*Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire* **85B** (2021) Article #25, 12 pp.

## Bijection between trees in Stanley character formula and factorizations of a cycle

Karolina Wojtyniak<sup>\*1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science, ul. Chopina 12/18, 87-100 Toruń, Poland

**Abstract.** Stanley and Féray gave a formula for the irreducible character of the symmetric group related to a *multi-rectangular Young diagram*. This formula shows that the character is a polynomial in the multi-rectangular coordinates and gives an explicit combinatorial interpretation for its coefficients in terms of counting certain decorated maps (i.e., graphs drawn on surfaces). In the current paper we concentrate on the coefficients of the top-degree monomials in the Stanley character polynomial which corresponds to counting certain decorated plane trees. We give an explicit bijection between such trees and minimal factorizations of a cycle.

**Keywords:** plane trees, minimal factorizations of a cycle, bijection, irreducible characters of the symmetric groups

## 1 Motivations

### 1.1 Normalized characters and Stanley polynomials

For a Young diagram  $\lambda$  with  $N = |\lambda|$  boxes and a partition  $\pi \vdash k$  we denote by

$$\operatorname{Ch}_{\pi}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} N(N-1)(N-2)\cdots(N-k+1) \ \frac{\chi^{\lambda}(\pi \cup 1^{N-k})}{\chi^{\lambda}(1^{N})} & \text{for } k \leq N, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

the *normalized irreducible character of the symmetric group*, where  $\chi^{\lambda}(\rho)$  denotes the value of the usual irreducible character of the symmetric group which corresponds to the Young diagram  $\lambda$ , evaluated on any permutation with the cycle decomposition given by the partition  $\rho$ . One of the goals of *asymptotic representation theory* is to understand the behavior of such normalized characters in the scaling when the partition  $\pi$  is fixed and the number of the boxes of the Young diagram  $\lambda$  tends to infinity.

<sup>\*</sup>karolina5284@mat.umk.pl



**Figure 1:** Multi-rectangular Young diagram  $\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q} = (2,3,1) \times (5,4,2)$ .

For a pair of sequences of non-negative integers  $\mathbf{p} = (p_1, ..., p_\ell)$  and  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, ..., q_\ell)$  such that  $q_1 \ge \cdots \ge q_\ell$  we consider the *multi-rectangular Young diagram*  $\mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q}$ , see Figure 1. Stanley [7, 8] initiated investigation of the normalized characters evaluated on such multi-rectangular Young diagrams and proved that

$$(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) \mapsto \mathrm{Ch}_{\pi} \left( \mathbf{p} \times \mathbf{q} \right) \tag{1.1}$$

is a polynomial (called now *the Stanley character polynomial*) in the variables  $p_1, \ldots, p_\ell$ and  $q_1, \ldots, q_\ell$ . He also gave a conjectural formula (proved later by Féray [3]) which gives a combinatorial interpretation to the coefficients of this polynomial in terms of certain *maps* (i.e., graphs drawn on surfaces). Stanley also explained how investigation of its coefficients might shed some light on the *Kerov positivity conjecture*, see [6] for more context.

Despite recent progress in this field (for the proof of the Kerov positivity conjecture see [2, 1]) there are several other positivity conjectures related to the normalized characters  $Ch_{\pi}$  that remain open (see [4, Conjecture 2.4] and [5]) and which suggest the existence of some additional hidden combinatorial structures behind such characters. We expect that such positivity problems are more amenable to bijective methods and the current article is the first step in this direction.

We will concentrate on the special case when  $\pi = (k)$  consists of a single part. In this case the degree of the Stanley polynomial (1.1) turns out to be equal to k + 1. We will also concentrate on the combinatorial interpretation of the coefficients of the Stanley polynomial (1.1) standing at monomials of this maximal degree k + 1 which turns out to be related to maps of genus zero, i.e., plane trees. Nevertheless, the methods which we present in the current paper for this special case are applicable in much bigger generality and in a forthcoming full version of this paper [9] we discuss the applications to maps with higher genera.



