

SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS AND TOEPLITZ+HANKEL MATRICES

David García-García

Joint work with Miguel Tierz (arXiv:1706.02574 and 1901.08922)

TOEPLITZ AND HANKEL MATRICES

We say that a matrix is Toeplitz or Hankel if it is constant along its diagonals or antidiagonals, respectively

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_0 & f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & f_4 & \cdots \\ f_1 & f_0 & f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & \cdots \\ f_2 & f_1 & f_0 & f_1 & f_2 & \cdots \\ f_3 & f_2 & f_1 & f_0 & f_1 & \cdots \\ f_4 & f_3 & f_2 & f_1 & f_0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & f_4 & f_5 & \cdots \\ f_2 & f_3 & f_4 & f_5 & f_6 & \cdots \\ f_3 & f_4 & f_5 & f_6 & f_7 & \cdots \\ f_4 & f_5 & f_6 & f_7 & f_8 & \cdots \\ f_5 & f_6 & f_7 & f_8 & f_9 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \end{pmatrix}.$$

TOEPLITZ AND HANKEL MATRICES

We say that a matrix is Toeplitz or Hankel if it is constant along its diagonals or antidiagonals, respectively

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_0 & f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & f_4 & \cdots \\ f_1 & f_0 & f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & \cdots \\ f_2 & f_1 & f_0 & f_1 & f_2 & \cdots \\ f_3 & f_2 & f_1 & f_0 & f_1 & \cdots \\ f_4 & f_3 & f_2 & f_1 & f_0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_3 & f_4 & f_5 & \cdots \\ f_2 & f_3 & f_4 & f_5 & f_6 & \cdots \\ f_3 & f_4 & f_5 & f_6 & f_7 & \cdots \\ f_4 & f_5 & f_6 & f_7 & f_8 & \cdots \\ f_5 & f_6 & f_7 & f_8 & f_9 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will denote these matrices by

$$T_N(f) = (f_{j-k})_{j,k=1}^N, \quad H_N(f) = (f_{j+k-1})_{j,k=1}^N,$$

where $f(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_k z^k$.

TOEPLITZ AND HANKEL MATRICES

We say that a matrix is Toeplitz or Hankel if it is constant along its diagonals or antidiagonals, respectively

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_0 & f_1 & f_3 & f_4 & \cdots \\ f_2 & f_1 & f_1 & f_2 & \cdots \\ f_3 & f_2 & f_0 & f_1 & \cdots \\ f_4 & f_3 & f_1 & f_0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_4 & f_5 & \cdots \\ f_3 & f_4 & f_6 & f_7 & \cdots \\ f_4 & f_5 & f_7 & f_8 & \cdots \\ f_5 & f_6 & f_8 & f_9 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will denote these matrices by

$$T_N(f) = (f_{j-k})_{j,k=1}^N, \quad H_N(f) = (f_{j+k-1})_{j,k=1}^N,$$

where $f(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_k z^k$.

We are interested in the minors of these matrices, obtained by discarding some of their rows and columns. Note that any minor can be codified in a couple of partitions λ and μ .

TOEPLITZ AND HANKEL MATRICES

We say that a matrix is Toeplitz or Hankel if it is constant along its diagonals or antidiagonals, respectively

$$\begin{pmatrix} f_0 & f_1 & f_3 & f_4 & \cdots \\ f_2 & f_1 & f_1 & f_2 & \cdots \\ f_3 & f_2 & f_0 & f_1 & \cdots \\ f_4 & f_3 & f_1 & f_0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & f_2 & f_4 & f_5 & \cdots \\ f_3 & f_4 & f_6 & f_7 & \cdots \\ f_4 & f_5 & f_7 & f_8 & \cdots \\ f_5 & f_6 & f_8 & f_9 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will denote these matrices by

$$T_N^{\lambda, \mu}(f) = (f_{j+\lambda_j^r - k - \mu_k^r})_{j,k=1}^N, \quad H_N^{\lambda, \mu}(f) = (f_{j+\lambda_j^r + k + \mu_k^r - 1})_{j,k=1}^N,$$

where $f(z) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} f_k z^k$.

