# Quantum positroids in the quantum grassmannian

### Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire 24 March 2025

Stéphane Launois (Université de Caen Normandie)

#### Quantum $2 \times 2$ matrices

The coordinate ring of quantum  $2\times 2$  matrices

$$\mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})) := \mathbb{C} \left[ egin{array}{c} a & b \\ c & d \end{array} 
ight]$$

is generated by four indeterminates a, b, c, d subject to the following rules:

$$ab = qba,$$
  $cd = qdc$   
 $ac = qca,$   $bd = qdb$   
 $bc = cb,$   $ad - da = (q - q^{-1})cb.$ 

The quantum determinant ad - qbc is a central element

The algebra of  $m \times p$  quantum matrices.

$$R = O_q \left( \mathcal{M}_{m,p}(\mathbb{C}) \right) := \mathbb{C} \begin{bmatrix} Y_{1,1} & \dots & Y_{1,p} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ Y_{m,1} & \dots & Y_{m,p} \end{bmatrix},$$

where each 2 × 2 sub-matrix is a copy of  $O_q(\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ .

 $O_q(\mathcal{M}_{m,p}(\mathbb{C}))$  is an iterated Ore extension with the indeterminates  $Y_{i,\alpha}$  adjoined in the lexicographic order and so is a noetherian integral domain.

In the square case (m = p = n)

$$D_q = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-q)^{l(\sigma)} Y_{1,\sigma(1)} \dots Y_{n,\sigma(n)}$$

is the quantum determinant.  $D_q$  is a central element.

Quantum minors of 
$$R = \mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{M}_{m,p}(\mathbb{C}))$$

They are the quantum determinants of square sub-matrices of  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{M}_{m,p}(\mathbb{C})).$  More precisely, if  $I \subseteq \llbracket 1, m \rrbracket$  and  $\Lambda \subseteq \llbracket 1, p \rrbracket$  with  $|I| = |\Lambda|$ , the quantum minor associated with the rows I and columns A is

$$[I \mid \Lambda] := D_q(\mathcal{O}_q(M_{I,\Lambda}(\mathbb{C}))).$$

For example,  $[12|23] = Y_{1,2}Y_{2,3} - qY_{1,3}Y_{2,2}$  is the quantum minor of R associated with the rows 1, 2, and the columns 2, 3.

#### The quantum grassmannian $\mathcal{G}_q(k,n)$

The quantum grassmannian  $\mathcal{G}_q(k,n)$  is the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{M}(k,n))$  generated by the maximal  $k \times k$  quantum minors

Denote by [I] the quantum minor  $[1 \dots k|I]$ .

**Example**  $G_q(2,4)$  is generated by the six quantum minors [12], [13], [14], [23], [24], [34].

Most minors  $q^{\bullet}$ -commute, for example, [12] [34] =  $q^2$  [34] [12], however, [13] [24] = [24] [13] +  $(q - q^{-1})$  [14] [23] and there is a quantum Plücker relation

$$[12] [34] - q [13] [24] + q^2 [14] [23] = 0.$$

Noncommutative dehomogenisation:

$$\mathcal{G}_q(k,n)[[12...k]^{-1}] \simeq \mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{M}(k,n-k))[Z^{\pm 1};\sigma]$$

Assume that  $q \in \mathbb{C}^*$  is not a root of unity, and set  $R := \mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{M}_{m,p}(\mathbb{C})).$ 

• Goodearl-Letzter Prime ideals of R are (completely) prime.

The torus  $\mathcal{H} := (\mathbb{C}^*)^{m+p}$  acts by automorphisms on R via :

 $(a_1,\ldots,a_m,b_1,\ldots,b_p).Y_{i,\alpha}=a_ib_{\alpha}Y_{i,\alpha}.$ 

This action of  $\mathcal{H}$  on R induces an action of  $\mathcal{H}$  on Spec(R). We denote by  $\mathcal{H}\text{-}\text{Spec}(R)$  the set of those prime ideals in R which are  $\mathcal{H}\text{-}\text{invariant}$ .

• **Goodearl-Letzter** R has at most  $2^{mp} \mathcal{H}$ -primes.

Note that 0 is always an  $\mathcal{H}$ -prime ideal.