**Figure 2:** An example of a Stanley tree of type (3,5,3). The circled numbers indicate the labels of the black vertices. The black numbers indicate the labels of the edges. The colors (blue for 1, red for 2, green for 3) indicate the values of the function f on white vertices.

#### 1.2 Stanley trees

Let *T* be a *bicolored* plane tree, i.e., a plane tree with each vertex painted black or white and with edges connecting the vertices of opposite colors. We assume that the tree has *k* edges labelled with the numbers 1, ..., k. We also assume that it has *n* black vertices labelled with the numbers 1, ..., n. We define the function *f* which to each white vertex associates the maximum of the labels of its black neighbors. We will say that *T* is a *Stanley tree of type* 

$$(|f^{-1}(1)|,\ldots,|f^{-1}(n)|),$$

i.e., the type gives the information about the number of the white vertices for which the function *f* takes a specified value. Figure 2 gives an example of a Stanley tree of type (3,5,3). By  $\mathcal{T}_{b_1,\ldots,b_n}$  we denote the set of Stanley trees of type  $(b_1,\ldots,b_n)$ .

### 1.3 Coefficients of top-degree p-squarefree monomials

It turns out that in the analysis of Stanley polynomials it is enough to restrict attention to **p**-squarefree monomials, i.e., the monomials of the form  $p_1 \cdots p_n q_1^{b_1} \cdots q_n^{b_n}$ , see [1].

**Lemma 1.1.** For all integers  $b_1, ..., b_n \ge 0$  such that  $b_1 + \cdots + b_n + n = k + 1$  the **p**-squarefree coefficient of the Stanley character polynomial is given by

$$\left[p_1\cdots p_n q_1^{b_1}\cdots q_n^{b_n}
ight]\operatorname{Ch}_k\left(\mathbf{p} imes \mathbf{q}
ight)=\pm rac{1}{(k-1)!}\left|\mathcal{T}_{b_1,\dots,b_n}
ight|$$

In order to be concise we will not discuss the sign on the right-hand side. The above lemma is a special case of a general formula conjectured by Stanley [8] and proved by Féray [3] and therefore we refer to it as Stanley–Féray character formula.

#### **1.4** Minimal factorizations of long cycles

We fix an integer  $k \ge 1$  and denote by  $\mathfrak{S}_k$  the corresponding symmetric group. We say that a permutation  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_k$  is a *cycle of length*  $\ell$  if it is of the form  $\pi = (a_1, \ldots, a_\ell)$ .

Let  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \ge 2$  be integers. We say that a tuple  $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n)$  is a *factorization of a* long cycle of type  $(a_1, \ldots, a_n)$  if  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_n \in \mathfrak{S}_k$  are such that the product  $\sigma_1 \cdots \sigma_n$  is a cycle of length k and  $\sigma_i$  is a cycle of length  $a_i$  for each choice of  $i \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ . In the current paper we concentrate on *minimal factorizations* which correspond to the special case when

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (a_i - 1) = k - 1.$$

By  $C_{a_1,...,a_n}$  we denote the set of such minimal factorizations of a long cycle of type  $(a_1,...,a_n)$ .

# 2 The main result: bijection between Stanley trees and minimal factorizations of long cycles

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $n \ge 2$  and  $b_1, \ldots, b_n \ge 1$  be integers. We define the integers  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$  by

$$a_i = \begin{cases} b_i + 1 & \text{if } i \in \{1, n\}, \\ b_i + 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the algorithm presented below gives a bijection between the set  $C_{a_1,...,a_n}$  and the set  $T_{b_1,...,b_n}$ .

## 2.1 The first step: from a factorization to a tree with repeated edge labels

In the first step of our algorithm to a given minimal factorization  $(\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n) \in C_{a_1,...,a_n}$ we will associate a bicolored plane tree  $T_1$  with labelled black vertices and labelled edges. The remaining part of the current section is devoted to the details of this construction.