We are interested in the minors of these matrices, obtained by discarding some of their rows and columns. Note that any minor can be codified in a couple of partitions λ and μ . For instance, above we have $\lambda = (1)$ and $\mu = (1, 1)$.

T+H MATRICES IN COMBINATORICS AND REPRESENTATION THEORY

Toeplitz and Hankel matrices appear in many different contexts, such as random matrices, orthogonal polynomials, statistical mechanics...

T+H MATRICES IN COMBINATORICS AND REPRESENTATION THEORY

Toeplitz and Hankel matrices appear in many different contexts, such as random matrices, orthogonal polynomials, statistical mechanics...

They also occur in combinatorics and representation theory. For instance:

- Jacobi-Trudi identities:

$$s_{\mu}(x) = \det \left(h_{j-k+\mu_k}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{l(\mu)} = \det \left(e_{j-k+\mu'_k}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{\mu'_1}.$$

T+H MATRICES IN COMBINATORICS AND REPRESENTATION THEORY

Toeplitz and Hankel matrices appear in many different contexts, such as random matrices, orthogonal polynomials, statistical mechanics...

They also occur in combinatorics and representation theory. For instance:

- Jacobi-Trudi identities:

$$s_{\mu}(x) = \det \left(h_{j-k+\mu_k}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{l(\mu)} = \det \left(e_{j-k+\mu'_k}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{\mu'_1}.$$

- Characters of the infinite symmetric group:
Edrei-Thoma's theorem on the classification of the extreme characters of $S(\infty)$ is equivalent to the classification of all infinite triangular TNN Toeplitz matrices.

T+H MATRICES IN COMBINATORICS AND REPRESENTATION THEORY

Toeplitz and Hankel matrices appear in many different contexts, such as random matrices, orthogonal polynomials, statistical mechanics...

They also occur in combinatorics and representation theory. For instance:

- Jacobi-Trudi identities:

$$s_{\mu}(x) = \det \left(h_{j-k+\mu_k}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{l(\mu)} = \det \left(e_{j-k+\mu'_k}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{\mu'_1}.$$

- Characters of the infinite symmetric group:
Edrei-Thoma's theorem on the classification of the extreme characters of $S(\infty)$ is equivalent to the classification of all infinite triangular TNN Toeplitz matrices.
- Gessel identity:

$$\det T_N(f) = \sum_{l(\nu) \leq N} s_{\nu}(x) s_{\nu}(y),$$

T+H MATRICES IN COMBINATORICS AND REPRESENTATION THEORY

Toeplitz and Hankel matrices appear in many different contexts, such as random matrices, orthogonal polynomials, statistical mechanics...

They also occur in combinatorics and representation theory. For instance:

- Jacobi-Trudi identities:

$$s_{\mu}(x) = \det \left(h_{j-k+\mu_k}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{l(\mu)} = \det \left(e_{j-k+\mu'_k}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{\mu'_1}.$$

- Characters of the infinite symmetric group:
Edrei-Thoma's theorem on the classification of the extreme characters of $S(\infty)$ is equivalent to the classification of all infinite triangular TNN Toeplitz matrices.
- Gessel identity:

$$\det T_N(f) = \sum_{l(\nu) \leq N} s_{\nu}(x) s_{\nu}(y),$$

which allowed to solve the longest increasing subsequence problem.

T+H MATRICES IN COMBINATORICS AND REPRESENTATION THEORY

Toeplitz and Hankel matrices appear in many different contexts, such as random matrices, orthogonal polynomials, statistical mechanics...

They also occur in combinatorics and representation theory. For instance:

- Jacobi-Trudi identities:

$$s_{\mu}(x) = \det \left(h_{j-k+\mu_r}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{l(\mu)} = \det \left(e_{j-k+\mu'_r}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{\mu'_1}.$$

- Characters of the infinite symmetric group:
Edrei-Thoma's theorem on the classification of the extreme characters of $S(\infty)$ is equivalent to the classification of all infinite triangular TNN Toeplitz matrices.
- Gessel identity:

$$\det T_N(f) = \sum_{l(\nu) \leq N} s_{\nu}(x) s_{\nu}(y),$$

which allowed to solve the longest increasing subsequence problem.

