

# A new notion of solutions to gradient flows in metric measure spaces

Wojciech Górny

University of Vienna, University of Warsaw

Nonuniformly elliptic problems  
Warsaw, 6 September 2022

# $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation

Consider the model problem

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) & \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N; \\ u = u_0 & \text{on } \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

How to formulate this in a metric measure space?

# Gradient flow of the Dirichlet energy

One possible way is to consider the energy

$$\Phi_p(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p & \text{if } u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N); \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

well-defined over  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and apply the classical semigroup theory to get existence and uniqueness of solutions to the gradient flow

$$0 \in u_t + \partial\Phi_p(u),$$

where  $\partial\Phi$  is the subdifferential of a convex and lower semicontinuous functional  $\Phi$ , i.e.,

## Gradient flow of the Dirichlet energy

One possible way is to consider the energy

$$\Phi_p(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p & \text{if } u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N); \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

well-defined over  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$  and apply the classical semigroup theory to get existence and uniqueness of solutions to the gradient flow

$$0 \in u_t + \partial\Phi_p(u),$$

where  $\partial\Phi$  is the subdifferential of a convex and lower semicontinuous functional  $\Phi$ , i.e.,

$$\partial\Phi(u) = \left\{ v \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) : \Phi(w) - \Phi(u) \geq v \cdot (w - u) \text{ for all } w \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^N) \right\}.$$

# Metric gradient flows

$(\mathbb{X}, d)$  - complete and separable;  $\nu$  - Radon, finite on bounded sets.

For  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , we can define its *Cheeger energy*

$$\text{Ch}_p(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |Du|^p d\nu & \text{if } u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and view the  $p$ -Laplace evolution equation as its gradient flow in  $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ .

# Metric gradient flows

$(\mathbb{X}, d)$  - complete and separable;  $\nu$  - Radon, finite on bounded sets.

For  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , we can define its *Cheeger energy*

$$\text{Ch}_p(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |Du|^p d\nu & \text{if } u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and view the  $p$ -Laplace evolution equation as its gradient flow in  $L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ .

The whole machinery works (existence, uniqueness, gradient bounds...).

# Metric gradient flows

Why do we want to study it in more detail?

# Metric gradient flows

Why do we want to study it in more detail?

To get a 'pointwise' characterisation;



# Metric gradient flows

Why do we want to study it in more detail?

To get a 'pointwise' characterisation;

A lot of geometric properties of  $(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  are encoded in the gradient flow of  $\text{Ch}_p$ : curvature, tangent spaces, ...

# Metric gradient flows

Why do we want to study it in more detail?

To get a 'pointwise' characterisation;

A lot of geometric properties of  $(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  are encoded in the gradient flow of  $\text{Ch}_p$ : curvature, tangent spaces, ...

To cover the case of the total variation flow;

# Metric gradient flows

Why do we want to study it in more detail?

To get a 'pointwise' characterisation;

A lot of geometric properties of  $(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  are encoded in the gradient flow of  $\text{Ch}_\rho$ : curvature, tangent spaces, ...

To cover the case of the total variation flow;

To allow for initial data in  $L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ ;

# Metric gradient flows

Why do we want to study it in more detail?

To get a 'pointwise' characterisation;

A lot of geometric properties of  $(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  are encoded in the gradient flow of  $\text{Ch}_\rho$ : curvature, tangent spaces, ...

To cover the case of the total variation flow;

To allow for initial data in  $L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ ;

To study asymptotics.

## Small detour: 1-Laplacian

For  $p = 1$ , this equation has the form

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \operatorname{div} \left( \frac{Du}{|Du|} \right) & \text{on } (0, T) \times \mathbb{R}^N; \\ u = u_0 & \text{on } \{0\} \times \mathbb{R}^N. \end{cases}$$

For  $p > 1$ , we would define weak solutions using test functions.

For  $p = 1$ , this is not possible because test functions might fail to detect discontinuities of  $u$ ; we need to define solutions using the subdifferential of the 1-Dirichlet energy (i.e., the total variation).

