

1 The affine superscheme

Let $A = A_{\bar{0}} \oplus A_{\bar{1}}$ be a superring. The commutative ring $A/(A_{\bar{1}})$ is denoted \bar{A} and is called the body of A . We know there is an inclusion preserving one-to-one correspondence between the prime ideals in A and the prime ideals in \bar{A} . Now consider $A_{\bar{0}}$ as a commutative ring. It has an ideal $A_{\bar{1}}^2$, which is contained in all prime ideals. Furthermore, we have $\bar{A} \cong A_{\bar{0}}/A_{\bar{1}}^2$ so that we conclude that there is an inclusion preserving one-to-one correspondence between the prime ideals of $A_{\bar{0}}$ and A . We use this fact to associate a topological space to each superring. This topological space we equip with a sheaf of superrings. The result is called an affine superscheme and a general superscheme has to look locally like an affine superscheme. The presentation below is very similar to the usual expositions of the construction of the spectrum of a commutative ring. Therefore the discussion will be rather short and some proofs are omitted. All omitted proofs for the commutative case can be found in the textbooks [1, 2] and can be copied almost literally for the super case.

1.1 The topological space

For any commutative ring R , we denote $\text{Spec}(R)$ the topological space of prime ideals. The topology is defined by prescribing the closed sets. The closed sets of $\text{Spec}(R)$ are the sets

$$V(\mathfrak{a}) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid \mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{a}\}, \quad (1)$$

where \mathfrak{a} is any ideal in R . If \mathfrak{a} is generated by a single element $f \in R$, we also write $V(f)$ for $V(\mathfrak{a})$. One checks easily that $V(\mathfrak{a}) \cup V(\mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a}\mathfrak{b}) = V(\mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{b})$ and $V(\sum_i \mathfrak{a}_i) = \bigcap_i V(\mathfrak{a}_i)$, so that the closed sets $V(\mathfrak{a})$ indeed define a topology. For any $f \in R$ we define the principal open set $D(f)$ by

$$D(f) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R) \mid f \notin \mathfrak{p}\}. \quad (2)$$

Equivalently, we can define $D(f)$ as the complement of $V(f)$. If J is any ideal in R that is contained in the nilradical of R , then the projection $\pi : R \rightarrow R/J$ induces a continuous map

$$\pi' : \text{Spec}(R/J) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R), \quad \pi'(\mathfrak{p}) = \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p}). \quad (3)$$

As J is contained in the nilradical, the map π' is a bijection and one checks easily that its inverse, which sends $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Spec}(R)$ to $\mathfrak{q} \bmod J \in \text{Spec}(R/J)$, is continuous. Hence π' is in fact a homeomorphism. Thus for any superring A there is a homeomorphism of topological spaces:

$$\text{Spec}(\bar{A}) \cong \text{Spec}(A_{\bar{0}}), \quad (4)$$

induced by the projection $A_{\bar{0}} \rightarrow \bar{A} \cong A_{\bar{0}}/A_{\bar{1}}^2$. To any superring A we now associate the topological space $\text{Spec}(A_{\bar{0}})$ and call it the spectrum of A . For convenience, we mostly work with the description of the spectrum of A as the topological space of prime ideals of $A_{\bar{0}}$, although describing the spectrum as the prime ideals of \bar{A} is equivalent. The following lemma gives some properties of the principal open sets on $\text{Spec}(A_{\bar{0}})$:

Lemma 1.1. *Let A be a superring, then we have:*

- (i) *If $F = \{f_i \mid i \in I\}$ is a set of elements of $A_{\bar{0}}$, then $\bigcup_{i \in I} D(f_i) = \text{Spec}(A_{\bar{0}})$ if and only if the ideal generated by the f_i is A . Thus, the principal open sets $D(f_i)$ cover $\text{Spec}(A_{\bar{0}})$ if and only if there are finitely many f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_k} in F and finitely many $a_1, \dots, a_k \in A$ such that $1 = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j f_{i_j}$.*
- (ii) *$D(\mathfrak{a}) \subset D(\mathfrak{b})$ if and only if $V(\mathfrak{b}) \subset V(\mathfrak{a})$ if and only if $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}} \subset \sqrt{\mathfrak{b}}$. In particular, $D(f) \subset D(g)$ if and only if $f \in \sqrt{g}$.*

(iii) $D(f) \cap D(g) = D(fg)$.

(iv) If $D(f) = D(g)$, then $A_f \cong A_g$.

(v) The principal open sets are a basis of the topology.

Proof. (i): The functions f_i generate A_0 in A_0 if and only if they generate A in A . Hence we are back in the commutative case. (ii): The first equivalence is trivial. For the second; $V(\mathfrak{b}) \subset V(\mathfrak{a})$ if and only if any prime ideal that contains \mathfrak{b} also contains \mathfrak{a} . But $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$ is the intersection of the prime ideals that contain \mathfrak{a} . (iii): A prime ideal \mathfrak{p} does not contain f and g if and only if it does not contain fg . (iv): By (ii) there are $a, b \in A$ and integers m, n such that $f^n = ag$ and $g^m = bf$. We have a natural map $A_f \rightarrow A_g$ that maps c/f^s to cb^s/g^{ms} and a natural map $A_g \rightarrow A_f$ that maps d/g^t to da^t/f^{nt} . Since $f^{mn} = a^mbf$ and $ab^ng = g^{mn}$, these maps are isomorphisms. (v): Any open set U is the complement of a set $V(\mathfrak{a})$ for some \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded ideal in A . This complement is not empty if there exists an even $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ that is not contained in some prime ideal \mathfrak{p} . Then $D(a) \subset U$. \square

1.2 The sheaf

We now equip the topological space $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ with a sheaf \mathcal{O} of superrings, such that the stalks are local rings. In this way, $\text{Spec}(A_0)$ becomes a locally superringed space. First we describe some properties that we want the sheaf to have. Then we define the sheaf and state as a proposition that the sheaf indeed has the desired properties.

