

A combinatorial Chevalley formula for semi-infinite flag manifolds and its applications

Cristian Lenart^{*1}, Satoshi Naito^{†2}, and Daisuke Sagaki^{‡3}

¹*Dept. of Mathematics, State Univ. of New York at Albany, Albany, NY, 12222, USA*

²*Dept. of Mathematics, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 2-12-1 Oh-Okayama, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 152-8551, Japan*

³*Institute of Mathematics, University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8571, Japan*

Abstract. We give a combinatorial Chevalley formula for an arbitrary weight, in the torus-equivariant K -group of semi-infinite flag manifolds, which is expressed in terms of the quantum alcove model. As an application, we prove the Chevalley formula for anti-dominant fundamental weights in the (small) torus-equivariant quantum K -theory $QK_T(G/B)$ of the flag manifold G/B ; this has been a longstanding conjecture. We also discuss the Chevalley formula for partial flag manifolds G/P . Moreover, in type A_{n-1} , we prove that the so-called quantum Grothendieck polynomials indeed represent Schubert classes in the (non-equivariant) quantum K -theory $QK(SL_n/B)$.

Résumé. Nous donnons une formule combinatoire de Chevalley pour un poids arbitraire, dans la K -théorie équivariante des variétés de drapeau semi-infinies, exprimée en termes du modèle des alcôves quantique. En tant qu'application, nous prouvons la formule de Chevalley pour les poids fondamentaux anti-dominants dans la (petite) K -théorie quantique équivariante $QK_T(G/B)$ des variétés de drapeau G/B ; c'était une conjecture depuis longtemps. Nous discutons également de la formule plus générale de Chevalley pour les variétés de drapeau partielles G/P . De plus, dans le type A_{n-1} , nous montrons que les polynômes de Grothendieck quantiques représentent bien les classes de Schubert dans la K -théorie quantique (non-équivariante) $QK(SL_n/B)$.

Keywords: semi-infinite flag manifold, Chevalley formula, quantum Bruhat graph, quantum LS paths, quantum alcove model.

1 Introduction

This paper is concerned with a geometric application of the combinatorial model known as the *quantum alcove model*, introduced in [9]. Its precursor, the alcove model of the

^{*}clenart@albany.edu. C. Lenart was partially supported by the NSF grants DMS-1362627 and DMS-1855592.

[†]naito@math.titech.ac.jp. S. Naito was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) 16H03920.

[‡]sagaki@math.tsukuba.ac.jp. D. Sagaki was partially supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 15K04803 and 19K03415.

first author and Postnikov, was used to uniformly describe the *Chevalley formula* in the equivariant K -theory of flag manifolds G/B [13]. Also, the quantum alcove model was used to uniformly describe certain crystals of affine Lie algebras (single-column *Kirillov–Reshetikhin crystals*) and *Macdonald polynomials* specialized at $t = 0$ [12]. The objects of the quantum alcove model (indexing the crystal vertices and the terms of Macdonald polynomials) are paths in the *quantum Bruhat graph* on the Weyl group, introduced by Brenti-Fomin-Postnikov. In this paper we complete the above picture, by extending to the quantum alcove model the geometric application of the alcove model, namely the K -theory Chevalley formula.

To achieve our goal, we need to consider the so-called *semi-infinite flag manifold* \mathbf{Q}_G . We give a Chevalley formula for an arbitrary weight in the $T \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant K -group $K_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ of \mathbf{Q}_G , which is described in terms of the quantum alcove model. In [6] and [14], the Chevalley formulas for $K_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ were originally given in terms of the *quantum LS path model* in the case of a dominant and an anti-dominant weight, respectively. For a general (not dominant nor anti-dominant) weight, there is no quantum LS path model, but there is a quantum alcove model. Hence, in order to obtain a Chevalley formula for an arbitrary weight, we first need to translate the formulas above to the quantum alcove model by using the weight-preserving bijection between the two models given by Proposition 7. Based on these translated formulas (Theorems 8 and 9), we obtain a Chevalley formula (Theorem 10) for an arbitrary weight.

The study of the equivariant K -group of semi-infinite flag manifolds was started in [6]. A breakthrough in this study is [4] (see also [5]), in which Kato established a $\mathbb{Z}[P]$ -module isomorphism from the (small) T -equivariant quantum K -theory $QK_T(G/B)$ of the finite-dimensional flag manifold G/B onto (a version of) the T -equivariant K -group $K'_T(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ of \mathbf{Q}_G ; here P is the weight lattice generated by the fundamental weights ω_i , $i \in I$. Here we should mention that in [4], he also established a $\mathbb{Z}[P]$ -module embedding of (a certain localization of) the T -equivariant K -group of the affine Grassmannian into the T -equivariant K -group of the full semi-infinite flag manifold $\mathbf{Q}_G^{\text{rat}}$, which is a certain inductive limit of copies of $K_T(\mathbf{Q}_G)$, thus verifying a conjectural K -theoretic generalization of Peterson's isomorphism proposed by Lam-Li-Mihalcea-Shimozono ([7]). The isomorphism above sends each (opposite) Schubert class in $QK_T(G/B)$ to the corresponding semi-infinite Schubert class in $K'_T(\mathbf{Q}_G)$; moreover, it respects the quantum multiplication in $QK_T(G/B)$ with the class of the line bundle associated to an anti-dominant fundamental weight and the tensor product in $K'_T(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ with the class of the line bundle associated to the corresponding anti-dominant fundamental weight. Based on this result, a longstanding conjecture on the multiplicative structure of $QK_T(G/B)$, i.e., the Chevalley formula (Theorem 12) for anti-dominant fundamental weights $-\omega_k$, $k \in I$, for $QK_T(G/B)$ is proved by our Chevalley formula for $K_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ specialized to $q = 1$. In Section 5, we also discuss the quantum K -theory Chevalley formula for partial flag manifolds G/P .