## Small detour: 1-Laplacian

For  $p > 1$  and  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we have

$$v \in \partial\Phi_p(u) \iff v = -\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u).$$

For  $p = 1$  and  $u \in BV(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we have

$$v \in \partial\Phi_1(u) \iff \exists \mathbf{z} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R}^N) \text{ s.t. } \|\mathbf{z}\|_\infty \leq 1, \\ v = -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{z}) \text{ and } (\mathbf{z}, Du) = |Du|.$$

## Small detour: 1-Laplacian

For  $p > 1$  and  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we have

$$v \in \partial\Phi_p(u) \iff v = -\Delta_p u = -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u).$$

For  $p = 1$  and  $u \in BV(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , we have

$$v \in \partial\Phi_1(u) \iff \exists \mathbf{z} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N; \mathbb{R}^N) \text{ s.t. } \|\mathbf{z}\|_\infty \leq 1, \\ v = -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{z}) \text{ and } (\mathbf{z}, Du) = |Du|.$$

Here,  $(\mathbf{z}, Du)$  is the Radon measure defined by

$$\langle (\mathbf{z}, Du), \varphi \rangle := - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u \varphi \operatorname{div}(\mathbf{z}) \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} u \mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla \varphi \, dx$$

for all  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ . For  $u \in W^{1,1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ , it agrees with  $\mathbf{z} \cdot \nabla u \, d\mathcal{L}^N$ .

## Small detour: 1-Laplacian

The characterisation of  $\partial\Phi_1(u)$  consists of the following:



## Small detour: 1-Laplacian

The characterisation of  $\partial\Phi_1(u)$  consists of the following:

- A vector field  $\mathbf{z}$  which plays the role of  $\frac{Du}{|Du|}$ ;

## Small detour: 1-Laplacian

The characterisation of  $\partial\Phi_1(u)$  consists of the following:

- A vector field  $\mathbf{z}$  which plays the role of  $\frac{Du}{|Du|}$ ;
- A bound on  $\mathbf{z}$ ;

## Small detour: 1-Laplacian

The characterisation of  $\partial\Phi_1(u)$  consists of the following:

- A vector field  $\mathbf{z}$  which plays the role of  $\frac{Du}{|Du|}$ ;
- A bound on  $\mathbf{z}$ ;
- The equation  $v = -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{z})$ ;

## Small detour: 1-Laplacian

The characterisation of  $\partial\Phi_1(u)$  consists of the following:

- A vector field  $\mathbf{z}$  which plays the role of  $\frac{Du}{|Du|}$ ;
- A bound on  $\mathbf{z}$ ;
- The equation  $v = -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{z})$ ;
- A compatibility condition between the vector field  $\mathbf{z}$  and the function  $u$ .

## Small detour: 1-Laplacian

The characterisation of  $\partial\Phi_1(u)$  consists of the following:

- A vector field  $\mathbf{z}$  which plays the role of  $\frac{Du}{|Du|}$ ;
- A bound on  $\mathbf{z}$ ;
- The equation  $v = -\operatorname{div}(\mathbf{z})$ ;
- A compatibility condition between the vector field  $\mathbf{z}$  and the function  $u$ .

In order to characterise the subdifferential in the metric setting, we will introduce a multivalued operator similar to the one above (even for  $p > 1$ ).

# Basics for analysis on metric spaces

$(\mathbb{X}, d)$  - complete, separable;  $\nu$  - Radon, finite on bounded sets.

# Basics for analysis on metric spaces

$(\mathbb{X}, d)$  - complete, separable;  $\nu$  - Radon, finite on bounded sets.

Derivatives are replaced by upper gradients; we say that  $g$  is an upper gradient of  $u$ , if for all curves  $\gamma : [0, l_\gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$

$$|u(\gamma(l_\gamma)) - u(\gamma(0))| \leq \int_0^{l_\gamma} g(\gamma(t)) |\dot{\gamma}(t)| dt.$$

# Basics for analysis on metric spaces

$(\mathbb{X}, d)$  - complete, separable;  $\nu$  - Radon, finite on bounded sets.

Derivatives are replaced by upper gradients; we say that  $g$  is an upper gradient of  $u$ , if for all curves  $\gamma : [0, l_\gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$

$$|u(\gamma(l_\gamma)) - u(\gamma(0))| \leq \int_0^{l_\gamma} g(\gamma(t)) |\dot{\gamma}(t)| dt.$$

$u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \Leftrightarrow u \in L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  and there exists  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ .



