On geodesics in low regularity

Roland Steinbauer

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Vienna

Non-regular spacetime geometry Florence, June 2017

Overview

Theoretical outcome of a long term project on

Geodesics and geodesic completeness of impulsive gravitational waves

jointly with

- Jiří Podolský, Robert Švarc (Relativity Group @ Prague)
- Clemens Sämann (Mathematics @ Vienna)

Table of Contents

1 Intro: Regularity & properties of geodesics

- 2 Existence of geodesics for locally Lipschitz metrics
 - Interlude: Filippov solutions for ODEs with discont. r.h.s
 - Existence of geodesics in $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}$
- **3** Uniqueness of geodesics for locally Lipschitz metrics
 - Interlude: Uniqueness of Filippov solutions for pw-cont. r.h.s.
 - Uniqueness of geodesics in $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}$, pw. \mathcal{C}^2

Impulsive gravitational waves: Geodesic completeness



Table of Contents

1 Intro: Regularity & properties of geodesics

- Existence of geodesics for locally Lipschitz metrics
 Interlude: Filippov solutions for ODEs with discont. r.h.s
 - Existence of geodesics in $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}$
- **3** Uniqueness of geodesics for locally Lipschitz metrics
 - Interlude: Uniqueness of Filippov solutions for pw-cont. r.h.s.
 - Uniqueness of geodesics in C^{0,1}, pw. C²

Impulsive gravitational waves: Geodesic completeness



Low regularity changes props. of geodesics

Riemannian counterexample [Hartman&Wintner, 1951]

$$\mathbf{g}_{ij}(x,y) = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1-|x|^\lambda \end{pmatrix} \qquad ext{on } (-1,1) imes \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$$

- $\lambda \in (1,2) \implies \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\lambda-1}$ Hölder, slightly below $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$
- (nevertheless) geodesic equation uniquely solvable



Low regularity changes props. of geodesics

Riemannian counterexample [Hartman&Wintner, 1951]

$$\mathbf{g}_{ij}(x,y) = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 1-|x|^\lambda \end{pmatrix} \qquad ext{on } (-1,1) imes \mathbb{R} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$$

- $\lambda \in (1,2) \implies \mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{1,\lambda-1}$ Hölder, slightly below $\mathcal{C}^{1,1}$
- (nevertheless) geodesic equation uniquely solvable

BUT

- shortest curves from (0,0) to (0,y) are two symmetric arcs
 → minimising curves not unique, even locally
- the y-axis is a geodesic which is

non-minimising between any of its points



The Riemannian case

Added regularity of shortest curves

• $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{0} \implies$ shortest (Lipschitz) curves exist [Hilbert, 1899] • $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha} \implies$ all shortest curves are $\mathcal{C}^{1,\beta}$ with $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}$ (optimal) [Calabi, Hartman, 70]

in particular

- $\bullet \ {\bf g} \in {\cal C}^{0,1} \ \implies \mbox{all shortest curves are } {\cal C}^{1,1} \ \mbox{and } \ddot{\gamma} = 0 \ \mbox{a.e.}$
- $\bullet \ {\bf g} \in {\mathcal C}^1 \qquad \Longrightarrow \ \text{all shortest curves satisfy} \ \ddot{\gamma} = {\bf 0} \ \text{and} \ \gamma \in {\mathcal C}^2$

On geodesics in low regularity

[Lytchak, Yaman, 06]



The Riemannian case

Added regularity of shortest curves

- $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^0 \implies \text{shortest (Lipschitz) curves exist}$ [Hilbert, 1899]
- $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{0,\alpha} \implies$ all shortest curves are $\mathcal{C}^{1,\beta}$ with $\beta = \frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}$ (optimal) [Calabi, Hartman, 70]

in particular

- $\bullet \ {\bf g} \in {\cal C}^{0,1} \ \implies \mbox{all shortest curves are } {\cal C}^{1,1} \ \mbox{and } \ddot{\gamma} = 0 \ \mbox{a.e.}$
- $\bullet \ {\bf g} \in {\mathcal C}^1 \qquad \Longrightarrow \ \text{all shortest curves satisfy} \ \ddot{\gamma} = 0 \ \text{and} \ \gamma \in {\mathcal C}^2$

The Lorentzian case is different

problems with length structure

 \sim Lorentzian length spaces...talk by C. Sämann \sim use geodesic equation

• added regularity of maximising curves ?