As an application of our quantum K -theory Chevalley formula, we prove an important conjecture for the non-equivariant quantum K -theory $QK(SL_n/B)$ of the type A_{n-1} flag manifold (Theorem 13): the *quantum Grothendieck polynomials*, introduced in [10], represent Schubert classes in $QK(SL_n/B)$. Thus, we generalize the results of [3], where the *quantum Schubert polynomials* are constructed as representatives for Schubert classes in the quantum cohomology of SL_n/B . Therefore, we can use quantum Grothendieck polynomials to compute any structure constant in $QK(SL_n/B)$ (with respect to the Schubert basis); indeed, we just need to expand their products in the basis they form, which is done by [10, Algorithm 3.28], see [10, Example 7.4]. This is important, since computing even simple products in quantum K -theory is notoriously difficult.

2 Background on the combinatorial models

2.1 Root systems

Let \mathfrak{g} be a complex simple Lie algebra, and \mathfrak{h} a Cartan subalgebra. Let $\Phi \subset \mathfrak{h}^*$ be the corresponding irreducible root system, $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ the real span of the roots, and $\Phi^+ \subset \Phi$ the set of positive roots. Given $\alpha \in \Phi$, we let $\text{sgn}(\alpha)$ be 1 or -1 depending on α being positive or negative, and $|\alpha| := \text{sgn}(\alpha)\alpha$. Let $\rho := \frac{1}{2}(\sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^+} \alpha)$. Let θ be the highest root, and $\alpha_i \in \Phi^+$ the *simple roots*, for i in an indexing set I . We denote $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the nondegenerate scalar product on $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ induced by the Killing form. Given $\alpha \in \Phi$, we consider the *coroot* α^\vee and reflection s_α . The root and coroot lattices are denoted by Q and Q^\vee , as usual, while the positive part of the coroot lattice is denoted by $Q^{\vee,+}$. The *weight lattice* P is generated by the *fundamental weights* ω_i , for $i \in I$. Let P^+ be the set of *dominant weights*.

Let W be the *Weyl group*, with length function $\ell(\cdot)$ and longest element w_\circ . The *Bruhat order* on W is defined by its covers $w \triangleleft ws_\alpha$, for $\ell(ws_\alpha) = \ell(w) + 1$, where $\alpha \in \Phi^+$.

Given $\alpha \in \Phi$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we denote by $s_{\alpha,k}$ the reflection in the affine hyperplane $H_{\alpha,k} := \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^* \mid \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle = k\}$. These reflections generate the *affine Weyl group* $W_{\text{af}} = W \ltimes Q^\vee$ for the *dual root system* Φ^\vee . The hyperplanes $H_{\alpha,k}$ divide the vector space $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathbb{R}}^*$ into open regions, called *alcoves*. The *fundamental alcove* is denoted by A_\circ .

The *quantum Bruhat graph* $\text{QB}(W)$ on W is defined by adding downward (quantum) edges, denoted $w \triangleleft^\alpha ws_\alpha$, to the covers of the Bruhat order, i.e., the edges of $\text{QB}(W)$ are:

$$w \xrightarrow{\alpha} ws_\alpha \text{ if } w \triangleleft ws_\alpha \text{ or } \ell(ws_\alpha) = \ell(w) - 2\langle \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle + 1, \text{ where } \alpha \in \Phi^+.$$

We define the *weight* of an edge $w \xrightarrow{\alpha} ws_\alpha$ to be either α^\vee or 0, depending on whether it is a quantum edge or not, respectively. Then the weight of a directed path is the sum of the weights of its edges. It turns out that the weight of a shortest directed path from v to w is independent of the mentioned path, so we will denote it by $\text{wt}(w \Rightarrow v)$; see [12].

For the remainder of this section, we fix $\lambda \in P^+$. Let W_J be the stabilizer of λ , as a parabolic subgroup with $J \subset I$ and root system Φ_J . We denote the set of minimum-length coset representatives for W/W_J by W^J , and the minimum-length coset representative of wW_J by $\lfloor w \rfloor$. We consider the *parabolic quantum Bruhat graph* on W^J , denoted by $\text{QB}(W^J)$; this generalizes $\text{QB}(W)$, see [11]. Its directed edges are labeled by $\alpha \in \Phi^+ \setminus \Phi_J^+$. The upward edges are the covers of the Bruhat order on W^J , while the downward (quantum) edges $w \xrightarrow{\alpha} \lfloor ws_\alpha \rfloor$ are given by the condition $\ell(\lfloor ws_\alpha \rfloor) = \ell(w) - 2\langle \rho - \rho_J, \alpha^\vee \rangle + 1$. Given a rational number b , we define $\text{QB}_{b\lambda}(W^J)$ to be the subgraph of $\text{QB}(W^J)$ with the same vertex set but having only the edges with labels α satisfying $b\langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We now recall the quantum Bruhat graph analogue of a certain lift from W/W_J to W which was previously defined by Deodhar. Let $\ell(w \Rightarrow x)$ denote the length of the shortest path from w to x in $\text{QB}(W)$. It was shown in [11] that, given $v, w \in W$, there exists a unique element $x \in vW_J$ such that $\ell(w \Rightarrow x)$ attains its minimum value as a function of $x \in vW_J$. For reasons explained in [11], we denote the unique element by $\min(vW_J, \preceq_w)$, and call it a *quantum Deodhar lift*.