# Basics for analysis on metric spaces

$(\mathbb{X}, d)$  - complete, separable;  $\nu$  - Radon, finite on bounded sets.

Derivatives are replaced by upper gradients; we say that  $g$  is an upper gradient of  $u$ , if for all curves  $\gamma : [0, l_\gamma] \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$

$$|u(\gamma(l_\gamma)) - u(\gamma(0))| \leq \int_0^{l_\gamma} g(\gamma(t)) |\dot{\gamma}(t)| dt.$$

$u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \Leftrightarrow u \in L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  and there exists  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ .

“Minimal  $g$ ” will be denoted  $|Du|$ .

# Gigli differential structure

How to introduce differentials and gradients?

# Gigli differential structure

How to introduce differentials and gradients?

Consider a Riemannian manifold  $M$  and its cotangent bundle  $T^*M$ .  
It has the following properties:

# Gigli differential structure

How to introduce differentials and gradients?

Consider a Riemannian manifold  $M$  and its cotangent bundle  $T^*M$ . It has the following properties:

- It is equipped with a smooth differential structure;

# Gigli differential structure

How to introduce differentials and gradients?

Consider a Riemannian manifold  $M$  and its cotangent bundle  $T^*M$ . It has the following properties:

- It is equipped with a smooth differential structure;
- We can multiply its sections (1-forms) by smooth functions;

# Gigli differential structure

How to introduce differentials and gradients?

Consider a Riemannian manifold  $M$  and its cotangent bundle  $T^*M$ . It has the following properties:

- It is equipped with a smooth differential structure;
- We can multiply its sections (1-forms) by smooth functions;
- The differential  $f \mapsto df$  is a linear and continuous map;

# Gigli differential structure

How to introduce differentials and gradients?

Consider a Riemannian manifold  $M$  and its cotangent bundle  $T^*M$ . It has the following properties:

- It is equipped with a smooth differential structure;
- We can multiply its sections (1-forms) by smooth functions;
- The differential  $f \mapsto df$  is a linear and continuous map;
- If two functions have the same differential, they differ by a constant.

# Gigli differential structure

How to introduce differentials and gradients?

Consider a Riemannian manifold  $M$  and its cotangent bundle  $T^*M$ . It has the following properties:

- It is equipped with a smooth differential structure;
- We can multiply its sections (1-forms) by smooth functions;
- The differential  $f \mapsto df$  is a linear and continuous map;
- If two functions have the same differential, they differ by a constant.

Gigli's construction aims to create a metric analogue of  $T^*M$  and  $TM$ .



# Gigli differential structure

Define the pre-cotangent module

$$PCM_p = \left\{ \{(f_i, A_i)\} : f_i \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu), \sum_i \|Df_i\|_{L^p(A_i, \nu)}^p < \infty \right\}$$

with  $A_i$  a partition of  $\mathbb{X}$  into Borel sets.

# Gigli differential structure

Define the pre-cotangent module

$$PCM_p = \left\{ \{(f_i, A_i)\} : f_i \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu), \sum_i \|Df_i\|_{L^p(A_i, \nu)}^p < \infty \right\}$$

with  $A_i$  a partition of  $\mathbb{X}$  into Borel sets.

Consider the equivalence relation on  $PCM_p$  given by

$$\{(f_i, A_i)\} \sim \{(g_j, B_j)\} \Leftrightarrow |D(f_i - g_j)| = 0 \quad \nu - \text{a.e. on } A_i \cap B_j.$$

## Gigli differential structure

Define the pre-cotangent module

$$PCM_p = \left\{ \{(f_i, A_i)\} : f_i \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu), \sum_i \|Df_i\|_{L^p(A_i, \nu)}^p < \infty \right\}$$

with  $A_i$  a partition of  $\mathbb{X}$  into Borel sets.

Consider the equivalence relation on  $PCM_p$  given by

$$\{(f_i, A_i)\} \sim \{(g_j, B_j)\} \Leftrightarrow |D(f_i - g_j)| = 0 \quad \nu - \text{a.e. on } A_i \cap B_j.$$

We call the map  $|\cdot|_* : PCM_p / \sim \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ :

$$|\{(f_i, A_i)\}|_* := |Df_i| \quad \nu - \text{a.e. on } A_i$$

the pointwise norm on  $PCM_p / \sim$ .

