (we stress that the sequence α_j can have many limits, see Secs. 4 and 5 below).

A subset $T \subset A$ is said to be *admissible* if there exists a rigidly convergent sequence $\alpha_j \in \mathcal{A}$ such that T is the set of limits of the sequence α_j . We stress that the definition of an admissible set depends on the choice of the subset $\mathcal{M} \subset M$.

We define our space $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ as the set of all admissible subsets of A . A natural question is how a topology can be defined in $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$.

3. Hausdorff metric. Denote by $O_\varepsilon(m)$ the ε -neighborhood of a point m and by $O_\varepsilon(Q)$ the ε -neighborhood of a subset $Q \subset M$. Let $[M]$ be the space of all closed nonempty subsets of M .

The *Hausdorff distance* (see [1, 2]) between the subsets $N_1, N_2 \in [M]$ is defined as the infimum of the numbers $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $N_1 \subset O_\varepsilon(N_2)$ and $N_2 \subset O_\varepsilon(N_1)$.

Recall a convergence test for $[M]$.

Lemma 1. A sequence $N_j \in [M]$ converges to $N \in [M]$ if and only if, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

- (1) for any $m \in N$, beginning with some index j , the set $O_\varepsilon(m) \cap N_j$ is nonempty;
- (2) for any $m \notin N$, beginning with some index j , the set $O_\varepsilon(m) \cap N_j$ is empty.

Consider the mapping $\psi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow [M]$ that assigns to a point $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ the closure $\text{Clos}(M_\alpha)$ of the set M_α . The space $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ is defined as the closure of the image of the mapping ψ with respect to the Hausdorff metric.

* Supported in part by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research under grant No. 95-01-00814.

Lemma 2. *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $N \in [M]$ is an element of $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$;
- (b) there exists an admissible set $T \subset A$ such that $N = \bigcup_{\alpha \in T} M_\alpha$.

Thus, the above two definitions of the set $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ are equivalent. Note that it follows from the second definition that the set $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ is a compact metric space (since $[M]$ is compact; see [2]).

4. Example: "complete collineations" (see [4, 8, 9]). Denote by Gr_n the set of all n -dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$.

Example. Let A be an operator $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$. Then its graph $\text{graph}(A)$ is an element of Gr_n .

Let $V \in \text{Gr}_n$ and let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ (where \mathbb{C}^* denotes the multiplicative group of the complex field). We define the subspace $\lambda V \in \text{Gr}_n$ as the set of all $(x, \lambda y) \in \mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $(x, y) \in V$.

Now let $M = \text{Gr}_n$. Consider the partition of this space into orbits of the group \mathbb{C}^* and denote the corresponding quotient space as Gr_n/\mathbb{C}^* .

Remark. If $P \in \text{Gr}_n$ has the form $P = (P \cap (\mathbb{C}^n \oplus 0)) \oplus (P \cap (0 \oplus \mathbb{C}^n))$, then P is a fixed point of the group \mathbb{C}^* . The other orbits are isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^* as \mathbb{C}^* -homogeneous spaces. The orbits of the first type correspond to the closed points of Gr_n/\mathbb{C}^* and the orbits of the second type to the nonclosed points (i.e., to singletons that are not closed).

Remark. Any sequence $\text{graph}(A_j)$, where A_j are invertible operators, is convergent in Gr_n/\mathbb{C}^* and has at least two limits, namely, $\mathbb{C}^n \oplus 0$ and $0 \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$, i.e., the usual definition of a convergent sequence turns out to be completely meaningless here.

Now we assume that $\mathcal{M} \subset M = \text{Gr}_n$ is the set of the graphs of invertible operators, i.e., $\mathcal{M} = \text{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}/\mathbb{C}^* = \text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Example. Let the subspace $P_j \in \text{Gr}_2$ consist of the vectors of the form $(x, y; x, jy) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$. Then the sequence $\mathbb{C}^* \cdot P_j$ is rigidly convergent in Gr_n/\mathbb{C}^* , and the set of its limits is formed by $R_1: (0, 0; x, y)$, $R_2: (0, y; x, y)$, $R_3: (x, 0; 0, y)$, $R_4: (x, y; 0, y)$, and $R_5: (x, y; 0, 0)$.

To describe the compactification $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ of the space \mathcal{A} , we introduce a definition (see [9]).

Let $V := \mathbb{C}^n \oplus 0$ and $W := 0 \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$. Denote by π and π' the projections onto V and W in the space $\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathbb{C}^n$.

Definition. A *hinge* in \mathbb{C}^n is the following collection of data:

- (a) two flags of subspaces

$$V = L_0 \supset L_1 \supset \dots \supset L_k = 0, \quad 0 = M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \dots \subset M_k = W$$

such that $\dim L_j + \dim M_j = n$ for any j ;

- (b) a family of subspaces $P_1, \dots, P_k \in \text{Gr}_n$ defined up to a factor $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and such that $P_j \cap V = L_j$, $\pi(P_j) = L_{j-1}$, $\pi'(P_j) = M_j$, and $P_j \cap W = M_{j-1}$.

