

A q-analogue of the representation theory
of S_n

G.D. James

Sidney Sussex College, Cambridge, England

Our talk outlined some recent work done jointly with Richard Dipper.

Let S_n denote the group of permutations of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and let \mathcal{J} be the set of *basic transpositions* in S_n (that is, \mathcal{J} consists of those $v = (i, i+1)$, with $1 \leq i \leq n-1$). Every element $w \in S_n$ may be written as

$$w = v_1 v_2 \dots v_k \quad (v_i \in \mathcal{J}),$$

and the *length* of w , $lt(w)$, is the minimal k in such an expression.

One way of studying the representation theory of S_n over an *arbitrary* field K is to consider the *group algebra* KS_n , which is the vector space over K having basis $\{w \in S_n\}$, the multiplication being determined by the product of elements in S_n .

Definition. Suppose that K is a field and $0 \neq q \in K$. The *Hecke algebra* $\mathcal{H} (= \mathcal{H}(K, q, n))$ is defined as follows:

- i) \mathcal{H} is an associative algebra over K , with basis $\{T_w | w \in S_n\}$
 ii) If $w \in S_n$ and $v \in \mathcal{J}$

$$T_w T_v = \begin{cases} T_{wv} & \text{if } \text{lt}(wv) = \text{lt}(w)+1 \\ qT_{wv} + (q-1)T_w & \text{if } \text{lt}(wv) = \text{lt}(w)-1. \end{cases}$$

Note that if $u \in S_n$, $\text{lt}(u) = k$ and $u = v_1 v_2 \dots v_k$ ($v_i \in \mathcal{J}$), then ii) implies that $T_u = T_{v_1} T_{v_2} \dots T_{v_k}$. Hence, using the fact that \mathcal{H} is associative, one may calculate $T_w T_u$ for $w, u \in S_n$.

When q is a prime power, \mathcal{H} occurs naturally in the representation theory of the general linear group $GL_n(q)$, and this enables one to prove that the multiplication in \mathcal{H} is well-defined. This special case, with $K = \mathbb{C}$ has been extensively studied, but the more general situation - in particular, when K has arbitrary characteristic - has not previously been investigated in detail. It emerges that the analogy with KS_n is remarkable, and there are significant applications to the modular representation theory of $GL_n(q)$.

Here are some facts about \mathcal{H} (see [1]):

- i) If $q = 1$, then $\mathcal{H} \cong KS_n$ (Thus, our theory contains the representation theory of S_n)
 ii) If $q \neq 1$, then the multiplication in \mathcal{H} is horrible! (Anyone with doubts should calculate $T_{(13)}^2$).
 iii) Even if $\text{char } K = 0$, \mathcal{H} need not be isomorphic to KS_n . For example, if $K = \mathbb{C}$, $1+q = 0$ and $n = 2$, then $\mathcal{H} \not\cong \mathbb{C}S_2$. In fact,

- iv) $\mathcal{H} \cong KS_n$ if and only if there exists m , $2 \leq m \leq n$ such that $[m]_q = 0$, where $[m]_q := 1+q+q^2+\dots+q^{m-1}$. Even when $\mathcal{H} \cong KS_n$, the isomorphism is not natural.
- v) \mathcal{H} is a symmetric algebra. This means that \mathcal{H} enjoys many of the properties of group algebras. In particular, every irreducible right \mathcal{H} -module is isomorphic to a simple right ideal of \mathcal{H} .
- vi) For each partition λ of n , there exists $b_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $S_\lambda := b_\lambda \mathcal{H}$ is a q -analogue of a Specht module. For example, the dimension of S_λ equals the number of standard λ -tableaux.

Definition. Let e be the least natural number m such that $[m]_q = 0$ (or $e = \infty$ if no such m exists). Thus if $\text{char } K = p$, then $e = p$ if $q = 1$, and e is the multiplicative order of q , otherwise.