## Gigli differential structure

Define the pre-cotangent module

$$PCM_p = \left\{ \{(f_i, A_i)\} : f_i \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu), \sum_i \|Df_i\|_{L^p(A_i, \nu)}^p < \infty \right\}$$

with  $A_i$  a partition of  $\mathbb{X}$  into Borel sets.

Consider the equivalence relation on  $PCM_p$  given by

$$\{(f_i, A_i)\} \sim \{(g_j, B_j)\} \Leftrightarrow |D(f_i - g_j)| = 0 \quad \nu - \text{a.e. on } A_i \cap B_j.$$

We call the map  $|\cdot|_* : PCM_p / \sim \rightarrow L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ :

$$|\{(f_i, A_i)\}|_* := |Df_i| \quad \nu - \text{a.e. on } A_i$$

the pointwise norm on  $PCM_p / \sim$ .

The closure of  $PCM_p / \sim$  with respect to the norm  $\| |\{(f_i, A_i)\}|_* \|_{L^p(\mathbb{X}, \nu)}$  is called the *cotangent module*  $L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$ . It is an  $L^\infty$ -normed module.

# Gigli differential structure

The map  $d : W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \rightarrow L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  given by

$$df := (f, \mathbb{X})$$

is the *differential*. It is linear and continuous.

# Gigli differential structure

The map  $d : W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \rightarrow L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  given by

$$df := (f, \mathbb{X})$$

is the *differential*. It is linear and continuous.

The vector fields are defined via duality:

$$L^q(T\mathbb{X}) := (L^p(T^*\mathbb{X}))^*, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

$X \in L^q(T\mathbb{X})$  is a  $p$ -gradient of  $f$ , if

$$df(X) = |X|^q = |df|_*^p.$$

# Gigli differential structure

The map  $d : W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \rightarrow L^p(T^*\mathbb{X})$  given by

$$df := (f, \mathbb{X})$$

is the *differential*. It is linear and continuous.

The vector fields are defined via duality:

$$L^q(T\mathbb{X}) := (L^p(T^*\mathbb{X}))^*, \quad \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1.$$

$X \in L^q(T\mathbb{X})$  is a  $p$ -gradient of  $f$ , if

$$df(X) = |X|^q = |df|_*^p.$$

(In the Euclidean case, we have  $X = |\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u$ .)

## Divergence of a vector field

$f \in L^r(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  is the divergence of  $X \in L^q(T\mathbb{X})$ , if

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} fg \, d\nu = - \int_{\mathbb{X}} dg(X) \, d\nu$$

for all  $g \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^{r'}(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ . We write  $f = \operatorname{div}(X)$ .



# Divergence of a vector field

$f \in L^r(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  is the divergence of  $X \in L^q(T\mathbb{X})$ , if

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} fg \, d\nu = - \int_{\mathbb{X}} dg(X) \, d\nu$$

for all  $g \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^{r'}(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ . We write  $f = \operatorname{div}(X)$ .

These objects are a priori nonlocal! (But, for sufficiently regular spaces, they can be expressed pointwise using the Gromov-Hausdorff tangents.)

# The $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation

Recall that we study the gradient flow of the Cheeger energy

$$\text{Ch}_p(u) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |Du|^p d\nu & \text{if } u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu); \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We use the Gigli structure to provide a characterisation of  $\partial\text{Ch}_p$ .

## Theorem (G.-Mazón, JFA 2022)

Let  $1 < p < \infty$ . We say that  $(u, \nu) \in \mathcal{A}_p$  iff  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \cap W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$ ,  $\nu \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , and there exists  $X \in L^q(T\mathbb{X})$  with  $\text{div}(X) \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  s.t.

$$\nu = -\text{div}(X);$$

$$du(X) = |du|_*^p = |X|^q.$$

Then,  $\partial\text{Ch}_p = \mathcal{A}_p$ .

## Sketch of proof

It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{A}_p \subset \partial\text{Ch}_p$ . Since  $\partial\text{Ch}_p$  is maximal monotone, we need to show that also  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is maximal monotone.

## Sketch of proof

It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{A}_p \subset \partial\text{Ch}_p$ . Since  $\partial\text{Ch}_p$  is maximal monotone, we need to show that also  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is maximal monotone.