The stalk at \mathfrak{p} of the sheaf \mathcal{O} we want to be $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. This is in analogy with the case for commutative rings. Also, if we have a map of superrings $\psi : A \rightarrow B$, then for any prime ideal \mathfrak{q} of B , the preimage $\psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ is a prime ideal of A and we have an induced map $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}} : A_{\psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{q}}$.

On the principal open sets $D(f)$ we want that $\mathcal{O}(D(f)) = A_f$. We thus only allow even f for the principal open sets. If $D(f) \subset D(g)$ then $f^n = ag$ for some $a \in A$ and thus there is a natural map $A_g \mapsto A_f$ given by

$$\frac{b}{g^m} \mapsto \frac{a^m b}{f^{mn}}. \quad (5)$$

The map $A_g \mapsto A_f$ is well-defined and does not depend on the choice of the exponent n and the element a . If we have inclusions $D(f) \subset D(g) \subset D(h)$, then one easily checks that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_h & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A_f \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \\ & & A_g \end{array} \quad (6)$$

Furthermore, if $D(f) = D(g)$, then by lemma 1.1 we have $A_f \cong A_g$. The assignment $D(f) \mapsto A_f$ therefore defines a presheaf on the principal open sets. The following lemma shows that the assignment in fact gives a sheaf on the principal open sets:

Lemma 1.2. *Suppose $D(f) = D(f_1) \cup \dots \cup D(f_k)$.*

(i) *If $s \in A_f$ goes to zero in all maps $A_f \rightarrow A_{f_i}$, then $s = 0$ in A_f .*

(ii) If a set of elements $s_i \in A_{f_i}$ is given, such that s_i and s_j have the same image in $A_{f_i f_j}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq k$, then there is an element $s \in A_f$ that has image s_i in A_{f_i} for all i .

Proof. As $D(f) \cong \text{Spec}(A_f)$ it is sufficient to prove the lemma for $f = 1$. For (i): s goes to zero in A_{f_i} if and only if there is an integer N such that $f_i^N s = 0$ for all i . But as the $D(f_i)$ form a cover, there is a relation $1 = f_1 a_1 + \dots + f_k a_k$. But then $s = 1s = (f_1 a_1 + \dots + f_k a_k)^{Nk} s = 0$. For (ii): We can write $s_i = a_i / f_i^n$ for some n and some $a_i \in A$. That the images of s_i and s_j agree in $A_{f_i f_j}$ means that $a_i f_j^n / (f_i f_j)^n = a_j f_i^n / (f_i f_j)^n$. But then there is an integer m such that

$$(f_i f_j)^m (a_i f_j^n - a_j f_i^n) = 0, \quad \forall i, j. \quad (7)$$

We define $b_i = a_i f_i^m$ and write $s_i = b_i / f_i^{n+m}$. Then eqn.(7) reads $b_i f_j^{n+m} = b_j f_i^{n+m}$. From $\text{Spec}(A_{\bar{0}}) = \cup D(f_i) = \cup D(f_i^{n+m})$ we infer that there is a relation $1 = \sum_i c_i f_i^{n+m}$ for some even c_i . Define $s = \sum_i c_i b_i$, then as $s f_i^{n+m} = b_i$ we see that the image of s in A_{f_i} is s_i . \square

With these preliminaries we can give the general definition of the sheaf on $\text{Spec}(A_{\bar{0}})$. To check that it is really a sheaf is then further an exercise in dealing with sheaves and can be found in the textbooks [2] and [1]. On an arbitrary open set $U \subset \text{Spec}(A_{\bar{0}})$ we define $\mathcal{O}(U)$ as follows: $\mathcal{O}(U)$ is the superring of all functions $s : U \rightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p} \in U} A_{\mathfrak{p}}$, $s : \mathfrak{p} \mapsto s_{\mathfrak{p}}$, such that $s_{\mathfrak{p}} \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and for all \mathfrak{p} in U there exists an open neighborhood V of \mathfrak{p} and elements $a \in A$ and $f \in A_{\bar{0}}$, with $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in V$, such that $s_{\mathfrak{q}}$ equals the image of a/f in $A_{\mathfrak{q}}$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in V$. As the principal sets form a basis of the topology, we may in fact always assume that $V = D(f)$. We call the sheaf \mathcal{O} associated to A the structure sheaf of $\text{Spec}(A)$. We now state that the given definition of the structure sheaf \mathcal{O} has the required properties:

Proposition 1.3. *Let A be a superring and let \mathcal{O} be the sheaf of superrings on $\text{Spec}(A_{\bar{0}})$ defined above. Then*

