

# AN EXTENSION OF A SUPERCONGRUENCE OF LONG AND RAMAKRISHNA

VICTOR J. W. GUO, JI-CAI LIU, AND MICHAEL J. SCHLOSSER

ABSTRACT. We prove two supercongruences for specific truncated hypergeometric series. These include an uniparametric extension of a supercongruence that was recently established by Long and Ramakrishna. Our proofs involve special instances of various hypergeometric identities including Whipple's transformation and the Karlsson–Minton summation.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(a)_n = a(a+1)\cdots(a+n-1)$  denote the Pochhammer symbol. For complex numbers  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_r$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_r$ , the (generalized) hypergeometric series  ${}_{r+1}F_r$  is defined as

$${}_{r+1}F_r \left[ \begin{matrix} a_0, & a_1, & \dots, & a_r \\ & b_1, & \dots, & b_r \end{matrix} ; z \right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_0)_k (a_1)_k \cdots (a_r)_k}{k! (b_1)_k \cdots (b_r)_k} z^k.$$

Summation and transformation formulas for generalized hypergeometric series play an important part in the investigation of supercongruences. See, for instance, [7, 14, 15, 18–20, 22]. In particular, Long and Ramakrishna [18, Theorems 3 and 2] proved the following two supercongruences:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)_k^3}{k!^3} \equiv \begin{cases} -\Gamma_p \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4 \pmod{p^3}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ -\frac{p^2}{16} \Gamma_p \left(\frac{1}{4}\right)^4 \pmod{p^3}, & \text{if } p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 33C20; Secondary 11A07, 11B65.

*Key words and phrases.* hypergeometric series; supercongruences;  $p$ -adic Gamma function; Whipple's transformation, Karlsson–Minton's summation.

The first author was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11771175).

The second author was partially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant 11801417).

The third author was partially supported by FWF Austrian Science Fund grant P 32305.

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (6k+1) \frac{\left(\frac{1}{3}\right)_k^6}{k!^6} \equiv \begin{cases} -p \Gamma_p \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^9 \pmod{p^6}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}, \\ -\frac{10}{27} p^4 \Gamma_p \left(\frac{1}{3}\right)^9 \pmod{p^6}, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where  $\Gamma_p(x)$  is the  $p$ -adic Gamma function. The restriction of the supercongruence (1.1) modulo  $p^2$  was earlier established by Van Hamme [21, Equation (H.2)]. The supercongruence (1.2) is even stronger than a conjecture made by Van Hamme [21, Equation (D.2)] who asserted the corresponding supercongruence modulo  $p^4$  for  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$ . Long and Ramakrishna also mentioned that (1.2) does not hold modulo  $p^7$  in general.

The first purpose of this paper is to prove the following supercongruence. Note that the  $r = \pm 1$  cases partially confirm the  $d = 5$  and  $q \rightarrow 1$  case of [8, Conjectures 1 and 2].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $r \leq 1$  be an odd integer coprime with 5. Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $p \equiv -\frac{r}{2} \pmod{5}$  and  $p \geq \frac{5-r}{2}$ . Then*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (10k+r) \frac{\left(\frac{r}{5}\right)_k^5}{k!^5} \equiv 0 \pmod{p^4}, \quad (1.3)$$

Recently, the second author [16] established the following supercongruence related to (1.2):

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (6k-1) \frac{\left(-\frac{1}{3}\right)_k^6}{k!^6} \equiv \begin{cases} 140p^4 \Gamma_p \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^9 \pmod{p^5}, & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}, \\ 378p \Gamma_p \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^9 \pmod{p^5}, & \text{if } p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}, \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

where  $p$  is a prime.

The second purpose of this paper is to give the following common generalization of the second supercongruence in (1.2), restricted to modulo  $p^5$ , and the first supercongruence in (1.4).