Minty theorem: a monotone operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal iff  $R(I + \mathcal{A}) = H$ .

## Sketch of proof

It is easy to check that  $\mathcal{A}_p \subset \partial\text{Ch}_p$ . Since  $\partial\text{Ch}_p$  is maximal monotone, we need to show that also  $\mathcal{A}_p$  is maximal monotone.

Minty theorem: a monotone operator  $\mathcal{A}$  is maximal iff  $R(I + \mathcal{A}) = H$ .

We need to show that for all  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  there exists  $u \in D(\mathcal{A}_p)$  and  $X \in L^q(T\mathbb{X})$  with  $\text{div}(X) \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  such that

$$-\text{div}(X) = g - u;$$

$$du(X) = |du|_*^p = |X|^q.$$

We cannot resort to approximations! Instead, we prove this by finding a functional  $F$  such that the above is the dual to the minimisation of  $F$ .

## Sketch of proof

Idea: use the Fenchel-Rockafellar duality theorem.

Let  $U, V$  be two Banach spaces and let  $A : U \rightarrow V$  be a continuous linear operator. Denote by  $A^* : V^* \rightarrow U^*$  its dual. Then, if the primal problem is of the form

$$\inf_{u \in U} \left\{ E(Au) + G(u) \right\}, \quad (\text{P})$$

then the dual problem is defined as the maximisation problem

$$\sup_{p^* \in V^*} \left\{ -E^*(-p^*) - G^*(A^*p^*) \right\}, \quad (\text{P}^*)$$

where  $E^*$  and  $G^*$  are the Legendre–Fenchel transformations (conjugate functions) of  $E$  and  $G$  respectively, i.e.,

$$E^*(u^*) := \sup_{u \in U} \{ \langle u, u^* \rangle - E(u) \}.$$

## Sketch of proof

Assume that  $E$  and  $G$  are proper, convex and lower semi-continuous. If there exists  $u_0 \in U$  such that  $E(Au_0) < \infty$ ,  $G(u_0) < \infty$  and  $E$  is continuous at  $Au_0$ , then

$$\inf (P) = \sup (P^*)$$

and the dual problem  $(P^*)$  admits at least one solution. Moreover, the optimality condition of these two problems is given by

$$A^* \bar{v}^* \in \partial G(\bar{u}), \quad -\bar{v}^* \in \partial E(A\bar{u}),$$

where  $\bar{u}$  is solution of  $(P)$  and  $\bar{v}^*$  is solution of  $(P^*)$ .

## Sketch of proof

Assume that  $E$  and  $G$  are proper, convex and lower semi-continuous. If there exists  $u_0 \in U$  such that  $E(Au_0) < \infty$ ,  $G(u_0) < \infty$  and  $E$  is continuous at  $Au_0$ , then

$$\inf (P) = \sup (P^*)$$

and the dual problem  $(P^*)$  admits at least one solution. Moreover, the optimality condition of these two problems is given by

$$A^* \bar{v}^* \in \partial G(\bar{u}), \quad -\bar{v}^* \in \partial E(A\bar{u}),$$

where  $\bar{u}$  is solution of  $(P)$  and  $\bar{v}^*$  is solution of  $(P^*)$ .

We need to identify the spaces  $U, V$ , the functionals  $E, G$  and the operator  $A$  so that our auxiliary problem fits into this framework.



## Sketch of proof

We set

$$U = W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu), \quad V = L^p(T^*\mathbb{X}),$$

and the operator  $A : U \rightarrow V$  is the differential  $d$ .

## Sketch of proof

We set

$$U = W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu), \quad V = L^p(T^*\mathbb{X}),$$

and the operator  $A : U \rightarrow V$  is the differential  $d$ .

We set  $E : L^p(T^*\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by the formula

$$E(v) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |v|_*^p d\nu$$

## Sketch of proof

We set

$$U = W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu), \quad V = L^p(T^*\mathbb{X}),$$

and the operator  $A : U \rightarrow V$  is the differential  $d$ .

We set  $E : L^p(T^*\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by the formula

$$E(v) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |v|_*^p d\nu$$

and  $G : W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$G(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{X}} u^2 d\nu - \int_{\mathbb{X}} ug d\nu.$$

## Sketch of proof

We set

$$U = W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu), \quad V = L^p(T^*\mathbb{X}),$$

and the operator  $A : U \rightarrow V$  is the differential  $d$ .