(i) *On the principal open sets we have $\mathcal{O}(D(f)) = A_f$.*

(ii) *The stalk at \mathfrak{p} is $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.*

Proof. We only indicate the proof and refer for the details to [2, 1]. (i) is proved in 1.2(ii). For (ii): the principal open sets are a basis for the topology and hence in calculating the inductive limit $\varinjlim_{\mathfrak{p} \in U} \mathcal{O}(U)$ we can take the limit over the principal open sets. If $f \in \sqrt{g}$ we have a map $A_g \rightarrow A_f$, which we use to construct the inverse system $(A_g \rightarrow A_f : f, g \notin \mathfrak{p}, \text{ and } f \in \sqrt{g})$; the limit of this inverse system is then the stalk at \mathfrak{p} . But the map

$$\varphi : \varinjlim_{f \notin \mathfrak{p}} A_f \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad \frac{a}{f} \mapsto \frac{a}{f} \in A_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad (8)$$

is an isomorphism of superrings. \square

From proposition 1.3 we see that the stalks are local rings, and the global sections are the elements of A .

If \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal in A and s is a section that is defined in some open neighborhood U of \mathfrak{p} , then we write $s_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for the image of s in $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$. The ‘function value’ of s in \mathfrak{p} is defined as the image of $s_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and is denoted $s(\mathfrak{p})$. All odd sections thus have zero value at any point, but as sections they are not zero.

2 The general superscheme

To define the category of superschemes is then done in a similar way as the category of schemes is constructed. We define a locally superringed space to be a pair (X, \mathcal{O}_X) , where X is a topological space and \mathcal{O}_X is a sheaf of superrings on X such that the stalks $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ are local superrings for all $x \in X$. A morphism of locally superringed spaces $(X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ is a pair (φ, ψ) with $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ a continuous map and ψ a set of morphisms $\psi_U : \mathcal{O}_Y(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\varphi^{-1}(U))$ for all open sets $U \subset Y$ satisfying the following two conditions: (i) The ψ_U are compatible with restrictions, that is, for all inclusions $V \subset U \subset Y$ the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{O}_Y(U) & \xrightarrow{\psi_U} & \mathcal{O}_X(\varphi^{-1}(U)) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \mathcal{O}_Y(V) & \xrightarrow{\psi_V} & \mathcal{O}_X(\varphi^{-1}(V))
 \end{array} \tag{9}$$

In diagram 9 the vertical arrows are the restriction maps. (ii): Because of the compatibility of the morphisms ψ_U , there is an induced map on the stalks $\psi_x : \mathcal{O}_{Y,\varphi(x)} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X,x}$, which we require to be a local morphism. That is, if $\mathfrak{m}_{\varphi(x)}$ is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{Y,\varphi(x)}$ and \mathfrak{m}_x is the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ then $\psi_x(\mathfrak{m}_{\varphi(x)}) \subset \mathfrak{m}_x$, or equivalently, $\psi_x^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}_x) = \mathfrak{m}_{\varphi(x)}$.

Definition 2.1. Let X be a topological space with a sheaf \mathcal{O}_X of local superrings. We say that (X, \mathcal{O}_X) is a superscheme if for each point $x \in X$ there is an open neighborhood U of x such that the topological space U equipped with the restriction of the sheaf \mathcal{O}_X to U is isomorphic as a locally superringed space to an affine superscheme $\text{Spec}(A_{\bar{0}})$ with its sheaf of superrings. If (X, \mathcal{O}_X) and (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) are two superschemes then a morphism of superschemes is morphism $f = (\varphi, \psi) : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$ of locally superringed spaces.

It follows from the definition that for a superring A , the topological space $X = \text{Spec}(A_{\bar{0}})$ equipped with the sheaf of superrings $\mathcal{O} : D(f) \mapsto A_f$ defines a superscheme. We denote Ssch the category of superschemes.

Proposition 2.2. Let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be a superscheme and let A be a superring. Denote (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) the superscheme associated to the spectrum of A . Then the natural map

$$\Gamma : \text{Hom}_{\text{Ssch}}((X, \mathcal{O}_X), (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\text{sRng}}(A, \mathcal{O}_X(X)) \tag{10}$$

that sends a morphism of superschemes $f = (\varphi, \psi)$ to the morphism of superrings $\psi_Y : A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X)$, is a bijection.

Proof. First we show that Γ is injective. Let $f = (\varphi, \psi)$ be a morphism and let $\Gamma(f) : A \mapsto \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ be the map on global sections. For any $x \in X$ the image $\varphi(x)$ is recovered by

$$\begin{aligned}
 \varphi(x) &= \{a \in A \mid a_{\varphi(x)} \in \mathfrak{m}_{\varphi(x)}\} \\
 &= \{a \in A \mid \psi_x(a_{\varphi(x)}) \in \mathfrak{m}_x\} \\
 &= \{a \in A \mid (\psi_X(a))_x \in \mathfrak{m}_x\}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Indeed, the first equality follows from the fact that for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset A$ the kernel of the map $A \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is precisely \mathfrak{p} . The second equality follows from the fact that

$\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a local morphism. The third equality follows since ψ is compatible with restrictions to any open subset in X , so that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A = \mathcal{O}_Y(Y) & \xrightarrow{\psi_X} & \mathcal{O}_X(X) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A_{\varphi(x)} = \mathcal{O}_{Y,f(x)} & \xrightarrow{\psi_x} & \mathcal{O}_{X,x}
\end{array} \tag{12}$$