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $r \leq 1$  be an integer coprime with 3. Let  $p$  be a prime such that  $p \equiv -r \pmod{3}$  and  $p \geq 3 - r$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (6k+r) \frac{\left(\frac{r}{3}\right)_k^6}{k!^6} &\equiv \frac{(-1)^{r+1} 80rp^4}{81} \cdot \frac{\Gamma_p \left(1 + \frac{r}{3}\right)^2}{\Gamma_p \left(1 + \frac{2r}{3}\right)^3 \Gamma_p \left(1 - \frac{r}{3}\right)^4} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{1-r} \frac{(r-1)_k \left(\frac{r}{3}\right)_k^3}{(1)_k \left(\frac{2r}{3}\right)_k^3} \pmod{p^5}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

Letting  $r = 1$  and  $r = -1$  in (1.5) and using (1.9) and (1.11), we arrive at the  $p \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  case of (1.2) modulo  $p^5$  and the  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{6}$  case of (1.4), respectively.

Our proof of Theorem 1 will require Whipple's well-poised  ${}_7F_6$  transformation formula (see [2, p. 28]):

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_7F_6 \left[ \begin{matrix} a, & 1 + \frac{1}{2}a, & b, & c, & d, & e, & -n \\ & \frac{1}{2}a, & 1 + a - b, & 1 + a - c, & 1 + a - d, & 1 + a - e, & 1 + a + n \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{(a+1)_n (a-d-e+1)_n}{(a-d+1)_n (a-e+1)_n} {}_4F_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} 1 + a - b - c, & d, & e, & -n \\ d + e - a - n, & 1 + a - b, & 1 + a - c \end{matrix} ; 1 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

where  $n$  is a non-negative integer, and Karlsson–Minton's summation formula (see, for example, [4, Equation (1.9.2)]):

$${}_{r+1}F_r \left[ \begin{matrix} -n, & b_1 + m_1, & \dots, & b_r + m_r \\ & b_1, & \dots, & b_r \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] = 0, \quad (1.7)$$

where  $n, m_1, \dots, m_r$  are non-negative integers and  $n > m_1 + \dots + m_r$ . Our proof of Theorem 2 relies on a  ${}_7F_6$  transformation formula slightly different from Whipple's  ${}_7F_6$  transformation formula (1.6), obtained as a result from combining (1.6) with a  ${}_4F_3$  transformation formula. The transformation was already utilized by the second author to prove (1.4).

Furthermore, in order to prove Theorem 2, we require some properties of the  $p$ -adic Gamma function, collected in the following two lemmas.

**Lemma 1.** [3, Section 11.6] *Suppose  $p$  is an odd prime and  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then*

$$\Gamma_p(0) = 1, \quad \Gamma_p(1) = -1, \quad (1.8)$$

$$\Gamma_p(x)\Gamma_p(1-x) = (-1)^{a_p(x)}, \quad (1.9)$$

$$\Gamma_p(x) \equiv \Gamma_p(y) \pmod{p} \quad \text{for } x \equiv y \pmod{p}, \quad (1.10)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_p(x+1)}{\Gamma_p(x)} = \begin{cases} -x & \text{if } v_p(x) = 0, \\ -1 & \text{if } v_p(x) > 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

where  $a_p(x) \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$  with  $x \equiv a_p(x) \pmod{p}$  and  $v_p(\cdot)$  denotes the  $p$ -order.

**Lemma 2.** [18, Lemma 17, (4)] *Let  $p$  be an odd prime. If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that none of  $a, a+1, \dots, a+n-1$  are in  $p\mathbb{Z}_p$ , then*

$$(a)_n = (-1)^n \frac{\Gamma_p(a+n)}{\Gamma_p(a)}. \quad (1.12)$$

In the following Sections 2 and 3, we give proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, respectively. The final Section 4 is devoted to a discussion and includes two conjectures.