#### **2.1.1** The tree *T*<sub>0</sub>

We start by creating a tree  $T_0$  with n black vertices labelled 1, ..., n and with k white vertices labelled 1, ..., k. Each black vertex i corresponds to the cycle  $\sigma_i = (\sigma_{i,1}, ..., \sigma_{i,a_i})$  and so we connect the black vertex i with the white vertices  $\sigma_{i,1}, ..., \sigma_{i,a_i}$ .

In order to give this tree the structure of a *plane tree* we need to specify the cyclic order of the edges around each vertex. We declare that going clockwise around the black vertex *i* the cyclic order of the labels of the white neighbours should correspond to



**Figure 3:** (a) The output  $T_0$  of the first step of the algorithm applied to the minimal factorization (2.1). The spine is drawn in red. (b) The tree  $T_1$  which is the starting point of the second step of our algorithm.

the cyclic order  $\sigma_{i,1}, \ldots, \sigma_{i,a_i}$ . The cyclic order around the white vertices is more involved and we present it in the following.

The path between the two black vertices with the labels 1 and *n* will be called *the spine*; on Figure 3a it is drawn in red. There will be two separate rules which determine the cyclic order of the edges around a given white vertex, depending whether the vertex belongs to the spine or not.

For each white vertex which is *not* on the spine we declare that going counterclockwise around it, the labels of its black neighbors should be arranged in the increasing way (for example, the neighbors of the white vertex 6 on Figure 3a listed in the counterclockwise order are 3,4,6).

For each white vertex v which belongs to the spine there are exactly two black neighbors which belong to the spine; we denote their labels by  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  with  $x_1 < x_2$ . Going counterclockwise around v, all non-spine edges should be inserted after  $x_1$  and before  $x_2$ . Their order is determined by the requirement that—after neglecting the vertex  $x_2$ —the cyclic counterclockwise order of the remaining vertices should be increasing. For example, for the white vertex 1 on Figure 3a we have  $x_1 = 7$ ,  $x_2 = 11$  and the counterclockwise cyclic order of the non- $x_2$  black neighbors is 4,7,13.

For example, Figure 3a gives the tree  $T_0$  which corresponds to the minimal factoriza-

tion  $(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{14}) \in \mathcal{C}_{2,3^{12},2}$  with

$$\sigma_{1} = (2,3), \quad \sigma_{2} = (13,14,15), \quad \sigma_{3} = (6,10,9), \quad \sigma_{4} = (1,26,6), \quad \sigma_{5} = (11,12,15),$$
  

$$\sigma_{6} = (6,7,8), \quad \sigma_{7} = (1,15,16) \quad \sigma_{8} = (21,24,27), \quad \sigma_{9} = (22,25,23), \quad \sigma_{10} = (16,18,19),$$
  

$$\sigma_{11} = (2,1,20), \quad \sigma_{12} = (20,21,22), \quad \sigma_{13} = (1,4,5), \quad \sigma_{14} = (16,17). \quad (2.1)$$

The product  $\sigma = \sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{14}$  is a cycle of length 27 and

$$\sigma = (11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 26, 10, 9, 6, 7, 8, 1, 4, 5, 20, 24, 27, 21, 25, 23, 22, 3, 2).$$
(2.2)

#### **2.1.2** Information about the initial tree *T*<sub>0</sub>

In the current section we will define certain sets and functions which describe the shape of the initial tree  $T_0$ . In the language of programmers: we will create variables  $B_x$ ,  $p_x$ ,  $S_B$ ,  $S_E$  which will not change their values during the execution of the algorithm.

For each white vertex label  $x \in \{1, ..., k\}$  we denote by  $B_x \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  the set of labels of its black neighbors. We can orient the non-spine edges of the tree so that the arrows point towards the spine. For a white non-spine vertex label  $x \in \{1, ..., k\}$  we denote by  $p_x \in \{1, ..., n\}$  the label of the black neighbor of the white vertex x which is in the direction of the spine. By  $S_B \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}$  we denote the set of the labels of black spine vertices. By  $S_E \subseteq \{1, ..., k\}$  we denote the set of labels of white spine vertices.