By the Stratification Theorem of Goodearl-Letzter,  $\mathcal{H}$ -Spec(R) "controls" Spec(R).

#### Cauchon diagrams

A **Cauchon diagram** on an  $m \times p$  array is an  $m \times p$  array of squares coloured either black or white such that for any square that is coloured black the following holds: Either each square strictly to its left is coloured black, or each square strictly above is coloured black.

Here are an example and a non-example





Parametrisation of  $\mathcal{H}$ -Spec $(\mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{M}_{m,p}(\mathbb{C})))$ 

• Cauchon (2003) There is a bijection between Cauchon diagrams on an  $m \times p$  array and  $\mathcal{H} - \operatorname{Spec}(\mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{M}_{m,p}(\mathbb{C})))$ .

If C is a Cauchon diagram, then we denote by  $J_C$  the unique  $\mathcal{H}$ -prime associated to C.

• L., Yakimov, Casteels  $\mathcal H\text{-}\mathsf{primes}$  are generated by quantum minors.

• Dimensions of  $\mathcal{H}$ -strata and the poset of  $\mathcal{H}$ -primes are described through another parametrization.

#### The quantum grassmannian $\mathcal{G}_q(k,n)$

The quantum grassmannian  $\mathcal{G}_q(k,n)$  is the subalgebra of  $\mathcal{O}_q(\mathcal{M}(k,n))$  generated by the maximal  $k \times k$  quantum minors

Denote by [I] the quantum minor  $[1 \dots k|I]$ . There is a torus action of  $\mathcal{H} = (\mathbb{C}^*)^n$  given by column multiplication. There are finitely many  $\mathcal{H}$ -primes.

**Example**  $G_q(2,4)$  is generated by the six quantum minors [12], [13], [14], [23], [24], [34].

Most minors  $q^{\bullet}$ -commute, for example, [12] [34] =  $q^2$  [34] [12], however, [13] [24] = [24] [13] +  $(q - q^{-1})$  [14] [23] and there is a quantum Plücker relation

$$[12] [34] - q [13] [24] + q2 [14] [23] = 0.$$

Partial order:

 $[i_1 < \cdots < i_k] \leq [j_1 < \cdots < j_k]$  whenever  $i_s \leq j_s$  for all s.













Quantum Schubert variety corresp to [135]



Schubert cell: use noncommutative dehomogenisation at [135]

L, Lenagan and Rigal (2008) There is a bijection between  $\mathcal{H} - \text{Spec}(\mathcal{G}_q(k, n))$  (ignoring the irrelevant ideal) and Cauchon-Le diagrams on Young diagrams that fit inside a  $k \times (n-k)$  array

The theorem is proved by defining quantum algebras with a straightening law, quantum Schubert varieties, quantum Schubert cells, partition subalgebras of quantum matrices and using a non-commutative version of dehomogenisation.

Schubert cell for [135]



#### $\mathcal{H}$ -prime in Schubert cell [135]





#### A few questions to consider:

#### Questions:

1. Can we specify the quantum Plücker coordinates in a given H-prime?

2. Are  $\mathcal{H}$ -primes generated by quantum Plücker coordinates?

3. Can we describe the poset of  $\mathcal{H}$ -primes in  $\mathcal{G}_q(k,n)$ ? (Yakimov's conjecture)

## The nonnegative world

#### TNN grassmannian

A point P in the grassmannian  $\mathcal{G}_{kn}(\mathbb{R})$  is **totally nonnegative** if its Plücker coordinates can be represented by the  $k \times k$  minors of a  $k \times n$  matrix A such that each of these  $k \times k$  minors are nonnegative.

**Cells** are specified by stating precisely which Plücker coordinates are zero. If Z is a subset of Plücker coordinates then  $S_Z^{\circ}$  is the cell where minors in Z are zero (and those not in Z are nonzero, so positive).

• **Postnikov (arXiv:math/0609764)** There is a bijection between Le-diagrams (=Cauchon diagrams) on partitions that fit into a  $k \times (n - k)$  array and non-empty cells  $S_Z^{\circ}$  in  $\mathcal{G}_{kn}^{\text{tnn}}$ .

(A Young diagram representing a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$  fits inside a  $k \times (n-k)$  array provided that  $(n-k) \ge \lambda_1 \ge \lambda_2 \ge \lambda_k \ge 0$ .)