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Motivated by the work of McCarthy and Osburn [19] and Mortenson [20], we take the following choice of parameters in (1.6). Let  $a = \frac{r}{5}$ ,  $b = \frac{r+5}{10}$ ,  $c = \frac{r+3p}{5}$ ,  $d = \frac{r+3ip}{5}$ ,  $e = \frac{r-3ip}{5}$ , and  $n = \frac{3p-r}{5}$ , where  $i^2 = -1$ . Then we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_6F_5 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{r}{5}, & 1 + \frac{r}{10}, & \frac{r+3p}{5}, & \frac{r+3ip}{5}, & \frac{r-3ip}{5}, & \frac{r-3p}{5} \\ & \frac{r}{10}, & 1 - \frac{3p}{5}, & 1 - \frac{3ip}{5}, & 1 + \frac{3ip}{5}, & 1 + \frac{3p}{5} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] \\ &= \frac{\left(1 + \frac{r}{5}\right)_{\frac{3p-r}{5}} \left(1 - \frac{r}{5}\right)_{\frac{3p-r}{5}}}{\left(1 - \frac{3ip}{5}\right)_{\frac{3p-r}{5}} \left(1 + \frac{3ip}{5}\right)_{\frac{3p-r}{5}}} {}_4F_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{5-r-6p}{10}, & \frac{r+3ip}{5}, & \frac{r-3ip}{5}, & \frac{r-3p}{5} \\ \frac{2r-3p}{5}, & \frac{r+5}{10}, & \frac{5-3p}{5} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right], \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

It is easy to see that, for  $k \geq 0$  and any  $p$ -adic integer  $b$ ,

$$(a + bp)_k (a - bp)_k (a + bip)_k (a - bip)_k \equiv (a)_k^4 \pmod{p^4}. \quad (2.2)$$

Hence, the left-hand side of (2.1) is congruent to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{3p-r}{5}} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{r}{10}\right)_k \left(\frac{r}{5}\right)_k^5}{\left(\frac{r}{10}\right)_k (1)_k^5} &= \frac{1}{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{3p-r}{5}} (10k + r) \frac{\left(\frac{r}{5}\right)_k^5}{k!^5} \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{r} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (10k + r) \frac{\left(\frac{r}{5}\right)_k^5}{k!^5} \pmod{p^4}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the fact that  $\frac{\left(\frac{r}{5}\right)_k}{k!} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for  $\frac{3p-r}{5} < k \leq p-1$  (the condition  $p \geq \frac{5-r}{2}$  in the theorem is to guarantee  $\frac{3p-r}{5} \leq p-1$ ). Since  $\frac{3p-r}{5} \geq \frac{2p+r}{5}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\left(1 + \frac{r}{5}\right)_{\frac{3p-r}{5}} \left(1 - \frac{r}{5}\right)_{\frac{3p-r}{5}}}{\left(1 - \frac{3ip}{5}\right)_{\frac{3p-r}{5}} \left(1 + \frac{3ip}{5}\right)_{\frac{3p-r}{5}}} &= \frac{\left(1 + \frac{r}{5}\right)_{\frac{3p-r}{5}} \left(1 - \frac{r}{5}\right)_{\frac{3p-r}{5}}}{\left(1 + \frac{9p^2}{25}\right)_{\frac{3p-r}{5}}} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{p^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by the congruences

$$(a + bip)_k (a - bip)_k \equiv (a + bp)_k (a - bp)_k \equiv (a)_k^2 \pmod{p^2} \quad (2.3)$$

for any  $p$ -adic integer  $b$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
{}_4F_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{5-r-6p}{10}, & \frac{r+3ip}{5}, & \frac{r-3ip}{5}, & \frac{r-3p}{5} \\ \frac{2r-3p}{5}, & \frac{r+5}{10}, & \frac{5-3p}{5} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] &\equiv {}_4F_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{5-r-6p}{10}, & \frac{r}{5}, & \frac{r}{5}, & \frac{r-3p}{5} \\ \frac{2r-3p}{5}, & \frac{r+5}{10}, & \frac{5-3p}{5} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] \\
&\equiv {}_4F_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} \frac{5-r-6p}{10}, & \frac{r+p}{5}, & \frac{r-p}{5}, & \frac{r-3p}{5} \\ \frac{2r-3p}{5}, & \frac{r+5}{10}, & \frac{5-3p}{5} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] \\
&= 0 \pmod{p^2},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have utilized Karlsson–Minton’s summation (1.7) with  $n = \frac{3p-r}{5}$ ,  $b_1 = \frac{2r-3p}{5}$ ,  $b_2 = \frac{r+5}{10}$ ,  $b_3 = \frac{5-3p}{5}$ ,  $m_1 = \frac{1-r}{2}$ ,  $m_2 = \frac{2p+r-5}{10}$ , and  $m_3 = \frac{2p+r-5}{5}$  in the last step.