Theorem. (a) For any hinge P_1, \dots, P_k , the set $P_1, \dots, P_k, L_0 \oplus M_0, L_1 \oplus M_1, \dots, L_k \oplus M_k$ is admissible.

- (b) Any admissible set has the form indicated in assertion (a) (for some hinge P_1, \dots, P_k).

Remark. The above compactification $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ of the group $\text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ coincides with the variety of "complete collineations;" see [4, 8, 9]. It is known that $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ is a smooth algebraic variety containing $\text{PGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ as a Zariski open and dense set.

Remark. Natural compactifications of some other symmetric spaces, namely, the Satake–Furstenberg boundary [5, 7] and complete symmetric varieties [3, 4, 6, 8], can be described in terms of hinges in like manner (see [10, 12]).

5. Boundary of the Bruhat-Tits building. Let \mathbb{Q}_p be the field of p -adic numbers, let \mathbb{Z}_p be the set of integer p -adic numbers, and let \mathbb{Q}_p^* be the multiplicative group of the field \mathbb{Q}_p . Let M be the space of all \mathbb{Z}_p -submodules of \mathbb{Q}_p^n (it is natural to regard these modules as subsets of the projective space $[\mathbb{Q}P^n]$). In this case M is a compact metric space with respect to the Hausdorff metric in $[\mathbb{Q}P^n]$. Recall that by a lattice in \mathbb{Q}_p^n we mean a \mathbb{Z}_p -submodule of the form $\mathbb{Z}_p v_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p v_n$, where v_1, \dots, v_n is an arbitrary basis in \mathbb{Q}_p^n . Denote by Ens_n the space of all lattices defined up to a dilation (i.e., up to the multiplication by an element of the group \mathbb{Q}_p^*). In other words, Ens_n is the set of vertices of the Bruhat-Tits building.

Consider now the space $A = M/\mathbb{Q}_p^*$ and take the set Ens_n as \mathcal{A} . Then the admissible subsets of M/\mathbb{Q}_p^* have the form

$$0 = M_0, L_0, M_1, L_1, M_2, L_2, \dots, M_{k+1} = \mathbb{Q}_p^n,$$

where $M_0 \subset M_1 \subset \cdots \subset M_{k+1}$ are linear subspaces of \mathbb{Q}_p^n , $M_j \subset L_j \subset M_{j+1}$, and L_j/M_j are lattices in M_{j+1}/M_j .

Thus, the set Ens_n can be compactified in a natural way by the space of admissible subsets of $A = M/\mathbb{Q}_p^*$.

I thank C. De Concini and S. L. Tregub for the discussion of the subject. I also thank Max-Planck-Institute (Bonn) for hospitality.

References

1. D. Pompeiu, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse*, **7**, 265-315 (1905).
2. F. Hausdorff, *Grundzüge der Mengenlehre*, Leipzig, 1914; English transl. in: *Set Theory*, 4th ed., Chelsea, 1991.
3. E. Study, *Math. Ann.*, **27**, 51-58 (1886).
4. J. G. Semple, *Rend. Math. Univ. Roma* (5), **10**, 201-208 (1951).
5. I. Satake, *Ann. Math.*, **71**, 77-110 (1960).
6. A. R. Alguineid, *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt*, **4**, 93-104 (1952).
7. H. A. Furstenberg, *Ann. Math.*, **77**, 335-386 (1963).
8. C. De Concini and C. Procesi, in: *Lect. Notes Math.*, Vol. 996, 1983, pp. 1-44.
9. Yu. A. Neretin, *Funkts. Anal. Prilozhen.*, **26**, No. 4, 30-44 (1992).
10. Yu. A. Neretin, Preprint MPI 96-78.
11. M. M. Kapranov, in: *Adv. Sov. Math.*, Vol. 16, Part 2, 1991, pp. 29-110.
12. Yu. A. Neretin, in: *Kirillov Seminar on Representation Theory*, *Adv. Sov. Math.* (to appear).

Translated by A. I. Shtern

Functional Analysis and Its Applications, Vol. 31, No. 1, 1997

Integration of Non-Abelian Langmuir Type Lattices by the Inverse Spectral Problem Method

A. S. Osipov

UDC 530.1

We consider the following Cauchy problem for a system of difference-differential equations whose coefficients are bounded operators in an arbitrary Banach space B :

$$\dot{C}_n = \sum_{i=1}^q C_{n+i} C_n - C_n \sum_{i=1}^q C_{n-i}, \quad q \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (1)$$

$$C_n = C_n(t) \in \mathcal{L}(B), \quad t \in [0, T), \quad 0 < T \leq \infty, \quad \cdot = d/dt.$$

Institute for System Studies, Russian Academy of Sciences. Translated from *Funktsional'nyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya*, Vol. 31, No. 1, pp. 86-89, January-March, 1997. Original article submitted November 22, 1995.