Thus the continuous map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$ is uniquely determined by $\psi_X = \Gamma(f)$. To show that the maps ψ_U for open sets $U \subset Y$ are uniquely determined by ψ_X as well, we only need to check this on a basis of the topology, which is given by the principal open sets $D(g)$, for an even element g of A . Consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A = \mathcal{O}_Y(Y) & \xrightarrow{\psi_X} & \mathcal{O}_X(X) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A_g = \mathcal{O}_{Y,D(g)} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{D(g)}} & \mathcal{O}_{X,\varphi^{-1}(D(g))}
\end{array} \tag{13}$$

The map $\psi_{D(g)}$ is the unique map $A_g \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\varphi^{-1}(D(g)))$ that makes the diagram commutative. Indeed, the image of the elements in the multiplicative set generated by g under the composition $A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\varphi^{-1}(D(g)))$ is contained in the set of invertible elements of $\mathcal{O}_X(\varphi^{-1}(D(g)))$. But then there is one unique map $A_g \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(\varphi^{-1}(D(g)))$ such that the diagram commutes by the universal property of the localized superring A_g . Thus the map Γ is injective.

To prove surjectivity of Γ , we first assume $X = \text{Spec}(B)$ for some superring B and that a morphism of superrings $\chi : A \rightarrow B$ is given. As alluded before, this induces a map $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}} : A_{\chi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset B$. By the above, we know that there is only one possible continuous map $\varphi : X \rightarrow Y$, which is easily seen to be $\varphi(\mathfrak{p}) = \chi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ for any prime ideal $\mathfrak{p} \subset B$. The map φ is then continuous since for any principal open subset $D(g) \subset Y$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{-1}(D(g)) &= \{\mathfrak{p} \subset B, \text{ prime ideal} \mid g \notin \chi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})\} \\
&= \{\mathfrak{p} \subset B, \text{ prime ideal} \mid \chi(g) \notin \mathfrak{p}\} \\
&= D(\chi(g)).
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

As the $D(g)$ are a basis, φ is continuous. Since $\varphi^{-1}(D(g)) = D(\chi(g))$ we immediately see that on the principal open subsets we can define $\psi_{D(g)}$ by the map

$$\psi_{D(g)} = \chi_g : A_g \rightarrow B_{\chi(g)}, \quad \frac{a}{g^r} \mapsto \frac{\chi(a)}{\chi(g)^r}. \tag{15}$$

The map $\psi_{D(g)}$ is then compatible with restrictions: Let $D(h) \subset D(g)$, then $h^n = vg$ for some $v \in A_{\bar{0}}$ and $\chi(h)^n = \chi(v)\chi(g)$. Thus we have maps $A_g \rightarrow A_h$, sending a/g^r to av^r/h^{nr} and $B_{\chi(g)} \rightarrow B_{\chi(h)}$ sending $b/\chi(g)^r$ to $b\chi(v)^r/\chi(h)^{nr}$. Then the following

diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A_g & \xrightarrow{\psi_{D(g)}} & B_{\chi(g)} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 A_h & \xrightarrow{\psi_{D(h)}} & B_{\chi(h)}
 \end{array} \tag{16}$$

For any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in B , we then consider the compositions $A_g \rightarrow B_{\chi(g)} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}$, where g runs over all the even elements of A with $\chi(g) \notin \mathfrak{p}$. As the diagram 16 commutes, there is a unique map $A_{\chi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}$, which is the induced map on the stalks $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$. We see that $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ maps a/g to $\chi(a)/\chi(g)$ for any $g \notin \psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})$. Thus ψ coincides with the natural map $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}} : A_{\chi^{-1}(\mathfrak{p})} \rightarrow B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and we see that $\psi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is local. The maps ψ_U for open $U \subset Y$ thus combine with φ to give a morphism $f = (\varphi, \psi)$ of superschemes and on the global sections we see that $\psi_X = \chi$.

Assuming surjectivity of Γ for affine superschemes, let (X, \mathcal{O}_X) be any superscheme. We can cover X by affine superscheme $(X_\alpha, \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha})$, where \mathcal{O}_{X_α} is the restriction of \mathcal{O}_X to X_α . For any $\chi : A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X)$ define χ_α as the composition of χ with the restriction map $\mathcal{O}_X(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha}(X_\alpha) = \mathcal{O}_X(X_\alpha)$. Then there are morphisms $f_\alpha = (\varphi_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)$ with $\psi_\alpha(X_\alpha) = \chi_\alpha$. The following diagram commutes due to the definition of χ_α :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A & \xrightarrow{\chi_\alpha} & \mathcal{O}_X(X_\alpha) \\
 \downarrow \chi_\beta & \searrow \psi & \nearrow r_\alpha \\
 & \mathcal{O}_X(X) & \\
 & \nearrow r_\beta & \downarrow r_{\alpha, \alpha\beta} \\
 \mathcal{O}_X(X_\beta) & \xrightarrow{r_{\beta, \alpha\beta}} & \mathcal{O}_X(X_\alpha \cap X_\beta)
 \end{array} \tag{17}$$

where $r_\alpha, r_\beta, r_{\alpha, \alpha\beta}$ and $r_{\beta, \alpha\beta}$ are the restriction morphisms. Hence, we have two morphisms of superschemes f_α, f_β from $(X_\alpha \cap X_\beta, \mathcal{O}_{X_\alpha \cap X_\beta})$ to $(\text{Spec}(A), \mathcal{O}_{\text{Spec}(A)})$ that give the same morphism of superrings $A \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(X_\alpha \cap X_\beta)$. But the map Γ is injective and therefore on the intersections $X_\alpha \cap X_\beta$ the morphisms f_α agree. We can thus glue the f_α together to form a morphism $f : (X, \mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y)$. \square