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

We can verify (1.5) for  $r = 1$  and  $p = 2$  by hand. In what follows, we assume that  $p$  is an odd prime. Recall the following transformation formula [16, Equation (4.2)]:

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_7F_6 \left[ \begin{matrix} t, & 1 + \frac{t}{2}, & -n, & t-a, & t-b, & t-c, & 1-t-m+n+a+b+c \\ \frac{t}{2}, & 1+t+n, & 1+a, & 1+b, & 1+c, & 2t+m-n-a-b-c \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] \\
&= \frac{(1+t)_n (a+b+2-m-t)_n (a+c+2-m-t)_n (b+c+2-m-t)_n}{(1+a)_n (1+b)_n (1+c)_n (a+b+c+1-m-2t)_n} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(a+b+1-m-t)(a+c+1-m-t)(b+c+1-m-t)}{(a+b+n+1-m-t)(a+c+n+1-m-t)(b+c+n+1-m-t)} \\
&\quad \times {}_4F_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} -m, & -n, & a+b+c+1-m-2t, & a+b+c+1+n-m-t \\ a+b+1-m-t, & a+c+1-m-t, & b+c+1-m-t \end{matrix} ; 1 \right]. \quad (3.1)
\end{aligned}$$

Let  $\zeta$  be a fifth primitive root of unity. Setting  $m = 1 - r$ ,  $t = \frac{r}{3}$ ,  $n = \frac{2p-r}{3}$ ,  $a = \frac{2p\zeta}{3}$ ,  $b = \frac{2p\zeta^2}{3}$  and  $c = \frac{2p\zeta^3}{3}$  in (3.1) and using  $1 + \zeta + \zeta^2 + \zeta^3 + \zeta^4 = 0$ , the left-hand side of (3.1) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
&{}_7F_6 \left[ \begin{matrix} 1 + \frac{r}{6}, & \frac{r}{3}, & \frac{r-2p}{3}, & \frac{r-2p\zeta}{3}, & \frac{r-2p\zeta^2}{3}, & \frac{r-2p\zeta^3}{3}, & \frac{r-2p\zeta^4}{3} \\ \frac{r}{6}, & 1 + \frac{2p}{3}, & 1 + \frac{2p\zeta}{3}, & 1 + \frac{2p\zeta^2}{3}, & 1 + \frac{2p\zeta^3}{3}, & 1 + \frac{2p\zeta^4}{3} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] \\
&\equiv \frac{1}{r} \sum_{k=0}^{\frac{2p-r}{3}} (6k+r) \frac{\left(\frac{r}{3}\right)_k}{k!^6} \pmod{p^5},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the facts that none of the denominators in  ${}_7F_6$  contain a multiple of  $p$  (the condition  $p \geq 3 - r$  in the theorem is to guarantee  $\frac{2p-r}{3} \leq p - 1$ ) and

$$(u + vp)_k (u + vp\zeta)_k (u + vp\zeta^2)_k (u + vp\zeta^3)_k (u + vp\zeta^4)_k \equiv (u)_k^5 \pmod{p^5}.$$

Furthermore, for  $\frac{2p-r}{3} < k \leq p - 1$  we have  $\binom{r}{3}_k \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_7F_6 \left[ \begin{matrix} 1 + \frac{r}{6}, & \frac{r}{3}, & \frac{r-2p}{3}, & \frac{r-2p\zeta}{3}, & \frac{r-2p\zeta^2}{3}, & \frac{r-2p\zeta^3}{3}, & \frac{r-2p\zeta^4}{3} \\ & \frac{r}{6}, & 1 + \frac{2p}{3}, & 1 + \frac{2p\zeta}{3}, & 1 + \frac{2p\zeta^2}{3}, & 1 + \frac{2p\zeta^3}{3}, & 1 + \frac{2p\zeta^4}{3} \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] \\ & \equiv \frac{1}{r} \sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (6k+r) \frac{\binom{r}{3}_k^6}{k!^6} \pmod{p^5}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