- vii) For each partition λ of n , there exists $a_\lambda \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $a_\lambda b_\lambda \mathcal{H}$ is a simple right ideal of \mathcal{H} if λ is e -regular, $a_\lambda b_\lambda \mathcal{H} = 0$ if λ is not e -regular. Furthermore, $\{a_\lambda b_\lambda \mathcal{H} \mid \lambda \text{ is } e\text{-regular}\}$ is a complete set of irreducible \mathcal{H} -modules. When $q = 1$, this translates directly into the familiar construction of the irreducible KS_n -modules.

Next we discuss the centre of \mathcal{H} . Recall that, of course,

$$\left\{ \sum_{w \in b} w \mid b \text{ is a conjugacy class of } S_n \right\}$$

is a basis for the centre of KS_n . (In particular, the centre has dimension equal to the number of partitions of n). For example,

$$1, (12)+(23)+(13), (123)+(132)$$

gives a basis for the centre of KS_3 . Contrary, perhaps, to expectation $T_{(12)} + T_{(23)} + T_{(13)}$ and $T_{(123)} + T_{(132)}$ are not in the centre of \mathcal{H} when $q \neq 1$. The correct q -analogue is inspired by the following Theorem of Gene Murphy:

Theorem (Murphy [3]): For $2 \leq m \leq n$, define

$$R_m = (m-1, m) + (m-2, m) + \dots + (2, m) + (1, m) \in KS_n.$$

Then the R_m 's commute and the centre of KS_n equals the set of elements which can be written as a symmetric polynomial in R_2, R_3, \dots, R_n .

e.g. If $n = 3$, then $R_2 = (12)$, $R_3 = (23) + (13)$, and the centre is spanned by 1 , $R_2 + R_3 = (12) + (23) + (13)$, and $R_2 R_3 = (132) + (123)$.

Theorem [2]: For $2 \leq m \leq n$, define

$$R_m = \frac{1}{q} T_{(m-1, m)} + \frac{1}{q^2} T_{(m-2, m)} + \dots + \frac{1}{q^{m-1}} T_{(1, m)} \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Then the R_m 's commute. The centre of \mathcal{H} has dimensions equal to the number of partitions of n , and equals the set of elements which can be written as a symmetric polynomial in R_2, R_3, \dots, R_m .

e.g. If $n = 3$, then $R_2 = \frac{1}{q} T_{(12)}$ and $R_3 = \frac{1}{q} T_{(23)} + \frac{1}{q^2} T_{(13)}$. The centre of \mathcal{H} has basis 1 , $R_2 + R_3$ and $R_2 R_3$, where

$$R_2 + R_3 = \frac{1}{q} T_{(12)} + \frac{1}{q} T_{(23)} + \frac{1}{q^2} T_{(13)}.$$

$$R_2 R_3 = \frac{1}{q^2} T_{(132)} + \frac{1}{q^2} T_{(123)} + \frac{q-1}{q^3} T_{(13)}.$$

Using this Theorem, we have been able to translate Murphy's proof [3] of the Nakayama Conjecture into its q -analogue. We say that S_λ and S_μ are *linked* if and only if there exist partitions of n , $\lambda = \lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}, \dots, \lambda^{(s)} = \mu$ such that $S_{\lambda^{(i)}}$ and $S_{\lambda^{(i+1)}}$ have a common composition factor for each i . (Thus, for $\text{char } K = p$ and $q = 1$, the Specht modules S_λ and S_μ are linked if they belong to the same p -block of KS_n . The Nakayama Conjecture states that in this case S_λ and S_μ are linked if and only if λ and μ have the same p -core.)

Theorem [2]: The \mathcal{H} -modules S_λ and S_μ are linked if and only if λ and μ have the same e -core.

References.

1. R. Dipper and G.D. James: Representations of Hecke Algebras, to appear.
2. R. Dipper and G.D. James: Representations of Hecke Algebras II, to appear.
3. G.E. Murphy: The idempotents of the symmetric group and Nakayama's conjecture, J. Algebra 81 (1983), 258-265.