We set  $E : L^p(T^*\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by the formula

$$E(v) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |v|_*^p d\nu$$

and  $G : W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$G(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{X}} u^2 d\nu - \int_{\mathbb{X}} ug d\nu.$$

We have  $E(0) = 0$ ,  $G(0) = 0$ , and  $E$  is continuous at 0, so the dual problem has at least one solution  $\bar{v}^*$ .

## Sketch of proof

The first optimality condition is

$$E(A\bar{u}) + E^*(-\bar{v}^*) = \langle -\bar{v}^*, A\bar{u} \rangle$$

which translates to

$$\frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |d\bar{u}|_*^p d\nu + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |-\bar{v}^*|^q d\nu = \int_{\mathbb{X}} d\bar{u}(-\bar{v}^*) d\nu,$$

so  $d\bar{u}(-\bar{v}^*) = |d\bar{u}|_*^p = |-\bar{v}^*|^q$   $\nu$ -a.e.

## Sketch of proof

The second optimality condition is

$$A^* \bar{v}^* \in \partial G(\bar{u}).$$

## Sketch of proof

The second optimality condition is

$$A^* \bar{v}^* \in \partial G(\bar{u}).$$

For  $v^* \in L^q(T\mathbb{X})$  in the domain of  $A^*$ , and  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} u(A^* v^*) d\nu = \langle u, A^* v^* \rangle = \langle v^*, Au \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{X}} du(v^*) d\nu,$$

## Sketch of proof

The second optimality condition is

$$A^* \bar{v}^* \in \partial G(\bar{u}).$$

For  $v^* \in L^q(T\mathbb{X})$  in the domain of  $A^*$ , and  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} u(A^* v^*) d\nu = \langle u, A^* v^* \rangle = \langle v^*, Au \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{X}} du(v^*) d\nu,$$

so the definition of the divergence of  $v^*$  is satisfied with

$$\operatorname{div}(v^*) = -A^* v^*.$$

In particular,  $\operatorname{div}(v^*) \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ .



## Sketch of proof

The second optimality condition is

$$A^* \bar{v}^* \in \partial G(\bar{u}).$$

For  $v^* \in L^q(T\mathbb{X})$  in the domain of  $A^*$ , and  $u \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ ,

$$\int_{\mathbb{X}} u(A^* v^*) d\nu = \langle u, A^* v^* \rangle = \langle v^*, Au \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{X}} du(v^*) d\nu,$$

so the definition of the divergence of  $v^*$  is satisfied with

$$\operatorname{div}(v^*) = -A^* v^*.$$

In particular,  $\operatorname{div}(v^*) \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ . Since  $\partial G(\bar{u}) = \{\bar{u} - g\}$ , we get

$$-\operatorname{div}(-\bar{v}^*) = g - \bar{u}.$$

Thus, the pair  $(\bar{u}, -\bar{v}^*)$  satisfies the desired conditions, so  $\mathcal{A}_p$  satisfies the range condition. Hence, it is maximal monotone, and  $\mathcal{A}_p = \partial \operatorname{Ch}_p$ .

## Back to the gradient flow

### Theorem (G.-Mazón, JFA 2022)

For any  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  and all  $T > 0$  there exists a unique weak solution  $u(t)$  of the  $p$ -Laplacian evolution equation in the following sense:

- $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)) \cap W_{loc}^{1,2}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu))$ ;
- $u(0, \cdot) = u_0$ ;
- For a.e.  $t \in (0, T)$ , we have  $u(t) \in W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$ ;
- For a.e.  $t \in (0, T)$ , there exists a vector field  $X(t) \in L^q(T\mathbb{X})$  with  $\operatorname{div}(X(t)) \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  such that

$$\operatorname{div}(X(t)) = u_t(t, \cdot);$$

$$du(t)(X(t)) = |du(t)|_*^p = |X(t)|^q.$$

## How to do this for $p = 1$ ?

We need to replace  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  with  $BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$ . Assume that  $\nu$  is doubling and the space satisfies a Poincaré inequality. Given a function  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , we set

$$|Du|_\nu(A) = \inf \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A |Du_n| d\nu : u_n \in \text{Lip}_{loc}(A), u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^1(A, \nu) \right\}$$

for any open set  $A \subset \mathbb{X}$ .