On the other hand, we determine the terminating hypergeometric series on the right-hand side of (3.1) modulo  $p$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(a+b+1-m-t)(a+c+1-m-t)(b+c+1-m-t)}{(a+b+n+1-m-t)(a+c+n+1-m-t)(b+c+n+1-m-t)} \\ & \times {}_4F_3 \left[ \begin{matrix} -m, & -n, & a+b+c+1-m-2t, & a+b+c+1+n-m-t \\ a+b+1-m-t, & a+c+1-m-t, & b+c+1-m-t & \end{matrix} ; 1 \right] \\ & \equiv 8 \sum_{k=0}^{1-r} \frac{(r-1)_k \binom{r}{3}_k^3}{(1)_k \binom{2r}{3}_k^3} \pmod{p}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(1+t)_n (a+b+2-m-t)_n (a+c+2-m-t)_n (b+c+2-m-t)_n}{(1+a)_n (1+b)_n (1+c)_n (a+b+c+1-m-2t)_n} \\ & = \frac{\left(1 + \frac{r}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2r+2p(\zeta+\zeta^2)}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2r+2p(\zeta+\zeta^3)}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2r+2p(\zeta^2+\zeta^3)}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}}}{(-1)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2p\zeta}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2p\zeta^2}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2p\zeta^3}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2p\zeta^4}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

Note that

$$\left(1 + \frac{r}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}} = \frac{2p}{3} \left(1 + \frac{r}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r-3}{3}}, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left(1 + \frac{2r + 2p(\zeta + \zeta^2)}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2r + 2p(\zeta + \zeta^3)}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2r + 2p(\zeta^2 + \zeta^3)}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}} \\
&= \frac{5p^3}{27} \left(1 + \frac{2r + 2p(\zeta + \zeta^2)}{3}\right)_{\frac{p-2r-3}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2r + 2p(\zeta + \zeta^3)}{3}\right)_{\frac{p-2r-3}{3}} \\
&\quad \times \left(1 + \frac{2r + 2p(\zeta^2 + \zeta^3)}{3}\right)_{\frac{p-2r-3}{3}} \left(\frac{3 + p(2\zeta + 2\zeta^2 + 1)}{3}\right)_{\frac{p+r}{3}} \\
&\quad \times \left(\frac{3 + p(2\zeta + 2\zeta^3 + 1)}{3}\right)_{\frac{p+r}{3}} \left(\frac{3 + p(2\zeta^2 + 2\zeta^3 + 1)}{3}\right)_{\frac{p+r}{3}}. \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

Combining (3.5) and (3.6), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left(1 + \frac{r}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2r+2p(\zeta+\zeta^2)}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2r+2p(\zeta+\zeta^3)}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2r+2p(\zeta^2+\zeta^3)}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}}}{(-1)^{\frac{2p-r}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2p\zeta}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2p\zeta^2}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2p\zeta^3}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2p\zeta^4}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}}} \\
&\equiv \frac{(-1)^{\frac{2p-r}{3}} 10p^4}{81} \cdot \frac{\left(1 + \frac{r}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r-3}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2r}{3}\right)_{\frac{p-2r-3}{3}}^3 (1)_{\frac{p+r}{3}}^3}{(1)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}}^4} \pmod{p^5}. \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.2)–(3.4) and (3.7) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (6k+r) \frac{\left(\frac{r}{3}\right)_k^6}{k!^6} &\equiv \frac{(-1)^{\frac{2p-r}{3}} 80rp^4}{81} \cdot \frac{\left(1 + \frac{r}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r-3}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2r}{3}\right)_{\frac{p-2r-3}{3}}^3 (1)_{\frac{p+r}{3}}^3}{(1)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}}^4} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{k=0}^{1-r} \frac{(r-1)_k \left(\frac{r}{3}\right)_k^3}{(1)_k \left(\frac{2r}{3}\right)_k^3} \pmod{p^5}. \tag{3.8}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemmas 1 and 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\left(1 + \frac{r}{3}\right)_{\frac{2p-r-3}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{2r}{3}\right)_{\frac{p-2r-3}{3}}^3 (1)_{\frac{p+r}{3}}^3}{(1)_{\frac{2p-r}{3}}^4} \\
&\stackrel{(1.12)}{=} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{2p-r}{3}+r} \Gamma_p\left(\frac{2p}{3}\right) \Gamma_p\left(\frac{p}{3}\right)^3 \Gamma_p\left(1 + \frac{p+r}{3}\right)^3 \Gamma_p(1)}{\Gamma_p\left(1 + \frac{r}{3}\right) \Gamma_p\left(1 + \frac{2r}{3}\right)^3 \Gamma_p\left(1 + \frac{2p-r}{3}\right)^4}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{(1.10)}{\equiv} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{2p-r}{3}+r} \Gamma_p(0)^4 \Gamma_p\left(1 + \frac{r}{3}\right)^2 \Gamma_p(1)}{\Gamma_p\left(1 + \frac{2r}{3}\right)^3 \Gamma_p\left(1 - \frac{r}{3}\right)^4} \pmod{p} \\
& \stackrel{(1.8)}{\equiv} \frac{(-1)^{\frac{2p-r}{3}+r+1} \Gamma_p\left(1 + \frac{r}{3}\right)^2}{\Gamma_p\left(1 + \frac{2r}{3}\right)^3 \Gamma_p\left(1 - \frac{r}{3}\right)^4}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