[Lytchak, Yaman, 06]



Lorentzian metrics & low regularity

Lorentzian counterexample

[Chrusciel, Grant, 12]

$$ds^2=-(du+(1-|u|^\lambda)dx)^2+dx^2\qquad\in\mathcal{C}^{0,\lambda}\quad(\lambda\in(0,1])$$

 $\lambda < 1$: null geodesics branch \rightsquigarrow causal bubble; no push up

General remarks

- $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1}$: almost as good as the smooth case
- $g \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1} \Rightarrow$ causally plain (no bubbles)
- $g \in C^0$: Cauchy time functions [CG,12, Fathi,Siconolfi,12] Avez-Seifert [Sämann, 15]

Focus on locally Lipschitz metrics: $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1} \Rightarrow \Gamma \in L^{\infty}_{loc}$ Geodesic equations have locally bounded but discontinuous r.h.s.

Table of Contents

- Intro: Regularity & properties of geodesics
- 2 Existence of geodesics for locally Lipschitz metrics
 - Interlude: Filippov solutions for ODEs with discont. r.h.s
 - Existence of geodesics in $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}$
- **3** Uniqueness of geodesics for locally Lipschitz metrics
 - Interlude: Uniqueness of Filippov solutions for pw-cont. r.h.s.
 - Uniqueness of geodesics in $C^{0,1}$, pw. C^2
- Impulsive gravitational waves: Geodesic completeness

Filippov solutions I: Basic idea

• replace ODE with discont. r.h.s. by a differential inclusion relation

$$\dot{x}(t) = F(t,x(t)) \quad \rightsquigarrow \quad \dot{x}(t) \in \mathcal{F}[F](t,x(t))$$

where the Filippov set-valued map associated with F is

$$\mathcal{F}[F](t,x) := igcap_{\delta>0} igcap_{\mu(S)=0} {
m co} \left(Figl(B_{\delta}(t,x)igr) \setminus S igr)
ight).$$

(non-empty, closed and convex set)

- A **Filippov solution** of the ODE is an absolutely continuous curve satisfying the inclusion relation almost everywhere.
- AC-functions can be reconstructed from their derivatives: $f \in AC(\mathbb{R}) \Leftrightarrow \exists f' \text{ a.e., L-integrable, and } f(x) = f(a) + \int_a^x f'(t)dt.$

Filippov solutions II: Existence

General existence theorem for differential inclusions *ξ*(*s*) ∈ *A*(*s*, *ξ*(*s*)) a.e., *ξ*(*t*₀) = *x*₀ (*t*₀, *x*₀) ∈ *J* × ℝⁿ
has an AC-solution if the set valued map (*t*, *x*) → *A*(*t*, *x*) satisfies *t* → *A*(*t*, *x*) is Lebesgue measurable on *J* for all fixed *x*, *x* → *A*(*t*, *x*) is upper semi-continuous for almost all *t*, and

sup_{x∈ℝⁿ} |*A*(*t*, *x*)| ≤ *β*(*t*) ∈ *L*¹_{loc}(*J*) for almost all *t*.

Simple Corollary

If $F \in L^{\infty}_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then the ODE $\dot{x}(t) = F(x(t)), \quad x(t_0) = x_0$ possesses Filippov solutions, that is AC-curves $x : J \to \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\dot{x}(t) \in \mathcal{F}(F)(x(t)), \qquad x(t_0) = x_0.$

Existence of geodesics

Every Lipschitz metric has C^1 -geodesics

Let (M,g) be a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -manifold with a $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}$ -semi Riemannian metric. Then the geodesic equation has Filippov solutions, which are \mathcal{C}^1 .

Rademacher: $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1} \Rightarrow \Gamma \in L^{\infty}_{loc}$ Rewrite geodesic equation for in first order form:

 $\dot{z} = F(z(t))$ where $z = (x, \dot{x}), \quad F(z) = (\dot{x}^i, -\Gamma^i_{jk}(x)\dot{x}^j\dot{x}^k)$

Corollary provides Filippov solutions which are AC. Hence the geodesics are curves with AC-speeds.

Regularity almost matches up with simple LY-result in Riemannian case.