- Group integrals over the classical Lie groups:

$$\int_{U(N)} f(M) dM = \det(T_N(f)),$$

T+H MATRICES IN COMBINATORICS AND REPRESENTATION THEORY

Toeplitz and Hankel matrices appear in many different contexts, such as random matrices, orthogonal polynomials, statistical mechanics...

They also occur in combinatorics and representation theory. For instance:

- Jacobi-Trudi identities:

$$s_{\mu}(x) = \det \left(h_{j-k+\mu_k}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{l(\mu)} = \det \left(e_{j-k+\mu'_k}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{\mu'_1}.$$

- Characters of the infinite symmetric group:
Edrei-Thoma's theorem on the classification of the extreme characters of $S(\infty)$ is equivalent to the classification of all infinite triangular TNN Toeplitz matrices.
- Gessel identity:

$$\det T_N(f) = \sum_{l(\nu) \leq N} s_{\nu}(x) s_{\nu}(y),$$

which allowed to solve the longest increasing subsequence problem.

- Group integrals over the classical Lie groups:

$$\int_{U(N)} s_{\lambda}(M^{-1}) s_{\mu}(M) f(M) dM = \det \left(T_N^{\lambda, \mu}(f) \right),$$

T+H MATRICES IN COMBINATORICS AND REPRESENTATION THEORY

Toeplitz and Hankel matrices appear in many different contexts, such as random matrices, orthogonal polynomials, statistical mechanics...

They also occur in combinatorics and representation theory. For instance:

- Jacobi-Trudi identities:

$$s_{\mu}(x) = \det \left(h_{j-k+\mu_r}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{l(\mu)} = \det \left(e_{j-k+\mu'_r}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{\mu'_1}.$$

- Characters of the infinite symmetric group:
Edrei-Thoma's theorem on the classification of the extreme characters of $S(\infty)$ is equivalent to the classification of all infinite triangular TNN Toeplitz matrices.
- Gessel identity:

$$\det T_N(f) = \sum_{l(\nu) \leq N} s_{\nu}(x) s_{\nu}(y),$$

which allowed to solve the longest increasing subsequence problem.

- Group integrals over the classical Lie groups:

$$\int_{G(N)} f(M) dM = \det (T_N(f) + H_N(f)),$$

where $G(N) = Sp(2N), O(2N), O(2N + 1)$.

T+H MATRICES IN COMBINATORICS AND REPRESENTATION THEORY

Toeplitz and Hankel matrices appear in many different contexts, such as random matrices, orthogonal polynomials, statistical mechanics...

They also occur in combinatorics and representation theory. For instance:

- Jacobi-Trudi identities:

$$s_{\mu}(x) = \det \left(h_{j-k+\mu_r}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{l(\mu)} = \det \left(e_{j-k+\mu'_r}(x) \right)_{j,k=1}^{\mu'_1}.$$

- Characters of the infinite symmetric group:
Edrei-Thoma's theorem on the classification of the extreme characters of $S(\infty)$ is equivalent to the classification of all infinite triangular TNN Toeplitz matrices.
- Gessel identity:

$$\det T_N(f) = \sum_{l(\nu) \leq N} s_{\nu}(x) s_{\nu}(y),$$

which allowed to solve the longest increasing subsequence problem.

- Group integrals over the classical Lie groups:

$$\int_{G(N)} \chi_{G(N)}^{\lambda}(M^{-1}) \chi_{G(N)}^{\mu}(M) f(M) dM = \det \left(T_N^{\lambda, \mu}(f) + H_N^{\lambda, \mu}(f) \right),$$

where $G(N) = Sp(2N), O(2N), O(2N + 1)$.

FACTORIZATIONS OF CHARACTERS INDEXED BY RECTANGULAR SHAPES

Choose the following function f in the matrices above

$$f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^K (1 + x_j z)(1 + x_j z^{-1}) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^K x_j \right) \sum_{j=-K}^K e_{K+j}(x_1, \dots, x_K, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_K^{-1}) z^j.$$

FACTORIZATIONS OF CHARACTERS INDEXED BY RECTANGULAR SHAPES

Choose the following function f in the matrices above

$$f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^K (1 + x_j z)(1 + x_j z^{-1}) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^K x_j \right) \sum_{j=-K}^K e_{K+j}(x_1, \dots, x_K, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_K^{-1}) z^j.$$

The determinants of the Toeplitz and Toeplitz \pm Hankel matrices generated by this function can be expressed as characters of each of the groups $G(N)$ indexed by rectangular shapes. This implies the following result.