2.2 Quantum LS paths

Definition 1 ([12]). A quantum LS path $\eta \in \text{QLS}(\lambda)$, for $\lambda \in P^+$, is given by two sequences

$$(0 = b_1 < b_2 < b_3 < \cdots < b_t < b_{t+1} = 1); \quad (\phi(\eta) = \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_t = \iota(\eta)), \quad (2.1)$$

where $b_k \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\sigma_k \in W^J$, and there is a directed path in $\text{QB}_{b_k\lambda}(W^J)$ from σ_{k-1} to σ_k , for each $k = 2, \dots, t$. The elements σ_k are called the *directions* of η , while $\iota(\eta)$ and $\phi(\eta)$ are the *initial* and *final directions*, respectively.

This data encodes the sequence of vectors $v_t := (b_{t+1} - b_t)\sigma_t\lambda, \dots, v_2 := (b_3 - b_2)\sigma_2\lambda, v_1 := (b_2 - b_1)\sigma_1\lambda$. We can view $\eta \in \text{QLS}(\lambda)$ as a piecewise-linear path given by the sequence of points $0, v_t, v_{t-1} + v_t, \dots, v_1 + \cdots + v_t$. The endpoint of the path, also called its *weight*, is $\text{wt}(\eta) := \eta(1) = v_1 + \cdots + v_t$. Given $w \in W$, we define the *initial direction* of η with respect to w as $\iota(\eta, w) := w_t \in W$, where the sequence (w_k) is calculated by the following recursive formula: $w_0 := w, w_k := \min(\sigma_k W_J, \preceq_{w_{k-1}})$ for $k = 1, \dots, t$. Also, we set $\xi(\eta, w) := \sum_{k=1}^t \text{wt}(w_{k-1} \Rightarrow w_k)$ and $\deg_w(\eta) := -\sum_{k=1}^t (1 - b_k)\langle \lambda, \text{wt}(w_{k-1} \Rightarrow w_k) \rangle$.

2.3 The quantum alcove model

We say that two alcoves are adjacent if they are distinct and have a common wall. Given a pair of adjacent alcoves A and B , we write $A \xrightarrow{\beta} B$ for $\beta \in \Phi$ if the common wall is orthogonal to β and β points in the direction from A to B .

Definition 2 ([13]). An alcove path is a sequence of alcoves (A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m) such that A_{j-1} and A_j are adjacent, for $j = 1, \dots, m$. We say that (A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m) is reduced if it has minimal length among all alcove paths from A_0 to A_m .

Let $\lambda \in P$ be any weight, although dominant and anti-dominant λ will play a special role. Let $A_\lambda = A_\circ + \lambda$ be the translation of the fundamental alcove A_\circ by λ .

Definition 3 ([13]). The sequence of roots $\Gamma(\lambda) = (\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_m)$ is called a λ -chain if

$$A_0 = A_\circ \xrightarrow{-\beta_1} A_1 \xrightarrow{-\beta_2} \dots \xrightarrow{-\beta_m} A_m = A_{-\lambda}$$

is a reduced alcove path.

A reduced alcove path $(A_0 = A_\circ, A_1, \dots, A_m = A_{-\lambda})$ can be identified with the corresponding total order on the hyperplanes, to be called λ -hyperplanes, which separate A_\circ from $A_{-\lambda}$. This total order is given by the sequence $H_{\beta_i, -l_i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$, where $H_{\beta_i, -l_i}$ contains the common wall of A_{i-1} and A_i . Note that $\langle \lambda, \beta_i^\vee \rangle \geq 0$, and that the integers l_i , called *heights*, have the following ranges:

$$0 \leq l_i \leq \langle \lambda, \beta_i^\vee \rangle - 1 \text{ if } \beta_i \in \Phi^+, \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \leq l_i \leq \langle \lambda, \beta_i^\vee \rangle \text{ if } \beta_i \in \Phi^-. \quad (2.2)$$

Note also that a λ -chain $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$ determines the corresponding reduced alcove path, so we can identify them as well.

Remark 4. A reduced alcove path corresponds to the choice of a reduced word for the affine Weyl group element sending A_\circ to $A_{-\lambda}$ [13, Lemma 5.3].

For dominant λ , we have a particular choice of a λ -chain, denoted by $\Gamma_{\text{lex}}(\lambda)$, which we call the *lexicographic (lex) λ -chain* (see [13, Proposition 6.7]). For a λ -hyperplane $H_{\beta, -l}$, the rational number $l/\langle \lambda, \beta^\vee \rangle$ is called the *relative height*; by definition, the sequence of relative heights in the lex λ -chain is weakly increasing.

The objects of the quantum alcove model are defined next; for examples, we refer to [9, 12]. Compared with the original construction in [9], here we consider a generalization of this model, by letting λ be any weight, as opposed to only a dominant weight; another aspect of the generalization is making the model depend on a fixed element $w \in W$, such that the initial model corresponds to w being the identity. In addition to w , we fix an arbitrary λ -chain $\Gamma(\lambda) = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m)$, and let $r_i := s_{\beta_i}$, $\hat{r}_i := s_{\beta_i, -l_i}$.

Definition 5 ([9]). A subset $A = \{j_1 < \dots < j_s\}$ of $[m] := \{1, \dots, m\}$ (possibly empty) is a w -admissible subset if we have the following directed path in $\text{QB}(W)$:

$$\Pi(w, A) : \quad w \xrightarrow{|\beta_{j_1}|} wr_{j_1} \xrightarrow{|\beta_{j_2}|} wr_{j_1}r_{j_2} \xrightarrow{|\beta_{j_3}|} \dots \xrightarrow{|\beta_{j_s}|} wr_{j_1}r_{j_2} \dots r_{j_s} =: \text{end}(w, A). \quad (2.3)$$

We let $\mathcal{A}(w, \Gamma(\lambda))$ be the collection of all w -admissible subsets of $[m]$.