The following two corollaries are immediate:

Corollary 2.3. *The object $\text{Spec}(\mathbb{Z})$ is terminal in the category of superschemes.*

Corollary 2.4. *The category of affine superschemes is contravariant equivalent to the category of superrings.*

An important example of an affine superscheme is the space $\mathbb{A}_k^{n|m}$, which is defined as $\text{Spec}(A_{n|m})$ where $A_{n|m} = k[x_1, \dots, x_n | \vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_m]$.

3 Projective superschemes

We say a superring A is a \mathbb{Z} -graded superring if A is a direct sum $A = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} A_i$ of abelian groups such that $(A_i)_{\bar{0}} = A_{\bar{0}} \cap A_i$, $(A_i)_{\bar{1}} = A_{\bar{1}} \cap A_i$ and the multiplication map satisfies $A_i A_j \subset A_{i+j}$. We say an element a of A is homogeneous if it lies in some $A_{i,\bar{0}}$ or $A_{i,\bar{1}}$. We call an element \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous if it lies in some A_i . We write $\deg(a)$ for the \mathbb{Z} -degree of a \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous element of A . An ideal $\mathfrak{a} \subset A$ is called homogeneous if for any element $a \in \mathfrak{a}$ also all its homogeneous components $a_{i,\bar{0}} \in A_{i,\bar{0}}$ and $a_{i,\bar{1}} \in A_{i,\bar{1}}$ lie in \mathfrak{a} . Intersection, sum and product of homogeneous ideals are again homogeneous and an ideal is homogeneous if and only if it can be generated by homogeneous elements. A homogeneous ideal \mathfrak{p} is prime if and only if for any homogeneous $a, a' \in A$ that are not in \mathfrak{p} also $aa' \notin \mathfrak{p}$. We denote A_+ the homogeneous ideal given by $A_+ = \bigoplus_{i \geq 1} A_i$.

We want to associate a topological space to a \mathbb{Z} -graded superring. Following for example [2] we define $\text{Proj}(A)$ to be the set of homogeneous prime ideals in A that do not contain A_+ . We give $\text{Proj}(A)$ the topology defined by the closed sets $V(\mathfrak{a}) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(A) \mid \mathfrak{p} \supset \mathfrak{a}\}$, where \mathfrak{a} is any homogeneous ideal in A . As in the affine case, one checks easily that this indeed defines a topology. For any even homogeneous $f \in A_+$ we define the principal open subset $D_+(f)$ to be the subset of $\text{Proj}(A)$ of the homogeneous prime ideals \mathfrak{p} that do not contain f . As in the affine case, the set $D_+(f)$ is the complement of $V(f)$ and the set of all $D_+(f)$, where f runs over all homogeneous even elements of A_+ , forms a basis of the topology. Indeed, if $V(\mathfrak{a})$ is a closed subset, we choose $a \in \mathfrak{a}_{\bar{0}} \cap A_+$, then $D_+(a)$ lies in the complement of $V(\mathfrak{a})$.

Let $\{f_i \in A_+ \cap A_{\bar{0}} \mid i \in I\}$ be a set of even \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous elements in A_+ such that the f_i generate A_+ . Then we have $\text{Proj}(A) = \bigcup_{i \in I} D_+(f_i)$, since if \mathfrak{p} is a homogeneous prime ideal not in the union, it contains all the f_i and thus A_+ , which is impossible. The converse need not hold. A counterexample is provided by the commutative \mathbb{Z} -graded ring $A = k[x, y]/(y^2)$, where $A_0 = k$ and the \mathbb{Z} -degrees of x and y are both 1. Then $\text{Proj}(A)$ contains only the element $\mathfrak{p} = (y)$: Suppose a homogeneous prime ideal \mathfrak{p} contains $f = x^m + \lambda x^{m-1}y$ for some $\lambda \in k$. Since $y \in \mathfrak{p}$ it follows that $x^m \in \mathfrak{p}$. But then we need that $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ and it follows that $A_+ = (x, y) \subset \mathfrak{p}$, which is impossible by the definition of $\text{Proj}(A)$. But then $D_+(x)$ is an open subset of $\text{Proj}(A)$ that covers $\text{Proj}(A)$. However, x does not generate A_+ . The following lemma does give a sufficient and necessary condition for a set of even \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous elements to give rise to a covering of $\text{Proj}(A)$:

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\{f_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a set of even \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous elements in A_+ . Then $\bigcup_{i \in I} D_+(f_i) = \text{Proj}(A)$ if and only if the radical ideal of the ideal $(f_i : i \in I)$ contains A_+ .*