FACTORIZATIONS OF CHARACTERS INDEXED BY RECTANGULAR SHAPES

Choose the following function f in the matrices above

$$f(z) = \prod_{j=1}^K (1 + x_j z)(1 + x_j z^{-1}) = \left(\prod_{j=1}^K x_j \right) \sum_{j=-K}^K e_{K+j}(x_1, \dots, x_K, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_K^{-1}) z^j.$$

The determinants of the Toeplitz and Toeplitz \pm Hankel matrices generated by this function can be expressed as characters of each of the groups $G(N)$ indexed by rectangular shapes. This implies the following result.

Theorem (Ciucu-Krattenthaler'09, GG-Tierz'19)

Consider a finite set of variables $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_K)$. The following relations hold between the symmetric functions associated to the characters of the groups $G(N)$:

$$\begin{aligned} s_{(2N-1)^K}(x, x^{-1}) &= sp_{(N-1)^K}(x) o_{(N^K)}^{\text{even}}(x) \\ &= \frac{(-1)^{NK}}{2} \left[o_{(N-1)^K}^{\text{odd}}(x) o_{(N^K)}^{\text{odd}}(-x) + o_{(N^K)}^{\text{odd}}(x) o_{(N-1)^K}^{\text{odd}}(-x) \right], \\ s_{(2N)^K}(x, x^{-1}) &= (-1)^{NK} o_{(N^K)}^{\text{odd}}(x) o_{(N^K)}^{\text{odd}}(-x) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[sp_{(N^K)}(x) o_{(N^K)}^{\text{even}}(x) + sp_{(N-1)^K}(x) o_{(N+1)^K}^{\text{even}}(x) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

INVERSES OF TOEPLITZ MATRICES

Theorem (GG-Tierz'17)

Any minor of a semi-banded Toeplitz matrix can be expressed as the specialization of a single skew Schur polynomial

$$\det T_N^{\lambda, \mu} \left(\prod_{k=1}^d (1 + y_k z^{-1}) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_j z) \right) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^d y_k^N \right) S_{((dN) + \mu / \lambda)'} (y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_d^{-1}, x).$$

INVERSES OF TOEPLITZ MATRICES

Theorem (GG-Tierz'17)

Any minor of a semi-banded Toeplitz matrix can be expressed as the specialization of a single skew Schur polynomial

$$\det T_N^{\lambda, \mu} \left(\prod_{k=1}^d (1 + y_k z^{-1}) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_j z) \right) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^d y_k^N \right) S_{((d^N) + \mu / \lambda)'}(y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_d^{-1}, x).$$

We combine this with the usual formula for the inverse of a matrix in terms of its cofactors. That is, in terms of minors of the original matrix where only one row and column have been removed. For the case of Toeplitz matrices, this reads

$$\left[T_N^{-1}(f) \right]_{j,k} = (-1)^{j+k} \det T_{N-1}^{(1^{k-1}), (1^{j-1})}(f) / \det T_N(f).$$

INVERSES OF TOEPLITZ MATRICES

Theorem (GG-Tierz'17)

Any minor of a semi-banded Toeplitz matrix can be expressed as the specialization of a single skew Schur polynomial

$$\det T_N^{\lambda, \mu} \left(\prod_{k=1}^d (1 + y_k z^{-1}) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_j z) \right) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^d y_k^N \right) S_{((dN) + \mu / \lambda)'}(y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_d^{-1}, x).$$

We combine this with the usual formula for the inverse of a matrix in terms of its cofactors. That is, in terms of minors of the original matrix where only one row and column have been removed. For the case of Toeplitz matrices, this reads

$$\left[T_N^{-1}(f) \right]_{j,k} = (-1)^{j+k} \det T_{N-1}^{(1^{k-1}), (1^{j-1})}(f) / \det T_N(f).$$