We now associate several parameters with the pair (w, A) . The weight of (w, A) is

$$\text{wt}(w, A) := -w\hat{r}_{j_1} \cdots \hat{r}_{j_s}(-\lambda). \quad (2.4)$$

Given the height sequence (l_1, \dots, l_m) above, we define the complementary height sequence $(\tilde{l}_1, \dots, \tilde{l}_m)$ by $\tilde{l}_i := \langle \lambda, \beta_i^\vee \rangle - l_i$. Given $A = \{j_1 < \dots < j_s\} \in \mathcal{A}(w, \Gamma(\lambda))$, let

$$A^- := \{j_i \in A \mid wr_{j_1} \cdots r_{j_{i-1}} > wr_{j_1} \cdots r_{j_{i-1}} r_{j_i}\};$$

in other words, we record the quantum steps in the path $\Pi(w, A)$ defined in (2.3). Let

$$\text{down}(w, A) := \sum_{j \in A^-} |\beta_j|^\vee \in Q^{\vee,+}, \quad \text{height}(w, A) := \sum_{j \in A^-} \text{sgn}(\beta_j) \tilde{l}_j. \quad (2.5)$$

3 Chevalley formulas for the semi-infinite flag manifold

Consider a simply-connected simple algebraic group G over \mathbb{C} , with Borel subgroup $B = TN$, maximal torus T , and unipotent radical N . The full *semi-infinite flag manifold* $\mathbf{Q}_G^{\text{rat}}$ is the reduced (ind-)scheme associated to $G(\mathbb{C}((z)))/(T \cdot N(\mathbb{C}((z))))$; in this paper, we concentrate on its semi-infinite Schubert subvariety $\mathbf{Q}_G := \mathbf{Q}_G(e) \subset \mathbf{Q}_G^{\text{rat}}$ corresponding to the identity element $e \in W_{\text{af}}$, which we also call the semi-infinite flag manifold. The $T \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant K -group $K_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ of \mathbf{Q}_G has a (topological) $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][P]$ -basis of *semi-infinite Schubert classes*, and its multiplicative structure is determined by a *Chevalley formula*, which expresses the tensor product of a semi-infinite Schubert class with the class of a line bundle. In [6] and [14], the Chevalley formulas were given in the cases of a dominant and an anti-dominant weight λ , respectively. These formulas were expressed in terms of the quantum LS path model. We will express them in terms of the quantum alcove model based on the lexicographic λ -chain. The goal is to generalize these formulas for an arbitrary weight λ , and we will also see that an arbitrary λ -chain can be used. Throughout this section, W_λ is the stabilizer of λ , and we use freely the notation in Section 2.

The $T \times \mathbb{C}^*$ -equivariant K -group $K_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][P]$ -submodule of the (Iwahori-) equivariant K -group $K_{I \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_G^{\text{rat}})$ of $\mathbf{Q}_G^{\text{rat}}$, introduced in [6], consisting of all (possibly infinite) linear combinations of the classes $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G(x)}]$ of the structure sheaves of the semi-infinite Schubert varieties $\mathbf{Q}_G(x) (\subset \mathbf{Q}_G)$ with coefficients $a_x \in \mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][P]$ for $x \in W_{\text{af}}^{\geq 0} = W \times Q^{\vee,+}$ such that the sum $\sum_{x \in W_{\text{af}}^{\geq 0}} |a_x|$ of the absolute values $|a_x|$ lies in $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}((q^{-1}))[P]$. Here \mathbb{C}^* acts on \mathbf{Q}_G by loop rotation, and $\mathbb{Z}[P]$ is the group algebra of P , spanned by formal exponentials \mathbf{e}^μ , for $\mu \in P$, with $\mathbf{e}^\mu \mathbf{e}^\nu = \mathbf{e}^{\mu+\nu}$; note that $\mathbb{Z}[P]$ is identified with the representation ring of T . We also consider the $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][P]$ -submodule $K'_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ of $K_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ consisting of all finite linear combinations of the classes $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G(x)}]$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[q, q^{-1}][P]$ for $x \in W_{\text{af}}^{\geq 0}$. The T -equivariant K -groups of \mathbf{Q}_G , denoted by $K_T(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ and $K'_T(\mathbf{Q}_G)$, are obtained from the $K_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ and

$K'_{T \times C^*}(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ above, respectively, by the specialization $q = 1$. Hence the Chevalley formulas in $K_T(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ (for arbitrary weights) and $K'_T(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ (for anti-dominant weights) are obtained from the corresponding one in $K_{T \times C^*}(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ by setting $q = 1$. Note that $K_T(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ turns out to be the $\mathbb{Z}[P]$ -module consisting of all (possibly infinite) linear combinations of the classes $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G(x)}]$, $x \in W_{\text{af}}^{\geq 0}$, with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[P]$; also $K'_T(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}[P]$ -submodule of $K_T(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ consisting of all finite linear combinations of the classes $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G(x)}]$, $x \in W_{\text{af}}^{\geq 0}$, with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}[P]$.

3.1 Chevalley formulas for dominant and anti-dominant weights

We start with the Chevalley formula for dominant weights, which was derived in terms of semi-infinite LS paths in [6], and then restated in [14, Corollary C.3] in terms of quantum LS paths.

Let $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \omega_i$ be a dominant weight. We denote by $\overline{\text{Par}(\lambda)}$ the set of I -tuples of partitions $\chi = (\chi^{(i)})_{i \in I}$ such that $\chi^{(i)}$ is a partition of length at most λ_i for all $i \in I$. For $\chi = (\chi^{(i)})_{i \in I} \in \overline{\text{Par}(\lambda)}$, we set $|\chi| := \sum_{i \in I} |\chi^{(i)}|$, with $|\chi^{(i)}|$ the size of the partition $\chi^{(i)}$. Also set $\iota(\chi) := \sum_{i \in I} \chi_1^{(i)} \alpha_i^\vee \in Q^{\vee,+}$, with $\chi_1^{(i)}$ the first part of the partition $\chi^{(i)}$.