## How to do this for $p = 1$ ?

We need to replace  $W^{1,p}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$  with  $BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$ . Assume that  $\nu$  is doubling and the space satisfies a Poincaré inequality. Given a function  $u \in L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , we set

$$|Du|_\nu(A) = \inf \left\{ \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_A |Du_n| d\nu : u_n \in \text{Lip}_{loc}(A), u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^1(A, \nu) \right\}$$

for any open set  $A \subset \mathbb{X}$ .

We need to recover a linear structure on the metric measure space in this case. To this end, we define a metric analogue of the Anzellotti pairing as

$$\langle (X, Du), f \rangle := - \int_{\mathbb{X}} u df(X) d\nu - \int_{\mathbb{X}} u f \operatorname{div}(X) d\nu.$$

Here,  $f \in \text{Lip}(\mathbb{X})$  has compact support. This formula defines a Radon measure;  $(X, Du)$  agrees with  $du(X)$  for Lipschitz functions and satisfies the 'expected' properties such as the validity of a Gauss-Green formula.

# The total variation flow

We understand the TV flow as the gradient flow of the 1-Cheeger energy

$$\text{Ch}_1(u) = \begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{X}} |Du|_{\nu} & \text{if } u \in BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu); \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Under the more restrictive assumptions on  $\nu$ , we use the Gigli structure and the new Anzellotti pairing to provide a characterisation of  $\partial\text{Ch}_1$ .

## Theorem (G.-Mazón, JFA 2022)

We say that  $(u, \nu) \in \mathcal{A}_1$  iff  $u \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \cap BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$ ,  $\nu \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , and there exists  $X \in L^\infty(T\mathbb{X})$  with  $\|X\|_\infty \leq 1$  and  $\text{div}(X) \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  s.t.

$$-\text{div}(X) = \nu;$$

$$(X, Du) = |Du|_{\nu}.$$

Then,  $\partial\text{Ch}_1 = \mathcal{A}_1$ .

## Sketch of proof

Again, we only need to check that  $\mathcal{A}_1$  is maximal monotone, i.e. show that for all  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  there exists  $u \in D(\mathcal{A}_1)$  and  $X \in L^\infty(T\mathbb{X})$  with  $\|X\|_\infty \leq 1$  and  $\operatorname{div}(X) \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  such that

$$-\operatorname{div}(X) = g - u;$$

$$(X, Du) = |Du|_\nu.$$

We again use the Fenchel-Rockafellar duality theorem; we cannot work directly with the BV space, because we need to use the differential  $d$ .

## Sketch of proof

We need to find a problem of the form

$$\inf_{u \in U} \left\{ E(Au) + G(u) \right\}$$

relevant to our case. We set

$$U = W^{1,1}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu), \quad V = L^1(T^*\mathbb{X}),$$

and the operator  $A : U \rightarrow V$  is the differential  $d$ .

## Sketch of proof

We need to find a problem of the form

$$\inf_{u \in U} \left\{ E(Au) + G(u) \right\}$$

relevant to our case. We set

$$U = W^{1,1}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu), \quad V = L^1(T^*\mathbb{X}),$$

and the operator  $A : U \rightarrow V$  is the differential  $d$ .

We set  $E : L^1(T^*\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by the formula

$$E(v) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} |v|_* d\nu$$



## Sketch of proof

We need to find a problem of the form

$$\inf_{u \in U} \left\{ E(Au) + G(u) \right\}$$

relevant to our case. We set

$$U = W^{1,1}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu), \quad V = L^1(T^*\mathbb{X}),$$

and the operator  $A : U \rightarrow V$  is the differential  $d$ .

We set  $E : L^1(T^*\mathbb{X}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by the formula

$$E(v) = \int_{\mathbb{X}} |v|_* d\nu$$

and  $G : W^{1,1}(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$G(u) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{X}} u^2 d\nu - \int_{\mathbb{X}} ug d\nu.$$

As before, the dual problem has at least one solution  $\bar{v}^*$ .