For the example from Figure 3a we have:

$$B_{1} = \{4,7,11,13\}, \quad B_{2} = \{1,11\}, \quad B_{6} = \{3,4,6\}, \quad B_{16} = \{7,14,10\}, \\B_{15} = \{2,5,7\}, \quad B_{20} = \{11,12\}, \quad B_{22} = \{9,12\}, \quad B_{21} = \{8,12\}, \\p_{6} = 4, \quad p_{15} = 7, \quad p_{20} = 11, \quad p_{22} = 12, \quad p_{21} = 12, \\S_{B} = \{1,7,11,14\}, \quad S_{E} = \{1,2,16\}.$$
(2.3)

Let *L* be the sequence of labels of the vertices which are white, non-spine, and nonleaf, arranged in the following order. We start from the unique edge connecting the black vertex labelled 1 with a white spine vertex from the set  $S_E$ . Then we traverse the tree holding it with the right hand and order the vertices in *L* according to the time of the first visit ("depth-first search"). For example, for the tree  $T_0$  shown on Figure 3a we have L = (6, 15, 20, 21, 22).

We denote by  $T_1$  the tree  $T_0$  in which each edge is labelled by its white endpoint and then all labels of the white vertices are removed, see Figure 3b. The tree  $T_1$  is the output of the first step of the algorithm.



**Figure 4:** (a) The initial configuration of the tree. (b) The output of  $J_{x,y}$ .

## 2.2 The second step: from a tree with repeated edge labels to a tree with unique edge labels

The starting point of the second step of our algorithm is the bicolored plane tree  $T_1$  with black vertices labelled 1,..., n and with edges labelled with the numbers 1,..., k; note that the edge labels are repeated. Our goal in this second step of the algorithm is to transform the tree so that the edge labels are not repeated. As an auxiliary tool we will use two operations, called *jump* and *exchange*.

#### **2.2.1** The building blocks of the second step: jump $\mathbb{J}_{x,y}$

**The input of**  $J_{x,y}$ . The operation  $J_{x,y}$  takes as an input a bicolored tree *T* together with a choice of two of black vertices *x*, *y* which are assumed to be at the distance 2, see Figure 4a. We denote their common white neightbor by  $v_1$ .

We denote by *j* the black neighbor of  $v_1$  which—going clockwise around the vertex  $v_1$ —is immediately after *y* (note that it might happen that j = x). We also assume that the two edges which form the path between *y* and *j* are labelled by the same symbol denoted by  $E_1$ , see Figure 4a.

We also assume that the black vertex y has degree at least 3; we denote the edges around the vertex y by  $E_1, \ldots, E_d$  (going clockwise, starting from the edge  $E_1$ ) with  $d \ge 3$ . We denote the white endpoint of the edge  $E_i$  by  $v_i$ .

The output of  $J_{x,y}$  is defined as follows. We remove the three edges connecting y with  $v_1, v_2, v_3$ . We create a new white vertex denoted w and we connect it to the vertex j by a new edge which we label  $E_2$ . More specifically, going clockwise around j the newly created edge  $E_2$  is immediately after the edge  $E_1$ .



**Figure 5:** (a) The initial configuration of the tree. (b) The output of  $\mathbb{E}_{x,y}$ .

We also connect the vertex w to the vertex y by a new edge which we label  $E_3$ . The position of the edge  $E_3$  in the vertex y replaces the three edges which were removed from y.

We merge the vertices  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  to the vertex w. More specifically, the clockwise cyclic order of the edges around the vertex w is as follows: the edge  $E_2$ , then the edges from the vertex  $V_3$  (listed in the clockwise order starting from the removed edge  $E_3$ ; on Figure 4 these edges are marked red), the edge  $E_3$ , then the edges from the vertex  $v_2$  (listed in the clockwise order starting from the removed edge  $E_2$ ; on Figure 4 these edges are marked blue), see Figure 4b.