Theorem 6 ([6, 14]). *Let $x = wt_{\xi} \in W_{\text{af}}^{\geq 0} = W \times Q^{\vee,+}$. Then, in $K_{T \times C^*}(\mathbf{Q}_G)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G(-w \circ \lambda)}] \cdot [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G(x)}] = \\
 &= \sum_{\eta \in \text{QLS}(\lambda)} \sum_{\chi \in \overline{\text{Par}(\lambda)}} q^{\deg_w(\eta) - \langle \lambda, \xi \rangle - |\chi|} \mathbf{e}^{\text{wt}(\eta)} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G(\iota(\eta, w)t_{\xi} + \xi(\eta, w) + \iota(\chi))}].
 \end{aligned}$$

We now translate this formula in terms of the quantum alcove model for the lex λ -chain $\Gamma_{\text{lex}}(\lambda)$. To this end, given $w \in W$, we construct a bijection $A \mapsto \eta$ between $\mathcal{A}(w, \Gamma_{\text{lex}}(\lambda))$ and $\text{QLS}(\lambda)$, for which several properties are then proved.

In order to construct the forward map, let $A = \{j_1 < \dots < j_s\}$ be in $\mathcal{A}(w, \Gamma_{\text{lex}}(\lambda))$. The corresponding heights are within the first range in (2.2). Consider the weakly increasing sequence of relative heights $h_i := l_{j_i} / \langle \lambda, \beta_{j_i}^\vee \rangle \in [0, 1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ for $i = 1, \dots, s$. Let $0 < b_2 < \dots < b_t < 1$ be the distinct nonzero values in the set $\{h_1, \dots, h_s\}$, and let $b_1 := 0, b_{t+1} := 1$. For $k = 1, \dots, t$, let $I_k := \{1 \leq i \leq s \mid h_i = b_k\}$.

Recall the path $\Pi(w, A)$ in $\text{QB}(W)$ defined in (2.3). We divide this path into subpaths corresponding to the sets I_k , and record the last element in each subpath; more precisely, for $k = 0, \dots, t$, we define the sequence of Weyl group elements

$$w_k := w \prod_{i \in I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_k}^{\rightarrow} r_{j_i},$$

where the non-commutative product is taken in the increasing order of the indices i , and $w_0 := w$. For $k = 1, \dots, t$, let $\sigma_k := [w_k] \in W^J$. We can now define the forward map as

$$(w, A) \mapsto \eta := ((b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t, b_{t+1}); (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_t)).$$

We will verify below that the image is in $\text{QLS}(\lambda)$.

The inverse map is constructed using the quantum Deodhar lift and the related *shellability property* of the quantum Bruhat graph, due to Brenti-Fomin-Postnikov.

Proposition 7. *The map $A \mapsto \eta$ constructed above is a bijection between $\mathcal{A}(w, \Gamma_{\text{lex}}(\lambda))$ and $\text{QLS}(\lambda)$. It maps the corresponding parameters in the following way:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(w, A) &= \text{wt}(\eta), \quad \text{end}(w, A) = \iota(\eta, w), \\ \text{down}(w, A) &= \zeta(\eta, w), \quad -\text{height}(w, A) = \text{deg}_w(\eta). \end{aligned}$$

We translate the formula in Theorem 6 to the quantum alcove model via Proposition 7.

Theorem 8. *Let λ be a dominant weight, $\Gamma_{\text{lex}}(\lambda)$ the lex λ -chain, and let $x = \text{wt}_{\xi} \in W_{\text{af}}^{\geq 0}$. Then, in $K_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_G)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G}(-w_{\circ}\lambda)] \cdot [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G(x)}] &= \\ \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}(w, \Gamma_{\text{lex}}(\lambda))} \sum_{\chi \in \overline{\text{Par}(\lambda)}} q^{-\text{height}(w, A) - \langle \lambda, \xi \rangle - |\chi|} \mathbf{e}^{\text{wt}(w, A)} &[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G}(\text{end}(w, A)t_{\xi + \text{down}(w, A) + \iota(\chi)})]. \end{aligned}$$

A similar Chevalley formula for an anti-dominant weight λ was derived in [14, Theorem 1], also in terms of quantum LS paths. Using a similar procedure to the one above, we translate it to the quantum alcove model, as stated in Theorem 9. We work with the lex λ -chain $\Gamma_{\text{lex}}(\lambda)$, defined as the reverse of the lex $(-\lambda)$ -chain; note that the alcove path corresponding to the former (ending at $A_{\circ} - \lambda$) is the translation by $-\lambda$ of the alcove path corresponding to the latter (ending at $A_{\circ} + \lambda$).

Theorem 9. *Let λ be an anti-dominant weight, $\Gamma_{\text{lex}}(\lambda)$ the lex λ -chain, and let $x = \text{wt}_{\xi} \in W_{\text{af}}^{\geq 0}$. Then, in $K'_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_G) \subset K_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_G)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G}(-w_{\circ}\lambda)] \cdot [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G(x)}] &= \\ \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}(w, \Gamma_{\text{lex}}(\lambda))} (-1)^{|A|} q^{-\text{height}(w, A) - \langle \lambda, \xi \rangle} \mathbf{e}^{\text{wt}(w, A)} &[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G}(\text{end}(w, A)t_{\xi + \text{down}(w, A)})]. \end{aligned}$$

3.2 The Chevalley formula for an arbitrary weight

We now exhibit the Chevalley formula for an arbitrary weight $\lambda = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \omega_i$. To state the formula, let $\overline{\text{Par}(\lambda)}$ denote the set of I -tuples of partitions $\chi = (\chi^{(i)})_{i \in I}$ such that $\chi^{(i)}$ is a partition of length at most $\max(\lambda_i, 0)$.