Proof. Suppose that the radical ideal of $(f_i : i \in I)$ contains A_+ . Then any homogeneous prime ideal \mathfrak{p} not contained in the union $\bigcup_{i \in I} D_+(f_i)$ must contain $(f_i : i \in I)$ and therefore also the radical of $(f_i : i \in I)$. But then $\mathfrak{p} \supset A_+$, and thus \mathfrak{p} does not correspond to a point in $\text{Proj}(A)$. For the converse, suppose that the radical ideal of $(f_i : i \in I)$ does not contain A_+ . Then there is a homogeneous a such that no power of a lies in $(f_i : i \in I)$. Consider the set Ω of homogeneous ideals that contain $(f_i : i \in I)$ but do not contain any power of a . Then Ω is not empty as $(f_i : i \in I) \in \Omega$ and Ω can be partially ordered by inclusion. If $\{I_\alpha\}$ is some totally ordered subset of Ω , then $\bigcup_\alpha I_\alpha$ is homogeneous, contains $(f_i : i \in I)$ and does not contain any power of a . By Zorn, there is a maximal element $\mathfrak{m} \in \Omega$. Suppose, that there are $x, y \notin \mathfrak{m}$ that are homogeneous and that $xy \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then the homogeneous ideals (\mathfrak{m}, x) and (\mathfrak{m}, y) both properly contain \mathfrak{m} and thus contain some power of a . There are thus $r, s, r', s' \in A$ and $m, m' \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $a^k = (rx + sm)$ and $a^l = (r'y + s'm')$ for some positive integers k, l . It follows then that $a^{k+r} = (rx + sm)(r'y + s'm') \in \mathfrak{m}$, which is a contradiction; hence no such x and

y exist. Therefore \mathfrak{m} is a prime ideal. Since $a \notin \mathfrak{m}$, \mathfrak{m} does not contain A_+ . But then \mathfrak{m} corresponds to a point in $\text{Proj}(A)$ not contained in the union $\bigcup_{i \in I} D_+(f_i)$. Hence the $D_+(f_i)$ do not cover $\text{Proj}(A)$. \square

If S is a multiplicative set inside A that only contains even \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous elements, then the localization $S^{-1}A$ has a natural \mathbb{Z} -grading and is again a superring: For homogeneous a we define the \mathbb{Z} -grading of a/s to be the \mathbb{Z} -degree of a minus the \mathbb{Z} -degree of s and we call a/s even (odd) if a is even (odd). The subsuperring of all elements of \mathbb{Z} -degree zero we denote $(S^{-1}A)_0$. This is again a superring and all elements are of the form a/s for some \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous $a \in A$ with \mathbb{Z} -degree equals to the \mathbb{Z} -degree of s . In the case where $S \subset A_0$, one easily sees that $(S^{-1}A)_0$ is naturally isomorphic to the superring obtained by localizing A_0 with respect to S . If S is the multiplicative set generated by an even \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous element f , then we write $A_{(f)}$ for $(S^{-1}A)_0$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let S be a multiplicative set of A that only contains even \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous elements. Let T' be a multiplicative set inside $(S^{-1}A)_0$ that only contains even elements. Consider the set T of even elements $t \in A$ such that $t/s \in T'$ for some $s \in S$. Then T is a multiplicative set inside A that only contains even \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous elements and*

$$(T')^{-1}(S^{-1}A)_0 \cong ((TS)^{-1}A)_0. \quad (18)$$

Proof. We denote the elements of $(T')^{-1}(S^{-1}A)_0$ by $(a/s, t/z)$, where $a/s \in (S^{-1}A)_0$ and $t/z \in T'$. All elements of $(T')^{-1}(S^{-1}A)_0$ are of the form $(a/s, t/z)$, with $\deg(a) = \deg(s)$, $\deg(z) = \deg(z)$ and $t \in T$.

We define a map $\varphi : (T')^{-1}(S^{-1}A)_0 \rightarrow ((TS)^{-1}A)_0$ by

$$\varphi : (a/s, t/z) \mapsto \frac{az}{st}. \quad (19)$$

It is easily checked that φ is well-defined and preserves the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading. Furthermore, we see that $\varphi(x) + \varphi(y) = \varphi(x + y)$ and $\varphi(xy) = \varphi(x)\varphi(y)$ for all $x, y \in (T')^{-1}(S^{-1}A)_0$. Therefore φ is a morphism of superrings. Suppose $\varphi((a/s, t/z)) = 0$, then there are $s' \in S$ and $t' \in T$ so that $s't'az = 0$ in A . But $(a/s, t/z)$ is zero in $(T')^{-1}(S^{-1}A)_0$ if and only if there are s'' and t'' in S and T respectively, such that $s''t''a = 0$. It follows that $\text{Ker}(\varphi) = 0$. To prove surjectivity, let $\frac{az}{st} \in ((TS)^{-1}(A))_0$ be given with $s \in S$ and $t \in T$. It follows that $\deg(a) = \deg(s) + \deg(t)$. As $t \in T$, there is an s' with $\deg(s') = \deg(t)$ such that $s'/t \in T'$. The element $(a/ss', t/s')$ of $(T')^{-1}(S^{-1}A)_0$ is thus well-defined and

$$\varphi : (a/ss', t/s') \mapsto \frac{as'}{tss'} \sim \frac{a}{st}. \quad (20)$$