#### **2.2.2** The building blocks of the second step: exchange $\mathbb{E}_{x,y}$

**The input of**  $\mathbb{E}_{x,y}$ . The operation  $\mathbb{E}_{x,y}$  takes as an input a bicolored tree *T* together with a choice of two of black vertices *x*, *y* which are assumed to be at the distance 2, see Figure 5a. We denote their common white neighbor by  $v_1$ . We also assume that the black vertex *y* has degree at least 2; we denote the edges around the vertex *y* by  $E_1, \ldots, E_d$  (going clockwise, starting from the edge  $E_1$ ) with  $d \ge 2$ . We denote the white endpoint of the edge  $E_i$  by  $v_i$ .

The output of  $\mathbb{E}_{x,y}$  is defined as follows. We remove the edge between y and  $v_2$ . The label of the edge between  $v_1$  and y is changed to  $E_2$ . Then we merge the vertex  $v_2$  with the vertex  $v_1$  in such a way that going clockwise around  $v_1$  the newly attached edges are immediately before the edge  $E_2$  (these edges are marked blue on Figure 5).



**Figure 6:** (a) The output of  $\mathbb{E}_{1,11}$ . (b) The output of  $\mathbb{E}_{7,4}$ .



**Figure 7:** (a) The output of  $\mathbb{E}_{7,11}$ . (b) The output of  $\mathbb{J}_{7,13}$ .

#### 2.2.3 Spine treatment

For each  $i \in S_E$  we apply the following procedure (the final output will not depend on the order in which we choose the elements of  $S_E$ ). Since the spine in  $T_0$  is a path, the intersection  $B_i \cap S_B$  corresponds to the labels of the two black spine neighbors of the white vertex *i* in the tree  $T_0$ . We denote  $B_i \cap S_B = \{x, y_1\}$  with  $x < y_1$ . We run the following loop over  $y \in B_i \setminus \{x\}$  (with the ascending order). If  $y = y_1$  or y < x then we apply  $\mathbb{E}_{x,y}$ ; otherwise we apply  $\mathbb{J}_{x,y}$ .

*Example.* We continue the example from Figure 3b. We recall that  $S_E = \{1, 2, 16\}$ .

For i = 2 we have x = 1 and  $y_1 = 11$ . Since  $B_2 \setminus \{1\} = \{11\}$ , the internal loop is applied once with y = 11. As a result we apply  $\mathbb{E}_{1,11}$ , see Figure 6a.

For i = 1 we have x = 7 and  $y_1 = 11$ . Since  $B_1 \setminus \{7\} = \{4, 11, 13\}$  the loop runs over:



**Figure 8:** (a) The output of  $\mathbb{J}_{7,10}$ . (b) The output of  $\mathbb{E}_{7,14}$ .

- y = 4 and we apply  $\mathbb{E}_{7,4}$ , see Figure 6b; y = 11 and we apply  $\mathbb{E}_{7,11}$ , see Figure 7a; y = 13 and we apply  $\mathbb{J}_{7,13}$ , see Figure 7b.
- For i = 16 we have x = 7 and  $y_1 = 14$ . Since  $B_{16} \setminus \{7\} = \{10, 14\}$  the loop runs over: • y = 10 and we apply  $\mathbb{J}_{7,10}$ , see Figure 8a; • y = 14 and we apply  $\mathbb{E}_{7,14}$ , see Figure 8b.

#### 2.2.4 Rib treatment

For each successive edge label *i* from the set *L* we apply the following procedure (the final output depends on the order in which we choose the label of edges).

We run the following loop over  $y \in B_i \setminus \{p_i\}$  (with the ascending order). If  $y < p_i$  we apply  $\mathbb{E}_{p_i,y}$ ; otherwise we apply  $\mathbb{J}_{p_i,y}$ .

*Example.* We continue the example from Figure 8b. We recall that L = (6, 15, 20, 21, 22).