Theorem 10. Let λ be an arbitrary weight, $\Gamma(\lambda)$ an arbitrary λ -chain, and let $x = wt_{\xi} \in W_{\text{af}}^{\geq 0}$. Then, in $K_{T \times \mathbb{C}^*}(\mathbf{Q}_G)$, we have

$$[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G}(-w \circ \lambda)] \cdot [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G(x)}] = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}(w, \Gamma(\lambda))} \sum_{\chi \in \overline{\text{Par}(\lambda)}} (-1)^{n(A)} q^{-\text{height}(w, A) - \langle \lambda, \xi \rangle - |\chi|} \mathbf{e}^{\text{wt}(w, A)} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G(\text{end}(w, A)t_{\xi} + \text{down}(w, A) + t(\chi))}],$$

where $n(A)$, for $A = \{j_1 < \dots < j_s\}$, is the number of negative roots in $\{\beta_{j_1}, \dots, \beta_{j_s}\}$.

Example 11. Assume that \mathfrak{g} is of type A_2 , and $\lambda = \omega_1 - \omega_2$. Then, $\Gamma(\lambda) := (\alpha_1, -\alpha_2)$ is a λ -chain of roots. Assume that $w = s_1 = s_{\alpha_1}$. In this case, we see that $\mathcal{A}(s_1, \Gamma(\lambda)) = \{\emptyset, \{1\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}\}$, and we have the following table.

A	$n(A)$	$\text{height}(s_1, A)$	$\text{wt}(s_1, A)$	$\text{end}(s_1, A)$	$\text{down}(s_1, A)$
\emptyset	0	0	$s_1 \lambda$	s_1	0
$\{1\}$	0	1	λ	e	α_1^\vee
$\{2\}$	1	0	$s_1 \lambda$	$s_1 s_2$	0
$\{1, 2\}$	1	1	λ	s_2	α_1^\vee

Also, we can identify $\overline{\text{Par}(\lambda)}$ with $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Therefore, we obtain

$$[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G}(-w \circ \lambda)] \cdot [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G(s_1 t_{\xi})}] = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} q^{-\langle \lambda, \xi \rangle - m} \left\{ \underbrace{\mathbf{e}^{s_1 \lambda} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G(s_1 t_{\xi + m \alpha_1^\vee})}]}_{A=\emptyset} + \underbrace{q^{-1} \mathbf{e}^{\lambda} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G(t_{\xi + \alpha_1^\vee + m \alpha_1^\vee})}]}_{A=\{1\}} \right. \\ \left. + \underbrace{(-1) \mathbf{e}^{s_1 \lambda} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G(s_1 s_2 t_{\xi + m \alpha_1^\vee})}]}_{A=\{2\}} + \underbrace{(-1) q^{-1} \mathbf{e}^{\lambda} [\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G(s_2 t_{\xi + \alpha_1^\vee + m \alpha_1^\vee})}]}_{A=\{1, 2\}} \right\}.$$

4 The quantum K -theory of flag manifolds

Y.-P. Lee defined the (small) *quantum K -theory* of a smooth projective variety X , denoted by $QK(X)$ [8]. This is a deformation of the ordinary K -ring of X , analogous to the relation between quantum cohomology and ordinary cohomology. The deformed product is defined in terms of certain generalizations of *Gromov-Witten invariants* (i.e., the structure constants in quantum cohomology), called *quantum K -invariants of Gromov-Witten type*.

In order to describe the (small) T -equivariant quantum K -algebra $QK_T(G/B)$, for the finite-dimensional flag manifold G/B , we associate a variable Q_k to each simple coroot α_k^\vee , and let $\mathbb{Z}[Q] := \mathbb{Z}[Q_1, \dots, Q_r]$. Given $\xi = d_1 \alpha_1^\vee + \dots + d_r \alpha_r^\vee$ in $Q^{\vee, +}$, let

$Q^\xi := Q_1^{d_1} \cdots Q_r^{d_r}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}[P][Q] := \mathbb{Z}[P] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[Q]$, where the group algebra $\mathbb{Z}[P]$ of P was defined at the beginning of Section 3. By the finiteness theorem of Anderson-Chen-Tseng ([1]), we can define $QK_T(G/B)$ to be the $\mathbb{Z}[P][Q]$ -module $K_T(G/B) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[P]} \mathbb{Z}[P][Q] \subset K_T(G/B) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[P]} \mathbb{Z}[P][[Q]]$, where $\mathbb{Z}[P][[Q]] := \mathbb{Z}[P] \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[[Q]]$. The algebra $QK_T(G/B)$ has a $\mathbb{Z}[P][[Q]]$ -basis given by the classes $[\mathcal{O}^w]$ of the structure sheaves of the (opposite) Schubert varieties $X^w \subset G/B$ of codimension $\ell(w)$, for $w \in W$.