Table of Contents

Intro: Regularity & properties of geodesics

- Existence of geodesics for locally Lipschitz metrics
 Interlude: Filippov solutions for ODEs with discont. r.h.s
 - Existence of geodesics in $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}$
- **3** Uniqueness of geodesics for locally Lipschitz metrics
 - Interlude: Uniqueness of Filippov solutions for pw-cont. r.h.s.
 - \bullet Uniqueness of geodesics in $\mathcal{C}^{0,1},$ pw. \mathcal{C}^2

Impulsive gravitational waves: Geodesic completeness



Uniqueness: The general picture

- $g \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}$ is much below classical threshold for uniqueness $(g \in \mathcal{C}^{1,1})$
- One-sided Lipschitz conditions

$$(F(x) - F(x'))^T (x - x') \le L ||x - x'||_2^2$$

give one-sided uniqueness of F-solutions without the need of continuous r.h.s. (here: Γ) But: ill-suited for piecewise continuous r.h.s.

• more powerful results for piecewise continuous r.h.s.

Filippov solutions III: Uniqueness

Consider $D \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ connected

- split into two parts D^+ , D^- by a \mathcal{C}^2 -hypersurface $N = \partial D^+ = \partial D^-$
- $F \in \mathcal{C}^1(D^{\pm})$ up to the boundary N
- $F^{\pm} :=$ extensions of $F|_{D^{\pm}}$ to the boundary N
- $F_N^{\pm} :=$ projections of F^{\pm} on the unit normal \vec{n} of N

pointing from D^- to D^+

Uniqueness results

- All F-solutions are unique, unless $F_N^+ > 0$ and $F_N^- < 0$ rules out repulsive trajectories
- If $F_N^{\pm} > 0$ all F-solutions are unique and pass from D^- to D^+ . Analogously for $F_N^{\pm} < 0$ and passing from D^+ to D^- . rules out sliding motion

Theorem (g smooth off a totally geodesic hypersurface)

Let (M, \mathbf{g}) be a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -manifold with a $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}$ -semi Riemannian metric. Assume that

• N is a totally geodesic C^2 -hypersurface, and

•
$$\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^2(M \setminus N).$$

Then all (Filippov) geodesics starting not on N are unique and those who hit N pass through.

Theorem (g smooth off a totally geodesic hypersurface)

Let (M, \mathbf{g}) be a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -manifold with a $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}$ -semi Riemannian metric. Assume that

• N is a totally geodesic C^2 -hypersurface, and

•
$$\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^2(M \setminus N).$$

Then all (Filippov) geodesics starting not on N are unique and those who hit N pass through.

N is called totally geodesic, if

every (F-)geodesic starting tangentially in N stays (initially) in N.

Theorem (g smooth off a totally geodesic hypersurface)

Let (M, \mathbf{g}) be a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -manifold with a $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}$ -semi Riemannian metric. Assume that

• N is a totally geodesic C^2 -hypersurface, and

•
$$\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^2(M \setminus N).$$

Then all (Filippov) geodesics starting not on N are unique and those who hit N pass through.

Theorem (g smooth off a totally geodesic hypersurface)

Let (M, \mathbf{g}) be a \mathcal{C}^{∞} -manifold with a $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}$ -semi Riemannian metric. Assume that

• N is a totally geodesic C^2 -hypersurface, and

•
$$\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^2(M \setminus N).$$

Then all (Filippov) geodesics starting not on N are unique and those who hit N pass through.

- Locally write $N = \{x^1 = 0\}$, $D^{\pm} = \{x^1 > \pm 0\}$ then $\vec{n} = e_1$ and for the geodesic $\gamma(t) = (x^1(t), ...)$
- Rewrite geodesic equation as first order system $\rightsquigarrow F_N^{\pm} = \dot{x}^1$ \rightsquigarrow only have to show that $\dot{x}^1 \neq 0$ if $x^1 = 0$
- But this follows for all geodesics starting off N and reaching it since N is totally geodesic.