\square

Lemma 3.3. *Let f be an even \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous element of nonzero \mathbb{Z} -degree. Then the map $\varphi : D_+(f) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_{(f)})$ given by $\varphi(\mathfrak{p}) = (\mathfrak{p}A_f)_0$ is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. We define an inverse map as follows (also see [3, 4]): suppose \mathfrak{q} is a prime ideal in $A_{(f)}$, define $\psi(\mathfrak{q})$ as the set of all elements that are sums of \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous elements y for which there exist integers m, n with $y^m/f^n \in \mathfrak{q}$. Then $\psi(\mathfrak{q})$ is by construction homogeneous, does not contain f and contains all odd elements. We thus need to show that $\psi(\mathfrak{q})$ is an ideal and is prime. Suppose $x, y \in \psi(\mathfrak{q})$ and x, y are homogeneous. If x, y do not have the same \mathbb{Z} -degree, then by definition $x + y$ lies in $\psi(\mathfrak{q})$. If x and y have the same \mathbb{Z} -degree and the integers a, b, c, d are such that x^a/f^b and y^c/f^d lie in \mathfrak{q} ,

then it follows that $ad = bc$. Thus $x^{ac}/f^{bc} \in \mathfrak{q}$ and $y^{ac}/f^{bc} \in \mathfrak{q}$, from which we deduce $(x+y)^{2ac}/f^{2bc} \in \mathfrak{q}$ so that $x+y \in \psi(\mathfrak{q})$. If $a \in A$ is homogeneous and x is a homogeneous element in $\psi(\mathfrak{q})$ with $x^m/f^n \in \mathfrak{q}$, then $(rx)^{m \deg(f)}/f^{n \deg(r)} \in \mathfrak{q}$, from which we conclude that $rx \in \psi(\mathfrak{q})$. Now suppose $x, y \in A$ are homogeneous such that $xy \in \psi(\mathfrak{q})$, so that there are positive integers m, n with $z = x^m y^n / f^m \in \mathfrak{q}$. Then $n \deg(x) + n \deg(y) = m \deg(f)$ and raising z to the power $\deg(f)$ gives

$$\frac{x^{n \deg(f)} y^{n \deg(f)}}{f^{n \deg(x)} f^{n \deg(y)}} \in \mathfrak{q}, \quad (21)$$

from which we conclude that $\psi(\mathfrak{q})$ is a prime ideal. It is furthermore straightforward to check that $\psi(\varphi(\mathfrak{p})) = \mathfrak{p}$ for any homogeneous prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in $D_+(f)$ and that $\varphi(\psi(\mathfrak{q})) = \mathfrak{q}$ for any prime ideal \mathfrak{q} in $A_{(f)}$.

One verifies easily that $\mathfrak{p} \in D_+(f)$ contains the homogeneous even element $g \in A$ if and only if $\varphi(\mathfrak{p})$ contains $h = g^{\deg(f)}/f^{\deg(g)} \in A_{(f)}$. Thus $\varphi(V(g) \cap D_+(f)) \subset V(h)$ and $\varphi^{-1}(V(h)) \subset V(g) \cap D_+(f)$. But then we must have equalities and thus φ is continuous and sends open sets to open sets. Since we already proved φ is a bijection, φ is a homeomorphism. \square

Corollary 3.4. *Let f be an even \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous element of nonzero \mathbb{Z} -degree. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the homogeneous prime ideals of A_f and the prime ideals of $A_{(f)}$.*

Proof. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the prime ideals in A not containing f and the prime ideals in A_f . It is easily seen that this correspondence preserves the \mathbb{Z} -grading. (For a direct proof see [3].) \square

For any prime ideal \mathfrak{p} not containing A_+ , consider the multiplicative set S of all even \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous elements of A that are not in \mathfrak{p} . Then the localization $S^{-1}A$ is a \mathbb{Z} -graded superring and contains a subsuperring of elements of \mathbb{Z} -degree zero. We define $A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ to be this subsuperring of elements of \mathbb{Z} -degree zero. It is easily seen that this again defines a superring. We then obtain a sheaf \mathcal{O} on $\text{Proj}(A)$ as follows: for any open set $U \subset \text{Proj}(A)$ we define $\mathcal{O}(U)$ to be the superring of all functions $s : U \rightarrow A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$, such that the image $s_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\mathfrak{p} \in U$ under s lies in $A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ and such that for all $\mathfrak{p} \in U$ there is an open neighborhood $V \subset U$ containing \mathfrak{p} for which there are \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous $a \in A$ and $f \in A_0$ of the same \mathbb{Z} -degree and $f \notin \mathfrak{q}$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in V$, such that $s_{\mathfrak{q}}$ coincides with the image of a/f in $A_{(\mathfrak{q})}$ for all $\mathfrak{q} \in V$. We call \mathcal{O} the structure sheaf of $\text{Proj}(A)$. We use the notation $(\mathcal{O}, \text{Proj}(A))$ for the topological space $\text{Proj}(A)$ equipped with the sheaf of superrings \mathcal{O} that we just defined.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Proj}(A)$, then the stalk of the sheaf \mathcal{O} at \mathfrak{p} is $A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$.*

Proof. The stalk can be constructed as follows: Consider the set of all pairs (s, U) , where U is an open neighborhood of \mathfrak{p} and $s \in \mathcal{O}(U)$. We define the pairs (s, U) and (t, V) to be equivalent if there is an open subset W contained in U and V such that the restrictions of s and t to W are equivalent as elements in $\mathcal{O}(W)$. The equivalence classes of the pairs (s, U) can then be equipped with the structure of a superring and the superring obtained in this way is the stalk $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

By the very definition of the sheaf \mathcal{O} on $\text{Spec}(A)$ we can assume that for any element $(s, U) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the open set U is so large that s is given by a/g for some $g \notin \mathfrak{p}$ and $a \in A$ with equal degree. Then there is an obvious map $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}} \rightarrow A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ that sends $(a/g, U)$ to the element a/g in $A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$. This implies that the map is well-defined. Clearly, it is surjective.