It is proved in [4] (see also [5]) that there exists a $\mathbb{Z}[P]$ -module isomorphism from $QK_T(G/B)$ onto $K'_T(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ that respects the quantum multiplication in $QK_T(G/B)$ and the tensor product in $K'_T(\mathbf{Q}_G)$; in particular, it respects the quantum multiplication with the class of the line bundle $[\mathcal{O}_{G/B}(-\omega_k)]$ and the tensor product with the class of the line bundle $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G}(w \circ \omega_k)]$, for $k \in I$. Here we remark that in order to translate the Chevalley formula in $K_T(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ for fundamental weights into the one in the quantum K -theory of G/B , we need to consider $K_T(G/B) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[P]} \mathbb{Z}[P][[Q]]$; for example, in type A_{n-1} , the tensor product in $K_T(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ with the class $[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G}(-w \circ \varepsilon_k)]$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$ corresponds to the quantum multiplication with the class $\frac{1}{1-Q_k}[\mathcal{O}_{G/B}(\varepsilon_k)]$, where $\varepsilon_k := \omega_k - \omega_{k-1}$, with $\omega_0 := 0$, $\omega_n := 0$, and $Q_n := 0$. Also, note that the above isomorphism sends each (opposite) Schubert class $\mathbf{e}^\mu[\mathcal{O}^w]Q^\xi$ in $QK_T(G/B)$ to the corresponding semi-infinite Schubert class $\mathbf{e}^{-\mu}[\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{Q}_G}(w \circ \xi)]$ in $K'_T(\mathbf{Q}_G)$ for $w \in W$, $\xi \in Q^{\vee,+}$, and $\mu \in P$. These results and the formula in Theorem 9 imply an important conjecture in [13]: the Chevalley formula for $QK_T(G/B)$; we also use the equality $[\mathcal{O}^{s_k}] = 1 - \mathbf{e}^{-\omega_k}[\mathcal{O}_{G/B}(-\omega_k)]$ in $K_T(G/B)$.

Theorem 12. *Let $k \in I$, and fix a $(-\omega_k)$ -chain of roots $\Gamma(-\omega_k)$. Then, in $QK_T(G/B)$, we have*

$$[\mathcal{O}^{s_k}] \cdot [\mathcal{O}^w] = (1 - \mathbf{e}^{w(\omega_k) - \omega_k})[\mathcal{O}^w] + \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}(w, \Gamma(-\omega_k)) \setminus \{\emptyset\}} (-1)^{|A|-1} Q^{\text{down}(w, A)} \mathbf{e}^{-\omega_k - \text{wt}(w, A)} [\mathcal{O}^{\text{end}(w, A)}].$$

Let us now turn to the type A_{n-1} flag manifold $Fl_n = SL_n/B$ and its (non-equivariant) quantum K -theory $QK(Fl_n)$. In [10], the first author and Maeno defined the so-called *quantum Grothendieck polynomials*. According to [10, Theorem 6.4], whose proof is based on intricate combinatorics, the mentioned polynomials multiply precisely as stated by the above Chevalley formula; note that in the (non-equivariant) K -theory $K(G/B)$, the (opposite) Schubert class $[\mathcal{O}^w]$ is identical to the class of the structure sheaf of the Schubert variety $X_{w \circ w} \subset G/B$ of codimension $\ell(w)$ for $w \in W$. As this formula determines the multiplicative structure of $QK(Fl_n)$ [2], we derive the following result, settling the main conjecture in [10].

Theorem 13. *The quantum Grothendieck polynomials represent Schubert classes in $QK(Fl_n)$.*

Given a *degree* $d = (d_1, \dots, d_{n-1})$, let $N_{s_k}^{v, d}$ be the coefficient of $Q_1^{d_1} \cdots Q_{n-1}^{d_{n-1}}[\mathcal{O}^v]$ in the expansion of $[\mathcal{O}^{s_k}] \cdot [\mathcal{O}^w]$ in $QK(Fl_n)$ for $k \in I = \{1, \dots, n-1\}$. Based on Theorem 12

and results on a charge statistic due to the first author, we proved the following theorem, which completely determines the Chevalley coefficients $N_{s_k, w}^{v, d}$.

Theorem 14. *For every k, v and parabolic coset $\sigma W_{I \setminus \{k\}}$ not containing v , there are unique d and $w \in \sigma W_{I \setminus \{k\}}$ (they can be constructed explicitly), such that $N_{s_k, w}^{v, d} = \pm 1$ (the sign is as in Theorem 12). All the other coefficients $N_{s_k, w}^{v, d}$ are 0. Moreover, in the expansion of $[\mathcal{O}^{s_k}] \cdot [\mathcal{O}^w]$ there is a minimum and a maximum degree (with respect to the componentwise order), which are constructed explicitly.*

5 The quantum K -theory of partial flag manifolds

As an application of Theorem 12, we give a Chevalley formula for partial flag manifolds corresponding to minuscule weights in types A, B, D , and E ; also, in type C , we give a Chevalley formula for partial flag manifolds corresponding to all fundamental weights.

Let $k \in I$ be such that ω_k is a minuscule fundamental weight in types A, B, D , and E ; also, let $k \in I$ be arbitrary in type C . Let $P_J \supset B$ be the maximal (standard) parabolic subgroup of G associated to the subset $J := I \setminus \{k\}$. The T -equivariant quantum K -theory $QK_T(G/P_J)$ of the partial flag manifold G/P_J is defined as $K_T(G/P_J) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[P]} \mathbb{Z}[P][Q_k]$, where $K_T(G/P_J)$ is the T -equivariant K -theory of G/P_J , and $\mathbb{Z}[Q_k]$ is the polynomial ring in the single (Novikov) variable $Q_k = Q^{\alpha_k^\vee}$ corresponding to the simple coroot α_k^\vee . The (opposite) Schubert classes $[\mathcal{O}_J^y]$, for $y \in W^J$, form a $\mathbb{Z}[P][Q_k]$ -basis. It is proved in [5] that there exists a $\mathbb{Z}[P]$ -module surjection Φ_J from $QK_T(G/B)$ to $QK_T(G/P_J)$ such that $\Phi_J([\mathcal{O}^w]) = [\mathcal{O}_J^{[w]}]$ for each $w \in W$, and $\Phi_J(Q^\xi) = Q^{[\xi]^J}$ for each $\xi \in Q^{\vee, +}$, where $[\xi]^J := c_k \alpha_k^\vee$ for $\xi = \sum_{i \in I} c_i \alpha_i^\vee \in Q^{\vee, +}$. Also, it is proved in [5] that $\Phi_J([\mathcal{O}_{G/B}(-\omega_k)]) = [\mathcal{O}_{G/P_J}(-\omega_k)]$. As is shown in [2], the quantum multiplication in $QK_T(G/P_J)$ is uniquely determined by its $\mathbb{Z}[P]$ -module structure and the quantum multiplication with the class of the line bundle $[\mathcal{O}_{G/P_J}(-\omega_k)]$. Therefore, the $\mathbb{Z}[P]$ -module surjection Φ_J respects the quantum multiplications in $QK_T(G/B)$ and $QK_T(G/P_J)$.