Table of Contents

Intro: Regularity & properties of geodesics

- Existence of geodesics for locally Lipschitz metrics
 Interlude: Filippov solutions for ODEs with discont. r.h.s
 - Existence of geodesics in $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}$
- **3** Uniqueness of geodesics for locally Lipschitz metrics
 - Interlude: Uniqueness of Filippov solutions for pw-cont. r.h.s.
 - Uniqueness of geodesics in $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}$, pw. \mathcal{C}^2

4 Impulsive gravitational waves: Geodesic completeness

Exact radiative spacetimes that

- model short but strong pulses of gravitational radiation
- models of ultrarelativistic particle
- curvature concentrated on the null hypersurface $\{U = 0\}$
- \bullet continuous form $g\in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}$ vs. 'distributional form' $g\in \mathcal{D}'$

Exact radiative spacetimes that

- model short but strong pulses of gravitational radiation
- models of ultrarelativistic particle
- curvature concentrated on the null hypersurface $\{U = 0\}$
- \bullet continuous form $g\in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}$ vs. 'distributional form' $g\in \mathcal{D}'$

Nonexpanding impulsive gravitational waves

on constant curvature background



Exact radiative spacetimes that

- model short but strong pulses of gravitational radiation
- models of ultrarelativistic particle
- curvature concentrated on the null hypersurface $\{U = 0\}$
- continuous form $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}$ vs. 'distributional form' $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{D}'$





Exact radiative spacetimes that

- model short but strong pulses of gravitational radiation
- models of ultrarelativistic particle
- curvature concentrated on the null hypersurface $\{U = 0\}$
- \bullet continuous form $g\in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}$ vs. 'distributional form' $g\in \mathcal{D}'$

Nonexpanding impulsive gravitational waves

on constant curvature background



Exact radiative spacetimes that

- model short but strong pulses of gravitational radiation
- models of ultrarelativistic particle
- curvature concentrated on the null hypersurface $\{U = 0\}$
- continuous form $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}$ vs. 'distributional form' $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{D}'$





propagating wave

background

Exact radiative spacetimes that

- model short but strong pulses of gravitational radiation
- models of ultrarelativistic particle
- curvature concentrated on the null hypersurface $\{U = 0\}$
- \bullet continuous form $g\in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}$ vs. 'distributional form' $g\in \mathcal{D}'$

Nonexpanding impulsive gravitational waves

on constant curvature background



Exact radiative spacetimes that

- model short but strong pulses of gravitational radiation
- models of ultrarelativistic particle
- curvature concentrated on the null hypersurface $\{U = 0\}$
- continuous form $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}$ vs. 'distributional form' $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{D}'$



Exact radiative spacetimes that

- model short but strong pulses of gravitational radiation
- models of ultrarelativistic particle
- curvature concentrated on the null hypersurface $\{U = 0\}$
- \bullet continuous form $g\in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}$ vs. 'distributional form' $g\in \mathcal{D}'$

Nonexpanding impulsive gravitational waves

on constant curvature background



Exact radiative spacetimes that

- model short but strong pulses of gravitational radiation
- models of ultrarelativistic particle
- curvature concentrated on the null hypersurface $\{U = 0\}$
- \bullet continuous form $g\in \mathcal{C}^{0,1}$ vs. 'distributional form' $g\in \mathcal{D}'$

Nonexpanding impulsive gravitational waves

on constant curvature background

Goals

Geodesic completenss:

analytically 'singular' vs. geometrically 'non-singular'

2 Explicitly calculate geodesics

$$ds^{2} = \frac{g_{ij}\left(U, X^{k}\right) \mathrm{d}X^{i} \mathrm{d}X^{j} - 2 \mathrm{d}U \mathrm{d}V}{\left(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{12} \left(\delta_{ij} X^{i} X^{j} - 2UV - 2U_{+}G\right)\right)^{2}}$$

with

- $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + 2U_+ H_{,ij} + U_+^2 \delta^{kl} H_{,ik} H_{,jl}, \ G = H X^i H_{,i}$
- H smooth fct of the spatial variables, and U_+ the kink-fct.

$$ds^{2} = \frac{g_{ij}\left(U, X^{k}\right) \mathrm{d}X^{i} \mathrm{d}X^{j} - 2 \mathrm{d}U \mathrm{d}V}{\left(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{12} \left(\delta_{ij} X^{i} X^{j} - 2UV - 2U_{+}G\right)\right)^{2}}$$

with

•
$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + 2U_+ H_{,ij} + U_+^2 \delta^{kl} H_{,ik} H_{,jl}, \ G = H - X^i H_{,i}$$

• *H* smooth fct of the spatial variables, and U_+ the kink-fct.