For i = 6 we have  $p_6 = 4$ . Since  $B_6 \setminus \{4\} = \{3, 6\}$  the loop runs over: • y = 3 and we apply  $\mathbb{E}_{4,3}$ , see Figure 9a; • y = 6 and we apply  $\mathbb{J}_{4,6}$ , see Figure 9a.

For i = 15 we have  $p_{15} = 7$ . Since  $B_{15} \setminus \{7\} = \{2, 5\}$  the loop runs over: • y = 2 and we apply  $\mathbb{E}_{7,2}$ , see Figure 9b; • y = 5 and we apply  $\mathbb{E}_{7,5}$ , see Figure 9b.

For i = 20 we have  $p_{20} = 11$ . Since  $B_{20} \setminus \{11\} = \{12\}$ , the internal loop is applied once with y = 12. As a result we apply  $\mathbb{J}_{11,12}$ , see Figure 10a.

For i = 21 we have  $p_{21} = 12$ . Since  $B_{21} \setminus \{12\} = \{8\}$ , the internal loop is applied once with y = 8. As a result we apply  $\mathbb{E}_{12,8}$ , see Figure 10b.

For i = 22 we have  $p_{22} = 12$ . Since  $B_{22} \setminus \{12\} = \{9\}$ , the internal loop is applied once with y = 9. As a result we apply  $\mathbb{E}_{12,9}$ , see Figure 11a.

Figure 11b gives the output of our algorithm applied to the minimal factorization (2.1). The result is a Stanley tree of type  $(1^{14})$ .

Due to space restrictions, the explicit construction of the inverse of the bijection from



**Figure 9:** (a) The output of  $\mathbb{E}_{4,3}$  and  $\mathbb{J}_{4,6}$ . (b) The output of  $\mathbb{E}_{7,2}$  and  $\mathbb{E}_{7,5}$ .



Figure 10: (a) The output of  $\mathbb{J}_{11,12}$ . (b) The output of  $\mathbb{E}_{12,8}$ .



**Figure 11:** (a) The output of  $\mathbb{E}_{12,9}$ . (b) The output of our algorithm applied to the minimal factorization (2.1).

Sections 2.1 to 2.2, as well as the proof of its correctness, is postponed to the forthcoming paper [9].

## Acknowledgements

I thank Piotr Sniady for suggestions during the planning and development of this research work. Research supported by Grant 2017/26/A/ST1/00189 of Narodowe Centrum Nauki.

### References

- M. Dołęga, V. Féray, and P. Śniady. "Explicit combinatorial interpretation of Kerov character polynomials as numbers of permutation factorizations". *Adv. Math.* 225.1 (2010), pp. 81–120.
   DOI.
- [2] V. Féray. "Combinatorial interpretation and positivity of Kerov's character polynomials". *J. Algebraic Combin.* **29**.4 (2009), pp. 473–507. DOI.
- [3] V. Féray. "Stanley's formula for characters of the symmetric group". *Ann. Comb.* **13**.4 (2010), pp. 453–461. DOI.
- [4] I. P. Goulden and A. Rattan. "An explicit form for Kerov's character polynomials". *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359**.8 (2007), pp. 3669–3685. DOI.
- [5] M. Lassalle. "Two positivity conjectures for Kerov polynomials". Adv. in Appl. Math. 41.3 (2008), pp. 407–422. DOI.
- [6] P. Śniady. "Stanley character polynomials". *The mathematical legacy of Richard P. Stanley*. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2016, pp. 323–334. DOI.
- [7] R. P. Stanley. "Irreducible symmetric group characters of rectangular shape". *Sém. Lothar. Combin.* **50** (2003/04), Art. B50d, 11.
- [8] R. P. Stanley. "A conjectured combinatorial interpretation of the normalized irreducible character values of the symmetric group". 2006. arXiv:math/0606467v2.
- [9] K. Wojtyniak. "Bijections on maps related to Stanley character formula". In preparation. 2021.