Based on the facts above, we obtain a formula for the quantum multiplication with $[\mathcal{O}_{G/P_J}(-\omega_k)]$ in $QK_T(G/P_J)$ from Theorem 12 in $QK_T(G/B)$ by applying Φ_J ; this argument works for an arbitrary fundamental weight ω_k of G of any type. However, upon applying Φ_J , there are many terms to be cancelled in the corresponding formula in $QK_T(G/P_J)$. For a minuscule fundamental weight ω_k of G of type A, B, D , or E , and for an arbitrary fundamental weight ω_k of G of type C , we cancel out all these terms via a sign-reversing involution, and obtain a cancellation-free formula. Below we give such a formula in type C , which is not included in [2]. For each $k \in I$, consider a specific $(-\omega_k)$ -chain $\Gamma(k)$ whose initial segment $\Gamma^1(k)$ is of the following form:

$$\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2; \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_3, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3; \dots; \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_k, \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_k, \dots, \varepsilon_{k-1} + \varepsilon_k.$$

For a w -admissible subset $A \in \mathcal{A}(w, \Gamma(k))$, with $w \in W^J$, we set $A^1 := A \cap \Gamma^1(k)$.

Theorem 15. *Let G be of type C_r , and $k \in I$ arbitrary. Then, for a given $w \in W^J$, we have the following cancellation-free formula in $QK_T(G/P_J)$, where $\mathcal{A}_{\triangleleft}(w, \Gamma(k))$ consists of all the w -admissible subsets A with $\Pi(w, A)$ a saturated chain in Bruhat order:*

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{O}_J^w] \cdot [\mathcal{O}_{G/P_J}(-\omega_k)] &= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}_{\triangleleft}(w, \Gamma(k))} (-1)^{|A|} \mathbf{e}^{\text{wt}(w, A)} [\mathcal{O}_J^{\text{end}(w, A)}] \\ &\quad - Q_k \sum_{\substack{A \in \mathcal{A}_{\triangleleft}(w, \Gamma(k)) \\ \text{end}(w, A^1) \geq [s_\theta]}} (-1)^{|A|} \mathbf{e}^{\text{wt}(w, A)} [\mathcal{O}_J^{\text{end}(w, A) s_{2\varepsilon_k}}]. \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] D. Anderson, L. Chen, and H.-H. Tseng. “On the finiteness of quantum K -theory of a homogeneous space”. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2020). [DOI](#).
- [2] A. Buch, P.-E. Chaput, L. Mihailescu, and N. Perrin. “A Chevalley formula for the equivariant quantum K -theory of cominuscule varieties”. *Algebraic Geom.* **5** (2018), pp. 568–595.
- [3] S. Fomin, S. Gelfand, and A. Postnikov. “Quantum Schubert polynomials”. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **10** (1997), pp. 565–596.
- [4] S. Kato. “Loop structure on equivariant K -theory of semi-infinite flag manifolds”. 2018. [arXiv:1805.01718](#).
- [5] S. Kato. “On quantum K -group of partial flag manifolds”. 2019. [arXiv:1906.09343](#).
- [6] S. Kato, S. Naito, and D. Sagaki. “Equivariant K -theory of semi-infinite flag manifolds and Pieri-Chevalley formula”. *Duke Math. J.* **169** (2020), pp. 2421–2500.
- [7] T. Lam, C. Li, L. Mihailescu, and M. Shimozono. “A conjectural Peterson isomorphism in K -theory”. *J. Algebra* **531** (2018), pp. 326–343.
- [8] Y.-P. Lee. “Quantum K -theory I: Foundations”. *Duke Math. J.* **121** (2004), pp. 389–424.
- [9] C. Lenart and A. Lubovsky. “A generalization of the alcove model and its applications”. *J. Algebraic Combin.* **41** (2015), pp. 751–783.
- [10] C. Lenart and T. Maeno. “Quantum Grothendieck polynomials”. 2006. [arXiv:math/0608232](#).
- [11] C. Lenart, S. Naito, D. Sagaki, A. Schilling, and M. Shimozono. “A uniform model for Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals I: Lifting the parabolic quantum Bruhat graph”. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **7** (2015), pp. 1848–1901.
- [12] C. Lenart, S. Naito, D. Sagaki, A. Schilling, and M. Shimozono. “A uniform model for Kirillov-Reshetikhin crystals II: Path models and $P = X$ ”. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* **14** (2017), pp. 4259–4319.
- [13] C. Lenart and A. Postnikov. “Affine Weyl groups in K -theory and representation theory”. *Int. Math. Res. Not.* (2007). Art. ID rnm038, pp. 1–65.
- [14] S. Naito, D. Orr, and D. Sagaki. “Pieri-Chevalley formula for anti-dominant weights in the equivariant K -theory of semi-infinite flag manifolds”. *Adv. Math.* **387** (2021). [DOI](#).