The proof of (1.5) then follows from (3.8) and (3.9).

#### 4. DISCUSSION

We know that many supercongruences have nice  $q$ -analogues (see [5, 6, 8–13, 17, 23]). For example, we have the following conjectural  $q$ -analogue of (1.3): for the same  $p$  and  $r$  as in Theorem 1,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} [10k + r] \frac{(q^r; q^5)_k^5}{(q^5; q^5)_k^5} q^{\frac{5(3-r)k}{2}} \equiv 0 \pmod{[p]^4}, \tag{4.1}$$

where  $[n] = 1 + q + \cdots + q^{n-1}$  is the  $q$ -integer and  $(a; q)_n = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{n-1})$  denotes the  $q$ -shifted factorial.

Although there are  $q$ -analogues of Whipple's well-poised  ${}_7F_6$  transformation and of Karlsson–Minton's summation (see [4, Appendix (II.27) and (III.18)]), we are unable to give a proof of (4.1). This is because we only know a  $q$ -analogue of (2.3) (see [10, Lemma 1]) but do not know any  $q$ -analogues of (2.2). Besides, we do not know how to prove (4.1) by using the method of 'creative microscoping' devised in [11] either.

While in Theorem 2 we were able to provide a common generalization of the second supercongruence in (1.2) (restricted to modulo  $p^5$ ) and the first supercongruence in (1.4), it appears to be rather difficult to extend Theorem 1 to a higher supercongruence involving the  $p$ -adic Gamma function in the spirit of Theorem 2, even in the special cases  $r = 1$  or  $r = -1$ .

We end our paper with two further conjectures for future research. Conjecture 1 concerns a stronger version of Theorem 2 and includes the second supercongruence in (1.2) as a special case. Conjecture 2 concerns a common generalization of the first supercongruence in (1.2) and the second supercongruence in (1.4).

**Conjecture 1.** *The supercongruence (1.5) holds modulo  $p^6$  for any prime  $p > 3$ .*

**Conjecture 2.** *Let  $r \leq 1$  be an integer coprime with 3. Let  $p \geq 7$  be a prime such that  $p \equiv r \pmod{3}$  and  $p \geq 3 - 2r$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{p-1} (6k + r) \frac{\left(\frac{r}{3}\right)_k^6}{k!^6} & \equiv \frac{(-1)^r 8rp}{3} \cdot \frac{\Gamma_p\left(1 + \frac{r}{3}\right)^2}{\Gamma_p\left(1 + \frac{2r}{3}\right)^3 \Gamma_p\left(1 - \frac{r}{3}\right)^4} \\
& \times \sum_{k=0}^{1-r} \frac{(r-1)_k \left(\frac{r}{3}\right)_k^3}{(1)_k \left(\frac{2r}{3}\right)_k^3} \pmod{p^6}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$



We remark that, to prove Conjecture 1, we need to determine the  ${}_4F_3$  series on the left-hand side of (3.3) modulo  $p^2$ . But this  ${}_4F_3$  series contains 5th roots of unity and lacks the symmetry which we would require for being able to deal with it. Moreover, by using (3.1) and the same method as in the proof of Theorem 2, we can only show that (4.2) holds modulo  $p^2$ . A new technique is needed to prove Conjecture 2.