$$\begin{split} \ddot{U} &- 2\frac{\omega, \upsilon}{\omega} \dot{U}^2 - g_{ij} \frac{\omega, \upsilon}{\omega} \dot{X}^i \dot{X}^j - 2\frac{\omega, i}{\omega} \dot{U} \dot{X}^i = 0 \,, \\ \ddot{V} &- 2\frac{\omega, \upsilon}{\omega} \dot{V}^2 - \left(g_{jk} \frac{\omega, \upsilon}{\omega} - \frac{1}{2} g_{jk, \upsilon} \right) \dot{X}^j \dot{X}^k - 2\frac{\omega, i}{\omega} \dot{V} \dot{X}^i = 0 \,, \\ \ddot{X}^i &+ \left[{}^s \Gamma^i_{kl} - \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\delta^i_k \omega, l + \delta^i_l \omega, k - g^{ij} g_{kl} \omega_j \right) \right] \dot{X}^k \dot{X}^l - 2g^{ij} \frac{\omega, j}{\omega} \dot{V} \dot{U} \\ &- 2\delta^i_k \frac{\omega, \upsilon}{\omega} \dot{V} \dot{X}^k + \left(g^{ij} g_{jk, \upsilon} - 2\delta^i_k \frac{\omega, \upsilon}{\omega} \right) \dot{U} \dot{X}^k = 0 \,. \end{split}$$

$$ds^{2} = \frac{g_{ij}\left(U, X^{k}\right) \mathrm{d}X^{i} \mathrm{d}X^{j} - 2 \mathrm{d}U \mathrm{d}V}{\left(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{12} \left(\delta_{ij} X^{i} X^{j} - 2UV - 2U_{+}G\right)\right)^{2}}$$

with

- $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + 2U_+ H_{,ij} + U_+^2 \delta^{kl} H_{,ik} H_{,jl}, \ G = H X^i H_{,i}$
- H smooth fct of the spatial variables, and U_+ the kink-fct.

$$ds^{2} = \frac{g_{ij}\left(U, X^{k}\right) \mathrm{d}X^{i} \mathrm{d}X^{j} - 2 \mathrm{d}U \mathrm{d}V}{\left(1 + \frac{\Lambda}{12} \left(\delta_{ij} X^{i} X^{j} - 2UV - 2U_{+}G\right)\right)^{2}}$$

with

•
$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + 2U_+ H_{,ij} + U_+^2 \,\delta^{kl} H_{,ik} H_{,jl}, \ G = H - X^i H_{,i}$$

• *H* smooth fct of the spatial variables, and U_+ the kink-fct.

C^1 -matching of the geodesics

- Physicists like to derive the geodesics by matching geodesics of background across wave-surface.
- Only possible if geodesics cross the wave-surface at all
 - are \mathcal{C}^1 across the wave-surface
 - are unique

Existence, regularity, uniqueness, completeness

- $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{0,1} \Rightarrow$ For any initial condition Filippov solutions to the geodesic equation exist
 - \Rightarrow they are curves with AC velocities, in particular \mathcal{C}^1
- $\mathbf{g} \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ off the wave surface $N := \{U = 0\}$
- The wave srfc. N is totally geodesic:
 - N totally geodesic in the background
 - \bullet Geodesics are $\mathcal{C}^1\text{-}\mathsf{curves}$ and
 - background geodesics off N
 - \Rightarrow All geodesics with data given off N are unique
 - and they cross N

- \Rightarrow The $\mathcal{C}^1\text{-matching}$ applies
- g is the background metric off N
 - $\Rightarrow {\tt geodesic\ completeness}$

The explicit matching

For the geodesics in non-expanding impulsive gravitational waves on any constant curvature background we obtain

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{U}_i^- &= 0 = \mathcal{U}_i^+ \,, & \dot{\mathcal{U}}_i^- = \dot{\mathcal{U}}_i^+ \,, \\ \mathcal{V}_i^- &= \mathcal{V}_i^+ - \mathcal{H}_i \,, & \dot{\mathcal{V}}_i^- = \dot{\mathcal{V}}_i^+ - \mathcal{H}_{i,X} \, \dot{x}_i^+ - \mathcal{H}_{i,Y} \, \dot{y}_i^+ \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2} \big((\mathcal{H}_{i,X})^2 + (\mathcal{H}_{i,Y})^2 \big) \, \dot{\mathcal{U}}_i^+ \,, \\ x_i^- &= x_i^+ \,, & \dot{x}_i^- = \dot{x}_i^+ - \mathcal{H}_{i,X} \, \dot{\mathcal{U}}_i^+ \,, \\ y_i^- &= y_i^+ \,, & \dot{y}_i^- = \dot{y}_i^+ - \mathcal{H}_{i,Y} \, \dot{\mathcal{U}}_i^+ \,. \end{split}$$