To check injectivity, we assume (s, U) is mapped to zero. We may then assume $s = a/g$ for some $g \notin \mathfrak{p}$. By assumption there is an even element $h \in \mathfrak{p}$ such that $ha = 0$. But then the section s vanishes on $U \cap D_+(h)$ and hence (s, U) is zero in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. \square

Proposition 3.6. *Let f be an even homogeneous element of A_+ . Then the restriction of the structure sheaf \mathcal{O} of A to $D_+(f)$ makes $D(f)$ into an affine superscheme, which is isomorphic to $\text{Spec}(A_{(f)})$.*

Proof. By lemma 3.3 the spaces $D_+(f)$ and $\text{Spec}(A_{(f)})$ are homeomorphic with the homeomorphism $\varphi : D_+(f) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A_{(f)})$ defined by $\varphi(\mathfrak{p}) = (\mathfrak{p}A_f)_0$. From the proof of the same lemma we know that $\varphi(D_+(f) \cap D_+(g)) = D(g^{\deg(f)}/f^{\deg(g)})$.

Call (X, \mathcal{O}_X) the superringed space $D_+(f)$ with the sheaf $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Proj}(A)}|_{D_+(f)}$ and (Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) the superscheme of $\text{Spec}(A_{(f)})$. Call S the multiplicative set of even \mathbb{Z} -homogeneous in A_f not contained in $\mathfrak{p}A_f$ for some homogeneous prime ideal \mathfrak{p} in $D_+(f)$. By lemma 3.2 we have $\mathcal{O}_{Y, \varphi(\mathfrak{p})} = (S^{-1}A_f)_0$, which is again isomorphic to $A_{(\mathfrak{p})}$ since $f \in S$. Therefore $\mathcal{O}_{X, \mathfrak{p}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{Y, \varphi(\mathfrak{p})}$ as superrings. But since the stalks are local rings, the isomorphism must be a local morphism. Explicitly, the morphism is given by $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}} : \mathcal{O}_{Y, \varphi(\mathfrak{p})} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X, \mathfrak{p}}$, $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}} : (a/f^r, g/f^t) \mapsto af^t/gf^r$.

A principal open set $D(g/f^t) \subset Y$ is the spectrum of $A_{(f, g)}$ and thus we have an induced map $\chi_{D(g/f^t)} : \mathcal{O}_Y(D(g/f^t)) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D_+(g) \cap D_+(f))$ given by $(a/f^r, g/f^t) \mapsto af^t/gf^r$. But then there is only one way to extend this to a map of sheaves. Suppose U is an open set of Y and s is a section over U . Then we define $\chi_U(s)$ to be the map that sends $\mathfrak{p} \in \varphi^{-1}(U)$ to $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}(s_{\varphi(\mathfrak{p})})$. Then $\chi_U(s)$ is indeed a section of $\mathcal{O}_X(U)$ since if on $V \subset U$ the section s is given by $s_{\mathfrak{q}} = (a/f^r, g/f^t) \in \mathcal{O}_{Y, \mathfrak{q}}$ then we have $\chi_U(s) : \mathfrak{p} \mapsto af^t/gf^r \in \mathcal{O}_{X, \mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \varphi^{-1}(U)$. It is then easily seen that the maps χ_U are compatible with restrictions and that the induced map on the stalks is precisely the local morphism $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}$. As $\chi_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an isomorphism, we have an isomorphism of sheaves $\mathcal{O}_X \cong \mathcal{O}_Y$ and the proposition is proved. \square

Corollary 3.7. *For any \mathbb{Z} -graded superring, $\text{Proj}(A)$, together with its structure sheaf \mathcal{O} of superrings, is a superscheme.*

We define the projective superspace $\mathbb{P}_k^{n|m}$ to be $\text{Proj}(A_{n+1|m})$, where $A_{n+1|m} = k[x_0, \dots, x_n | \vartheta_1, \dots, \vartheta_m]$. The elements x_i and ϑ_α we give \mathbb{Z} -degree 1. The elements x_0, \dots, x_n define an ideal whose radical is A_+ , and thus the subsets $D_+(x_i)$ provide a cover. One easily sees that $D_+(x_i) \cong \text{Spec}(A_{n|m}) = \mathbb{A}_k^{n|m}$.

References

- [1] David Mumford, The red book of varieties and schemes.
- [2] Robin Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry.
- [3] Sandra Di Rocco, Algebraic Geometry Lecture Notes, from website.
- [4] Lucien Szpiro, Basic Arithmetic Geometry, Lecture Notes, from website.