#### Quantum Plücker coordinates in a given $\mathcal{H}$ -prime

**L-Lenagan-Nolan** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of Plücker coordinates and  $\mathcal{F}_q$  the corresponding family of quantum Plücker coordinates. TFAE

• The totally nonnegative cell associated to  ${\cal F}$  in  ${\cal G}_{kn}^{\rm tnn}$  is non-empty.

•  $\mathcal{F}_q$  is the set of all quantum minors that belong to torusinvariant prime in  $\mathcal{G}_q(k, n)$ .

Strategy: Let C be a Cauchon-Le diagram of shape  $\lambda$ . Then we prove that  $[I] \in J_C$  iff there are no vertex disjoint set of paths from  $\lambda \setminus I$  to  $I \setminus \lambda$  in the Postnikov graph. The case of quantum matrices was already known thanks to work of Casteels.

Consequence: We have an explicit description of these families thanks to work of Oh.



There is a vertex disjoint set of paths from  $\{1,3\}$  to  $\{2,4\}$  so [245] is not in the prime.

There is no vertex disjoint set of paths from  $\{1,3\}$  to  $\{4,6\}$  so [456] is in the prime.

Quantum Plücker coordinates generates  $\mathcal{H}$ -primes

**L-Lenagan-Nolan** Assume q is transcendental. Then torusinvariant primes in  $\mathcal{G}_q(k,n)$  are generated by quantum Plücker coordinates.

In the proof, we make use of the above techniques as well as Gröbner basis techniques. In particular, we use a theorem of Casteels that asserts that torus-invariant primes in quantum matrices are generated by quantum minors and these quantum minors form a GB of the torus-invariant prime that they generate.

Quantum positroids in the quantum grassmannians: these are the quotients of  $\mathcal{G}_q(k, n)$  by torus-invariant primes.

#### **Applications**

\* We can explicitly described the quantum Plücker coordinates that generate  $\mathcal{H}$ -primes.

\* Grassmann necklace: we can put different orders on the set of quantum Plücker coordinates. For each i with  $1 \le i \le n$  we can define the *i*-ordering, denoted by  $<_i$ . In this ordering, we have

$$i <_i i + 1 <_i i + 2 <_i \dots <_i n <_i 1 <_i \dots <_i i - 1.$$

There is then an induced partial ordering on the quantum Plücker coordinates given by

$$[a_1 <_i \cdots <_i a_m] \leq_i [b_1 <_i \cdots <_i b_m]$$
f and only if  $a_j \leq_i b_j$  for each  $1 \leq j \leq m$ .





#### Grassmann necklace

Consider the QGASL structure on  $\mathcal{G}_q(k,n)$  determined by the poset  $\Pi_i$ . Let P be an  $\mathcal{H}$ -prime ideal of  $\mathcal{G}_q(k,n)$ . Then there is a unique quantum Plücker coordinate  $[I_i]$  in  $\Pi_i$  with the property that  $[I_i] \notin P$  but  $[J] \in P$  for all  $J \geq_i I_i$ .

The sequence  $Neck(P) := ([I_1], ..., [I_n])$  of quantum Plücker coordinates is called the Grassmann necklace of P.

Let P and Q are  $\mathcal{H}$ -prime ideals of  $\mathcal{G}_q(k,n)$ . Then

 $Q \subseteq P$  if and only if Neck $(Q) \leq$  Neck(P) (i.e. iff  $J_i \leq_i I_i$  for each i = 1, ..., n.)

#### Yakimov conjecture

The following posets are isomorphic:

- 1.  $\mathcal{H}$ -Spec $\mathcal{G}_q(k, n)$  (endowed with inclusion);
- 2. the set of torus orbits of symplectic leaves in the grassmannian  $SL_{n+1}(\mathbb{C})/P_I$  (ordered by closure);
- 3.  $S_{W,I}$ , where  $W = S_{n+1}$  and  $W_I$  is the subgroup generated by  $s_1, \ldots, s_{m-1}, s_{m+1}, \ldots, s_m$ , and where the order (w, v) < (w', v') in  $S_{W,I}$  is defined by

$$(w,v)<(w^\prime,v^\prime)$$
 iff

there exists  $z \in W_I$  such that  $w \ge w'z$  and  $v \le v'z$ .