w.r.t. the conformally flat coordinates of the background

$$\mathrm{d}s^{2} = \frac{2\left|\left(V/p\right)\mathrm{d}Z + U_{+}\,p\,\bar{H}\,\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}\right|^{2} + 2\,\mathrm{d}U\,\mathrm{d}V - 2\epsilon\,\mathrm{d}U^{2}}{\left[1 + \frac{1}{6}\Lambda U(V - \epsilon U)\right]^{2}}\,,$$

with

•
$$p = 1 + \epsilon Z \overline{Z}, \ \epsilon = -1, 0, +1,$$

• $H(Z) = \frac{1}{2} [h'''/h' - (3/2)(h''/h')^2]$

$$\mathrm{d}s^{2} = \frac{2\left|\left(V/p\right)\mathrm{d}Z + U_{+}\,p\,\bar{H}\,\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}\right|^{2} + 2\,\mathrm{d}U\,\mathrm{d}V - 2\epsilon\,\mathrm{d}U^{2}}{\left[1 + \frac{1}{6}\Lambda U(V - \epsilon U)\right]^{2}}\,,$$

with

•
$$p = 1 + \epsilon Z \overline{Z}$$
. $\epsilon = -1.0.+1$.
 $\ddot{U} - \frac{2}{\omega} (\omega, \upsilon + \epsilon \omega, \upsilon) \dot{U}^2 + \left(\frac{\omega, \upsilon}{\omega} g_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} g_{ij, \upsilon}\right) \dot{X}^i \dot{X}^j = 0$,
 $\ddot{V} - 2 \frac{\omega, \upsilon}{\omega} \dot{V}^2 + 4\epsilon \frac{\omega, \upsilon}{\omega} \dot{V} \dot{U} - \frac{2\epsilon}{\omega} (\omega, \upsilon + 2\epsilon \omega, \upsilon) \dot{U}^2$
 $+ \left(\frac{g_{ij}}{\omega} (\omega, \upsilon + 2\epsilon \omega, \upsilon) - (\epsilon g_{ij, \upsilon} + \frac{1}{2} g_{ij, \upsilon})\right) \dot{X}^i \dot{X}^j = 0$,
 $\ddot{X}^i - \left(2\delta_j^i \frac{\omega, \upsilon}{\omega} - g^{il} g_{jl, \upsilon}\right) \dot{V} \dot{X}^i - \left(2\delta_j^i \frac{\omega, \upsilon}{\omega} - g^{il} g_{jl, \upsilon}\right) \dot{U} \dot{X}^j + {}^{(s)}\Gamma_{jk}^i \dot{X}^j \dot{X}^k = 0$.

$$\mathrm{d}s^{2} = \frac{2\left|\left(V/p\right)\mathrm{d}Z + U_{+}\,p\,\bar{H}\,\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}\right|^{2} + 2\,\mathrm{d}U\,\mathrm{d}V - 2\epsilon\,\mathrm{d}U^{2}}{\left[1 + \frac{1}{6}\Lambda U(V - \epsilon U)\right]^{2}}\,,$$

with

•
$$p = 1 + \epsilon Z \overline{Z}, \ \epsilon = -1, 0, +1,$$

• $H(Z) = \frac{1}{2} [h'''/h' - (3/2)(h''/h')^2]$

$$\mathrm{d}s^{2} = \frac{2\left|\left(V/p\right)\mathrm{d}Z + U_{+}\,p\,\bar{H}\,\mathrm{d}\bar{Z}\right|^{2} + 2\,\mathrm{d}U\,\mathrm{d}V - 2\epsilon\,\mathrm{d}U^{2}}{\left[1 + \frac{1}{6}\Lambda U(V - \epsilon U)\right]^{2}}\,,$$

with

$\mathcal{C}^1\text{-matching}$ procedure

- N is a cone, hence not totally geodesic
- Uniqueness and crossing of wave surface by hand
- \sim completeness