**Acknowledgment.** The authors would like to thank the anonymous referee and Ling Long for helpful comments on this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] G.E. Andrews, R. Askey and R. Roy, *Special Functions*, Encyclopedia Math. Appl. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [2] W.N. Bailey, *Generalized Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics and Mathematical Physics 32, Cambridge University Press, London, 1935.
- [3] H. Cohen, *Number Theory. Vol. II. Analytic and Modern Tools*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 240, Springer, New York, 2007.
- [4] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, second edition, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications **96**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [5] V.J.W. Guo, Proof of some  $q$ -supercongruences modulo the fourth power of a cyclotomic polynomial, *Results Math.* **75** (2020), Art. 77.
- [6] V.J.W. Guo, Proof of a generalization of the (C.2) supercongruence of Van Hamme, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat.* **115** (2021), Art. 45.
- [7] V.J.W. Guo and J.-C. Liu, Some congruences related to a congruence of Van Hamme, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.* **31** (2020), 221–231.
- [8] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Some new  $q$ -congruences for truncated basic hypergeometric series, *Symmetry* **11** (2019), no. 2, Art. 268.
- [9] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, Proof of a basic hypergeometric supercongruence modulo the fifth power of a cyclotomic polynomial, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **25** (2019), 921–929.
- [10] V.J.W. Guo and M.J. Schlosser, A family of  $q$ -hypergeometric congruences modulo the fourth power of a cyclotomic polynomial, *Israel J. Math.* **240** (2020), 821–835.
- [11] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, A  $q$ -microscope for supercongruences, *Adv. Math.* **346** (2019), 329–358.
- [12] V.J.W. Guo and W. Zudilin, Dwork-type supercongruences through a creative  $q$ -microscope, *J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A* **178** (2021), Art. 105362.
- [13] L. Li and S.-D. Wang, Proof of a  $q$ -supercongruence conjectured by Guo and Schlosser, *Rev. R. Acad. Cienc. Exactas Fís. Nat., Ser. A Mat.* **114** (2020), Art. 190.
- [14] J.-C. Liu, A  $p$ -adic supercongruence for truncated hypergeometric series  ${}_7F_6$ , *Results Math.* **72** (2017), 2057–2066.
- [15] J.-C. Liu, On Van Hamme’s (A.2) and (H.2) supercongruences, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **471** (2019), 613–622.
- [16] J.-C. Liu, Supercongruences arising from transformations of hypergeometric series, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **497** (2021), Art. 124915.
- [17] J.-C. Liu and F. Petrov, Congruences on sums of  $q$ -binomial coefficients, *Adv. Appl. Math.* **116** (2020), Art. 102003.
- [18] L. Long and R. Ramakrishna, Some supercongruences occurring in truncated hypergeometric series, *Adv. Math.* **290** (2016), 773–808.
- [19] D. McCarthy and R. Osburn, A  $p$ -adic analogue of a formula of Ramanujan, *Arch. Math.* **91** (2008), 492–504.

- [20] E. Mortenson, A  $p$ -adic supercongruence conjecture of van Hamme, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **136** (2008), 4321–4328.
- [21] L. Van Hamme, Some conjectures concerning partial sums of generalized hypergeometric series, in:  *$p$ -Adic Functional Analysis* (Nijmegen, 1996), Lecture Notes in Pure and Appl. Math. **192**, Dekker, New York (1997), 223–236.
- [22] C. Wang, Proof of a congruence concerning truncated hypergeometric series  ${}_6F_5$ , preprint, 2018, arXiv:1812.10324.
- [23] W. Zudilin, Congruences for  $q$ -binomial coefficients, *Ann. Combin.* **23** (2019), 1123–1135.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, HUAIYIN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, HUAI'AN 223300, JIANGSU, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*Email address:* jwguo@hytc.edu.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WENZHOU UNIVERSITY, WENZHOU 325035, PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

*Email address:* jcliu2016@gmail.com

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, UNIVERSITÄT WIEN, OSKAR-MORGENSTERN-PLATZ 1, A-1090 VIENNA, AUSTRIA

*Email address:* michael.schlosser@univie.ac.at