Therefore, computing the inverse and determinant of a Toeplitz matrix amounts to computing a particular specialization of the above skew Schur polynomials. For instance,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(N,j)/(k)}(x, y^{-1}) &= (xy^{-1})^{(N+j-k)/2} U_{\min(j,k)}(c) U_{N-\max(j,k)}(c) \\ &= \frac{1}{x^k y^{N+j-k}} \sum_{r=0}^{\min(j,k)} (xy)^r \sum_{r=\max(j,k)}^N (xy)^r, \end{aligned}$$

INVERSES OF TOEPLITZ MATRICES

Theorem (GG-Tierz'17)

Any minor of a semi-banded Toeplitz matrix can be expressed as the specialization of a single skew Schur polynomial

$$\det T_N^{\lambda, \mu} \left(\prod_{k=1}^d (1 + y_k z^{-1}) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_j z) \right) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^d y_k^N \right) S_{((d^N) + \mu / \lambda)}(y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_d^{-1}, x).$$

We combine this with the usual formula for the inverse of a matrix in terms of its cofactors. That is, in terms of minors of the original matrix where only one row and column have been removed. For the case of Toeplitz matrices, this reads

$$\left[T_N^{-1}(f) \right]_{j,k} = (-1)^{j+k} \det T_{N-1}^{(1^{k-1}), (1^{j-1})}(f) / \det T_N(f).$$

Therefore, computing the inverse and determinant of a Toeplitz matrix amounts to computing a particular specialization of the above skew Schur polynomials. For instance,

$$S_{\underbrace{(N, \dots, N, j)}_d / (k)}(1^M) = G(N+2) \frac{G(M+N+2)}{G(M+1)} \frac{G(M-d+1)}{G(M-d+N+2)} \frac{G(d+1)}{G(d+N+2)} \times$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(M-d+j+1)}{\Gamma(j+1)} \frac{\Gamma(d+k+1)}{\Gamma(k+1)} \sum_{r=\max(j,k)}^N \frac{\Gamma(r+1)}{\Gamma(M+r+1)} \binom{M-d+r-k-1}{r-k} \binom{d+r-j-1}{r-j},$$

INVERSES OF TOEPLITZ MATRICES

Theorem (GG-Tierz'17)

Any minor of a semi-banded Toeplitz matrix can be expressed as the specialization of a single skew Schur polynomial

$$\det T_N^{\lambda, \mu} \left(\prod_{k=1}^d (1 + y_k z^{-1}) \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 + x_j z) \right) = \left(\prod_{k=1}^d y_k^N \right) S_{((d^N) + \mu / \lambda)'}(y_1^{-1}, \dots, y_d^{-1}, x).$$

We combine this with the usual formula for the inverse of a matrix in terms of its cofactors. That is, in terms of minors of the original matrix where only one row and column have been removed. For the case of Toeplitz matrices, this reads

$$\left[T_N^{-1}(f) \right]_{j,k} = (-1)^{j+k} \det T_{N-1}^{(1^{k-1}), (1^{j-1})}(f) / \det T_N(f).$$

Therefore, computing the inverse and determinant of a Toeplitz matrix amounts to computing a particular specialization of the above skew Schur polynomials. For instance,

$$S_{\underbrace{(N, \dots, N, j)}_d / (k)}(1, q, q^2, \dots) = \frac{q^{(d-1)j - dk + d(d-1)N/2}}{(1-q)^{d(N+1)}} \frac{G_q(N+2)G_q(d+1)}{G_q(d+N+2)} \frac{(q; q)_{d+k}}{(q; q)_j} \sum_{r=\max(j,k)}^N q^r \begin{bmatrix} r \\ r-k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} d+r-j-1 \\ r-j \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

Many more results follow from this approach:

- Explicit solutions of random matrix models.
- Generalizations of Gessel's identity to minors of Toeplitz \pm Hankel matrices.
- Expansions of determinants of Toeplitz \pm Hankel matrices as sums of minors of Toeplitz matrices. Equivalently: expansions of characters indexed by rectangular shapes as sums of skew Schur polynomials.
- Asymptotics of minors of Toeplitz \pm Hankel matrices. Equivalently: study of the large- N regime of gauge theories with symmetries other than unitary.

Thank you!