Less but still related result

Distributional full Brinkmann form of IGW—gyratons

 $ds^2 = h_{ij}dx^i dx^j - 2dudr + H(x)\delta_{\alpha,\beta}(u)du^2 + 2a_i(x)\vartheta_L(u)dudx^i$

where
$$\delta_{lpha,eta}(u) = lpha\delta(u) + eta\delta(u-L)$$

and $artheta_L(u) = rac{1}{L}(\Theta(u) - \Theta(u-L))$

$$\alpha\delta(u) \qquad \beta\delta(u-L)$$

$$\vartheta_L(u) = \frac{1}{L} \big(\Theta(u) - \Theta(u-L)\big)$$

$$0 \qquad L$$

- regularisation of δ and Θ
- completeness of geodesics in the regularised smooth spacetime
 - via a fixed point argument
 - for small regularisation parameter, say $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$
- BUT ε_0 depends on the initial values of the geodesic
- formulation in terms of nonlinear distributional geometry based on Colombeau algebras

Diana Vienna

List of completeness results

Prague Relativity Group



Jiří Podolský

Robert Švarc



Clemens

Sämann

Alexander Lecke

- $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}$, $\Lambda = 0$, non-exp.
- $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}$, $\Lambda \neq 0$, non-exp.
- $\mathcal{C}^{0,1}$. $\Lambda \neq 0$, expanding
- \mathcal{D}' , general non-flat wave-surface
- \mathcal{D}' , gyratons

[Lecke, S., Švarc, 14] [Podolský, Sämann, S., Švarc, 15] • \mathcal{D}' , $\Lambda \neq 0$, non-exp. [Sämann, S., Lecke, Podolský, 16 & 17] [Podolský, Sämann, S., Švarc, 16] [Sämann, S., 12, 15] [Podolský, S., Švarc, 14] [Podolský, Sämann, S., Švarc, 16]

/ 25 23

Outlook

- properties of F-geodesics
- application to matched spacetimes
- Ehlers-Kundt conjecture
- find Lipschitz continuous metric for gyratons
- relation between Lipschitz and distributional metric

Outlook

- properties of F-geodesics
- application to matched spacetimes
- Ehlers-Kundt conjecture
- find Lipschitz continuous metric for gyratons
- relation between Lipschitz and distributional metric

Thank you for your attention!



Some related Literature

- [LSŠ,14] A. Lecke, R. Steinbauer, R. Švarc, The regularity of geodesics in impulsive pp-waves. GRG 46 (2014)
- [PSS,14] J. Podolský, R. Steinbauer, R. Švarc, Gyratonic pp-waves and their impulsive limit. PRD 90 (2014)
- [PSSŠ,15] J. Podolský, C. Sämann, R. Steinbauer, R. Švarc, The global existence, uniqueness and C¹-regularity of geodesics in nonexpanding impulsive gravitational waves. CQG 32 (2015)
- [PSSŠ,16] J. Podolský, C. Sämann, R. Steinbauer, R. Švarc, The global uniqueness and C¹-regularity of geodesics in expanding impulsive gravitational waves. CQG 33 (2016)

[PŠSS,17] J. Podolský, R. Švarc, C. Sämann, R. Steinbauer, Penrose junction conditions extended: impulsive waves with gyratons, arXiv:1704.08570

[SS,12] C. Sämann, R. Steinbauer, On the completeness of impulsive gravitational wave spacetimes. CQG 29 (2012)

[SS,15] C. Sämann, R. Steinbauer, *Geodesic completeness of generalized space-times*. in Pseudo-differential operators and generalized functions. Pilipovic, S., Toft, J. (eds) Birkhäuser/Springer, 2015

[SS,17] C. Sämann, R. Steinbauer, Geodesics in nonexpanding impulsive gravitational waves with Λ , part II, arXiv:1704.05383

- [SSLP,16] C. Sämann, R. Steinbauer, A. Lecke, J. Podolský, Geodesics in nonexpanding impulsive gravitational waves with Λ, part I, CQG 33 (2016)
- [SSŠ,16] C. Sämann, R. Steinbauer, R. Švarc, Completeness of general pp-wave spacetimes and their impulsive limit., CQG 33 (2016)
- [S,14] R. Steinbauer, Every Lipschitz metric has C¹-geodesics. CQG 31, 057001 (2014)

Thank you for your attention!