## Sketch of proof

Now, the primal problem does not necessarily have a solution, so we cannot use the optimality conditions. Instead, we use that for any minimising sequence  $u_n$  in the primal problem satisfies

$$0 \leq E(Au_n) + E^*(-\bar{v}^*) - \langle -\bar{v}^*, Au_n \rangle \leq \varepsilon_n$$

and

$$0 \leq G(u_n) + G^*(-A^*\bar{v}^*) - \langle -A^*\bar{v}^*, u_n \rangle \leq \varepsilon_n.$$

We find a solution  $\bar{u} \in BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu) \cap L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  of the relaxation of the primal problem and use a suitably chosen approximation  $u_n$  to deduce that

$$(-\bar{v}^*, Du) = |Du|_\nu \quad \text{and} \quad \|-\bar{v}^*\|_\infty \leq 1$$

and

$$\operatorname{div}(-\bar{v}^*) = g - u.$$

# The total variation flow

## Theorem (G.-Mazón, JFA 2022)

For any  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  and all  $T > 0$  there exists a unique weak solution  $u(t)$  of the total variation flow in the following sense:

- $u \in C([0, T]; L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)) \cap W_{loc}^{1,2}(0, T; L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu))$ ;
- $u(0, \cdot) = u_0$ ;
- For a.e.  $t \in (0, T)$ , we have  $u(t) \in BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$ ;
- For a.e.  $t \in (0, T)$ , there exists a vector field  $X(t) \in L^\infty(T\mathbb{X})$  with  $\|X\|_\infty \leq 1$  and  $\operatorname{div}(X(t)) \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  such that

$$\operatorname{div}(X(t)) = u_t(t, \cdot) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X};$$

$$(X(t), Du(t)) = |Du(t)|_\nu \quad \text{as measures on } \mathbb{X}.$$

# $L^1$ initial data

## Theorem (G.-Mazón, ACV 2022)

If  $\nu(\mathbb{X}) < \infty$ , then for any  $u_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$ , there exists a unique entropy solution  $u(t)$  of the total variation flow in the following sense:

- $u \in C([0, T]; L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu)) \cap W_{loc}^{1,2}(0, T; L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu))$ ;
- $u(0, \cdot) = u_0$ ;
- For a.e.  $t \in (0, T)$  and all  $k > 0$ , we have  $T_k u(t) \in BV(\mathbb{X}, d, \nu)$ ;
- For a.e.  $t \in (0, T)$ , there exists a vector field  $X(t) \in L^\infty(T\mathbb{X})$  with  $\operatorname{div}(X(t)) \in L^1(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  and  $\|X(t)\|_\infty \leq 1$  s.t.

$$\operatorname{div}(X(t)) = u_t(t, \cdot) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{X};$$

$$(X(t), DT_k u(t)) = |DT_k u(t)|_\nu \quad \text{as measures for all } k > 0.$$

# Comparison principle

## Theorem (G.-Mazón, ACV/JFA 2022)

*The operators  $\mathcal{A}_p$  are completely accretive for  $p \in [1, \infty)$ . In particular, if  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are weak solutions to the gradient flow of  $\text{Ch}_p$  with initial data  $u_{1,0}$  and  $u_{2,0}$  respectively. For all  $r \in [1, \infty]$ , if*

$$u_{1,0}, u_{2,0} \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu) \cap L^r(\mathbb{X}, \nu),$$

*then*

$$\|(u_1(t) - u_2(t))^+\|_r \leq \|(u_{1,0} - u_{2,0})^+\|_r.$$

(If  $\nu(\mathbb{X}) < \infty$ , a similar result is also valid for entropy solutions.)

# Asymptotic behaviour

## Theorem (G.-Mazón, JFA 2022)

Let  $\nu(\mathbb{X}) < \infty$ . Assume that a Poincaré inequality holds. Fix  $u_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)$  and let  $u(t)$  be the weak solution to the gradient flow of  $\text{Ch}_p$ . Then:

- (Finite extinction time) For  $1 \leq p < 2$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{\text{ex}}(u_0) &:= \inf\{T > 0 : u(t) = \bar{u}_0 \ \forall t \geq T\} \\ &= T_{\text{ex}}(u_0)(\mathbb{X}, p, \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{X}, \nu)}) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

- (Infinite extinction time) For  $p \geq 2$ ,

$$T_{\text{ex}}(u_0) = +\infty.$$

- Bounds for the  $L^2$  norm of the solution;
- Characterisation of asymptotic profiles.